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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a locally compact group. In this paper, we study the modulus of right multipliers on second dual of group algebras and modulus of operators on $L^{\infty}(G)$ which commute with convolutions.


## 1. Preliminaries and Notations

Let $G$ be a locally compact group. For $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ and $\mu \in L^{1}(G)$, let the functional $f \mu \in L^{\infty}(G)$ be defined by $\langle f \mu, \nu\rangle=\langle f, \mu * \nu\rangle$ where $\nu \in L^{1}(G)$. Also for $F \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$, let $F f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ be defined by $\langle F f, \mu\rangle=\langle F, f \mu\rangle$. Finally for $F, G \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$, let $F G \in$ $L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ be defined by $\langle F G, f\rangle=\langle F, G f\rangle$. We know that $L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ with the first Arens product defined as above is a Banach algebra. Also, we can define the first Arens product on $\operatorname{LUC}(G)^{*}$ by symmetry. Of course, it is well known that $L U C(G)=L^{\infty}(G) L^{1}(G)$ and $R U C(G)=L^{1}(G) L^{\infty}(G)$. If $\pi: L^{1}(G)^{* *} \rightarrow L U C(G)^{*}$ is the adjoint of embedding of $L U C(G)$ in $L^{\infty}(G)$, then for $F, G \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ and $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$, we have $F G=F \pi(G)$ and $F f=\pi(F) f[6]$.

For a Banach lattice $X$ and an operator $T$ on $X$, the modulus $|T|$ of $T$ is defined by $|T|(x)=\sup \{|T(y)| ;|y| \leq x\}$ for all $x \geq 0$, provided that the supremum exists ([1], [3]). Most of our notation in this paper is taken from ([3], [6]).

We say that a bounded linear map $T: L^{\infty}(G) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(G)$ commutes with convolutions if $T(f \mu)=T(f) \mu$, for $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ and $\mu \in L^{1}(G)$. Lau and Pym in [6] have studied the operators on $L^{\infty}(G)$ which commute with convolutions. Ghahramani and Lau studied the modulus of left multipliers on $L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ [3]. We prove, among other things, that if $n=\Gamma_{E}(\mu)=$ weak $^{*}$-limit $e_{\alpha} * \mu[2]$ where $\mu \in M(G)$ and $E$ is a weak*-limit of $\left(e_{\alpha}\right)$ $\left(\left(e_{\alpha}\right)\right.$ is a bounded approximate identity in $\left.L^{1}(G)\right)$, then $\left|\rho_{n}\right|(\nu)=\nu|n|$ for all $\nu \in L^{1}(G)$ (see below). Moreover, we know that if $T$ is an operator on $L^{\infty}(G)$ which commute with convolutions, then there exists $n \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}\left(\right.$ or $\left.n \in L U C(G)^{*}\right)$ such that $T=T_{n}$ where $T_{n}$ is defined by $T_{n}(f)=n f$ for all $f \in L^{\infty}(G)[6]$. We show that if $\mu \in M(G)$ and $E$ is a weak*-limit of a bounded approximate identity in $L^{1}(G)$, then $\left|T_{n}\right|=T_{|n|}$ where $n=\Gamma_{E}(\mu)$.

Finally, we recall that for $\mu \in M(G)$ the functional $\mu: L U C(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\langle\mu, f \nu\rangle=\langle f, \nu * \mu\rangle$ is a member of $L U C(G)^{*}$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 43A10, 46H25.
Key words and phrases. Modulus of operators, right multipliers, convolution operators.

## 2. The Modulus of Operators

Let $H$ be a topologically left invariant subspace of $L^{\infty}(G)$, i.e. $f \mu \in H$ for all $f \in H$ and $\mu \in L^{1}(G)$. We define $M\left(L^{\infty}(G), H\right)=\left\{T, T: L^{\infty}(G) \rightarrow H\right.$ is a bounded linear map and $T(f \mu)=T(f) \mu$ for $\left.f \in L^{\infty}(G), \mu \in L^{1}(G)\right\}$. It is known that $M\left(L^{\infty}(G), H\right)$ can be identified with a subspace of $L U C(G)^{*}[6]$. Lau and Pym have characterized $M\left(L^{\infty}(G), L U C(G)\right)$ and $M\left(L^{\infty}(G), C(G)\right)[6]$. For the subspace $H=\left\{f \in L^{\infty}(G)\right.$ : $x \rightarrow \delta_{x} f$ is weak continuous and for all $F \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ and $\mu \in L^{1}(G), \int\left\langle F, \delta_{x} f\right\rangle d \mu(x)=$ $\langle F \mu, f\rangle\}$ of $L^{\infty}(G)$, we characterize $M\left(L^{\infty}(G), H\right)$.

We recall that the map $\pi$ is the identity on $L^{1}(G)$, so $\pi\left(L^{1}(G) L^{1}(G)^{* *}\right)=L^{1}(G)$ $L^{1}(G)^{* *}$. Indeed, the map $\pi$ is an isometric isomorphism of $L^{1}(G) L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ onto $\pi\left(L^{1}(G)\right.$ $\left.L^{1}(G)^{* *}\right)$. Let $\tau$ be a topology on $L U C(G)^{*}$ such that $n_{\alpha} \rightarrow n$ in the $\tau$-topology if and only if for all $F \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ and $f \in L^{\infty}(G),\left\langle F, \delta_{x} n_{\alpha} f\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\langle F, \delta_{x} n f\right\rangle$ in the uniform topology on compacta. In the following Theorem, we will show that $\operatorname{cl}\left(L^{1}(G) L^{1}(G)^{* *}\right)=$ $M\left(L^{\infty}(G), H\right)$ where the closure is taken in the $\tau$-topology.

Theorem 2.1. Let $H$ and $\tau$ be given as above. Then

$$
c l\left(L^{1}(G) L^{1}(G)^{* *}\right)=M\left(L^{\infty}(G), H\right)
$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $L^{1}(G) \subseteq M\left(L^{\infty}(G), H\right)$, so by ([6], Lemma 3.1),

$$
L^{1}(G) L^{1}(G)^{* *} \subseteq M\left(L^{\infty}(G), H\right)
$$

We prove that $M\left(L^{\infty}(G), H\right)$ is $\tau$-closed. Let $\left(n_{\alpha}\right)$ be a net in $M\left(L^{\infty}(G), H\right)$ such that $n_{\alpha} \rightarrow n\left(n \in L U C(G)^{*}\right)$ in the $\tau$-topology. Now if $f \in L^{\infty}(G), F \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ and $x_{0} \in G$, then for $\varepsilon>0$, there is a relatively compact neighbourhood $U$ of $x_{0}$ and a $\alpha_{0}$ such that for all $x \in U,\left|\left\langle F, \delta_{x} n_{\alpha_{0}} f\right\rangle-\left\langle F, \delta_{x} n f\right\rangle\right|<\varepsilon / 3$. Hence, there is a neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$ containing $x_{0}$ such that for all $x \in V,\left|\left\langle F, \delta_{x} n_{\alpha_{0}} f\right\rangle-\left\langle F, \delta_{x_{0}} n_{\alpha_{0}} f\right\rangle\right| \leq \varepsilon / 3$. Consequently, for $x \in V$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle F, \delta_{x} n f\right\rangle-\left\langle F, \delta_{x_{0}} n f\right\rangle\right| \leq & \left|\left\langle F, \delta_{x} n f\right\rangle-\left\langle F, \delta_{x} n_{\alpha_{0}} f\right\rangle\right|+\left|\left\langle F, \delta_{x} n_{\alpha_{0}} f\right\rangle-\left\langle F, \delta_{x_{0}} n_{\alpha_{0}} f\right\rangle\right| \\
& +\left|\left\langle F, \delta_{x_{0}} n_{\alpha_{0}} f\right\rangle-\left\langle F, \delta_{x_{0}} n f\right\rangle\right|<\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, for any $\alpha$ and $\mu \in L^{1}(G), \int\left\langle F, \delta_{x} n_{\alpha} f\right\rangle d \mu(x)=\left\langle F \mu, n_{\alpha} f\right\rangle$. Since $n_{\alpha} \rightarrow n$ in the $\tau$-topology, hence $\int\left\langle F, \delta_{x} n f\right\rangle d \mu(x)=\langle F \mu, n f\rangle$. It follows that $n f \in H$. So $\operatorname{cl}\left(L^{1}(G) L^{1}(G)^{* *}\right) \subseteq M\left(L^{\infty}(G), H\right)$.

To prove the reverse inclusion, let $n \in M\left(L^{\infty}(G), H\right)$ and $U$ be a compact neighbourhood of $e$ in $G$. For a bounded approximate identity $\left(e_{\alpha}\right)$ in $L^{1}(G)$ with $\operatorname{supp} e_{\alpha} \subseteq U$, we will prove that $e_{\alpha} n \rightarrow n$ in the $\tau$-topology. If $F \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}, f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ and $K$ is a compact subset of $G$, then we can take $\psi \in C_{0}(G)$ such that $\psi(K U)=1$. For $\varphi(x)=\left\langle F, \delta_{x} n f\right\rangle$ and $\varphi_{\alpha}(x)=\left\langle F, \delta_{x} * e_{\alpha} n f\right\rangle$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\varphi, \delta_{x} * e_{\alpha}\right\rangle & =\int \varphi(t) d \delta_{x} * e_{\alpha}(t)=\int\left\langle F, \delta_{t} n f\right\rangle d \delta_{x} * e_{\alpha}(t) \\
& =\left\langle F, \delta_{x} * e_{\alpha} n f\right\rangle=\varphi_{\alpha}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

But for $x \in K$, we have $\left\langle\varphi \psi, \delta_{x} * e_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi, \delta_{x} * e_{\alpha}\right\rangle$. On the other hand, $\varphi \psi$ is of the form $\nu g=\varphi \psi$ for some $\nu \in L^{1}(G)$ and $g \in L^{\infty}(G)$. So for $x \in K$ we have

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}(x)=\left\langle\varphi, \delta_{x} * e_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi \psi, \delta_{x} * e_{\alpha}\right\rangle=\left\langle\nu g, \delta_{x} * e_{\alpha}\right\rangle
$$

Sicne $\left(e_{\alpha}\right)$ is an approximate identity, hence $\varphi_{\alpha} \rightarrow \varphi$ uniformly on $K$. Consequently $c l\left(L^{1}(G) L^{1}(G)^{* *}\right)=M\left(L^{\infty}(G), H\right)$.

By above Theorem, the set of all operators $T: L^{\infty}(G) \rightarrow H$ which commute with convolutions is identified with $\operatorname{cl}\left(L^{1}(G) L^{1}(G)^{* *}\right)$ (the closure is taken in the $\tau$-topology). By [6] we know that $T: L^{\infty}(G) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(G)$ commute with convolutions if and only if for some $n \in L U C(G)^{*}, T=T_{n}$ where $T_{n}(f)=n f$ for $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$. In the following Proposition, we show that if $\mu \in M(G)$ and $E$ is a weak*-limit of a bounded approximate identity in $L^{1}(G)$, then for $n=\Gamma_{E}(\mu)$ we have $\left|T_{n}\right|=T_{|n|}$. Of course, we recall that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the space $L^{p}(G)$ is a complete Banach lattice with positive cone

$$
C=\left\{f \in L^{p}(G): f \geq 0 \quad \text { almost every where }\right\}
$$

Moreover for $\mu \in M(G)$, we take $\rho_{\mu}$ as a right multiplier on $L^{1}(G)$, i.e. $\rho_{\mu}(\nu)=\nu * \mu$ for all $\nu \in L^{1}(G)$.

Proposition 2.2. The following statements hold:
(1) For $\mu \in M(G),\left|\rho_{\mu}^{*}\right|=\rho_{|\mu|}{ }^{*}$.
(2) If $n=\Gamma_{E}(\mu)$, then $\left|T_{n}\right|=T_{|n|}$.
(3) If $n \in L U C(G)^{\perp}$ and $n \neq 0$, then $\left|T_{n}\right| \neq T_{|n|}$ where $L U C(G)^{\perp}=\left\{F \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}\right.$; $\langle F, f\rangle=0, f \in L U C(G)\}$.

Proof. Let $\mu \in M(G)$ and $\operatorname{supp} \mu$ be compact. If $\Delta$ is a modular function of $G$, we define $\hat{\mu} \in M(G)$ by $\langle\hat{\mu}, f\rangle=\left\langle\mu,(f \Delta)^{\star}\right\rangle$, where $f \in C_{0}(G)$, and for $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$, $f^{\star}(x)=f\left(x^{-1}\right)(x \in G)$. Now for $f \in C_{0}(G)^{+}, x \in G$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle | \mu\left|, L_{x} f\right\rangle & =\sup \left\{|\langle\mu, h\rangle| ;|h| \leq L_{x} f\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle\mu,(h \Delta)^{\star}\right\rangle\right| ;\left|(h \Delta)^{\star}\right| \leq L_{x} f\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left|\left\langle\mu,(h \Delta)^{\star}\right\rangle\right| ;|h \Delta| \leq\left(L_{x} f\right)^{\star}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{|\langle\hat{\mu}, h\rangle| ;|h| \leq\left(L_{x} f \Delta\right)^{\star}\right\} \\
& =\langle | \hat{\mu}\left|,\left(L_{x} f \Delta\right)^{\star}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\int f(x y) d|\mu|(y)=\langle | \mu\left|, L_{x} y\right\rangle=\langle | \hat{\mu}\left|,\left(L_{x} f \Delta\right)^{\star}\right\rangle=\int f\left(x y^{-1}\right) \Delta\left(y^{-1}\right) d|\hat{\mu}|(y)
$$

Hence for all $\nu \in L^{1}(G)$, we have $\langle | \mu|f, \nu\rangle=\langle f *| \hat{\mu}|, \nu\rangle$, i.e. $|\mu| f=f *|\hat{\mu}|$.
It is easy to see that for all $f \in L^{\infty}(G)^{+}$with compact support $\langle | \mu|f, \nu\rangle=\langle f *| \hat{\mu}|, \nu\rangle$ $\left(\nu \in L^{1}(G)\right)$. Also, it is obvious that for $g \in L^{\infty}(G)$ with compact support $\mu g=g * \hat{\mu}$.

Now by an argument similar to the proof in ([3], Theorem 3.5), we have $\left|\rho_{\mu}^{*}\right|=\rho_{|\mu|^{*}}$ for all $\mu \in M(G)$.
2) For $f \in L^{\infty}(G)^{+}$,

$$
\left|T_{n}\right|(f)=\sup \left\{|n g| ; g \in L^{\infty}(G),|g| \leq f\right\}=\sup \left\{|E \mu g| ; g \in L^{\infty}(G),|g| \leq f\right\}
$$

But for $g \in L^{\infty}(G)$ and $\nu \in L^{1}(G)^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle | E \mu g|, \nu\rangle & =\sup \left\{|\langle E \mu g, \eta\rangle| ; \eta \in L^{1}(G),|\eta| \leq \nu\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{|\langle g, \eta * \mu\rangle| ; \eta \in L^{1}(G),|\eta| \leq \nu\right\}=\langle | \rho_{\mu}^{*}(g)|, \nu\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $|E \mu g|=\left|\rho_{\mu}^{*}(g)\right|$. Consequently,

$$
\left|T_{n}\right|(f)=\sup \left\{\left|\rho_{\mu}^{*}(g)\right| ; g \in L^{\infty}(G),|g| \leq f\right\}=\left|\rho_{\mu}^{*}\right|(f)
$$

But by (1), $\left|\rho_{\mu}^{*}\right|(f)=\rho_{|\mu|}{ }^{*}(f)=|\mu| f$, so $\left|T_{n}\right|(f)=|\mu| f$. On the other hand, $\pi(|n|)=|\mu|$. Indeed, for $f \in L^{\infty}(G)^{+}$and $\nu \in L^{1}(G)^{+}$,

$$
\langle\nu \pi(|n|), f\rangle=\langle\nu| n|, f\rangle=\langle | n|, f \nu\rangle=\sup \left\{|\langle n, g\rangle| ; g \in L^{\infty}(G),|g| \leq f \nu\right\}
$$

Now, if $g \in L^{\infty}(G)$ and $|g| \leq f \nu$, we have $|\langle n, g\rangle|=\left|\lim \left\langle e_{\alpha} * \mu, g\right\rangle\right| \leq \lim \left|\left\langle e_{\alpha} * \mu, g\right\rangle\right| \leq$ $\langle | \mu|, f \nu\rangle=\langle\nu *| \mu|, f\rangle$. Consequently, $\nu \pi(|n|) \leq \nu *|\mu|$. But by ([3], Theorem 3.1) $\rho_{|\mu|}=\left|\rho_{\mu}\right|$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu *|\mu| & =\rho_{|\mu|}(\nu)=\left|\rho_{\mu}\right|(\nu)=\sup \left\{|\eta * \mu| ; \eta \in L^{1}(G),|\eta| \leq \nu\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{|\eta n| ; \eta \in L^{1}(G),|\eta| \leq \nu\right\} \leq \nu|n|=\nu \pi(|n|)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that for all $\nu \in L^{1}(G)$ and $\nu \geq 0$, we have $\nu|n|=\nu *|\mu|$. Therefore $\pi(|n|)=|\mu|$.
3) Let $n \in \operatorname{LUC}(G)^{\perp}$ and $n \neq 0$. For $f \in L^{\infty}(G)$ with $f \geq 0$, we have $\left|T_{n}\right|(f)=$ $\sup \left\{|n g| ; g \in L^{\infty}(G),|g| \leq f\right\}=0$. On the other hand, since $n \neq 0$, there exists $g \in L^{\infty}(G)$ such that $\langle n, g\rangle \neq 0$. Now we take $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|g| \leq k 1$. For $\mu \in L^{1}(G)^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(G)|\langle n, g\rangle| & \leq \mu(G) \sup \left\{|\langle n, h\rangle| ; h \in L^{\infty}(G),|h| \leq k 1\right\} \\
& =\mu(G) k\langle | n|, 1\rangle=k\langle | n|1, \mu\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently $|n| 1 \neq 0$, i.e. $T_{|n|} \neq 0$.
For $n \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$, we define $\rho_{n}: L^{1}(G)^{* *} \rightarrow L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ by $\rho_{n}(F)=F n$. The operator $\rho_{n}$ is called a right multiplier on $L^{1}(G)^{* *}$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $n=\Gamma_{E}(\mu)$, where $E$ is a weak*-limit a bounded approximate identity in $L^{1}(G)$ and $\mu \in M(G)$. The following statements hold:
(1) $\left|\rho_{n}\right|(\nu)=\rho_{|n|}(\nu)$, for all $\nu \in L^{1}(G)$.
(2) If $\left|\rho_{n}\right|$ is weak*-weak* continuous, then $\left|\rho_{n}\right|=\rho_{|n|}$.
(3) If $m \in \operatorname{LUC}(G)^{\perp}$ and $m \neq 0$, then $\left|\rho_{m}\right| \neq \rho_{|m|}$.

Proof. Since $\mu \in M(G)$, for all $\nu \in L^{1}(G)$, we have $\nu n \in L^{1}(G)$. Hence there exists a measure $\eta \in M(G)$ such that $\nu n=\nu * \eta$ for all $\nu \in L^{1}(G)$ (since $\nu \rightarrow \nu n$ is a right multiplier on $\left.L^{1}(G)\right)$. It is easy to see that $\mu=\eta$.

Now for all $\nu \in L^{1}(G)^{+}$, we can write $\left|\rho_{n}\right|(\nu)=\sup \left\{|F n| ; F \in L^{1}(G)^{* *},|F| \leq \nu\right\}$. But $L^{1}(G)$ is a solid sublattice of $L^{1}(G)^{* *}([5]$, p.234), hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\rho_{n}\right|(\nu) & =\sup \left\{\left|\eta_{1} n\right| ; \eta_{1} \in L^{1}(G),\left|\eta_{1}\right| \leq \nu\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\left|\eta_{1} * \mu\right| ; \eta_{1} \in L^{1}(G),\left|\eta_{1}\right| \leq \nu\right\}=\left|\rho_{\mu}\right|(\nu)=\nu *|\mu|
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $\nu *|\mu|=\nu \pi(|n|)=\nu|n|$. Consequently, for all $\nu \in L^{1}(G),\left|\rho_{n}\right|(\nu)=$ $\rho_{|n|}(\nu)$.
2) By (1) and the Goldestines theorem, we have $\left|\rho_{n}\right|=\rho_{|n|}$.
3) If $m \in L U C(G)^{\perp}$, then for all $\nu \in L^{1}(G), \nu m=0$. So for $\mu \in L^{1}(G)^{+}$, we have $\left|\rho_{m}\right|(\mu)=\sup \left\{|\nu m| ; \nu \in L^{1}(G),|\nu| \leq \mu\right\}=0$. By a similar argument as given in part (3) of Proposition 2.2, for all $\mu \in L^{1}(G)^{+}$, we have $\mu|m| \neq 0$, i.e. $\rho_{|m|}(\mu) \neq 0$. Consequently $\left|\rho_{m}\right| \neq \rho_{|m|}$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $G$ be a compact group and $\mu \in L^{1}(G)$. The following statements hold:
(1) $\left\{|P \mu| ; P \in L^{1}(G)^{* *},|P| \leq F\right\}=\left\{|P \mu| ; P \in L^{1}(G)^{* *},|P| \leq E F\right\}$ where $E$ is a weak* limit positive approximate identity with norm one in $L^{1}(G)$ and $F \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ with $F \geq 0$.
(2) $\left|\rho_{\mu}\right|=\rho_{|\mu|}$.

Proof. Let $F \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ and $F \geq 0$. If $P \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ and $|P| \leq F$, then $|E P| \leq E F$ and $E P \mu=P \mu$. Indeed, since $G$ is compact, $L^{1}(G)$ is an ideal in $L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ [4], hence $E P_{\mu}=P_{\mu}$. Consequently

$$
\left\{|P \mu| ; P \in L^{1}(G)^{* *},|P| \leq F\right\} \subseteq\left\{|P \mu| ; P \in L^{1}(G)^{* *},|P| \leq E F\right\}
$$

To prove the reverse inclusion, let $P \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ and $|P| \leq E F$. Since $G$ is compact, $\pi(P)$ and $\pi(F)$ are measures in $M(G)$. If $\pi(P)=\nu$ and $\pi(F)=\eta$, then for $f \in C(G)$, $|\langle\nu, f\rangle| \leq\langle\eta| f,| \rangle=\langle F| f,| \rangle$. So we can choose a $P_{1} \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$ such that $\left|P_{1}\right| \leq F$ and $\langle\nu, f\rangle=\left\langle P_{1}, f\right\rangle$ for all $f \in C(G)$. Hence for $f \in C(G)$, we have $\left\langle P_{1} \mu, f\right\rangle=\left\langle P_{1}, \mu f\right\rangle=$ $\langle\nu, \mu f\rangle=\langle P, \mu f\rangle=\langle P \mu, f\rangle$, i.e. $P \mu=P_{1} \mu$. Consequently,

$$
\left\{|P \mu| ; P \in L^{1}(G)^{* *},|P| \leq F\right\}=\left\{|P \mu| ; P \in L^{1}(G)^{* *},|P| \leq E F\right\}
$$

2) Let $F \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}, F \geq 0$ and $\pi(F)=\eta$. It is easy to see that

$$
\left\{|P \mu| ; P \in L^{1}(G)^{* *},|P| \leq E F\right\}=\{|\nu * \mu| ; \nu \in M(G),|\nu| \leq \eta\}
$$

So by (1), $\left|\rho_{\mu}\right|(F)=\eta *|\mu|=F|\mu|$. Indeed, since $G$ is compact, $F|\mu| \leq L^{1}(G)$, and any $f \in C(G)$ is of the form $f=g \nu$ for some $g \in L^{\infty}(G)$ and $\nu \in L^{1}(G)$. Hence

$$
\langle F| \mu|, f\rangle=\langle F| \mu|, g \nu\rangle=\langle\nu F| \mu|, g\rangle=\langle\nu * \eta *| \mu|, g\rangle=\langle\eta *| \mu|, g \nu\rangle=\langle\eta *| \mu|, f\rangle .
$$

Consequently $\rho_{|\mu|}=\left|\rho_{\mu}\right|$.
For $n \in L^{1}(G)^{* *}$, we denote $\lambda_{n}$ as a left multiplier on $L^{1}(G)^{* *}$. We know that $\left|\lambda_{n}\right|$ is a left multiplier if and only if $\left|\lambda_{n}\right|=\lambda_{|n|}$ ([3], Lemma 3.6). For $n \in L U C(G)^{\perp},\left|\rho_{n}\right|(\nu)=0$ $\left(\nu \in L^{1}(G)\right)$. If $\left|\rho_{n}\right|$ is a right multiplier, then $\left|\rho_{n}\right|$ is weak*-weak* continuous, so $\left|\rho_{n}\right|=0$. Moreover, if $n \neq 0$, then $\rho_{|n|} \neq 0$, i.e. $\left|\rho_{n}\right| \neq \rho_{|n|}$. Also, it is not known whether for any $\mu \in M(G)$, we have $\left|\rho_{\mu}^{* *}\right|=\left.\rho_{|\mu|}\right|^{* *}$. In the following Corollary we give some cases where the equality holds.

Corollary 2.5. For $\mu \in L^{1}(G),\left|\rho_{\mu}^{* *}\right|=\rho_{|\mu|}{ }^{* *}$ whenever one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $G$ is a compact group.
(2) $\left|\rho_{\mu}^{* *}\right|$ is compact.
(3) $\left|\rho_{\mu}^{* *}\right|$ is weak*-weak* continuous.

Proof. Assume that (1) holds. By Theorem 2.4, $\left|\rho_{\mu}^{* *}\right|=\rho_{|\mu|^{* *}}$. If (2) holds, since $\left|\rho_{\mu}^{* *}\right|$ is compact, so $\left|\rho_{\mu}\right|: L^{1}(G) \rightarrow L^{1}(G)$ is compact. Consequently $G$ is compact [7]. The statement follows from (1). Suppose (3) holds. By Theorem 2.3, $\left|\rho_{\mu}^{* *}\right|=\rho_{|\mu|}{ }^{* *}$.
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