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#### Abstract

The revised Szeged index is a molecular structure descriptor equal to the sum of products $\left[n_{u}(e)+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right]\left[n_{v}(e)+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right]$ over all edges $e=u v$ of the molecular graph $G$, where $n_{0}(e)$ is the number of vertices equidistant from $u$ and $v, n_{u}(e)$ is the number of vertices closer to $u$ than $v$ and $n_{v}(e)$ is defined analogously. The adjacency matrix of a graph weighted in this way is called its revised Szeged matrix and the set of its eigenvalues is the revised Szeged spectrum of $G$. In this paper some new results on the revised Szeged spectrum of graphs are presented.


## 1. Introduction

A topological index is an applicable graph invariant in chemistry. Such numbers are studied in structure-property and structure-activity studies. To explain, we assume that Graph denotes the set of all finite connected graphs. A map Top from Graph into real numbers is called a topological index if $G$ is isomorphic to $H$ then $\operatorname{Top}(G)=\operatorname{Top}(H)$. The first of such graph invariants is Wiener index [15] which is defined as the summation of all distances between vertices of the graph under consideration. Here, for two vertices $x$ and $y$ of the graph $G$, the distance $d_{G}(x, y)$ is the length of a minimal path connecting them.

In [8], Ivan Gutman introduced a generalization of the original Wiener index which is called "Szeged index". Suppose $G$ is a connected graph. The Szeged index, $S z(G)$, is defined as $S z(G)=\sum_{e=u v \in E(G)} n_{u}(e) n_{v}(e)$, where $n_{u}(e)$ is the number of vertices closer to $u$ than $v$ and $n_{\nu}(e)$ is defined analogously. This topological index found applications in QSPR studies and its mathematical properties have been extensively studied [6, 7, 11]. Milan Randić [14] introduced a Wiener-Szeged type topological index as a modification of Szeged index. In recent years, the authors prefer to use the name revised Szeged index for this topological index. The revised Szeged index is defined by the following formula:

$$
S z^{\star}(G)=\sum_{e=u v \in E(G)}\left(n_{u}(e)+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}(e)+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right) .
$$

where $n_{0}(e)$ is the number of vertices equidistant from both end-vertex of edge $e=u v$. Some mathematical properties of this graph invariant are reported in $[3,12,13,16]$.

In this paper, we continue the lines [4] to define a new weighting of graphs and the eigenvalues of the associated adjacency and Laplacian matrices by using the revised Szeged index. The functions $w, w^{\prime}: E(G) \longrightarrow R$, where $w(e)=n_{u}(e) n_{v}(e)$ and $w^{\prime}(e)=\left[n_{u}(e)+\frac{1}{2} n_{0}(v)\right]\left[n_{v}(e)+\right.$ $\frac{1}{2} n_{0}(\nu)$ ] for $e=u v$, are weight functions on the edge set $E(G)$. We call these weight functions, the Szeged and revised Szeged weighting, respectively. For terms and concepts not defined here we refer the reader to $[1,2,5,9]$.

## 2. Definitions and examples

Let G be a connected graph with vertex and edge sets $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. The adjacency matrix of $G$ is denoted by $A(G)$ and its eigenvalues are called eigenvalues of the graph $G$. It is clear that the product $\left(n_{u}(e)+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}(e)+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)$ is always positive, and the function $S z^{\star}: E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$given by $S z^{\star}(e)=\left(n_{u}(e)+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}(e)+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)$ is a weight function on $E(G)$. We call this weight function the revised Szeged weighting of $G$. The adjacency matrix of a graph $G$ weighted by the revised Szeged weighting is called the revised Szeged matrix of $G$ and denoted by $S z^{\star} M(G)=\left[s_{i, j}\right]$. The eigenvalues of this matrix are called the revised Szeged eigenvalues of $G$ and denoted by $\sigma_{r}(G)$, for $r=1, \ldots, n$. Obviously, the revised Szeged index of a graph $G$ can be expressed as one half of the sum of all entries of $S z^{*} M(G)$.

Let $G$ be a weighted graph with a weight function $w: E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $W(G)=\left[w_{i, j}\right]$ its adjacency matrix. Here $w(u v)=w(\nu u)$ and hence $w_{i, j}=w_{j, i}$. The Laplacian matrix of a weighted graph $G$ is defined as $L W(G)=\left[l_{i, j}\right]$, where

$$
l_{i j}= \begin{cases}\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_{i k} & i=j \\ -w_{i j} & i j \in E(G) \\ 0 & \text { o.w }\end{cases}
$$

The Laplacian matrix of $G$ is defined as $L(G)=D(G)-A(G)$, where $D(G)$ is a diagonal matrix whose $(i, i)$-entry is $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)$, the degree of vertex $v_{i}$. Similar to [4], we denote the Laplacian matrix of a graph $G$ weighted by the revised Szeged weighting by $L S z^{\star} M(G)$ which is called the Laplacian revised Szeged matrix of $G$. The eigenvalues of this matrix are the Laplacian revised Szeged eigenvalues of $G$, denoted by $\mu_{r}^{\prime}(G), r=1, \ldots, n$, while the eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix of the underlying unweighted graph $G$ are denoted by $\mu_{r}(G), r=1, \ldots, n$.

Example 2.1. Suppose that $K_{n}$ denotes the complete graph with $n$ vertices. Then for an edge $e=u v, n_{u}(e)=n_{\nu}(e)=1$ and $n_{0}(e)=n-2$. So,

$$
S z^{\star}(e)=\left(n_{u}(e)+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}(e)+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)
$$

$$
=\left(1+\frac{n-2}{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{n-2}{2}\right)=\frac{n^{2}}{4} .
$$

Thus $S z^{\star} M\left(K_{n}\right)=\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{2} A(G)$. So, $\sigma_{r}\left(K_{n}\right)=\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{2} \lambda\left(K_{n}\right)$ and revised Szeged eigenvalues of $K_{n}$ are $\frac{n^{2}(n-1)}{4}$ and $\frac{(-1) n^{2}}{4}$ with multiplicity 1 and $n-1$, respectively. Hence, the revised Szeged Laplacian eigenvalues of $K_{n}$ are $\frac{n^{2}(n-1)}{2}$ and 0 with multiplicity 1 and $n-1$, respectively.

Example 2.2. Let $C_{n}$ be the cycle graph on $n$ vertices. It is well-known that the spectrum of $C_{n}$ is given by $\lambda_{r}\left(C_{n}\right)=2 \cos \left(\frac{2 r \pi}{n}\right)$, see [2, p.72] for details. Since $L\left(C_{n}\right)=2 I-A\left(C_{n}\right)$, the Laplacian eigenvalues are given by $\mu_{r}\left(C_{n}\right)=4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{2 r \pi}{n}\right)$. It is easy to verify that for each edge $e=u v$ of $C_{n}$, the product

$$
\left(n_{u}(e)+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)\left(n_{\nu}(e)+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)=\frac{n^{2}}{4}
$$

does not depend to parity of $n$ and that it is given by

$$
S z^{\star} M\left(C_{n}\right)=\left(\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right) A\left(C_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad L S z^{\star} M\left(C_{n}\right)=\left(\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right) L\left(C_{n}\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
\sigma_{r}\left(C_{n}\right)=\frac{n^{2}}{2} \cos \left(\frac{2 r \pi}{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{r}^{\prime}\left(C_{n}\right)=n^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{r \pi}{n}\right)
$$

Example 2.3. Let $K_{m, n}$ be the complete bipartite graph. Since the revised Szeged weighting of any edge is $m n$, we have

$$
S z^{*} M\left(K_{m, n}\right)=m n A\left(K_{m, n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad L S z^{*} M\left(K_{m, n}\right)=m n L\left(K_{m, n}\right) .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sigma_{r}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=m n \lambda_{r}\left(K_{m, n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{r}^{\prime}\left(K_{m, n}\right)=m n \mu_{r}\left(K_{m, n}\right) .
$$

From the Laplacian spectrum of $K_{m, n}$, one can see that the Laplacian revised Szeged eigenvalues of $K_{m, n}$ are 0 and $m n(m+n)$ with multiplicity one, $m^{2} n$ with multiplicity $n-1$ and $m n^{2}$ with multiplicity $m-1$. In particular, for $m=n$, one obtains $0, n^{3}$ and $2 n^{3}$ as the Laplacian revised Szeged eigenvalues of $K_{n}$, with given multiplicities.

## 3. Main result

A graph $G$ in which for every edge $e=u v, n_{u}(e)=n_{v}(e)$ is called distance-balanced [10]. Suppose $G$ is connected and $e=u v$ is an edge of $G$. Define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{u}(e)=\{w \in V(G) \mid d(u, w)-d(v, w)=-1\}, \\
& N_{v}(e)=\{w \in V(G) \mid d(u, w)-d(v, w)=1\}, \\
& N_{0}(e)=\{w \in V(G) \mid d(u, w)-d(v, w)=0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that $N_{u}(e), N_{v}(e)$ and $N_{0}(e)$ constitutes a partition for $V(G)$ and $n_{u}(e)+$ $n_{\nu}(e)+n_{0}(e)=n$. On the other hand, for any edge $e=u v$,

$$
\begin{align*}
S z^{*}(e) & =\left(n_{u}(e)+\frac{n_{0}}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}+\frac{n_{0}}{2}\right) \\
& =\left(n_{u}+\frac{n-n_{u}-n_{v}}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}+\frac{n-n_{u}-n_{v}}{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{n+n_{u}-n_{v}}{2} \cdot \frac{n-\left(n_{u}-n_{v}\right)}{2} \\
& =\frac{n^{2}-\left(n_{u}-n_{v}\right)^{2}}{4} \tag{*}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.1 ([16], Theorem 3.1). Suppose $G$ is a connected graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then

$$
(n-1) m \leq S z^{\star}(G) \leq \frac{n^{2}}{4} m,
$$

with left equality if and only if $G=S_{n}$, and right equality if and only if $G$ is distance-balanced.
Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $G$ is a connected graph on $n$ vertices. The graph $G$ is distancebalanced if and only if $S z^{*} M(G)=\frac{n^{2}}{4} A(G)$.

Proof. Suppose that $G$ is a distance-balanced graph. Then for any edge $e=u v, n_{0}(e)=n-2 n_{u}$. So,

$$
S z^{*}(e)=\left(n_{u}+\frac{n_{0}}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}+\frac{n_{0}}{2}\right)=\left(n_{u}+\frac{n-2 n_{u}}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}+\frac{n-2 n_{v}}{2}\right)=\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{2} .
$$

Conversely, suppose that for any edge $e=u v, S z^{*}(e)=\frac{n^{2}}{4}$. Then

$$
\left(n_{u}+\frac{n_{0}}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}+\frac{n_{0}}{2}\right)=\frac{n^{2}}{4}
$$

On the other hand, from the relation (*),

$$
\left(n_{u}+\frac{n_{0}}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}+\frac{n_{0}}{2}\right)=\frac{n^{2}-\left(n_{u}-n_{v}\right)^{2}}{4}
$$

Thus, $\left(n_{u}-n_{\nu}\right)^{2}=0$ which implies that $n_{u}=n_{\nu}$. Therefore, $G$ is distance-balanced.
If $G$ is vertex-transitive then $G$ is distance-balanced and so by Lemma 3.2, $S z^{*} M(G)=$ $\frac{n^{2}}{4} A(G)$. Suppose $e=u v \in E(G)$. Define $S_{i}(w)=\{v \in V(G) \mid d(v, w)=i\}, 1 \leq i \leq d$, where $d$ is the diameter of $G$.

### 3.1. Circulant graphs

A square matrix $S$ of order $n$ is called circulant if $s_{i j}=s_{1, j-i+1}$, where addition is performed modulo $n$. From definition, it is clear that each circulant matrix can be determined fully by its first row. A circulant matrix $Z$ is said to be in canonical form if the first row is given
by $[0,1,0, \ldots, 0]$. It is well-known that if $S$ is a circulant matrix with the first row $\left[s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right]$ then $S=\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{j} Z^{j-1}$. Since the eigenvalues of $Z$ are $1, \omega, \omega^{2}, \ldots, \omega^{n-1}$ where $\omega=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i}{n}\right)$, the spectrum of $S$ is:

$$
\lambda_{r}(S)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{j} \omega^{(j-1) r}
$$

for $r=1,2, \ldots, n$.
A graph $G$ is called circulant, if its adjacency matrix is circulant. By above discussion, the eigenvalues of a circulant graph $G$ is determined by

$$
\lambda_{r}(G)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \omega^{(j-1) r}
$$

for $r=1,2, \ldots, n$.
Clearly, the cycle graph $C_{n}$ is circulant.
Proposition 3.3. Let $G$ be a circulant graph on $n$ vertices. Then $S z^{*} M(G)=\frac{n^{2}}{4} A(G)$. Furthermore, $\mu_{r}^{\star}(G)=\frac{n^{2} k}{4}-\sigma_{r}(G)$ for some $k$, where $\sigma_{r}(G)$ is the $r$-th revised Szeged eigenvalue of G.

Proof. The proof follows from vertex-transitivity of circulant graphs.


Figure 1: The Circulant Graph $C_{12,3}$.
As an application of Proposition 3.3, we calculate the revised Szeged Laplacian eigenvalues of the circulant graph $C_{n, k}, k \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$, see Figure 1. To do this, we notice that the first row of $(k+1)$-th $(n-(k-1))-t h$
$A\left(C_{n, k}\right)$ is $[0,1,0 \ldots, \overbrace{1}, 0, \ldots, 0, \overbrace{1}, 0, \ldots, 0,1]$ and its eigenvalues are $\lambda_{r}\left(C_{n, k}\right)=$ $2\left[\cos \left(\frac{2 r \pi}{n}\right)+\cos \left(\frac{2 k r \pi}{n}\right)\right]$. On the other hand, the Laplacian eigenvalues of $C_{n, k}$ are $\mu\left(C_{n, k}\right)=$ $4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{r \pi}{n}\right)+4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k r \pi}{n}\right)$. It is easy to see that $S z^{*} M\left(C_{n, k}\right)$ is a circulant matrix and its first row is:
$[0, \frac{n^{2}}{4}, 0 \ldots, \overbrace{\frac{n^{2}}{4}}^{(\mathrm{k}+1) \text {-th }}, 0, \ldots, 0, \overbrace{\frac{n^{2}}{4}}^{(\mathrm{n}-(\mathrm{k}-1)) \text {-th }}, 0, \ldots, 0, \frac{n^{2}}{4}]$. By our discussion given above, the eigenvalues of this matrix are $\sigma_{r}\left(C_{n, 2}\right)=\frac{n^{2}}{2}\left(\cos \left(\frac{2 r \pi}{n}\right)+\cos \left(\frac{2 k r \pi}{n}\right)\right.$. Finally, by applying Proposition 3.3 we obtain the following result:

Corollary 3.4. The Laplacian revised Szeged eigenvalues of $C_{n, k}$ are given by

$$
\mu_{r}^{\prime}\left(C_{n, k}\right)=n^{2}\left(1+\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{r \pi}{n}\right)+\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{k r \pi}{n}\right)\right),
$$

for $r=1, \ldots, n$.

As the second example, we now consider the Möbius ladder with $n$ rungs $M_{n}$. It has $2 n$ vertices, see Figure 2. The eigenvalues of $M_{n}$ are obtained in a similar way as circulant graphs. $\mathrm{n}+1$ th
We consider the first row $[0,1,0, \ldots, 0, \overbrace{1}, 0, \ldots, 0,1]$ of the adjacency matrix of $M_{n}$.

$$
\lambda_{r}\left(M_{n}\right)=2 \cos \left(\frac{r \pi}{n}\right)+(-1)^{r} \quad r=1, \ldots, 2 n .
$$



Figure 2: The Möbius Ladder $M_{12}$
Corollary 3.5. The Laplacian revised Szeged eigenvalues of the Möbius ladder $M_{n}$ are given by

$$
\mu_{r}^{\prime}\left(M_{n}\right)=n^{2}\left[3-(-1)^{r}-2 \cos \left(\frac{r \pi}{n}\right)\right],
$$

for $r=1, \ldots, 2 n$.

Proof. Apply Proposition 3.3.
Our third example of this section is about strongly regular graphs. A strongly regular graph with parameters $(n, k, a, c)$ is a simple $n$-vertex graph which is $k$-regular, $k \neq 0, n-1$,
any two adjacent vertices have exactly $a$ common neighbours and two non-djacent vertices have exactly $c$ common neighbours. A strongly regular graph is called primitive if both $G$ and its complement are connected. It is well-known in a primitive strongly regular graph $c \neq 0$ and $c \neq k$.

Proposition 3.6. Let $G$ be a primitive strongly regular graph with parameters ( $n, k, a, c$ ). Then $S z^{*} M(G)=\frac{n^{2}}{4} A(G)$ and $L S z^{*} M(G)=\frac{n^{2}}{4} L(G)$. Hence, $\sigma_{r}(G)=\frac{n^{2}}{4} \lambda_{r}(G)$ and $\mu_{r}^{\prime}(G)=\frac{n^{2}}{4} \mu_{r}(G)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to [4, Proposition 4] and so omitted.

### 3.2. Graph operations

Suppose that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two graphs. Their Cartesian product $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ is a graph on the vertex set $V\left(G_{1}\right) \times V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and the vertices ( $u_{1}, u_{2}$ ) and ( $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}$ ) are adjacent in $V\left(G_{1}\right) \times V\left(G_{2}\right)$ if and only if either ( $u_{1}=v_{1}$ and $u_{2} \nu_{2} \in E\left(G_{2}\right)$ ) or ( $u_{1} \nu_{1} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $u_{2}=\nu_{2}$ ). The adjacency matrix of $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ is given by

$$
A\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)=I_{n_{1}} \otimes A\left(G_{2}\right)+A\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes I_{n_{2}},
$$

where $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ are the number of vertices of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively, and $A \otimes B$ is the tensor product of matrices $A$ and $B\left[2\right.$, p. 430]. The Laplacian matrix of $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ is given by analogous formula

$$
L\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)=I_{n_{1}} \otimes L\left(G_{2}\right)+L\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes I_{n_{2}} .
$$

Suppose the eigenvalues of $G_{1}$ are denoted by $\lambda_{1 r}, 1 \leq r \leq n_{1}$, and the eigenvalues of $G_{2}$ by $\lambda_{2 s}, 1 \leq s \leq n_{2}$. Then the eigenvalues of $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ are given by

$$
\lambda_{r, s}\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)=\lambda_{1 r}\left(G_{1}\right)+\lambda_{2 s}\left(G_{2}\right),
$$

where $1 \leq r \leq n_{1}$ and $1 \leq s \leq n_{2}$ [2, Chapter 2]. Therefore,
Proposition 3.7. Suppose that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two connected graphs on $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ vertices, respectively. Then

$$
S z^{*} M\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)=n_{2}^{2} S z^{*} M\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes I_{n_{2}}+n_{1}^{2} I_{n_{1}} \otimes S z^{*} M\left(G_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. A similar argument like as [4, Theorem 5] shows that each shortest path in $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ from vertex ( $u_{1}, z$ ) to ( $u_{1}, v_{2}$ ) in $G_{1} \times G_{2}$ must contain either the edge ( $u_{1}, u_{2}$ ) ( $u_{1}, \nu_{2}$ ), or any of $n_{1}$ edges parallel to it in $G_{1} \square G_{2}$. Hence $n_{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)}\left(\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\left(u_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right)=n_{1} n_{u_{2}}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$. In the same way, we have $n_{\left(u_{1}, v_{2}\right)}\left(\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\left(u_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right)=n_{1} n_{\nu_{2}}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$. Finally, for the equal distance vertices to both end vertices we have $n_{0}\left(\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\left(u_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right)=n_{1} n_{0}\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$. Thus, the revised Szeged matrix of $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ is $S z^{*} M\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)=n_{2}^{2} S z^{*} M\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes I_{n_{2}}+n_{1}^{2} I_{n_{1}} \otimes S z^{*} M\left(G_{2}\right)$. The Laplacian revised Szeged matrix of $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ has the same form. This completes the proof.

Proposition 3.8. The revised Szeged eigenvalues of $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ are given by

$$
\sigma_{r, s}\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)=n_{2}^{2} \sigma_{r}\left(G_{1}\right)+n_{1}^{2} \sigma_{s}\left(G_{2}\right)
$$

and the Laplacian revised Szeged eigenvalues of $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ are given by

$$
\mu_{r, s}^{\prime}\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)=n_{2}^{2} \mu_{r}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)+n_{1}^{2} \mu_{s}^{\prime}\left(G_{2}\right)
$$

for $r=1, \ldots, n_{1}, s=1, \ldots, n_{2}$.

As an application of Proposition 3.8, we can calculate the revised Szeged eigenvalues of $C_{4}$-nanotorus $C_{m} \square C_{n}$. The revised Szeged and Laplacian revised Szeged eigenvalues are as follows:
(i) $\sigma_{r, s}\left(C_{m} \square C_{n}\right)=\frac{n^{2} m^{2}}{4}\left[\cos \left(\frac{2 r \pi}{m}\right)+\cos \left(\frac{2 s \pi}{n}\right)\right]$,
(ii) $\mu_{r, s}^{\prime}\left(C_{m} \square C_{n}\right)=\frac{n^{2} m^{2}}{4}\left[2+\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{r \pi}{m}\right)+\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{s \pi}{n}\right)\right]$,
for $r=1, \ldots, m, s=1, \ldots, n$.
Using an inductive argument, we can generalize Proposition 3.8 to an arbitrary number of graphs. Suppose $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{s}$ are graphs and $n_{i}=\left|V\left(G_{i}\right)\right|, 1 \leq i \leq s$.

Proposition 3.9. The revised Szeged and the Laplacian revised Szeged eigenvalues of $G=\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{i}$ are

$$
\sigma_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}}(G)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} n_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{s} \frac{\sigma_{i_{k}}\left(G_{k}\right)}{n_{k}^{2}}\right) \quad 1 \leq i_{k} \leq n_{k}
$$

and

$$
\mu_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}}^{\prime}(G)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} n_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{s} \frac{\mu_{i_{k}}^{\prime}\left(G_{k}\right)}{n_{k}^{2}}\right) \quad 1 \leq i_{k} \leq n_{k}
$$

respectively.

The above formulas can be simplified for Cartesian powers as follows:

Corollary 3.10. For a connected graph G,
(i) $\sigma_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}}\left(G^{s}\right)=n^{2(s-1)}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{s} \sigma_{i_{k}}(G)\right) \quad 1 \leq i_{k} \leq n_{k}$,
(ii) $\mu_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}}^{\prime}\left(G^{s}\right)=n^{2(s-1)}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{s} \mu_{i_{k}}^{\prime}(G)\right) \quad 1 \leq i_{k} \leq n_{k}$.

A hypercube $Q_{n}$ is defined as the Cartesian power of $n$ copies of $K_{2}$. By Corollary 3.7, $\sigma_{r}\left(Q_{n}\right)=4^{n-1}(n-2 r)$ with multiplicity $\binom{n}{r}$, where $0 \leq r \leq n$ and $\mu_{r}^{\prime}\left(Q_{n}\right)=4^{n-1}(2 n-2 r)$ with multiplicity $\binom{n}{r}$ for $r=0, \ldots, n$.

We assume that $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ are two graphs. Then the lexicographic product of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}, G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]$, is a graph that $V\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right)=V_{1} \times V_{2}$ and two vertices ( $u_{1}, v_{1}$ ) and $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ in $G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]$ are adjacent if and only if either $u_{1} u_{2} \in E\left(G_{1}\right)$ or $u_{1}=u_{2}$ and $v_{1} v_{2} \in E(H)$. Let $A\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $A\left(G_{2}\right)$ be adjacency matrices of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively. We also assume that $I_{n_{1}}$ denotes the identity matrix of order $n_{1}$ and $J_{n_{2}}$ be the all 1 matrix of order $n_{2}$. Then the adjacency matrix of $A\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right)$ is equal to $A\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes J_{n_{2}}+I_{n_{1}} \otimes A\left(G_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 3.11. Suppose that $G_{1}$ is a graph with $n_{1}$ vertices and $G_{2}$ is a $k$-regular graph with $n_{2}$ vertices. Then $S z^{*} M\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right)=n_{2}^{2} S z^{*} M\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes J_{n_{2}}+\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}^{2}}{4} I_{n_{1}} \otimes A\left(G_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $e_{1}=((a, x)(b, y)) \in E\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right)$ such that $a b \in E\left(G_{1}\right)$. So, $n_{1}\left(e_{1}\right)=\left(n_{1}(a b)-1\right)\left|G_{2}\right|+$ $\left|G_{2}\right|-\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(y)-1$ and $n_{2}\left(e_{1}\right)=\left(n_{2}(a b)-1\right)\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{2}\right|-\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(x)-1$ and $n_{0}\left(e_{1}\right)=\left(n_{0}(a b)-\right.$ $1)\left|G_{2}\right|+\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(x)+\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(y)+2$. Since $G_{2}$ is $k$-regular then $S z^{*}\left(e_{1}\right)=\left(n_{1}\left(e_{1}\right)+n_{0}\left(e_{1}\right) / 2\right)\left(n_{2}\left(e_{1}\right)+\right.$ $\left.n_{0}\left(e_{1}\right) / 2\right)$ and by a simple calculation we have $S z^{*}\left(e_{1}\right)=\left|G_{2}\right|^{2} S z^{*}(a b)$. Let $e_{1}=((a, x)(a, y)) \in$ $E\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right)$ such that $x y \in E\left(G_{2}\right)$. Then we get $n_{1}\left(e_{2}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(x)-N(x y), n_{2}\left(e_{2}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{G_{2}}(y)-$ $N(x y)$ and $n_{0}\left(e_{2}\right)=(|G|-1)\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{2}\right|-2(k-N(x y))$, where $N(x y)=\left\{w \in V\left(G_{2}\right) \mid d(x, w)=\right.$ $d(w, y)=1\}$. Thus, $S z^{*}\left(e_{2}\right)=\frac{\left(\left|G_{1} \| G_{2}\right|\right)^{2}}{4}$ and so

$$
S z^{*} M\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right)=n_{2}^{2} S z^{*} M\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes J_{n_{2}}+\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}^{2}}{4} I_{n_{1}} \otimes A\left(G_{2}\right)
$$

Hence the result.
Corollary 3.12. Suppose $G_{1}$ is a graph with $n_{1}$ vertices and $G_{2}$ is a $k$-regular distance-balanced graph with $n_{2}$ vertices. Then

$$
S z^{*} M\left(G_{1}\left[G_{2}\right]\right)=n_{2}^{2} S z^{*} M\left(G_{1}\right) \otimes J_{n_{2}}+n_{1}^{2} I_{n_{1}} \otimes S z^{*} M\left(G_{2}\right)
$$

The corona product or simply corona of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is defined as the disjoint union of one copy of $G_{1}$ and $\left|V_{1}\right|$ copies of $G_{2}$ in such a way that the $i-t h$ vertex of $G_{1}$ is connected to all vertices of the $i-t h$ copy of $G_{2}$. This graph is denoted by $G_{1} \circ G_{2}$. One can see that $G_{1} \circ G_{2}$ has $n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)$ vertices and $m_{1}+n_{1}\left(n_{2}+m_{2}\right)$ edges, where $n_{i}=\left|V\left(G_{i}\right)\right|$ and $m_{i}=\left|E\left(G_{i}\right)\right|, i=1,2$.

Proposition 3.13. Suppose that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are two graphs, $n_{i}=\left|V\left(G_{i}\right)\right|$ and $m_{i}=\left|E\left(G_{i}\right)\right|$, $i=1,2$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S z^{*}\left(G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right)= & \left(n_{2}+1\right) S z^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)+n_{1}^{3}\left(n_{2}+1\right)-\left(n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)-2\right) m_{2} \\
& -\frac{n_{1}}{4} \sum_{v \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} \operatorname{deg}^{2}(v)+\frac{n_{1}^{2}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{2} m_{2}}{4}-\sum_{e=v w \in E\left(G_{2}\right)}(\operatorname{deg} v-\operatorname{deg} w)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We have three types of edges in $G_{1} \circ G_{2}$, the edges of $G_{1}$, the edges between vertices of $G_{1}$ and vertices of the corresponding copy of $G_{2}$ and the edges of copies of $G_{2}$. We first assume that $u v=e_{1} \in G_{1}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{0}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right)=n_{0}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)\left(\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|+1\right)=\left(n_{2}+1\right) n_{0}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1}\right), \\
& n_{u}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right)=n_{u}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)\left(\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|+1\right)=\left(n_{2}+1\right) n_{u}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1}\right), \\
& n_{v}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right)=n_{v}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)\left(\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|+1\right)=\left(n_{2}+1\right) n_{v}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $S z^{*}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right)=\left(\mid V\left(G_{2}\right)+1\right) S z^{*}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)=\left(n_{2}+1\right) S z^{*}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1}\right)$. Notice that the number of these edges is $m_{1}$. Next we assume that $e_{2}=u v$ such that $u \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $v \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$. Then $n_{0}\left(e_{2} \mid G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\nu \mid G_{2}\right), n_{u}\left(e_{2} \mid G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right)=\left(\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|-1\right)\left(\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|+1\right)+\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|-\operatorname{deg}\left(\nu \mid G_{2}\right)=$ $n_{1} n_{2}+n_{1}-1-\operatorname{deg}\left(\nu \mid G_{2}\right)$ and $n_{v}\left(e_{1} \mid G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right)=1$. So,

$$
\left.S z^{*}\left(e_{2} \mid G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right)=n_{1} n_{2}+n_{1}-1-1 / 2\left(n_{1} n_{2}+n_{1}-2\right) \operatorname{deg}\left(\nu \mid G_{2}\right)-1 / 4 \operatorname{deg}^{2}\left(\nu \mid G_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

We note that the number of such edges is $n_{1} n_{2}$. Finally, we assume that $e_{3}=v w \in E\left(G_{2}\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
n_{\nu}\left(\nu w \mid G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(v \mid G_{2}\right)-1, \\
n_{w}\left(\nu w \mid G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(w \mid G_{2}\right)-1, \\
n_{0}\left(\nu w \mid G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right) & =\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right|+\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|-\operatorname{deg}\left(\nu \mid G_{2}\right)-\operatorname{deg}\left(w \mid G_{2}\right)+2, \\
& =n_{1} n_{2}+n_{1}+2-\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(v \mid G_{2}\right)+\operatorname{deg}\left(w \mid G_{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $S z^{*}\left(e_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(\left(n_{1} n_{2}+n_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(\nu \mid G_{2}\right)-\operatorname{deg}\left(w \mid G_{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right)$ and the number of these edges is $n_{1} m_{2}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S z^{*}\left(G_{1} \circ G_{2}\right)= & \sum_{e_{1}} S z^{*}\left(e_{1}\right)+\sum_{e_{2}} S z^{*}\left(e_{2}\right)+\sum_{e_{3}} S z^{*}\left(e_{3}\right) \\
& +n_{1} \sum_{v \in V\left(G_{2}\right)}\left(n_{1} n_{2}+n_{1}-\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{1} n_{2}+n_{1}-2\right) \operatorname{deg}\left(\nu \mid G_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{deg}^{2}\left(\nu \mid G_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\sum_{e_{3}=\nu w \in G_{2}}\left[1 / 4\left(n_{1} n_{2}+n_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(\nu \mid G_{2}\right)-\operatorname{deg}\left(w \mid G_{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right] \\
= & \left(n_{2}+1\right) S z^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)+n_{1}^{3}\left(n_{2}+1\right)-\left(n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)-2\right) m_{2}+\left(n_{2}+1\right) S z^{*}\left(G_{1}\right) \\
& -\frac{n_{1}}{4} \sum_{v \in V\left(G_{2}\right)} \operatorname{deg}^{2}(\nu)+\frac{n_{1}^{2}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{2} m_{2}}{4}-\sum_{e=v w \in E\left(G_{2}\right)}(\operatorname{deg} v-\operatorname{deg} w)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

### 3.3. Bounds on the revised szeged spectrum

We are now analyzing the revised Szeged spectra of general graphs. Our first result in this section depends on well-known result in algebraic graph theory which states that if $G$ is a connected graph with $n$ vertices, $m$ edges, $t$ triangles, $q$ qaudrangles and $\chi(G, x)=x^{n}+$ $c_{1} x^{n-1}+c_{2} x^{n-2}+c_{3} x^{n-3}+c_{4} x^{n-4}+\cdots+c_{n}$ is its characteristic polynomial, then $c_{1}=0,-c_{2}=m$, $-c_{3}=2 t$ and $c_{4}=2 q$ [1, Corollary 2.3].

Lemma 3.14. Suppose $G$ is a connected graph. Then, $\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{4}\right)=8 q+M_{1}(G)-\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{2}\right)$, where $\operatorname{tr}(X)$ denotes the trace of a matrix $X, M_{1}(G)$ is the first Zagreb index of $G$ and $S q$ the number of quadrangles in $G$.

Using those interpretations we can deduce bounds on the second, third and fourth revised Szeged spectral moment of a graph $G$.

Proposition 3.15. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices and $\sigma_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be its revised Szeged eigenvalues. Then

1. $2(n-1)^{2} m \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{2} \leq \frac{n^{4}}{8} m$,
2. $6(n-1)^{3} t \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{3} \leq 6\left(\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right)^{3} t$,
3. $(n-1)^{4}\left[8 q+M_{1}(G)-2(n-1)^{2} m\right] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{4} \leq\left(\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right)^{4}\left[8 q+M_{1}(G)-2(n-1)^{2} m\right]$.

The left inequality in (1) holds if and only if $G=S_{n}$, the $n$-vertex star graph, and the right equality satisfies if and only if $G$ is distance-balanced.

Proof. To prove (1) we denote the elements of the $k$-th power of $S z^{*} M(G)$ by $s^{(k)}(i, j)$. Since $(n-1)^{k} a_{i j}^{(k)} \leq s_{i j}^{(k)} \leq\left(\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right)^{k} a_{i j}^{(k)}$ and $\sigma_{1}^{2}+\ldots+\sigma_{n}^{2}=\operatorname{tr}\left(S z^{*} M(G)^{2}\right)$,

$$
2(n-1)^{2} m=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(n-1)^{2} a_{i i}^{(2)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i i}^{(2)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right)^{2} a_{i i}^{(2)}=\frac{n^{4}}{16} \times 2 m=m \frac{n^{4}}{8} .
$$

The left equality holds if and only if for each $e=u v \in E(G),\left\{n_{u}(e), n_{\nu}(e)\right\}=\{n-1,1\}, n_{0}(e)=0$. But this can be happened when $G \cong S_{n}$.

Let us assume that $t_{i}$ denotes the number of triangles containing the vertex $v_{i}$. Since $\operatorname{tr}\left(S z^{*} M(G)^{3}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{3},(n-1)^{3} t_{i}=a_{i, i}^{(3)} \leq s_{i, j}^{(3)} \leq\left(\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right)^{3} a_{i, i}^{(3)}$. This implies that

$$
(n-1)^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i, j}^{(3)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{(3)} \leq\left(\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right)^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, i}^{(3)}=\left(\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right)^{3} \times 6 t .
$$

Thus, $6 t(n-1)^{3} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{(3)} \leq 6\left(\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right)^{3} t$. The last part is similar to parts 1 and 2 and so omitted.

The spectral radius of a square matrix $A$ is the supremum among the absolute values of the elements in the spectrum of $A$, which is denoted by $\rho(A)$ [5, p. 177]. The revised Szeged spectral radius $\rho^{*}(G)$ is defined as the spectral radius of matrix $S z^{*} M(G)$.

Proposition 3.16. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices. Then $\rho^{*}(G) \leq \frac{n^{2}}{4} \Delta(G)$, where $\Delta(G)$ denotes the maximum degree of all vertices in $G$. Moreover, equality holds if and only if $G$ is regular and distance balanced.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{T}$ be the eigenvector of $S z^{*} M(G)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\sigma$. If $\left|x_{m}\right|=\max \left\{\left|x_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{n}\right|\right\}$, then $\sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{m, j} x_{j}=\sigma x_{m}$. Therefore, $\left|\sigma \| x_{m}\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} s_{m, j}\left|x_{j}\right| \leq$ $\frac{n^{2}}{4} \Delta(G)\left|x_{m}\right|$ and hence $|\sigma| \leq \frac{n^{2}}{4} \Delta(G)$. Clearly, the equality holds if and only if $G$ is regular and distance balanced.

Proposition 3.17. Let $G$ be a connected graph on $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then

$$
\frac{2}{m} S z^{*}(G)^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{2} \leq \min \left\{\frac{n^{2}}{2} S z^{*}(G), 2 S z^{*}(G)^{2}-2 m(m-1)(n-1)^{2}\right\}
$$

The left(right) equality holds if and only if $G=K_{2}$.
Proof. Suppose that $S=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}^{2}$. It is enough to prove that $S \leq \frac{n^{2}}{2} S z^{*}(G), S \leq 2 S z^{*}(G)^{2}-$ $2 m(m-1)(n-1)^{2}$ and $\sqrt{S} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} S z^{*}(G)$. Clearly, $S=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{i<j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}$ and then $C_{2}=$ $\sum_{i<j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}$. Furthermore, $S=2 \sum_{i<j}\left(n_{\nu_{i}}+n_{0} / 2\right)^{2}\left(n_{\nu_{j}}+n_{0} / 2\right)^{2}$ and since $(n-1) \leq\left(n_{\nu_{i}}+n_{0} / 2\right)\left(n_{\nu_{j}}\right.$ $\left.+n_{0} / 2\right) \leq \frac{n^{2}}{4}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S= & 2 \sum_{i<j}\left(\left(n_{v_{i}}+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)\left(n_{v_{j}}+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)\right)^{2} \\
= & 2\left[\sum_{i<j}\left(\left(n_{v_{i}}+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)\left(n_{v_{j}}+\frac{n_{0}(e)}{2}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-2 \sum_{u v \neq x y}\left(n_{u}+\frac{n_{0}(u v)}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}+\frac{n_{0}(u v)}{2}\right)\left(n_{x}+\frac{n_{0}(x y)}{2}\right)\left(n_{y}+\frac{n_{0}(x y)}{2}\right)\right] \\
\leq & 2 S z^{*}(G)^{2}-2 m(m-1)(n-1)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, the equality holds if and only if $G=S_{n}$. The second inequality follows from the CauchySchwarz inequality as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S z^{*}(G) & =\sum_{e=u v \in E(G)}\left(n_{u}+\frac{n_{0}(u v)}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}+\frac{n_{0}(u v)}{2}\right) \\
& \leq \sqrt{m \sum_{e=u v \in E(G)}\left(\left(n_{u}+\frac{n_{0}(u v)}{2}\right)\left(n_{v}+\frac{n_{0}(u v)}{2}\right)\right)^{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{m}{2} S .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the result.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to anonymous referee for his/her suggestions and helpful remarks. The research of the second author is partially supported by the University of Kashan under grant no 364988/2.

## References

[1] N. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
[2] D. M. Cvetkovic, M. Doob and H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
[3] M. Faghani and A. R. Ashrafi, Revised and edge revised Szeged indices of graphs, Ars Math. Contemporanea, 7 (2014), 153-160.
[4] G. H. Fath-Tabar, T. Došlić and A. R. Ashrafi, On the Szeged and the Laplacian Szeged spectrum of a graph, Linear Algebra Appl., 433 (2010), 662-671.
[5] C. Godsil and G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
[6] I. Gutman, P. V. Khadikar and T. Khaddar, Wiener and Szeged indices of benzenoid hydrocarbons containing a linear polyacene fragment, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 35 (1997), 105-116.
[7] I. Gutman, L. Popović, P.V. Khadikar, S. Karmarkar, S. Joshi and M. Mandloi, Relations between Wiener and Szeged indices of monocyclic molecules, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem., 35 (1997), 91-103.
[8] I. Gutman, A formula for the Wiener number of trees and its extension to the graphs containing cycles, Graph Theory Notes Ney York, 17 (1994), 9-15.
[9] W. Imrich and S. Klavžar, Produt Graphs: Structure and Recognition, John Wiley \& Sons, New York, USA, 2000.
[10] J. Jerebic, S. Klavžar and D. F. Rall, Distance-Balanced Graphs, Annals of Combinatorics, 12(2008), 71-79.
[11] M. H. Khalifeh, H. Yousefi-Azari and A. R. Ashrafi, A matrix method for computing Szeged and PI indices of Join and composition of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl., 429 (2008), 2702-2708.
[12] T. Pisanski and M. Randić, Use of the Szeged index and the revised Szeged index for measuring network bipartivity, Discrete Appl. Math., 158 (2010), 1936-1944.
[13] T. Pisanski and J. Žerovnik, Edge-contributions of some topological indices and arboreality of molecular graphs, Ars Math. Contemporanea, 2 (2009), 49-58.
[14] M. Randić, On generalization of Wiener index to cyclic structures, Acta Chim. Slov., 49 (2002), 483-496.
[15] H. Wiener, Structural determination of paraffin boiling points, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 69, (1947) 17-20.
[16] R. Xing and B. Zhou, On the revised Szeged index, Discrete Appl. Math. 159 (2011), 69-78.

Department of Mathematics, University of Zanjan, Zanjan, I. R. Iran.
E-mail: habibi@abru.ac.ir
Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Science, University of Kashan, Kashan 8731751167, I. R. Iran.
E-mail: ashrafi@kashanu.ac.ir

