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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to describe the centre as well as the structure of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$ by applying the deformation of Harish-Chandra homomorphism.


## Introduction

Throughout, the basic field is the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. All algebras, modules and vector spaces are over $\mathbb{C}$ unless otherwise specified. Let $q$ be a parameter which is not a root of unity.
F. Li and S. Duplij [9] constructed a quantum algebra $\omega s l_{q}(2)$. By definition, the quantum algebra $\omega s l_{q}(2)$ is generated by the four variables $E, F, K, \bar{K}$ with the relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K \bar{K}=\bar{K} K=J  \tag{1}\\
& J K=K, \bar{K} J=\bar{K}  \tag{2}\\
& K E=q^{2} E K, \bar{K} E=q^{-2} E \bar{K}  \tag{3}\\
& K F=q^{-2} F K, \bar{K} F=q^{2} F \bar{K}  \tag{4}\\
& E F-F E=\frac{K-\bar{K}}{q-q^{-1}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

This is an interesting example of weak Hopf algebras in the sense of [7]. In the paper [9], the authors gave a detail description of the structure theory of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$, such as its basis, group-like elements, regular quasi-R matrix and so on.

As a continuation of the paper [9], we will study the isomorphisms among these weak quantum algebras and their centre. Several people have considered the problems of Hopf algebra automorphisms. For example, [1, 4, 11]. In [3] the isomorphisms among quantum algebras $U_{r, s}\left(s l_{n}\right)$ with different parameters $r, s$ were investigated. However, nobody has considered the same problem for the weak quantum algebra $\omega s l_{p}(2)$. By applying the idea of [3] and some known facts, we can yield the group of automorphisms of weak Hopf algebra $\omega s l_{q}(2)$. It is shown that $\varphi: \omega s l_{q}(2) \rightarrow \omega s l_{p}(2)$ is a weak Hopf

[^0]algebra isomorphism if and only if $p= \pm q$. If this is the case, we will determine all such isomorphisms. Let $U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ be the quantum group corresponding to three dimensional semisimple Lie algebra $s l_{2}$. As is known, one of many beautiful results for $U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ is that the centre of $U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ can be described by the Harish-Chandra homomorphism (see [6]). Similar to the case of $U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$, we would like to study the centre of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$ and give the analogous statements by applying the modification of Harish-Chandra homomorphism. Let $Y=\left\{E^{i} F^{j}(1-J) \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0\right\}$,
$$
C_{q}=E F J+\frac{q^{-1} K+q \bar{K}}{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2}}=F E J+\frac{q K+q^{-1} \bar{K}}{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2}}
$$
and
$$
P[K, \bar{K}]=\left\{\sum_{i \geq 0} a_{i} K^{i}+\sum_{j>0} b_{j} \bar{K}^{j} \mid J=K \bar{K}=\bar{K} K, K=K J, J \bar{K}=J\right\}
$$
where we set $K^{0}=\bar{K}^{0}=J, a_{i}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{C}(i \geq 0, j>0)$. Let $Z_{q}$ be a polynomial algebra generated by the element $C_{q}$ and $J$. It is shown that the centre of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$ is $Z_{q} \oplus Y$ and the restriction of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism to $Z_{q}$ is an isomorphism onto the sub-algebra of $P[K, \bar{K}]$ generated by $q K+q^{-1} \bar{K}$.

This paper is organized as follows. Some basic facts and concepts are reviewed in Section 1. Then we attempt to get the isomorphism theorem for $\omega s l_{q}(2)$ in Section 2. Finally we devote to get the statements about the centre of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$ in the last section.

## 1. Preliminaries

There are at least two generalizations of a Hopf algebra, which are called weak Hopf algebras. One of them was introduced and studied in [7, 8]. In this sense the weak Hopf algebra $(H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is just both bialgebra and there exists a so-called weak antipode $T \in \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(H, H)$ of $H$ such that $T * I * T=T, I * T * I=I$, where $I$ is an identity map of $H$. Another definition of a weak Hopf algebra was introduced in [2]. The earlier proposals of face algebras [5], generalized Kac algebras [12] are weak Hopf algebras in this sense. However, the above two definitions of weak Hopf algebras are not included in each other.

One knows that the quantum algebra $\omega s l_{q}(2)$ is a weak Hopf algebra in the sense of [7]. The comultiplication $\Delta$, the counit $\varepsilon$ and the weak antipode $T$ are given by the following formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(E)=1 \otimes E+E \otimes K, \Delta(F)=F \otimes 1+\bar{K} \otimes F \\
& \Delta(K)=K \otimes K, \Delta(\bar{K})=\bar{K} \otimes \bar{K} \\
& \varepsilon(E)=\varepsilon(F)=0, \varepsilon(K)=\varepsilon(\bar{K})=1 \\
& T(E)=-E \bar{K}, T(F)=-K F, T(K)=\bar{K}, T(\bar{K})=K
\end{aligned}
$$

It is noticed that $J \neq 0$. If $J=1, \omega s l_{q}(2)$ is isomorphic to $U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$. Recall that the quantum algebra $U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$, is generated by $E^{\prime}, F^{\prime}, K^{\prime}, K^{\prime-1}$ with the relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K^{\prime-1} K^{\prime}=K^{\prime} K^{\prime-1}=1 \\
& K^{\prime} E^{\prime} K^{\prime-1}=q^{2} E^{\prime}, K^{\prime} F^{\prime} K^{\prime-1}=q^{-2} F^{\prime} \\
& E^{\prime} F^{\prime}-F^{\prime} E^{\prime}=\frac{K^{\prime}-K^{\prime-1}}{q-q^{-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ is of Hopf algebra structure, the comulitplication and antipode are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta\left(E^{\prime}\right)=1 \otimes E^{\prime}+E^{\prime} \otimes K^{\prime}, \Delta\left(F^{\prime}\right)=F^{\prime} \otimes 1+K^{\prime-1} \otimes F^{\prime} \\
& \Delta\left(K^{\prime}\right)=K^{\prime} \otimes K^{\prime}, \Delta\left(K^{\prime}\right)=K^{\prime} \otimes K^{\prime} \\
& \varepsilon\left(E^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon\left(F^{\prime}\right)=0, \varepsilon\left(K^{\prime}\right)=\varepsilon\left(K^{\prime-1}\right)=1 \\
& S\left(E^{\prime}\right)=-E^{\prime} K^{\prime-1}, S(F)=-K^{\prime-1} F, S\left(K^{\prime}\right)=K^{\prime-1}, S\left(K^{\prime-1}\right)=K^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Accordingly, we always assume that $J \neq 0$ and $J \neq 1$.
Let $W=\omega s l_{q}(2) J$ and $Y=\omega s l_{q}(2)(1-J)$.
Lemma 1.1. ([9, Theorem 4]) As ideals of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$ we have $\omega s l_{q}(2)=W \oplus Y$. Moreover, $W \cong U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ as Hopf algebras. The basis of $W$ is

$$
\left\{E^{i} F^{j} K^{l}, E^{i} F^{j} \bar{K}^{m}, E^{i} F^{j} J \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0, l>0, m>0\right\}
$$

and the basis of $Y$ is

$$
\left\{E^{i} F^{j}(1-J) \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0\right\}
$$

Proof. We skecth the proof as follows.
It is easy to see that $J$ is a central idempotent. Therefore, $\omega s l_{q}(2) J$ as well as $\omega s l_{q}(2)(1-J)$ are ideals of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$. Hence,

$$
\omega s l_{q}(2)=\omega s l_{q}(2) J \oplus \omega s l_{q}(2)(1-J)
$$

as ideals. One can see that $W$ is of the basis

$$
\left\{E^{i} F^{j} K^{l}, E^{i} F^{j} \bar{K}^{m}, E^{i} F^{j} J \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0, l>0, m>0\right\}
$$

and $Y$ has the basis $\left\{E^{i} F^{j}(1-J) \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0\right\}$. In fact, $W$ is a Hopf algebra (the identity of $W$ is $J$ ), the co-multiplication $\Delta$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(E J) & =J \otimes E J+E J \otimes K \\
\Delta(F J) & =F J \otimes J+\bar{K} \otimes F J \\
\Delta(K) & =K \otimes K, \Delta(\bar{K})=\bar{K} \otimes \bar{K}
\end{aligned}
$$

The counit $\varepsilon$ is

$$
\varepsilon(E J)=\varepsilon(F J)=0, \varepsilon(K)=\varepsilon(\bar{K})=1
$$

and the antipode is

$$
T(E J)=-E \bar{K}, T(F J)=-K F, T(K)=\bar{K}, T(\bar{K})=K
$$

Now let $\rho$ be the algebra morphism from $U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ to $W$ subjecting to

$$
\rho\left(E^{\prime}\right)=E J, \rho\left(F^{\prime}\right)=F J, \rho\left(K^{\prime}\right)=K, \rho\left(K^{\prime-1}\right)=\bar{K}
$$

It is straightforward to see that $\rho$ is a Hopf algebra isomorphism.
Let $[m]=\frac{q^{m}-q^{-m}}{q-q^{-1}}$ for $m \geq 0$ and

$$
[m]!=[1][2] \cdots[m],[0]!=1,\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
t
\end{array}\right]=\frac{[n]!}{[t]![n-t]!}
$$

We have

$$
E F^{m}=F^{m} E+[m] F^{m-1} \frac{q^{-(m-1)} K-q^{m-1} \bar{K}}{q-q^{-1}}
$$

Let $V$ be a $\omega s l_{q}(2)$-module and $0 \neq v \in V$. If $K v=\lambda v$ and $\bar{K} v=\bar{\lambda} v$ for $\lambda, \bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$, we can conclude that if $\lambda \neq 0, \bar{\lambda}=\lambda^{-1}$ and if $\lambda=0, \bar{\lambda}=0$. We fix such a number $\bar{\lambda}$ which is corresponding to $\lambda$. We denote by $V^{\lambda}$ the subspace of all vectors $v$ in $V$ such that $K v=\lambda v$. The scalar $\lambda$ is called a weight of $V$ if $V^{\lambda} \neq 0$. An element $v \neq 0$ of $V$ is said to be a highest weight vector of weight $\lambda$ if $E v=0$ and $K v=\lambda v$. A $\omega s l_{q}(2)$-module is said to be a highest weight module of highest weight $\lambda$ if it is generated by a highest weight vector of $\lambda$.

Given a $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we consider an infinite-dimensional vector space $V(\lambda)$ with basis $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. For $p \geq 0$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& K v_{p}=\lambda q^{-2 p} v_{p}, \bar{K} v_{p}=\bar{\lambda} q^{2 p} v_{p}  \tag{6}\\
& E v_{p}=\frac{q^{-(p-1)} \lambda-q^{p-1} \bar{\lambda}}{q-q^{-1}} v_{p-1},  \tag{7}\\
& F v_{p-1}=[p] v_{p}, E v_{0}=0 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1.2. Relations (6)-(8) define a $\omega \operatorname{sl}_{q}(2)$-modules structure on $V(\lambda)$. The element $v_{0}$ generates $V(\lambda)$ as a $\omega s l_{q}(2)$-module and is a highest weight vector of weight $\lambda$ such that $V(\lambda)$ is the highest weight module.

Proof. Let $l=\lambda \bar{\lambda}$. It is noticed that $\lambda \neq 0$ if and only if $\bar{\lambda} \neq 0$. Also if $\lambda \neq 0$ then $l=1$ and if $\lambda=0$, then $l=0$. Therefore, either $\lambda \neq 0$ or $\lambda=0$, we have $\lambda^{2} \bar{\lambda}=\lambda$ and
$\lambda \bar{\lambda}^{2}=\bar{\lambda}$. Immediate computations yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{K} K v_{p} & =K \bar{K} v_{p}=l v_{p}, K \bar{K} K v_{p}=K v_{p}, \bar{K} K \bar{K} v_{p}=\bar{K} v_{p}, \\
K E v_{p} & =q^{2} E K v_{p}, \bar{K} E v_{p}=q^{-2} E \bar{K} v_{p}, \\
K F v_{p} & =q^{-2} F K v_{p}, \bar{K} F v_{p}=q^{2} F \bar{K} v_{p}, \\
{[E, F] v_{p} } & =\left([p+1] \frac{q^{-p} \lambda-q^{p} \bar{\lambda}}{q-q^{-1}}-[p] \frac{q^{-(p-1)} \lambda-q^{p-1} \bar{\lambda}}{q-q^{-1}}\right) v_{p} \\
& =\frac{q^{-2 p} \lambda-q^{2 p} \bar{\lambda}}{q-q^{-1}} v_{p}=\frac{K-\bar{K}}{q-q^{-1}} v_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the relations (6)-(8) define a $\omega s l_{q}(2)$-module structure on $V(\lambda)$. On the other hand, we have $K v_{0}=\lambda v_{0}$ and $E v_{0}=0$, which means that $v_{0}$ is a highest weight vector of weight $\lambda$. Finally, (8) implies that $v_{p}=\frac{1}{[p]!} F^{p} v_{0}$ for all $p$, which proves that $V(\lambda)$ is generated by $v_{0}$.

The highest weight $\omega s l_{q}(2)$-module $V(\lambda)$ is called the Verma module of highest weight $\lambda$. We will apply the Verma module $V(\lambda)$ to give a description of the centre of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$.

## 2. Isomorphisms Among Weak Quantum Algebras

We now investigate the isomorphisms among weak quantum algebras.
Let $U_{p}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ be the algebra generated by $E^{\prime}, F^{\prime}, K^{\prime}, K^{\prime-1}$ and the relations as that of $U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ where $q$ is replaced by $p$. It is also a Hopf algebra with the same comultiplications as $U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$.

The following lemma gives a condition that $U_{p}\left(s l_{2}\right) \cong U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ as Hopf algebras.
Lemma 2.1. $U_{p}\left(s l_{2}\right) \cong U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ as Hopf algebras if and only if $p= \pm q^{ \pm 1}$.
Proof. For convenience, we replace the generators $E^{\prime}, F^{\prime}, K^{\prime}, K^{\prime-1}$ of $U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ by $E, F, K, K^{-1}$. The abuse notations are used in the proof.

Let $\phi: U_{p}\left(s l_{2}\right) \rightarrow U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ be a bialgebra isomorphism, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\phi\left(E^{\prime}\right)\right)=(\phi \otimes \phi)\left(\Delta\left(E^{\prime}\right)\right)=1 \otimes \phi\left(E^{\prime}\right)+\phi\left(E^{\prime}\right) \otimes \phi\left(K^{\prime}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\phi\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ is necessarily a group-like element. Therefore, $\phi\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ is a skew-primitive element in $U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$.

By Theorem 5.4.1, Lemma 5.5.5, the subsequent comments in [10], and [4, Theorem A], we can assume that

$$
\phi\left(K^{\prime}\right)=K, \phi\left(E^{\prime}\right)=a E+b F K+c(1-K)
$$

Then (9) automatically holds. Applying $\phi$ to the equation $K^{\prime} E^{\prime}=p^{2} E^{\prime} K^{\prime}$, we yield that

$$
K(a E+b F K+c(1-K))=p^{2}(a E+b F K+c(1-K)) K
$$

Consequently, we get

$$
0=a\left(q^{2}-p^{2}\right)=b\left(p^{2}-q^{-2}\right)=c\left(1-p^{2}\right)
$$

It follows that $c=0$ since $p$ is not a root of unity.
If $a \neq 0$, then $p^{2}=q^{2}$ and $b=0$. In this case, we get that $\phi(E)=a E$ and $p= \pm q$. If this is the case, we get that $\phi(F)= \pm a^{-1} F, K \rightarrow K, K^{-1} \rightarrow K^{-1}$.

If $b \neq 0$, then $p^{2}=q^{-2}$ and $a=0$. In this case, we get that $\phi(E)=b F K$ and $p= \pm q^{-1}$. Similarly, $\phi(F)= \pm b^{-1} K^{-1} E$.

Conversely, if $p= \pm q$, it is obvious that $\psi: U_{p}\left(s l_{2}\right) \cong U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ defined by

$$
\psi\left(E^{\prime}\right)=E, \psi\left(F^{\prime}\right)= \pm F, \psi\left(K^{\prime}\right)=K, \psi\left(K^{\prime-1}\right)=K^{-1}
$$

is a Hopf algebra isomorphism.
If $p= \pm q^{-1}$, then $\psi: U_{p}\left(s l_{2}\right) \cong U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ defined by

$$
\psi\left(E^{\prime}\right)=F K, \psi\left(F^{\prime}\right)= \pm K^{-1} E, \psi\left(K^{\prime}\right)=K, \psi\left(K^{\prime-1}\right)=K^{-1}
$$

is a Hopf algebra isomorphism.
Let $\omega s l_{p}(2)$ be the algebra generated by $E, F, K, K^{-1}$ and the relations as that of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$ where $q$ is replaced by $p$. It is a weak algebra with the same comultiplications as $\omega s l_{q}(2)$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $x \in Y$ and $b \neq 0$. If $\Delta(x)=b(1-J) \otimes E J+1 \otimes x+x \otimes K$, then $x=b E(1-J)$.

Proof. Let $x=\sum_{s, t} \xi(s, t) E^{s} F^{t}(1-J)$. By the assumption, we have

$$
\Delta(x)=\sum_{s, t} \xi(s, t) E^{s} F^{t}(1-J) \otimes K+\sum_{s, t} \xi(s, t) 1 \otimes E^{s} F^{t}(1-J)+b(1-J) \otimes E J .
$$

On the other hand, if $j>0$, then $K^{j} J=K^{j}$ and $\bar{K}^{j} J=\bar{K}^{j}$. One easily sees that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(x)= & \left(\sum_{s, t, i, j} \xi(s, t) q^{i(s-i)} q^{j(t-j)}\left[\begin{array}{l}
s \\
i
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
t \\
j
\end{array}\right] E^{i} F^{t-j} \bar{K}^{j} \otimes E^{s-i} K^{i} F^{j}\right) \\
& -\left(\sum_{s, t, i, j} \xi(s, t) q^{i(s-i)} q^{j(t-j)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
s \\
i
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
t \\
j
\end{array}\right] E^{i} F^{t-j} \bar{K}^{j} J \otimes E^{s-i} K^{i} F^{j} J\right) \\
= & \left(\sum_{s, t, j \neq 0} \xi(s, t) q^{j(t-j)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
t \\
j
\end{array}\right] F^{t-j} \bar{K}^{j} \otimes E^{s} F^{j}(1-J)\right) \\
& +\left(\sum_{s, t, i \neq 0} \xi(s, t) q^{i(s-i)}\left[\begin{array}{c}
s \\
i
\end{array}\right] E^{i} F^{t}(1-J) \otimes E^{s-i} K^{i}\right) \\
& +\left(\sum_{s, t} \xi(s, t) F^{t} \otimes E^{s}\right)-\left(\sum_{s, t} \xi(s, t) F^{t} J \otimes E^{s} J\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the above two equality for $\Delta(x)$, all $t=0$ and $s=1$. Hence we can assume that $x=a E(1-J)$ and we get

$$
\Delta(x)=a(1-J) \otimes E(1-J)+1 \otimes x+x \otimes K
$$

It follows that $a=b$ and $x=b E(1-J)$.
The same argument shows that there is no element $x \in Y$ such that $\Delta(x)=x \otimes K+$ $1 \otimes x+b(1-J) \otimes F K$ and $x \in Y$ where $b \neq 0$.

The main result of this section is as follows.

Theorem 2.3. $\omega s l_{q}(2) \cong \omega s l_{p}(2)$ as weak Hopf algebras if and only if $p= \pm q$.
Proof. Let $\gamma: \omega s l_{q}(2) \cong \omega s l_{p}(2)$ be a weak Hopf algebra isomorphism. One knows that $\gamma$ sends group-likes to group-likes, now it is easy to see that $\gamma(J)=J$.

According to Lemma 1.1, $\omega s l_{q}(2)=W \oplus Y, W \cong U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ as Hopf algebras; $\omega s l_{p}(2)=$ $W^{\prime} \oplus Y^{\prime}, W^{\prime} \cong U_{p}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ as Hopf algebras, where $Y, Y^{\prime}$ are spanned respectively by the same set $\left\{E^{i} F^{j}(1-J) \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0\right\}$ as an ideal of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$ and $\omega s l_{p}(2)$.

Let $\operatorname{inj}_{q}: W \rightarrow \omega s l_{q}(2)$ be the inclusion defined by

$$
J \rightarrow J, E J \rightarrow E J, F J \rightarrow F J, K \rightarrow K, \bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{K}
$$

and then extend it by linearity. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{inj}_{q}$ is a weak Hopf algebra injection. Indeed, $\operatorname{inj}_{q}$ is an algebra homomorphism. For the relation (3),

$$
\operatorname{inj}_{q}(K) \operatorname{inj}_{q}(E J)=K E J=q^{2} E J K=q^{2} \operatorname{inj}_{q}(E J) \operatorname{inj}_{q}(K)
$$

The rest of (3) and the relations (4) are similar. For the relation (5),

$$
\operatorname{inj}_{q}(E J) \operatorname{inj}_{q}(F J)-\operatorname{inj}_{q}(F J) \operatorname{inj}_{q}(E J)=(E F-F E) J=\frac{\operatorname{inj}_{q}(K)-\operatorname{inj}_{q}(\bar{K})}{q-q^{-1}} .
$$

The map $\operatorname{inj}_{q}$ is also a coalgebra map. Indeed,

$$
\Delta\left(\operatorname{inj}_{q}(E J)\right)=\Delta(E J)=J \otimes E J+E J \otimes K
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\operatorname{inj}_{q} \otimes \operatorname{inj}_{q}\right) \Delta(E J)=\left(\operatorname{inj}_{q} \otimes \operatorname{inj}_{q}\right)(J \otimes E J+E J \otimes K)=J \otimes E J+E J \otimes K . \\
\Delta\left(\operatorname{inj}_{q}(E J)\right)=\left(\operatorname{inj}_{q} \otimes \operatorname{inj}_{q}\right) \Delta(E J) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, we have $\Delta\left(\operatorname{inj}_{q}(X)\right)=\left(\operatorname{inj}_{q} \otimes \operatorname{inj}_{q}\right) \Delta(X)$ where $X=F J, K, \bar{K}$ or $J$. It is easy to see that $W^{\prime}=\operatorname{im}\left(\gamma \circ \operatorname{inj}_{q}\right)$ since $\gamma(J)=J$. This implies that if $\gamma: \omega s l_{q}(2) \rightarrow$ $\omega s l_{p}(2)$ is a weak Hopf algebra isomorphism, then $U_{p}\left(s l_{2}\right) \cong U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$ as Hopf algebras. By Lemma 2.1, $p= \pm q^{ \pm 1}$. However, if $p= \pm q^{-1}$, we must have

$$
\gamma(E J)=b(F J) K, \gamma(F J)= \pm b^{-1} \bar{K}(E J), \gamma(K)=K, \gamma(\bar{K})=\bar{K}
$$

for some $b \neq 0$. If there is a way to extend it to $\omega s l_{q}(2)$ such that $\gamma$ is a weak Hopf algebra isomorphism, we assume that $\gamma(E(1-J))=x$, then $0 \neq x \in Y$ and $\gamma(E)=$ $\gamma(E J+E(1-J))=b(F J) K+x$. Since $\gamma$ is a weak Hopf algebra isomorphism, we have

$$
\Delta(b(F J K)+x)=(b(F J) K+x) \otimes K+1 \otimes(b(F J) K+x) .
$$

Hence, $\Delta(x)=x \otimes K+1 \otimes x+b(1-J) \otimes F K$. It is impossible, so $p= \pm q$.
Conversely, if $p= \pm q$, we set

$$
\gamma(E)=E, \gamma(F)= \pm F, \gamma(K)=K, \gamma(\bar{K})=\bar{K}
$$

It is easy to see that $\gamma$ is a weak Hopf algebra isomorphism.
The proof is completed.
Now we can determine all such isomorphisms. Indeed, if $\gamma: \omega s l_{q}(2) \cong \omega s l_{p}(2)$ is a isomorphism of weak Hopf algebra, then $p= \pm q$. Furthermore, $\gamma \circ \operatorname{inj}_{q}$ is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras between $W$ and $W^{\prime}$, defined by

$$
J \rightarrow J, E J \rightarrow a E J, F J \rightarrow \pm a^{-1} F J, K \rightarrow K, \bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{K}
$$

by Lemma 2.1. The map $\gamma$ restricted to $W$ must be of this form. To get the map $\gamma$, we assume that $\gamma(E(1-J))=x$, it is easy to see that $\gamma(E)=a E J+x$ and $x \in Y$. Since $\gamma$ is a weak Hopf algebra isomorphism, we then get that $\Delta(x)=1 \otimes x+x \otimes K+a(1-J) \otimes E J$. By Lemma 2.2, we have $x=a E(1-J)$. Similarly, we also have $\gamma(F(1-J))= \pm a^{-1} F(1-J)$. This implies that $\gamma$ has to be $J \rightarrow J, E \rightarrow a E, F \rightarrow \pm a^{-1} F, K \rightarrow K, \bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{K}$ and extended linearity.

## 3. The Centre of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$

In [13], the authors introduce a new quantum algebra $U_{q}(f(H, K))$, which generalizes the quantum group $U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)$. Then they obtained statements about its centre by applying the Harish-Chandra homomorphism. In this section, we give the similar description about the centre of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$. Recall that

$$
P[K, \bar{K}]=\left\{a_{0} J+\sum_{i>0} a_{i} K^{i}+\sum_{j>0} b_{j} \bar{K}^{j} \mid J=K \bar{K}=\bar{K} K, K=K J, J \bar{K}=J\right\} .
$$

We set $K^{0}=J=\bar{K}^{0}$ for convenience.
Keeping all notations as the previous sections. Let $Z_{q}$ denote the centre of $W$ and $Z_{\omega}$ the centre of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$. To state our main result, several lemmas are needed as follows.

Lemma 3.1. $Y \subseteq Z_{\omega}$.
Proof. It is noticed that

$$
Y=\left\{E^{i} F^{j}(1-J) \mid i \geq 0, j \geq 0\right\}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(E^{i} F^{j}(1-J)\right) & =E^{i}\left(F^{j} E+[j] F^{j-1} \frac{q^{-(j-1)} K-q^{j-1} \bar{K}}{q-q^{-1}}\right)(1-J) \\
& =E^{i} F^{j}(1-J) E, \\
F\left(E^{i} F^{j}(1-J)\right) & =\left(E^{i} F-[i] E^{i-1} \frac{q^{i-1} K-q^{-(i-1)} \bar{K}}{q-q^{-1}}\right) F^{j}(1-J) \\
& =E^{i} F^{j}(1-J) F, \\
K\left(E^{i} F^{j}(1-J)\right) & =q^{2 i-2 j} E^{i} F^{j}(1-J) K=0=E^{i} F^{j}(1-J) K, \\
\bar{K}\left(E^{i} F^{j}(1-J)\right) & =q^{2 i-2 j} E^{i} F^{j}(1-J) \bar{K}=0=E^{i} F^{j}(1-J) \bar{K} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result follows.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{q}=E F J+\frac{q^{-1} K+q \bar{K}}{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2}}=F E J+\frac{q K+q^{-1} \bar{K}}{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is called the $J$-quantum Casimir element.
Let $W^{K}$ be the sub-algebra of $W$ consisting of all elements commuting with $K$. For any $x \in W^{K}$, then $x K=K x$ and $x J=J x=x$. It follows that

$$
\bar{K} J x=\bar{K} x J=J x \bar{K}=x J \bar{K}
$$

Hence $\bar{K} x=x \bar{K}$ and the elements of $W^{K}$ commute with $\bar{K}$.
Let $I=W E \cap W^{K}$, it is a left ideal of $W^{K}$.
The following three lemmas are very similar to [6, Lemma VI.4.2-Lemma VI. 4.3] and their proofs are more or less the same.

Lemma 3.2. The element $C_{q} \in Z_{\omega}$.
Lemma 3.3. Any element of $W$ belongs to $W^{K}$ if and only if it is of the form $\sum_{i \geq 0} F^{i} P_{i} E^{i}$, where $P_{0}, P_{1}, \cdots$ are elements of $P[K, \bar{K}]$.

Lemma 3.4. We have $I=F W \cap W^{K}$ and $W^{k}=P[K, \bar{K}] \oplus I$.
It results from $I=F W \cap W^{K}$ that $I$ is a two-sided ideal and that the projection $\varphi$ from $W^{K}$ onto $P[K, \bar{K}]$ is a morphism of algebras. The map $\varphi$ is called the HarishChandra homomorphism. It permits one to express the action of the centre $Z_{q}$ of $W$ on a highest weight module.

The following lemmas are similar to [6, Lemma VI.4.4-Lemma VI. 4.7], but details in the proofs have to be changed to suit for our cases. For completeness, we write them down here.

Lemma 3.5. Let $V$ be a highest weight $\omega s l_{q}(2)$-module with highest weight $\lambda$. Then, for any central element $z$ of $W$ and any $\underline{v} \in V$, we have $z v=\varphi(z)(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) v$, where $\varphi(z)$ is element of $P[K, \bar{K}]$ and that $\varphi(z)(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ is its value at $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$.

Proof. Let $v_{0}$ be a highest weight vector generating $V$ and $z$ is a central element of $W$, the element $z$ can be written in the form

$$
z=\varphi(z)+\sum_{i>0} F^{i} P_{i} E^{i}
$$

Since $E v_{0}=0$ and $K v_{0}=\lambda v_{0}, \bar{K} v_{0}=\bar{\lambda} v_{0}$, we get $z v_{0}=\varphi(z)(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) v_{0}$. If $v$ is an arbitrary element of $V$, we have $v=x v_{0}$ for some $x \in \omega s l_{q}(2)$. It is noticed that $x=x_{1}+x_{2}$ where $x_{1} \in W$ and $x_{2} \in Y$. Since $Y W=W Y=0, z x_{2}=x_{2} z=0$ and $z x=x z$. Hence

$$
z v=z x v_{0}=x z v_{0}=\varphi(z)(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) x v_{0}=\varphi(z)(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) v
$$

The result follows.
We now consider the restriction of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism to $Z_{q}$.
Lemma 3.6. Let $z \in Z_{q}$ and if $\varphi(z)=0$, then $z=0$.
Proof. Let $z$ be an element in the centre of $W$ such that $\varphi(z)=0$. Assume $z$ nonzero, it can be written as $z=\sum_{i=k}^{l} F^{i} P_{i} E^{i}$ where $0<k \leq l$ are integers and $P_{k}, \cdots, P_{l}$ are non-zero elements of $P[K, \bar{K}]$. Consider the Verma module $V(\lambda)$ whose highest weight is neither a power of $q$ or 0 (therefore, $\bar{\lambda}=\lambda^{-1}$ ). The relations (6)-(8) show that $E v_{p}=0$ if and only if $p=0$. We apply $z$ to the vector $v_{k}$ of $V(\lambda)$, on one hand, Lemma 3.6 implies that $z v_{k}=\varphi(z)(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})=0$, on the other hand, we get $z v_{k}=F^{k} P_{k} E^{k} v_{k}=c P_{k}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda}) v_{k}$ where $c$ is a non-zero constant. It follows that $P_{k}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})=0$. As a consequence, we have a non-zero polynomial with infinitely many roots. It is a contradiction.

For any element $Q$ of $P[K, \bar{K}]$, denoted by $\widetilde{Q}$ the polynomial defined by the change of variable $\widetilde{Q}(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})=Q\left(q^{-1} \lambda, q \bar{\lambda}\right)$.

Lemma 3.7. For any element $z$ in $Z_{q}$, we have $\widetilde{\varphi}(z)(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})=\widetilde{\varphi}(z)(\bar{\lambda}, \lambda)$.
Proof. If $\lambda=0$, then $\bar{\lambda}=0$, the result is obvious. The following is under the assumption that $\lambda \neq 0$. Therefore, $\bar{\lambda}=\lambda^{-1}$. For any integer $n>0$ consider the Verma module $V\left(q^{n-1}\right)$. By the formula (7), we have

$$
E v_{n}=\frac{q^{-(n-1)} q^{n-1}-q^{n-1} q^{-(n-1)}}{q-q^{-1}} v_{n-1}=0
$$

Thus, $v_{n}$ is a highest weight vector of weight $q^{n-1-2 n}=q^{-n-1}$. By Lemma 3.5, a central element $z$ acts on the module generated by $v_{n}$ as the multiplication by the scalar $\varphi(z)\left(q^{-n-1}, q^{n+1}\right)$, but since $v_{n}$ is in $V\left(q^{n-1}\right)$, then

$$
z v_{n}=\varphi(z)\left(q^{n-1}, q^{-n+1}\right) v_{n}
$$

In other words, we have

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}(z)\left(q^{n}, q^{-n}\right)=\widetilde{\varphi}(z)\left(q^{-n}, q^{n}\right)
$$

The lemma follows.
Lemma 3.8. Any polynomial of $P[K, \bar{K}]$ satisfying the relation $Q(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})=Q(\bar{\lambda}, \lambda)$ is a polynomial in $k[K+\bar{K}]$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the degree of the polynomial on $K$. If the degree is 0 , the statement holds trivially. Suppose that the lemma is proved for all degrees $<n$ and let $Q$ be element of degree $n$ for $K$ such that $Q(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})=Q(\bar{\lambda}, \lambda)$.
Then we may write $Q$ in the form

$$
Q=c\left(K^{n}+\bar{K}^{n}\right)+(\text { terms of degree }<n)
$$

Now

$$
K^{n}+\bar{K}^{n}=(K+\bar{K})^{n}+(\text { terms of degree }<n)
$$

where we set $(K+\bar{K})^{0}=J, J^{2}=J=K \bar{K}$. One concludes by applying the induction hypothesis.

We are ready to prove our main theorem.
Theorem 3.9. When $q$ is not a root of unity, the centre of $\omega s l_{q}(2)$ is $Z_{q} \oplus Y$, where $Z_{q}$ is a polynomial algebra generated by the element $C_{q}$ and J. The restriction of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism to $Z_{q}$ is an isomorphism onto the sub-algebra of $P[K, \bar{K}]$ generated by $q K+q^{-1} \bar{K}$.

Proof. We have already known that the restriction of $\varphi$ to the $Z_{q}$ is injective by Lemma 3.6. We are left to determine its image. By Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8, the latter is contained in the sub-algebra of $P[K, \bar{K}]$ generated by $q K+q^{-1} \bar{K}$. Consider the central element $C_{q}$ defined by (10), we know that

$$
\varphi\left(C_{q}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2}}\left(q K+q^{-1} \bar{K}\right), \varphi(K \bar{K})=K \bar{K}
$$

which proves that the image of $Z_{q}$ is the whole sub-algebra and that $C_{q}$ and $J$ generate $Z_{q}$. The latter is a polynomial algebra generated by $C_{q}$ and $J$. By Lemma 1.1, $\omega s l_{q}(2)=$ $W \oplus Y$. It follows that $Z_{\omega}=Z_{q} \oplus Y$.
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