This paper is available online at http://journals.math.tku.edu.tw/index.php/TKJM/pages/view/onlinefirst

A REMARK ON THE NUMBER OF DISTINCT PRIME DIVISORS OF INTEGERS

MEHDI HASSANI

Abstract. We study the asymptotic formula for the sum $\sum_{n \le x} \omega(n)$ where $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of *n*, and we perform some computations which detect curve patterns in the distribution of a related sequence.

1. Introduction

Let $\omega(n) = \sum_{p|n} 1$ be the number of distinct prime divisors of the positive integer *n*. In 1917, Hardy and Ramanujan [2] proved the following average result

$$\sum_{n \le x} \omega(n) = x \log\log x + Mx + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right),\tag{1}$$

where M is known as the Meissel–Mertens constant [1] and defined by

$$M = \gamma + \sum_{p} \left(\log \left(1 - p^{-1} \right) + p^{-1} \right) \approx 0.261497212847642783755426838609,$$

and γ refers to Euler's constant. In the present note we improve the error term in (1), by evaluating the exact value of *O*-term. Indeed we prove the following.

Theorem 1. As $x \to \infty$ one has

$$\sum_{n \le x} \omega(n) = x \log \log x + Mx - (1 - \gamma) \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right).$$
⁽²⁾

Remark 2. To clear more details of the asymptotic relation (2) we consider the function

$$\mathscr{R}(x) = \frac{\log x}{x} \left(\sum_{n \le x} \omega(n) - x \log \log x - Mx \right).$$
(3)

While the truth of Theorem 1 implies that $\Re(x) \to \gamma - 1$ as $x \to \infty$, we perform computations which detect curve patterns in the distribution of the points $(n, \Re(n))$. For integers n with $2 \le n \le 82$ we have $\Re(n) > 1 - \gamma$. There are some partial curve patters, as pictured in Figures 1 and 2, waiting for a mathematical justification. It seems that for $n \ge 343$ one has $\Re(n) < 1 - \gamma$, and the minimum value of $\Re(n)$ occurs at n = 1879.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11A41, 11A25.

Key words and phrases. Prime number, arithmetic function.

Received September 25, 2015, accepted November 16, 2016.



Figure 1: Graphs of the points $(n, \mathcal{R}(n))$ for $2 \le n \le 1000$, and the dotted line $y = \gamma - 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us denote integer part and fractional part of the real number x by [x] and $\{x\}$, respectively. Also, let

$$\mathscr{A}(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p}, \text{ and } \mathscr{F}(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \left\{ \frac{x}{p} \right\}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{n \le x} \omega(n) = \sum_{n \le x} \sum_{p \mid n} 1 = \sum_{p \le x} \sum_{\substack{n \le x \\ p \mid n}} 1 = \sum_{p \le x} \left\lfloor \frac{x}{p} \right\rfloor = \sum_{p \le x} \left(\frac{x}{p} - \left\{ \frac{x}{p} \right\} \right),$$

and hence

$$\sum_{n \le x} \omega(n) = x \mathscr{A}(x) - \mathscr{F}(x).$$
(4)

The sum $\mathscr{F}(x)$ on the fractional parts has been studied by de la Vallée Poussin [5], where he showed by elementary methods that $\mathscr{F}(x) \sim (1-\gamma)\frac{x}{\log x}$ as $x \to \infty$. More precisely, by using Perron's formula, Lee [3] proved that

$$\sum_{p^{\alpha} \leq x} \left\{ \frac{x}{p^{\alpha}} \right\} = (1 - \gamma) \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right).$$

The difference of the later sum by $\mathscr{F}(x)$ is not large, because

$$\sum_{\substack{p^{\alpha} \leq x \\ \alpha \geq 2}} \left\{ \frac{x}{p^{\alpha}} \right\} - \mathscr{F}(x) = \sum_{\substack{p^{\alpha} \leq x \\ \alpha \geq 2}} \left\{ \frac{x}{p^{\alpha}} \right\} \ll \sum_{\substack{p^{\alpha} \leq x \\ \alpha \geq 2}} 1 \ll \sqrt{x} \log^2 x.$$

Thus, we get

$$\mathscr{F}(x) = (1 - \gamma)\frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^2 x}\right).$$
(5)

We recall that Theorem 5 of [4] implies

$$\mathscr{A}(x) := \sum_{p \le x} \frac{1}{p} = \log\log x + M + O\left(\frac{1}{\log^2 x}\right).$$
(6)

Finally, we combine (4) with (5) and (6) to deduce (2).



Figure 2: Graphs of the points $(n, \mathcal{R}(n))$ for $10^3 \le n \le 10^4$.

References

- [1] S. R. Finch, *Mathematical constants*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **94**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [2] G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, *The normal number of prime factors of a number n*, Quart. J. Math., **48** (1917), 76–92.
- [3] J. Lee, The second central moment of additive functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 114 (1992), 887–895.
- [4] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, *Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers*, Illinois J. Math., **6** (1962), 64–94.
- [5] C. de la Vallée Poussin, *Sur les valeurs moyennes de certaines fonctions arithmétiques*, Annales de la société scientifique de Bruxelles, **22** (1898), 84–90.

Department of Mathematics, University of Zanjan, University Blvd., 45371-38791, Zanjan, Iran.

E-mail: mehdi.hassani@znu.ac.ir