



SUBCLASSES OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

HARJINDER SINGH AND B. S. MEHROK

Abstract. We introduce some subclasses of close-to-convex functions and obtain sharp results for coefficients, distortion theorems and argument theorems from which results of several authors follows as special cases.

1. Introduction and Definitions

Principle of Subordination ([9], [13]). Let $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ be two functions analytic in the open unit disc $E = \{z; |z| < 1\}$. Then $f(z)$ is subordinate to $F(z)$ in E if there exists a function $w(z)$ analytic in E and satisfying the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = F(w(z))$. If $F(z)$ is univalent in E , the above definition is equivalent to $f(0) = F(0)$ and $f(E) \subset F(E)$.

Bounded Functions. By \mathcal{U} , we denote the class of analytic functions of the form

$$w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad z \in E, \quad (1.1)$$

which satisfy the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$.

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.2)$$

which are analytic in the unit disc $E = \{z; |z| < 1\}$. The subclass of univalent functions in \mathcal{A} is denoted by S .

S^* and C represent the classes of functions in \mathcal{A} which satisfy, respectively, the conditions

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

Received December 26, 2011, accepted December 18, 2012.

Communicated by Chung-Tsun Shieh.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30C45; Secondary 30C50.

Key words and phrases. Subordination, bounded functions, univalent functions, starlike functions, convex functions and close-to-convex functions.

Corresponding author: Harjinder Singh.

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \right\} > 0. \quad (1.4)$$

A function $f(z)$ in \mathcal{A} is said to be close-to-convex if there exists a function

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (1.5)$$

in S^* such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > 0. \quad (1.6)$$

The class of functions $f(z)$ in \mathcal{A} with the condition (1.6) is denoted by K and called the class of close-to-convex functions. The class K was introduced by Kaplan [7] it was shown by him that all close-to-convex functions are univalent.

If $g \in C$, the class of functions in \mathcal{A} subject to the condition (1.6) may be denoted by K_1 which is the subclass of K .

$S^*(A, B)$ and $C(A, B)$ are the classes of functions in \mathcal{A} which satisfy, respectively, the conditions

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \quad g \in S^*, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} \right\} < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \quad g \in C, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1. \quad (1.8)$$

In particular, $S^*(1, -1) \equiv S^*$ and $C(1, -1) \equiv C$.

The class $S^*(A, B)$ was introduced and study by Janowski [6] and also by Goel and Mehrok [4]. It is obvious that $g \in C(A, B)$ implies that $zg'(z) \in S^*(A, B)$.

$K(C, D)$ represent the class of functions $f(z)$ in \mathcal{A} for which

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} < \frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}, \quad g \in S^*, \quad -1 \leq D < C \leq 1. \quad (1.9)$$

If $g \in C$, the corresponding class may be denoted by $K_1(C, D)$.

The class $K^*(A, B)$ consists of functions $f(z)$ in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad g \in S^*(A, B), \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1. \quad (1.10)$$

If $g \in C(A, B)$, the corresponding class may be denoted by $K_1^*(A, B)$.

For $-1 \leq D \leq B < A \leq C \leq 1$, let $K(A, B; C, D)$ be the subclass of K satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} < \frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}, \quad g \in S^*(A, B). \quad (1.11)$$

If $g \in C(A, B)$, the corresponding class may be denoted by $K_1(A, B; C, D)$.

Throughout the paper, we take $-1 \leq D \leq B < A \leq C \leq 1$, $w(z) \in \mathcal{U}$ and $z \in E$. From the above definitions, we have the following observations

- (i) $K(1, -1; C, D) \equiv K(C, D)$ and $K_1(1, -1; C, D) \equiv K_1(C, D)$;
- (ii) $K(A, B; 1, -1) \equiv K^*(A, B)$ and $K_1(A, B; 1, -1) \equiv K_1^*(A, B)$;
- (iii) $K(1, -1; 1, -1) \equiv K$ and $K_1(1, -1; 1, -1) \equiv K_1$.

2. Preliminary lemmas

Lemma 2.1 ([3]). Let $P(z) = \frac{1+Cw(z)}{1+Dw(z)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$, then

$$|p_n| \leq (C - D).$$

Result is sharp for the functions $P_n(z) = \frac{1+C\delta z^n}{1+D\delta z^n}$, $|\delta| = 1$ and $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.2 ([4]). Let $g \in S^*(A, B)$, then, for $A - (n-1)B \geq (n-2)$, ($n \geq 3$),

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \prod_{k=2}^n (A - (k-1)B).$$

Equality holds for the function $g_0(z)$ defined by

$$g_0(z) = z(1 + B\delta z)^{(A-B)/B}, \quad |\delta| = 1.$$

Since $g(z) \in C(A, B)$ implies that $zg'(z) \in S^*(A, B)$, we have the following

Lemma 2.3. Let $g \in C(A, B)$, then, for $A - (n-1)B \geq (n-2)$, ($n \geq 3$),

$$|b_n| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=2}^n (A - (k-1)B).$$

Result is sharp for the function $g_1(z)$ defined by

$$g_1'(z) = (1 + B\delta z)^{(A-B)/B}, \quad |\delta| = 1.$$

Lemma 2.4 ([5]). Let $g \in S^*(A, B)$, then, for $|s| \leq 1$, $|t| \leq 1$, ($s \neq t$)

$$\frac{tg(sz)}{sg(tz)} < \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1+Bsz}{1+Btz}\right)^{(A-B)/B}, & B \neq 0; \\ \exp A(s-t)z, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.5. If $g \in S^*(A, B)$, then, for $|z| = r < 1$,

$$r(1-Br)^{(A-B)/B} \leq |g(z)| \leq r(1+Br)^{(A-B)/B}, \quad B \neq 0; \quad (2.1)$$

$$r \exp(-Ar) \leq |g(z)| \leq r \exp(Ar), \quad B = 0; \quad (2.2)$$

$$\left| \arg \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| \leq \frac{(A-B)}{B} \sin^{-1}(Br), \quad B \neq 0; \quad (2.3)$$

$$\left| \arg \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| \leq Ar, \quad B = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Equality sign in these bounds is attained by the function $g_0(z)$ defined by

$$g_0(z) = \begin{cases} z(1 + B\delta z)^{(A-B)/B}, & B \neq 0; \\ z \exp(A\delta z), & B = 0, |\delta| = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Letting $s \rightarrow 1$ and $t \rightarrow 0$ in the Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\frac{g(z)}{z} \prec (1 + Bz)^{(A-B)/B}, \quad B \neq 0; \quad (2.5)$$

$$\frac{g(z)}{z} \prec \exp(Az), \quad B = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

(2.5) implies that

$$\frac{g(z)}{z} = (1 + Bw(z))^{(A-B)/B}, \quad B \neq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Case (i) $B > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (1 + Bw(z))^{(A-B)/B} \right| &= \left| \exp \left\{ \frac{(A-B)}{B} \log(1 + Bw(z)) \right\} \right| \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{(A-B)}{B} \log |1 + Bw(z)| \right\} \\ &= |1 + Bw(z)|^{(A-B)/B} \\ &\leq (1 + Br)^{(A-B)/B}. \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii) $B < 0$.

Let $B = -B'$, $B' > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (1 + Bw(z))^{(A-B)/B} \right| &= \left| \left\{ (1 - B'w(z))^{-1} \right\}^{(A-B)/B'} \right| \\ &= \left| (1 - B'w(z))^{-1} \right|^{(A-B)/B'} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{1 - B'r} \right)^{(A-B)/B'} \\ &= (1 + Br)^{(A-B)/B}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the cases (i) and (ii), (2.1) follows from (2.7). Similarly, we get (2.2) from (2.6). Again from (2.5), we obtain (2.3) as follows

$$\left| \arg \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| \leq \frac{(A-B)}{B} |\arg(1 + Bw(z))| \leq \frac{(A-B)}{B} \sin^{-1}(Br).$$

Similarly (2.4) directly follows from (2.6).

On the same lines we can prove the following

Lemma 2.6. If $g \in C(A, B)$, then, for $|z| = r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{A}\{1 - (1 - Br)^{A/B}\} &\leq |g(z)| \leq \frac{1}{A}\{(1 + Br)^{A/B} - 1\}, \quad B \neq 0; \\ \frac{1}{A}\{1 - \exp(-Ar)\} &\leq |g(z)| \leq \frac{1}{A}\{\exp(Ar) - 1\}, \quad B = 0; \\ \left| \arg \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| &\leq \frac{A}{B} \sin^{-1}(Br), \quad B \neq 0; \\ \left| \arg \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| &\leq Ar, \quad B = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.7 ([2]). Let f and g are analytic functions and h be convex univalent function in E such that $f \prec h$ and $g \prec h$. Then $(1 - \lambda)f + \lambda g \prec h$, $(0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$.

3. Coefficient estimates

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in K(A, B; C, D)$. Then, for $A - (n - 1)B \geq (n - 2)$, $(n \geq 3)$,

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=2}^n \{A - (k - 1)B\} + \frac{(C - D)}{n} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(k - 1)!} \prod_{j=2}^k \{A - (j - 1)B\} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Bound (3.1) is sharp.

Proof. By definition of $K(A, B; C, D)$,

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1 + Cw(z)}{1 + Dw(z)} = P(z).$$

Expanding the series,

$$\begin{aligned} (z + 2a_2z^2 + \cdots + na_nz^n + \cdots) \\ = (z + b_2z^2 + \cdots + b_{n-1}z^{n-1} + b_nz^n + \cdots)(1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \cdots + p_{n-1}z^{n-1} + \cdots). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Equating the coefficients of z^n in (3.2),

$$na_n = b_n + p_1b_{n-1} + p_2b_{n-2} + \cdots + p_{n-2}b_2 + p_{n-1}.$$

Applying triangular inequality and Lemma 2.1 , we get

$$n|a_n| \leq |b_n| + (C - D) \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} |b_k| \right). \quad (3.3)$$

Using Lemma 2.2 in (3.3), we obtain

$$n|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{(n - 1)!} \prod_{k=2}^n \{A - (k - 1)B\} + (C - D) \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(k - 1)!} \prod_{j=2}^k \{A - (j - 1)B\} \right)$$

which yields (3.1). The bound (3.1) is sharp for the function $f_0(z)$ defined by

$$f_0(z) = \left(\frac{1 + C\delta_1 z}{1 + D\delta_1 z} \right) (1 + B\delta_2 z)^{(A-B)/B}, \quad |\delta_1| = |\delta_2| = 1.$$

Similarly we can prove

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in K_1(A, B; C, D)$. Then, for $A - (n-1)B \geq (n-2)$, ($n \geq 3$),

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=2}^n (A - (k-1)B) + (C-D) \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{j=2}^k \{A - (j-1)B\} \right) \right]. \quad (3.4)$$

The bound (3.4) is sharp for the function $f_1(z)$ given by

$$f'_1(z) = \frac{1}{Az} \left(\frac{1 + C\delta_1 z}{1 + D\delta_1 z} \right) \{ (1 + B\delta_2 z)^{A/B} - 1 \}, \quad |\delta_1| = |\delta_2| = 1.$$

Remark 3.1. (i) If $f \in K(1, -1; C, D) \equiv K(C, D)$, $|a_n| \leq 1 + \frac{(n-1)(C-D)}{2}$ which is the result due to Mehrok [10].

(ii) If $f \in K_1(1, -1; C, D) \equiv K_1(C, D)$, $|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{n} \{1 + (n-1)(C-D)\}$, a result due to Mehrok and Singh [11].

Remark 3.2. (i) If $f \in K(A, B; 1, -1) \equiv K^*(A, B)$, for $A - (n-1)B \geq (n-2)$, ($n \geq 3$),

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=2}^n \{A - (k-1)B\} + \frac{2}{n} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \prod_{j=2}^k \{A - (j-1)B\} \right).$$

This result was proved by Goel and Mehrok [5].

(ii) If $f \in K_1(A, B; 1, -1) \equiv K_1^*(A, B)$, for $A - (n-1)B \geq (n-2)$, ($n \geq 3$),

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{n(n!)^2} \prod_{k=2}^n \{A - (k-1)B\} + \frac{2}{n} \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \prod_{j=2}^k \{A - (j-1)B\} \right).$$

Remark 3.3. (i) If $f \in K(1, -1; 1, -1) \equiv K$, then $|a_n| \leq n$. The result due to Reade [13].

(ii) If $f \in K_1(1, -1; 1, -1) \equiv K_1$, then $|a_n| \leq 2 - \frac{1}{n}$. This result was obtained by Silverma and Telage [15].

4. Distortion theorems

Theorem 4.1. Let $f \in K(A, B; C, D)$, then

$$\left(\frac{1 - Cr}{1 - Dr} \right) (1 - Br)^{(A-B)/B} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \left(\frac{1 + Cr}{1 + Dr} \right) (1 + Br)^{(A-B)/B}, \quad B \neq 0; \quad (4.1)$$

$$\left(\frac{1 - Cr}{1 - Dr} \right) \exp(-Ar) \leq |f'(z)| \leq \left(\frac{1 + Cr}{1 + Dr} \right) \exp(Ar), \quad B = 0; \quad (4.2)$$

$$\int_0^r \left(\frac{1-Cu}{1-Du} \right) (1-Bu)^{(A-B)/B} du \leq |f(z)| \leq \int_0^r \left(\frac{1+Cu}{1+Du} \right) (1+Bu)^{(A-B)/B} du, \quad B \neq 0; \quad (4.3)$$

$$\int_0^r \left(\frac{1-Cu}{1-Du} \right) \exp(-Au) du \leq |f(z)| \leq \int_0^r \left(\frac{1+Cu}{1+Du} \right) \exp(Au) du, \quad B = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

All these bounds are sharp.

Proof. Since $f \in K(A, B; C, D)$, it follows that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1+Cw(z)}{1+Dw(z)}$$

which maps $|w(z)| \leq r$ onto the circle

$$\left| \frac{(zf'(z))}{g(z)} - \frac{1-CDr^2}{1-D^2r^2} \right| \leq \frac{(C-D)r}{(1-D^2r^2)}.$$

This yields

$$\frac{(1-Cr)}{(1-Dr)} \leq \left| \frac{(zf'(z))}{g(z)} \right| \leq \frac{(1+Cr)}{(1+Dr)}$$

which further implies that

$$\frac{(1-Cr)}{(1-Dr)} |g(z)| \leq |zf'(z)| \leq \frac{(1+Cr)}{(1+Dr)} |g(z)|. \quad (4.5)$$

Using (2.1) and (2.2) along with (4.5), we obtain (4.1) and (4.2).

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= \int_0^z |f'(z)| dz \\ &\leq \int_0^r |f'(z)| dr \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \int_0^r \left(\frac{1+Cr}{1+Dr} \right) (1+Br)^{(A-B)/B} dr, & B \neq 0; \\ \int_0^r \left(\frac{1+Cr}{1+Dr} \right) \exp(Ar) dr, & B = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let z_0 , $|z_0| = 1$, be so chosen that $|f(z_0)| \leq |f(z)|$ for all z , $|z| = r$. If $L(z_0)$ is the pre-image of the segment $\overline{[0, f(z_0)]}$ in E , then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &= \int_{L(z_0)} |f'(z)| dz \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \int_0^r \left(\frac{1-Cr}{1-Dr} \right) (1-Br)^{(A-B)/B} dr, & B \neq 0; \\ \int_0^r \left(\frac{1-Cr}{1-Dr} \right) \exp(-Ar) dr, & B = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Equality signs in (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) are attained by the function $f_2(z)$ defined by

$$f'_2(z) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1+C\delta_1 z}{1+D\delta_1 z}\right)(1+B\delta_2 r)^{(A-B)/B}, & B \neq 0; \\ \left(\frac{1+C\delta_1 z}{1+D\delta_1 z}\right) \exp(A\delta_2 z), & B = 0, |\delta_1| = |\delta_2| = 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

Similarly, by using Lemma 2.6, we can prove

Theorem 4.2. Let $f \in K_1(A, B; C, D)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{Ar} \left(\frac{1-Cr}{1-Dr} \right) \{1 - (1-Br)^{A/B}\} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{Ar} \left(\frac{1+Cr}{1+Dr} \right) \{(1+Br)^{A/B} - 1\}, & \quad B \neq 0; \\ \frac{1}{Ar} \left(\frac{1-Cr}{1-Dr} \right) \exp(-Ar) \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{Ar} \left(\frac{1+Cr}{1+Dr} \right) \exp(Ar), & \quad B = 0; \\ \frac{1}{A} \int_0^r \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{1-Cu}{1-Du} \right) \{1 - (1-Bu)^{A/B}\} du \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{A} \int_0^r \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{1+Cr}{1+Dr} \right) \{(1+Bu)^{A/B} - 1\} du, & \quad B \neq 0; \\ \frac{1}{A} \int_0^r \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{1-Cu}{1-Du} \right) \exp(-Au) du \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{A} \int_0^r \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{1+Cu}{1+Du} \right) \exp(Au) du, & \quad B = 0. \end{aligned}$$

All these bounds are sharp and extremal function is given by $f_3(z)$ defined by

$$f'_3(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{Az} \left(\frac{1+C\delta_1 z}{1+D\delta_1 z} \right) \{(1+B\delta_2 z)^{A/B} - 1\}, & B \neq 0; \\ \frac{1}{Az} \left(\frac{1+C\delta_1 z}{1+D\delta_1 z} \right) \exp(A\delta_2 z), & B = 0, |\delta_1| = |\delta_2| = 1. \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

5. Argument theorems

Theorem 5.1. Let $f \in K(A, B; C, D)$, then

$$|\arg f'(z)| \leq \frac{(A-B)}{B} \sin^{-1}(Br) + \sin^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(C-D)r}{(1-CDr^2)} \right\}, \quad B \neq 0; \quad (5.1)$$

$$|\arg f'(z)| \leq Ar + \sin^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(C-D)r}{(1-CDr^2)} \right\}, \quad B = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

The results are sharp.

Proof. From (1.11), we have $\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1+Cw(z)}{1+Dw(z)}$. Since the transformation $\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1+Cw(z)}{1+Dw(z)}$ maps $|w(z)| \leq r$ onto the circle

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \frac{1-CDr^2}{1-D^2r^2} \right| \leq \frac{(C-D)r}{(1-D^2r^2)},$$

therefore

$$\left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right| \leq \sin^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(C-D)r}{(1-CDr^2)} \right\}.$$

This implies that

$$|\arg f'(z)| \leq \left| \arg \frac{g(z)}{z} \right| + \sin^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(C-D)r}{(1-CDr^2)} \right\}. \quad (5.3)$$

Inequality (5.3) together with (2.3) and (2.4) yield (5.1) and (5.2) respectively. Equality sign in (5.1) and (5.2) holds for the function $f_2(z)$ defined by (4.6) in which

$$\delta_1 = \frac{r}{z} \left[\frac{-(C+D)r + i\{(1-C^2r^2)(1-D^2r^2)\}^{1/2}}{(1+CDr^2)} \right] \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$\delta_1 = \frac{r}{z} \{-Br + i(1-B^2r^2)^{1/2}\}. \quad (5.5)$$

Similarly, by using Lemma 2.6, we have

Theorem 5.2. *Let $f \in K_1(A, B; C, D)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} |\arg f'(z)| &\leq \frac{A}{B} \sin^{-1}(Br) + \sin^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(C-D)r}{(1-CDr^2)} \right\}, \quad B \neq 0; \\ |\arg f'(z)| &\leq Ar + \sin^{-1} \left\{ \frac{(C-D)r}{(1-CDr^2)} \right\}, \quad B \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The results are sharp for the function $f_3(z)$ defined in (4.7) where δ_1 and δ_2 are given by (5.4) and (5.5), respectively.

Remark 5.4. Taking $A = 1$ and $B = -1$ in the Theorem 4.1, we get the result proved by Mehrok [10].

Remark 5.5. On taking $C = 1$ and $D = -1$ in the Theorems 4.1 and 5.1, we get the results due to Goel and Mehrok [5].

Remark 5.6. Letting $A = C = 1$ and $B = D = -1$ in the Theorems 4.1 and 5.1, we obtain the results proved by Ogawa [12] and Krzyz [8] for the class K .

Remark 5.7. For $C = 1$ and $D = -1$ in Theorems 4.2 and 5.2, we get the results established by Gawad and Thomas [1].

6. Convex set of functions

Theorem 6.1. *If f and $h \in K(A, B; C, D)$, then*

$$(1-\lambda)f + \lambda h \in K(A, B; C, D), \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1).$$

Proof. Since $\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz}$ is convex univalent in E , the theorem follows by Lemma 2.7 definition of $K(A, B; C, D)$.

References

- [1] H. R. Abdel-Gawad and D. K. Thomas, *A subclass of close-to-convex functions*, Publicatio De L'Institut Mathématique, Nouvelle série tome, **49**(1991), 61–66.
- [2] S. D. Bernardi, *Special classes of subordinate functions*, Duke Math. J., **33**(1966), 55–67.
- [3] M. R. Goel and B. S. Mehrok, *A subclass of univalent functions*, Houston J. Math., **8**(1982), 343–357.
- [4] M. R. Goel and B. S. Mehrok, *On the coefficients of a subclass of starlike functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **12**(1981), 634–647.
- [5] M. R. Goel, and B. S. Mehrok, *On a class of close-to-convex functions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **12**(1981), 648–658.
- [6] W. Janowski, *Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions*, Ann. Polon Math., **28**(1973), 297–326.
- [7] W. Kaplan, *Close-to-convex schlicht functions*, Mich. Math. J., **1**(1952), 169–185.
- [8] J. Krzyz, *On the derivative of close-to-convex functions*, Colloq Math., **10**(1963), 139–142.
- [9] L. E. Littlewood, *On inequalities in the theory of functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **23**(1925), 481–519.
- [10] B. S. Mehrok, *A subclass of close-to-convex functions*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, **10**(1982), 389–398.
- [11] B. S. Mehrok and Gagandeep Singh, *A subclass of close-to-convex functions*, Int. J. Math. Anal., **4**(2010), 1319–1327.
- [12] S. Ogawa, *A note on close-to-convex functions*, J. Nara Gakugei Univ., **8**(1959), 9–10.
- [13] M. O. Reade, *On close-to-convex univalent functions*, Mich. Math. J., **3**(1955–56), 59–62.
- [14] W. W. Rogosinski, *On subordinate functions*, Proc. Camb. Philos Soc., **35**(1939), 1–26.
- [15] H. Silverman and D. N. Telage, *Extreme points of subclasses of close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **74**(1979), 59–65.

Department of Mathematics, Govt. Rajindra College, Bathinda, Punjab - 151001, India.

E-mail: harjindpreet@gmail.com

#643E, Bhai Randhir Singh Nagar, Ludhiana, Punjab - 141001, India.

E-mail: beantsingh.mehrok@gmail.com