



ON GRADED SECOND MODULES

H. ANSARI-TOROGHY AND F. FARSHADIFAR

Abstract. This paper deals with some results concerning graded second modules.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R will denote a commutative ring with identity.

A proper submodule N of an R -module M is said to be *prime* if for any $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $rm \in N$, we have $m \in N$ or $r \in (N :_R M)$ [6].

In [7], I.G. Macdonald introduced the notion of secondary modules. A non-zero R -module M is said to be *secondary* if for each $a \in R$ the endomorphism of M given by multiplication by a is either surjective or nilpotent [7].

In [11], S. Yassemi introduced the dual notion of prime submodules (i.e., second submodules) and investigated some properties of this class of modules. A non-zero submodule N of an R -module M is said to be *second* if for each $a \in R$ the homomorphism $N \xrightarrow{a} N$ is either surjective or zero. This implies that $\text{Ann}_R(N) = P$ is a prime ideal of R and S is said to be *P -second* [11]. More information about of this class of modules can be found in [2] and [3].

Let G be a group with identity e . The ring R graded by the group G will be denoted by $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$, where R_g is an additive subgroup of R and $R_g R_h \subseteq R_{gh}$ for every g, h in G . If an element of R belongs to $\bigcup_{g \in G} R_g = h(R)$, then it is called *homogeneous* and any $x_g \in R_g$ is said to *have degree* g . In the rest of this paper let R be a G -graded ring. An R -module M is said to be a *graded module* if $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$ for a family of subgroups $\{M_g\}_{g \in G}$ of M such that $R_g M_h \subseteq M_{gh}$ for every g, h in G . A *graded submodule* N of M is a submodule verifying $N = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (N \cap M_g)$. Moreover, M/N becomes a graded R -module with $(M/N)_g = (M_g + N)/N$. In this case, M/N is called a *gr-quotient* of M . Also if an element of M belongs to $\bigcup_{g \in G} M_g = h(M)$, then it is called *homogeneous*. Let $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$ and $N = \bigoplus_{g \in G} N_g$ be graded R -modules. An R -homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ is said to be a *gr-homomorphism of degree* h ,

Corresponding author: H. Ansari-Toroghy.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A02, 16W50.

Key words and phrases. Graded module, graded second submodule.

$h \in G$, if $f(M_g) \subseteq N_{gh}$ for all $g \in G$. Graded homomorphisms of degree h build an additive subgroup $HOM_R(M, N)_h$ of $Hom_R(M, N)$. It is clear that $HOM_R(M, N) = \oplus_{h \in G} HOM_R(M, N)_h$ is a graded abelian group of type G . The category of graded R -modules has graded R -modules as objects. A morphism in this category is an R -module homomorphism of degree e . Given a multiplicatively closed subset $S \subseteq h(R)$, the ring of fraction $S^{-1}R$ turns into a ring graded by G means of

$$(S^{-1}R)_g = \{r/s : s \in S, r \in h(R) \text{ and } g = \text{degr} - \text{degs}\}$$

for every $g \in G$. Recall that $S^{-1}M$ can be defined as $S^{-1}R \otimes_R M$, when M is an R -module.

A graded ideal P of R is said to be *graded prime*, more briefly, *gr-prime* if $P \neq R$ and whenever $ab \in P$, we have $a \in P$ or $b \in P$, where $a, b \in h(R)$. The *graded radical* of a graded ideal I of R , denoted by $Gr(I)$, is the set of all $x \in R$ such that for each $g \in G$ there exists $n_g > 0$ with $x_g^{n_g} \in I$. A graded submodule N of a graded R -module M is said to be *gr-prime* (resp. *gr-primary*) if $N \neq M$ and whenever $a \in h(R)$ and $m \in h(M)$ with $am \in N$, then either $m \in N$ or $a \in (N :_R M)$ (resp. $a \in Gr((N :_R M))$) [4]. This implies that $Ann_R(M/N) = P$ (resp. $Gr(Ann_R(M/N)) = P$) is a gr-prime ideal of R and N is said to be *P-gr-prime* (resp. *P-gr-primary*). Also, a graded R -module M is said to be *gr-prime* if the zero submodule of M is a *gr-prime* submodule of M .

Let M be a non-zero graded R -module. Then M is said to be a *gr-second* (resp. *gr-secondary*) if for each homogeneous element a of R , the endomorphism of M given by multiplication by a is either surjective or zero (resp. nilpotent) [1] (resp. [10]). This implies that $Ann_R(M) = P$ (resp. $Gr(Ann_R(M)) = P$) is a gr-prime ideal of R and M is said to be *P-gr-second* (resp. *P-gr-secondary*). For convenience, a graded submodule of M which is gr-second (resp. gr. secondary), is called a *gr-second* (resp. *gr-secondary*) submodule of M .

The purpose of this paper is to obtain some results concerning graded second submodules. Most of results are related to reference [11] which have been proved for second submodules.

2. Main results

Remark 2.1. It is clear that every second R -module which is a graded module is a gr-second R -module but the converse is not true in general. For example, if we take $G = \mathbb{Z}$ and $R = K[x, x^{-1}] (= K[x]_x)$, where K is a field and x is an indeterminate, graded in the obvious way, R as an R -module is graded simple [5, 1.5.14(c)]. Hence R is a gr-second R -module. But R is not a secondary R -module by [10]. Hence R is not a second R -module.

Lemma 2.2 (See [9]). (a) If I is a graded ideal of R , then $I \subseteq Gr(I)$.

(b) If I and J are graded ideals of R such that $I \subseteq J$, then $Gr(I) \subseteq Gr(J)$.

(c) If P is a gr -prime ideal of R , then $Gr(P^n) = P$ for all $n > 0$.

A graded submodule N of a graded R -module M is said to be gr -minimal if it is minimal in the lattice of graded submodules of M [8].

Proposition 2.3. *Let M be a graded R -module. Then the following hold.*

- (a) *If S is a gr -secondary submodule of M , then S is gr -second if and only if $Ann_R(S)$ is a gr -prime ideal of R .*
- (b) *Let S be a graded submodule of a P - gr -second module M . Then S is a P - gr -secondary submodule if and only if S is a P - gr -second submodule.*
- (c) *If S is a gr -minimal submodule of M , then S is a gr -second submodule of M .*

Proof. (a) This is obvious.

(b) Assume S is a P - gr -secondary submodule of M . Then $P = Ann_R(M) \subseteq Ann_R(S) \subseteq Gr(Ann_R(S)) = P$ by using Lemma 2.2 (a). Thus $P = Ann_R(S)$. Now the assertion follows from part (a). The reverse implication is clear.

(c) Let S be a gr -minimal submodule of M . Since for each $r \in h(R)$, rS is a graded submodule of M , by assumption, $rS = 0$ or $rS = S$ as desired. \square

Proposition 2.4. *Let P be a gr -prime ideal of R . Then the following hold.*

- (a) *The sum of P - gr -second R -modules is a P - gr -second R -module.*
- (b) *Every product of P - gr -second R -modules is a P - gr -second R -module.*
- (c) *Every non-zero gr -quotient of a P - gr -second R -module is a P - gr -second R -module.*

Proof. We only prove the part (a). The proofs of parts (b) and (c) are similar.

(a) Let M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n be P - gr -second R -modules. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $Ann_R(M_i) = P$ and hence $Ann_R(\sum_{i=1}^n M_i) = P$. If $r \in h(R) - P$, then $rM_i = M_i$. Hence $r(\sum_{i=1}^n M_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i$, as desired. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let P be a graded prime ideal of R and let S be a non-zero graded submodule of a graded R -module M . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) *S is a P - gr -second submodule of M .*
- (b) *$W^{gr}(S) \subseteq Ann_R(S) = P$, where*

$$W^{gr}(S) = \{a \in h(R) : \text{the homothety } S \xrightarrow{a} S \text{ is not surjective}\}.$$

Proof. It is straightforward. \square

A graded R -module M is said to be gr -divisible if $ax = m$ with $a \in h(R)$ and $m \in h(M)$, has a solution in M [8].

Theorem 2.6. *Let M be a graded R -module and let S be a non-zero graded submodule of M satisfying that $\text{Ann}_R(S) = P$ is a graded prime ideal of R . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) S is a P -gr-second submodule of M .
- (b) S is a gr-divisible R/P -module.
- (c) $rS = S$ for all $r \in h(R) - P$.
- (d) $IS = S$ for all graded ideals I with $I \not\subseteq P$.
- (e) $W^{gr}(S) \subseteq P$.

Proof. $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$, $(b) \Rightarrow (c)$, $(c) \Rightarrow (d)$ and $(d) \Rightarrow (e)$ are straightforward.

$(e) \Rightarrow (a)$. By Lemma 2.5. □

Definition 2.1. Let P be a graded prime ideal of R . A graded submodule N of a graded R -module M is called a *minimal P -gr-secondary* (resp. *P -gr-second*) submodule of M if N is a P -gr-secondary (resp. P -gr-second) submodule which contains no other P -gr-secondary (resp. P -gr-second) submodules of M .

Theorem 2.7. *Let M be a graded R -module. Then a submodule N of M is minimal P -gr-secondary if and only if N is a minimal P -gr-second submodule of M .*

Proof. (\Leftarrow) . By Proposition 2.3 (b).

(\Rightarrow) . Assume that N is a minimal P -gr-secondary submodule of M . If $r \in W^{gr}(N)$, then $rN \neq N$. Since rN is a graded quotient of N , we have that rN is a P -gr-secondary submodule of N . As N is a minimal P -gr-secondary submodule of M , $rN = 0$ so that $r \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Therefore, $W^{gr}(N) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Thus N is a P -gr-second submodule of M by using Lemma 2.5. Now the result follows from Proposition 2.3 (b). □

R is said to be a *gr-field* if every nonzero homogeneous element of R is invertible.

A graded R -module M is said to be *gr-injective* if it is an injective object in the category of graded R -modules.

A graded R -module M is said to be *graded torsion-free* if $a \in h(R)$ and $m \in M$ with $am = 0$ implies that either $m = 0$ or $a = 0$ [4].

Theorem 2.8. *Let M be a gr-prime module. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) M is a gr-second module.
- (b) M is a gr-injective $R/\text{Ann}_R(M)$ -module.

Proof. Since M is a gr-prime module, we have that $P = \text{Ann}_R(M)$ is a gr-prime ideal of R by [4, 2.7] and M is a gr-torsion-free R/P -module by [4, 2.11]. Hence the graded R/P -homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow S^{-1}M$ given by $\phi(m) = m/1$, where $S = h(R/P) - 0$, is a monomorphism.

(a) \Rightarrow (b). Since M is a P -gr-second module, we have that M is a gr-divisible R/P -module by Theorem 2.6. This implies that ϕ is an isomorphism. Hence M is an $S^{-1}(R/P)$ -module. As $S^{-1}(R/P)$ is a gr-field and M is a gr-divisible $S^{-1}(R/P)$ -module by [8, B.II.2], it is easy to see by a similar argument as the ungraded case that M is a gr-injective R/P -module.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Since M is a gr-injective R/P -module, we have that M is a gr-divisible R/P -module. Thus we have that M is gr-second by Theorem 2.6. \square

Proposition 2.9. *Let M be a graded R -module and let N be a graded submodule of M . Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If M is a gr-primary module and N is a gr-second submodule of M , then N is $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ -gr-primary.*
- (b) *If M is a gr-prime module and N is a gr-second submodule of M , then $rN = rM \cap N$ for each $r \in h(R)$.*
- (c) *If $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a gr-prime ideal of R and N is a gr-minimal in the set of all graded submodules K of M such that $\text{Ann}_R(K) = \text{Ann}_R(N)$, then N is a gr-second submodule of M .*

Proof. (a) First we note that as N is a gr-second submodule of M , $\text{Gr}(\text{Ann}_R(N)) = \text{Ann}_R(N)$ by Lemma 2.2 (c). Now let $rm \in N$, where $r \in h(R) - \text{Ann}_R(N)$ and $m \in h(M)$. Since N is a gr-second submodule of M , we have $rN = N$. Thus $rm = rn$ for some $n \in N$. As $r \notin \text{Gr}(\text{Ann}_R(N))$, we have $r \notin \text{Gr}(\text{Ann}_R(M))$ by Lemma 2.2 (b). As M is gr-primary, we have that $m \in N$ as required.

(b) Let $r \in h(R)$ and let $rm \in N$. Since N is gr-second, $rN = 0$ or $rN = N$. If $rN = 0$, we have $r \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$ because M is gr-prime. Hence $rN = rM \cap N = 0$. If $rN = N$, then $rm = rn$ for some $n \in N$. Since M is gr-prime and $r \notin \text{Ann}_R(N)$, we have $m = n$. Thus $rm \in rN$. Therefore $rM \cap N = N \subseteq rN$. Thus $rM \cap N = N = rN$ because the reverse inclusion is clear.

(c) As $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is gr-prime, $N \neq 0$. Let $r \in h(R)$ and $rN \neq N$. Since rN is a graded submodule of M , the claim is obviously true in the case that $\text{Ann}_R(rN) = \text{Ann}_R(N)$ by assumption. So we assume that $\text{Ann}_R(rN) \not\subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Then there exists $s \in h(\text{Ann}_R(rN))$ such that $s \notin \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Hence $srN = 0$. Since $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is gr-prime, it follows that $rN = 0$, as desired. \square

A graded R -module M is said to be *graded injective cogenerator* if it is injective cogenerator object in the category of graded R -modules.

Theorem 2.10. *Let E be a graded injective cogenerator of R and let N be a graded submodule of a graded R -module M . Then N is a gr-prime submodule of M if and only if $\text{HOM}_R(M/N, E)$ is a gr-second R -module.*

Proof. Let N be a gr-prime submodule of M and let $r \in h(R)$. Then $M/N \neq 0$ if and only if $\text{HOM}_R(M/N, E) \neq 0$ by using similar arguments as the ungraded case. Further, $M/N \xrightarrow{r} M/N$ is either injective or zero if and only if

$$\text{HOM}_R(M/N, E) \xrightarrow{r} \text{HOM}_R(M/N, E)$$

is either surjective or zero by using similar arguments as the ungraded case. \square

A graded submodule N of a graded R -module M is said to be *gr-maximal* if it is maximal in the lattice of graded submodules of M [8].

Theorem 2.11. *Let R be an integral domain which is not a gr-field and K the gr-field of quotients of R . Then the R -module K has no gr-minimal submodule and K is the only gr-second submodule of K .*

Proof. Since $(0 :_K r) = 0$ for every non-zero element $r \in h(R)$, we have $\text{Ann}_R(N) = 0$ for every non-zero graded submodule N of M . Consequently, K has no gr-minimal submodule, for if L is a gr-minimal submodule of K , then $\text{Ann}_R(L)$ is a gr-maximal ideal of R . But since R is not a gr-field, $\text{Ann}_R(L) \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Clearly K is a 0-gr-second submodule of K . To show that K is the only gr-second submodule of K , we assume the contrary and let S be a proper gr-second submodule of K . Since S is proper, there exists $y/u \in h(K)$ and $y/u \notin S$. This implies that $1/u \notin S$. There exists $0 \neq x/t \in h(S)$ because S is gr-second. Since $\text{Ann}_R(S) = 0$, we have $uS = S$. Thus $x/t = u(z/h)$ for some $z/h \in S$. It follows that $x/u = (tz)/h \in S$. Now $xS = S$ implies that $x/u = xw$ for some $w \in S$. Since $x \neq 0$, it follows that $1/u = w \in S$, which is a contradiction. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Graded comultiplication modules*, Chiang Mai J. Sci., to appear.
- [2] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime submodules*, Algebra Colloq., to appear.
- [3] H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime submodules (II)*, Mediterr. J. Math., to appear.
- [4] S. E. Atani, *On graded prime submodules*, Chiang Mai J. Sci. **33** (2006), 3–7.

- [5] W. Bruns and J. Herzong, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, **39**, Cambridge studies in Advanced Mathematics, 1996.
- [6] J. Dauns, *Prime submodules*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **298** (1978), 156–181.
- [7] I.G. Macdonald, *Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring*, Sympos. Math., **XI** (1973), 23–43.
- [8] C. Nastasescu and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Graded Ring Theory*, Mathematical Library 28, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [9] M.A. Refai, M. Hailat, and S. Obiedat, *Graded radicals and graded prime spectra*, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS), Part I (2000), 59–73.
- [10] R. Y. Sharp, *A symptotic behavior of certain sets of attached prime ideals*, J. London Math. Soc., **34** (1986), 212–218.
- [11] S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of prime submodules*, Arch. Math (Brno) **37** (2001), 273–278.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Guilan University, P. O. Box 1914, Rasht, Iran.

E-mail: ansari@guilan.ac.ir

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Guilan University, P. O. Box 1914, Rasht, Iran.

E-mail: farshadifar@guilan.ac.ir