Available online at http://journals.math.tku.edu.tw/

FEKETE-SZEGÖ PROBLEM FOR A CLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY CONVOLUTION

S. SIVAPRASAD KUMAR AND VIRENDRA KUMAR

Abstract. Let *g* and *h* be two fixed normalized analytic functions and ϕ be starlike with respect to 1, whose range is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g,h}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi)$ be the class of analytic functions $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots$, satisfying the subordination

$$\left(\frac{(f*g)(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{(f*h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} < \phi(z),$$

where α and β are real numbers and are not zero simultaneously. In the present investigation, sharp upper bounds of the Fekete-Szegö functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{M}_{g,h}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi)$ are obtained and certain applications are also discussed.

1. Introduction

Let \mathscr{A} denote the class of functions f analytic in $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized by the conditions f(0) = 0 and f'(0) - 1 = 0. Thus, if $f \in \mathscr{A}$, then

$$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots .$$
(1.1)

We denote by \mathscr{S} the subclass of \mathscr{A} consisting of univalent functions. For two functions f and g analytic in \mathbb{D} , we say that f is *subordinate* to g, denoted by $f \prec g$, if there is an analytic function w with $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ such that f(z) = g(w(z)). If g is univalent, then $f \prec g$ if and only if f(0) = g(0) and $f(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{D})$.

Let ϕ be an analytic univalent function with positive real part in \mathbb{D} and $\phi(\mathbb{D})$ be symmetric with respect to the real axis, starlike with respect to $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\phi'(0) > 0$. Let $\mathscr{P}(\phi)$ be the class of analytic functions p in \mathbb{D} with p(0) = 1 and $p(\mathbb{D}) \subset \phi(\mathbb{D})$ or equivalently $p \prec \phi$. Let $\mathscr{P} = \mathscr{P}((1+z)/(1-z))$ is the class of analytic functions with positive real part in the unit disk \mathbb{D} . Let $\mathscr{S}^*(\phi)$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathscr{S}$ such that $zf'(z)/f(z) \in \mathscr{P}(\phi)$ and $\mathscr{C}(\phi)$ be the class of

Corresponding author: S. Sivaprasad Kumar.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 30C80.

Key words and phrases. Analytic functions, Starlike functions, Convex functions, Subordination, Fekete-Szegö problem.

functions $f \in \mathscr{S}$ such that $1 + zf''(z)/f'(z) \in \mathscr{P}(\phi)$. These classes were introduced and studied by Ma and Minda [6]. The classes $\mathscr{S}^*(\phi)$ and $\mathscr{C}(\phi)$ reduce to several well-known classes. For example, the class $\mathscr{S}^*((1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)) =: \mathscr{S}^*[A, B] \ (-1 \le B < A \le 1)$ was introduced by Janowski [4]; $\mathscr{S}^*((1 + z)/(1 - z)) =: \mathscr{S}^*$ and $\mathscr{C}((1 + z)/(1 - z)) =: \mathscr{C}$ are the well-known classes of starlike and convex functions respectively.

Ali et al. [1] introduced the class $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \phi)$ of α -convex function with respect to ϕ consisting of functions f in \mathcal{A} , satisfying

$$(1-\alpha)\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \alpha \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) < \phi(z).$$

The class $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, \phi)$ includes several known classes namely $\mathscr{S}^*(\phi)$, $\mathscr{C}(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\alpha, (1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z)/(1 - z)) =: \mathcal{M}(\alpha)$. The class $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ is the class of α -convex functions, introduced and studied by Miller and Mocanu [8].

Bieberbach, in 1916, proved that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then $|a_2^2 - a_3| \le 1$. In 1933, Fekete and Szegö [3] proved that

$$|a_2^2 - \mu a_3| \le \begin{cases} 4\mu - 3 & (\mu \ge 1), \\ 1 + \exp\left(-\frac{2\mu}{1-\mu}\right) & (0 \le \mu \le 1), \\ 3 - 4\mu & (\mu \le 0), \end{cases}$$

holds for the functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and the result is sharp. The problem of finding the sharp bounds for the non-linear functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ of any compact family of functions is popularly known as the Fekete-Szegö problem. For related results, refer [1, 2, 10, 13, 14, 17] and the references cited therein.

Obradović [10] introduced the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{f'(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\lambda+1}\right\} > 0 \quad (0 < \lambda < 1).$$

Tuneksi and Darus [17] obtained Fekete-Szegö inequality for the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{f'(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\lambda+1}\right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \le \alpha < 1, 0 < \lambda < 1).$$

$$(1.2)$$

The Hadamard product (or convolution) of f(z), given by (1.1) and

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n$$
(1.3)

is defined by $(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n g_n z^n =: (g * f)(z)$. Using the Hadamard product, Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [9] introduced a class $\mathcal{M}_{g,h}(\phi)$ of functions f in \mathscr{A} satisfying

$$\frac{(f * g)(z)}{(f * h)(z)} < \phi(z) \quad (g_n > 0, h_n > 0, g_n - h_n > 0),$$

where $g, h \in \mathcal{A}$, g(z) is given by (1.3) and $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n z^n$ and obtained the Fekete-Szegö inequality for the class $\mathcal{M}_{g,h}(\phi)$. More information on related works can be found in [1, 2, 11, 16] and references cited therein.

Motivated by the works of Ma and Minda [6] and others [9, 13, 17], in the present paper, we investigate Fekete-Szegö problem for a more general class $\mathscr{M}_{g,h}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi)$ defined using convolution and subordination. Earlier results in [6, 9, 17] shown to be special case of our results.

Definition 1.1. Let α and β are real numbers. Assume that g(z) given by (1.3) and $h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h_n z^n$ with $g_n > 0$, $h_n > 0$ and $\alpha g_n + \beta h_n > 0$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}_{g,h}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi)$, if it satisfies

$$\left(\frac{(f*g)(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{(f*h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} < \phi(z),$$

where the powers are principle one.

For appropriate functions g, h, ϕ and constants α and β , the class $\mathcal{M}_{g,h}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi)$ reduces to the following classes:

(1) $\mathcal{M}_{g,h}^{1,-1}(\phi) =: \mathcal{M}_{g,h}(\phi).$

(2)
$$\mathcal{M}^{1,-1}_{\frac{z}{(1-z)^2},\frac{z}{1-z}}(\phi) =: \mathscr{S}^*(\phi)$$

(3)
$$\mathcal{M}^{1,-1}_{\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3},\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}}(\phi) =: \mathscr{C}(\phi)$$

(4) With $g(z) = z/(1-z)^2$, h(z) = z/(1-z) and $\phi(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, the class $\mathcal{M}_{g,h}^{1,-(\lambda+1)}(\phi), 0 < \lambda < 1$ reduces to the class introduced by Obradović [10].

We need the following results:

Lemma 1.2 ([6]). If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + ... \in \mathscr{P}$, then

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| \le \begin{cases} -4v + 2 \ (v \le 0), \\ 2 \qquad (0 \le v \le 1), \\ 4v - 2 \qquad (v \ge 1). \end{cases}$$

When v < 0 or v > 1, equality holds if and only if p(z) is (1 + z)/(1 - z) or one of its rotations. If 0 < v < 1, then equality holds if and only if p(z) is $(1 + z^2)/(1 - z^2)$ or one of its rotations. If v = 0, equality holds if and only if

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}\right)\frac{1+z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{2}\right)\frac{1-z}{1+z} \quad (0 \le \gamma \le 1, z \in \mathbb{D})$$
(1.4)

or one of its rotations. While for v = 1, equality holds if and only if p(z) is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that equality holds in the case of v = 0.

Although the above upper bound is sharp, it can be improved as follows when 0 < v < 1:

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + v|c_1|^2 \le 2 \quad (0 < v \le 1/2)$$

and

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| + (1 - v)|c_1|^2 \le 2$$
 $(1/2 \le v < 1).$

Lemma 1.3 ([5] (see also [15])). If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + ... \in \mathcal{P}$. Then for any complex number v,

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| \le 2 \max\{1; |2v - 1|\}$$

and the result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$$
 and $p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$.

Lemma 1.4 ([12]). *If the function* $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + ... \in \mathcal{P}$, then $|c_n| \le 2$ for $n \ge 1$.

2. The Fekete-Szegö problem

We begin with the following result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \cdots$. If f(z) given by (1.1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}_{g,h}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi)$, then, for any real number μ ,

$$|a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_{1}A}{(\alpha g_{3} + \beta h_{3})} & (\mu \leq \sigma_{1}), \\ \frac{B_{1}}{(\alpha g_{3} + \beta h_{3})} & (\sigma_{1} \leq \mu \leq \sigma_{2}), \\ -\frac{B_{1}A}{(\alpha g_{3} + \beta h_{3})} & (\mu \geq \sigma_{2}), \end{cases}$$

where

$$A := \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{[\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)]B_1}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2},$$

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{2(B_2 - B_1)(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2 - [\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2]B_1^2}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)B_1^2}$$

and

$$\sigma_2 := \frac{2(B_2 + B_1)(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2 - [\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2]B_1^2}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)B_1^2}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}_{g,h}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi)$. Then the function *p* defined by

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{(f * g)(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{(f * h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta}$$

= 1 + b₁z + b₂z² + ... (2.1)

is analytic. By a computation, we get

$$\left(\frac{(f*g)(z)}{z}\right)^{\alpha} = 1 + \alpha a_2 g_2 z + \left(\alpha a_3 g_3 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} a_2^2 g_2^2\right) z^2 + \cdots$$

and

$$\left(\frac{(f*h)(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta} = 1 + \beta a_2 h_2 z + \left(\beta a_3 h_3 + \frac{\beta(\beta-1)}{2} a_2^2 h_2^2\right) z^2 + \cdots$$

Substituting these in (2.1) and comparing coefficients, we have

$$b_1 = (\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2) a_2 \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$b_2 = (\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)a_3 + [\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2]\frac{a_2^2}{2}.$$
 (2.3)

Since ϕ is univalent and $p \prec \phi$, the function $p_1(z)$ defined by

$$p_1(z) = \frac{1 + \phi^{-1}(p(z))}{1 - \phi^{-1}(p(z))} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots,$$
(2.4)

is analytic with positive real part in \mathbb{D} . Further from (2.4), we have

$$p(z) = \phi\left(\frac{p_1(z) - 1}{p_1(z) + 1}\right)$$

= $\phi\left(\frac{c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots}{2 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots}\right)$
= $1 + \frac{1}{2}B_1c_1 z + \left[\frac{1}{2}B_1\left(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2\right) + \frac{1}{4}B_2c_1^2\right]z^2 + \cdots$

Thus, we have

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2} B_1 c_1 \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$b_2 = \frac{1}{2}B_1(c_2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2) + \frac{1}{4}B_2c_1^2.$$
(2.6)

Using (2.5) in (2.2), we obtain

$$a_2 = \frac{B_1 c_1}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)}.$$
 (2.7)

The equations (2.3) and (2.6), lead to

$$a_{3} = \frac{2(\alpha g_{2} + \beta h_{2})^{2} [2(c_{2} - \frac{1}{2}c_{1}^{2})B_{1} + B_{2}c_{1}^{2}] - [\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_{2}^{2} + \beta(\beta - 1)h_{2}^{2} + 2\alpha\beta g_{2}h_{2}]B_{1}^{2}c_{1}^{2}}{8(\alpha g_{3} + \beta h_{3})(\alpha g_{2} + \beta h_{2})^{2}}.$$
 (2.8)

From (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| = \frac{B_1}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)} [c_2 - \nu c_1^2],$$

where

$$\nu := \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \frac{[\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)]B_1}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2} \right].$$
(2.9)

The result now follows by an application of Lemma 1.2.

If $\sigma_1 \le \mu \le \sigma_2$, then the above result can be improved by bifurcating the interval as follows:

Remark 2.2. Let

$$\sigma_3 := \frac{2B_2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2 - [\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2]B_1^2}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)B_1^2}$$

If $\sigma_1 \le \mu \le \sigma_3$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + R_1 \le \frac{B_1}{\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3},$$

where

$$R_1 := \frac{\left[2(B_1 - B_2)(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2 + \left[\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)\right]B_1^2\right]}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)B_1^2} |a_2|^2.$$

Similarly if $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + R_2 \le \frac{B_1}{\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3},$$

where

$$R_2 := \frac{[2(B_2 + B_1)(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2 + [\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)]B_1^2]}{2(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)B_1^2} |a_2|^2.$$

Remark 2.3. For $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = -1$, Theorem 2.1 reduces to [9, Theorem 2.1] due to Murugusundaramoorthy et al. [9].

$$\Box$$

Theorem 2.4. Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \cdots$ be analytic in \mathbb{D} and $B_1 > 0, B_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. If f(z) given by (1.1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{M}_{g,h}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi)$, then

$$|a_2| \le \frac{B_1}{\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2} \tag{2.10}$$

and for any complex number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{B_1}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)} \max\{1; |R|\},$$

where

$$R := \frac{[\alpha(\alpha-1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta-1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)]B_1}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1}.$$

Proof. The inequality (2.10) follows from (2.7) and Lemma 1.4. Using (2.9) one can easily verify that

$$2\nu - 1 = \frac{B_1[\alpha(\alpha - 1)g_2^2 + \beta(\beta - 1)h_2^2 + 2\alpha\beta g_2 h_2 + 2\mu(\alpha g_3 + \beta h_3)]}{2(\alpha g_2 + \beta h_2)^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1}.$$

Now an application of Lemma 1.3 completes the proof.

Here below, we discuss some applications of Theorem 2.1:

Theorem 2.5. Let $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \cdots$ be analytic in \mathbb{D} and $B_1 > 0, B_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\delta)}{\Gamma(n-\delta+1)} z^n \text{ and } h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\delta)}{\Gamma(n-\delta+1)} z^n.$$

If f(z) given by (1.1) belongs to the class $M_{g,h}^{\alpha,\beta}(\phi)$, then for any real number μ

$$|a_{3} - \mu a_{2}^{2}| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(2-\delta)(3-\delta)AB_{1}}{6(3\alpha+\beta)} & (\mu \leq \sigma_{1}), \\ \frac{(2-\delta)(3-\delta)B_{1}}{6(3\alpha+\beta)} & (\sigma_{1} \leq \mu \leq \sigma_{2}), \\ -\frac{(2-\delta)(3-\delta)AB_{1}}{6(3\alpha+\beta)} & (\mu \geq \sigma_{2}), \end{cases}$$

where

$$A := \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{[(4\alpha(\alpha-1) + \beta(\beta-1) + 4\alpha\beta)(3-\delta) + 3\mu(2-\delta)(3\alpha+\beta)]B_1}{(2\alpha+\beta)^2(3-\delta)}$$

$$\sigma_1 := \frac{(3-\delta)[2(B_1-B_2)(2\alpha+\beta)^2 - (4\alpha(\alpha-1) + \beta(\beta-1) + 4\alpha\beta)B_1^2]}{3(2-\delta)(3\alpha+\beta)B_1^2}$$

and

$$\sigma_2 := \frac{(3-\delta)[2(B_1+B_2)(2\alpha+\beta)^2 - (4\alpha(\alpha-1)+\beta(\beta-1)+4\alpha\beta)B_1^2]}{3(2-\delta)(3\alpha+\beta)B_1^2}.$$

Remark 2.6. If we set $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = -1$ in Theorem 2.5, it reduces to [9, Corollary 3.2] of Murugusundaramoorthy *et al.* For $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = -1$, $B_1 = \frac{8}{\pi^2}$, $B_2 = \frac{16}{3\pi^2}$ and $\delta = 1$, Theorem 2.5 reduces to the result [7, Theorem 2] of Ma and Minda.

Setting $g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}$, $h(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = -\lambda - 1$, $\lambda < 1$ in Theorem 2.4, we deduce the following result:

Corollary 2.7. Let f(z) given by (1.1) and satisfies

$$f'(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\lambda+1} < \frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz} \quad (\lambda < 1),$$

then $|a_2| \leq \frac{C-D}{1-\lambda}$ and for any complex number μ , we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{C - D}{2 - \lambda} \max\left\{1; \left| D + \frac{(1 + \lambda - 2\mu)(\lambda - 2)(C - D)}{(1 - \lambda)^2} \right| \right\}.$$

Remark 2.8. For $C = 1-2a, 0 \le a < 1, 0 < \lambda < 1$ and D = -1, Corollary 2.7, reduces to the result [17, Theorem 1] of Tuneski and Darus. Note that our proof is quite different from that one given by Tuneski and Darus [17]. It is necessary to make it clear that there was a typographical error in the assertion of [17, Theorem 1]; however the following is the correct one.

Example 2.9 ([17], Theorem 1). Let $0 \le a < 1$, $0 < \lambda < 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{f'(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\lambda+1}\right\} > a$$

then $|a_2| \le \frac{2(1-a)}{1-\lambda}$ and for any complex number μ

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{2(1-a)}{2-\lambda} \max\left\{1; \left|1 + \frac{(1+\lambda - 2\mu)(2-\lambda)(1-a)}{(1-\lambda)^2}\right|\right\}.$$

Remark 2.10. For a = 0, Example 2.9 reduces to [17, Corollary 1] of Tuneski and Darus. Setting C = k ($0 < k \le 1$), D = 0, in Corollary 2.7, we obtain the result of Tuneski and Darus [17, Theorem 2].

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the reviewer for his valuable comments.

References

^[1] R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran and S. Supramaniam, *The Fekete-Szego coefficient functional for transforms of analytic functions*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc., **35**(2009), 119–142.

FEKETE-SZEGÖ PROBLEM

- [2] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, *Coefficient bounds for p-valent functions*, Appl. Math. Comput., **187**(2007), 35–46.
- [3] M. Fekete and G. Szegö, *Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte funktionen*, J. London Math. Soc., 8(1933), 85–89.
- [4] W. Janowski, *Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and for some related families*, Ann. Polon. Math. **23**(1970/1971), 159–177.
- [5] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (1969), 8–12.
- [6] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992), 157–169, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., I Int. Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [7] W. C. Ma and D. Minda, Uniformly convex functions. II, Ann. Polon. Math. 58 (1993), 275–285.
- [8] S. S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations. Theory and applications*, Monographs and Text Books in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 225, Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [9] G. Murugusundaramoorthy, S. Kavitha and T. Rosy, *On the Fekete-Szegö problem for some subclasses of analytic functions defined by convolution*, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci. **44** (2007), 249–259.
- [10] M. Obradović, A class of univalent functions, Hokkaido Math. J. 27 (1998), 329–335.
- [11] R. Parvatham, A study on Reade-Wesolowski class of functions, Math. Inequal. Appl., 8 (2005), 607–618.
- [12] C. Pommerenke, Univalent Functions, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [13] D. Răducanu, On the Fekete-Szegö inequality for a class of analytic functions defined by using the generalized Sălăgean operator, Gen. Math. **16** (2008), 19–27.
- [14] V. Ravichandran, M. Darus, M. H. Khan and K. G. Subramanian, *Fekete-Szego inequality for certain class of analytic functions*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 1 (2004), Art. 4, 7 pp.
- [15] V. Ravichandran, Y. Polatoglu, M. Bolcal and A. Sen, Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 34 (2005), 9–15.
- [16] H. Silverman, K. Suchithra, B. A. Stephen and A. Gangadharan, *Coefficient bounds for certain classes of meromorphic functions*, J. Inequal. Appl. (2008), Art. ID 931981, 9 pp.
- [17] N. Tuneski and M. Darus, Fekete-Szegő functional for non-Bazilevič functions, Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.) 18 (2002), 63–65.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi Technological University, Delhi-110042, India. E-mail: spkumar@dce.ac.in

Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi Technological University, Delhi-110042, India. E-mail: vktmaths@yahoo.in