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#### Abstract

For functions $f(z)=z^{p}+a_{n+1} z^{p+1}+\cdots$ defined on the open unit disk, the condition $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z) / z^{p-1}\right)>0$ is sufficient for close-to-convexity of $f$. By making use of this result, several sufficient conditions for close-to-convexity are investigated and relevant connections with previously known results are indicated.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ be the open unit disk and $\mathscr{A}_{p, n}$ be the class of all analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of the form $f(z)=z^{p}+a_{n+p} z^{n+p}+a_{n+p+1} z^{n+p+1}+\ldots$ with $\mathscr{A}:=\mathscr{A}_{1,1}$. For studies related to multivalent functions, see [5, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Singh and Singh [16] obtained several interesting conditions for functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying inequalities involving $f^{\prime}(z)$ and $z f^{\prime \prime}(z)$ to be univalent or starlike in $\mathbb{D}$. Owa et al. [11] generalized the results of Singh and Singh [16] and also obtained several sufficient conditions for close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity of functions $f \in \mathscr{A}$. In fact, they have proved the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1 ([11], Theorems 1-3). Let $0 \leq \alpha<1$ and $\beta, \gamma \geq 0$. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\frac{1+3 \alpha}{2(1+\alpha)} \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)>\frac{1+\alpha}{2}, \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)<\frac{3+2 \alpha}{(2+\alpha)} \Longrightarrow\left|f^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<1+\alpha, \\
\left|f^{\prime}(z)-1\right|^{\beta}\left|z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right|^{\gamma}<\frac{(1-\alpha)^{\beta+\gamma}}{2^{\beta+2 \gamma}} \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)>\frac{1+\alpha}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 1.2 ([11], Theorem 4). Let $1<\lambda<3$. If $f \in \mathscr{A}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)<\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{5 \lambda-1}{2(\lambda+1)}, 1<\lambda \leq 2 ; \\
\frac{\lambda+1}{2(\lambda-1)}, 2<\lambda<3,
\end{array} \Longrightarrow \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}<\frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}\right.
$$
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In this present paper, the above results are extended for functions $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p, n}$.

## 2. Close-to-convexity and starlikeness

For $f \in \mathscr{A}$, the condition $\operatorname{Ref}^{\prime}(z)>0$ implies close-to-convexity and univalence of $f$. Similarly, for $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p, 1}$, the inequality $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z) / z^{p-1}\right)>0$ implies $p$-valency of $f$. See also [15, 18, 19]. From this result, the functions satisfying the hypothesis of Theorems 2.1-2.4 are $p$ valent in $\mathbb{D}$. A function $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p, 1}$ is close-to-convex if there is a $p$-valent convex function $\phi$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\left(f^{\prime}(z) / \phi(z)\right)>0$. Also they are all close-to-convex with respect to $\phi(z)=z^{p}$.

Theorem 2.1. If the function $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p, n}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\frac{(2 p-n)+\alpha(2 p+n)}{2(\alpha+1)}, \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}\right)>\frac{1+\alpha}{2}, \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

For the proof of our main results, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.2. [6, Lemma 2.2a] Let $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ and $r_{0}=\left|z_{0}\right|$. Let $f(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots$ be continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r_{0}}$ and analytic on $\mathbb{D}_{r_{0}} \cup\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ with $f(z) \not \equiv 0$ and $n \geq 1$. If

$$
\left|f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\max \left\{|f(z)|: z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{r_{0}}\right\},
$$

then there exists an $m \geq n$ such that
(1) $\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f\left(z_{0}\right)}=m$, and
(2) $R e \frac{z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}+1 \geq m$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let the function $w$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}=\frac{1+\alpha w(z)}{1+w(z)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $w$ can be written as

$$
w(z)=\frac{1}{\alpha-1}\left[\frac{(n+p)}{p} a_{n+p} z^{n}-\frac{(n+p)^{2}}{p^{2}(1-\alpha)} a_{n+p}^{2} z^{2 n}+\cdots\right],
$$

hence it is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $w(0)=0$. From (2.2), some computation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=p+\frac{\alpha z w^{\prime}(z)}{1+\alpha w(z)}-\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{1+w(z)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1 \text { and }|w(z)|<1 \text { when }|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| .
$$

Then by applying Lemma 2.2, there exists $m \geq n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=m w\left(z_{0}\right), \quad\left(w\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta} ; \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by using (2.3) and (2.4), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) & =p+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\alpha m w\left(z_{0}\right)}{1+\alpha w\left(z_{0}\right)}\right)-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{m w\left(z_{0}\right)}{1+w\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) \\
& =p+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\alpha m e^{i \theta}}{1+\alpha e^{i \theta}}\right)-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{m e^{i \theta}}{1+e^{i \theta}}\right) \\
& =p+\frac{\alpha m(\alpha+\cos \theta)}{1+\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha \cos \theta}-\frac{m}{2} \\
& \leq \frac{(2 p-n)+\alpha(2 p+n)}{2(\alpha+1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the hypothesis (2.1). It follows that $|w(z)|<1$, that is,

$$
\left|\frac{1-\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}}{\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-\alpha}\right|<1
$$

This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.
Owa [13] shows that a function $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p, 1}$ satisfying $\operatorname{Re}\left(1+z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / f^{\prime}(z)\right)<p+1 / 2$ implies $f$ is $p$-valently starlike. Our next theorem investigates the close-to-convexity of this type of functions. For related results, see [14, 4, 20].

Theorem 2.3. If the function $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p, n}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)<\frac{(p+n) \alpha+(2 p+n)}{(\alpha+2)}, \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-1\right|<1+\alpha, \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Proof. Consider the function $w$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}=(1+\alpha) w(z)+1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be checked similarly as above that $w$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $w(0)=0$. From (2.6), some computation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=p+\frac{(1+\alpha) z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+\alpha) w(z)+1} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1 \text { and }|w(z)|<1 \text { when }|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| .
$$

Then by applying Lemma 2.2 , there exists $m \geq n$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=m w\left(z_{0}\right), \quad\left(w\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{i \theta} ; \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by using (2.7) and (2.8), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) & =p+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{(1+\alpha) z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{(1+\alpha) w\left(z_{0}\right)+1}\right) \\
& =p+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{(1+\alpha) m e^{i \theta}}{(1+\alpha) e^{i \theta}+1}\right) \\
& =p+\frac{m(1+\alpha)(1+\alpha+\cos \theta)}{1+(1+\alpha)^{2}+2(1+\alpha) \cos \theta} \\
& \geq \frac{(p+n) \alpha+(2 p+n)}{(\alpha+2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the hypothesis (2.5). It follows that $|w(z)|<1$, that is,

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-1\right|<1+\alpha .
$$

This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.
Owa [12] has also showed that a function $f \in \mathscr{A}$ satisfying $\left|f^{\prime}(z) / g^{\prime}(z)-1\right|^{\beta} \mid z f^{\prime \prime}(z) / g^{\prime}(z)-$ $z f^{\prime}(z) g^{\prime \prime}(z) /\left.\left(g^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}\right|^{\gamma}<(1+\alpha)^{\beta+\alpha}$, for $0 \leq \alpha<1, \beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0$ and $g$ a convex function, is close-to-convex. Also, see [3]. Our next theorem investigates the close-to-convexity of similar class of functions.

Theorem 2.4. If $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p, n}$, then for $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-1\right|^{\beta}\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{z^{p-2}}-(p-1) \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right|^{\gamma}<\frac{(p n)^{\gamma}(1-\alpha)^{\beta+\gamma}}{2^{\beta+2 \gamma}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}\right)>\frac{1+\alpha}{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-1\right|^{\beta}\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{z^{p-2}}-(p-1) \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right|^{\gamma}<(p n)^{\gamma}|1-\alpha|^{\beta+\gamma}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

implies

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-1\right|<1-\alpha
$$

Proof. For the function $w$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}=\frac{1+\alpha w(z)}{1+w(z)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can rewrite (2.11) to yield

$$
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-1=\frac{(\alpha-1) w(z)}{1+w(z)}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-1\right|^{\beta}=\frac{|w(z)|^{\beta}|1-\alpha|^{\beta}}{|1+w(z)|^{\beta}} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By some computation, it is evident that

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{z^{p-2}}-(p-1) \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}=\frac{p(\alpha-1) z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+w(z))^{2}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{z^{p-2}}-(p-1) \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right|^{\gamma}=\frac{p^{\gamma}\left|z w^{\prime}(z)\right|^{\gamma}|1-\alpha|^{\gamma}}{|1+w(z)|^{2 \gamma}} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.12) and (2.13), it follows that

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-1\right|^{\beta}\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{z^{p-2}}-(p-1) \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right|^{\gamma}=\frac{p^{\gamma}|w(z)|^{\beta}(1-\alpha)^{\beta+\gamma}\left|z w^{\prime}(z)\right|^{\gamma}}{|1+w(z)|^{\beta+2 \gamma}} .
$$

Suppose there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1 \text { and }|w(z)|<1 \text { when }|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| .
$$

Then (2.4) and Lemma 2.2 yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p z_{0}^{p-1}}-1\right|^{\beta}\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0}^{p-2}}-(p-1) \frac{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0}^{p-1}}\right|^{\gamma} & =\frac{p^{\gamma}(1-\alpha)^{\beta+\gamma}\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{\beta}\left|m w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{\gamma}}{\left|1+e^{i \theta}\right|^{\beta+2 \gamma}} \\
& =\frac{p^{\gamma} m^{\gamma}(1-\alpha)^{\beta+\gamma}}{(2+2 \cos \theta)^{(\beta+2 \gamma) / 2}} \\
& \geq \frac{p^{\gamma} n^{\gamma}(1-\alpha)^{\beta+\gamma}}{2^{\beta+2 \gamma}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the hypothesis (2.9). Hence $|w(z)|<1$, which implies

$$
\left|\frac{1-\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}}{\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-\alpha}\right|<1,
$$

or equivalently

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}\right)>\frac{1+\alpha}{2} .
$$

For the second implication in the proof, consider the function $w$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}=1+(1-\alpha) w(z) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-1\right|^{\beta}=|1-\alpha|^{\beta}|w(z)|^{\beta} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{z^{p-2}}-(p-1) \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right|^{\gamma}=p^{\gamma}\left|z w^{\prime}(z)\right|^{\gamma}|1-\alpha|^{\gamma} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.15) and (2.16), it is clear that

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-1\right|^{\beta}\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{z^{p-2}}-(p-1) \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{z^{p-1}}\right|^{\gamma}=p^{\gamma}|w(z)|^{\beta}|1-\alpha|^{\beta+\gamma}\left|z w^{\prime}(z)\right|^{\gamma} .
$$

Suppose there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1 \text { and }|w(z)|<1 \text { when }|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| .
$$

Then by applying Lemma 2.2 and using (2.4), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p z_{0}^{p-1}}-1\right|^{\beta}\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0}^{p-2}}-(p-1) \frac{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{z_{0}^{p-1}}\right|^{\gamma} & =p^{\gamma}\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{\beta}|1-\alpha|^{\beta+\gamma}\left|z_{0} w^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{\gamma} \\
& =p^{\gamma} m^{\gamma}|1-\alpha|^{\beta+\gamma} \\
& \geq(p n)^{\gamma}|1-\alpha|^{\beta+\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the hypothesis (2.10). Hence $|w(z)|<1$ and this implies

$$
\left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}-1\right|<1-\alpha
$$

Thus the proof is complete.
In next theorem, we need the concept of subordination. Let $f$ and $g$ be analytic functions defined on $\mathbb{D}$. Then $f$ is subordinate to $g$, written $f<g$, provided there is an analytic function $w: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ with $w(0)=0$ such that $f=g \circ w$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=\frac{n+2}{4 p+n-2 p} \\
& \lambda_{2}=\frac{n+2}{2-n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $1 \leq \lambda_{1}<\lambda<\lambda_{2} \leq 3$. If the function $f \in \mathscr{A}_{p, n}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)< \begin{cases}\frac{(4 p+n) \lambda-n}{2(\lambda+1)}, & \lambda_{1}<\lambda \leq \frac{p+n}{p}  \tag{2.17}\\ \frac{n(\lambda+1)}{2(\lambda-1)}, & \frac{p+n}{p}<\lambda<\lambda_{2}\end{cases}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}<\frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}, \quad \text { for } z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp for the function $f$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}(\lambda-z)^{p(\lambda-1)} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us define $w$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{\lambda(1-w(z))}{\lambda-w(z)} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By doing the logarithmic differentiation on (2.20), we get

$$
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{p \lambda(1-w(z))}{\lambda-z}-\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{1-w(z)}+\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{\lambda-w(z)}
$$

Assume that there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\left|w\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=1$ and $|w(z)|<1$ when $|z|<\left|z_{0}\right|$. By applying Lemma 2.2 as in Theorem 2.1, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) & =\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{p \lambda\left(1-e^{i \theta}\right)}{\lambda-e^{i \theta}}\right)-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{m e^{i \theta}}{1-e^{i \theta}}\right)+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{m e^{i \theta}}{\lambda-e^{i \theta}}\right) \\
& =\frac{p \lambda(\lambda+1)(1-\cos \theta)}{\lambda^{2}+1-2 \lambda \cos \theta}+\frac{m}{2}+\frac{m(\lambda \cos \theta-1)}{\lambda^{2}+1-2 \lambda \cos \theta} \\
& =\frac{\lambda+1}{2} p+\frac{\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)[(p+m)-p \lambda]}{2\left(\lambda^{2}+1-2 \lambda \cos \theta\right)} \\
& \geq \frac{\lambda+1}{2} p+\frac{\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right)[(p+n)-p \lambda]}{2\left(\lambda^{2}+1-2 \lambda \cos \theta\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields the inequality

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right) \geq \begin{cases}\frac{(4 p+n) \lambda-n}{2(\lambda+1)}, & \lambda_{1}<\lambda \leq \frac{p+n}{p}  \tag{2.21}\\ \frac{n(\lambda+1)}{2(\lambda-1)}, & \frac{p+n}{p}<\lambda<\lambda_{2}\end{cases}
$$

Since (2.21) obviously contradicts hypothesis (2.17), it follows that $|w(z)|<1$. This proves the subordination (2.18).

Finally, for (2.18) to be sharp, consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}=\frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating both sides of the equality and after some arrangement, we get

$$
f(z)=z^{p}(\lambda-z)^{p(\lambda-1)} .
$$

This completes the proof.
Remark 2.1. The subordination (2.18) can be written in equivalent form as

$$
\left|\frac{\lambda\left(z f^{\prime}(z) / p f(z)-1\right)}{z f^{\prime}(z) / p f(z)-\lambda}\right|<1,
$$

or by further computation, as

$$
\left|\frac{1}{p} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}\right|<\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1} .
$$

The last inequality shows that $f$ is starlike in $\mathbb{D}$.
Remark 2.2. When $p=1$ and $n=1$, Theorems 2.1-2.5 reduce to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.
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