

## SOME NEW INVERSE TYPE HILBERT-PACHPATTE INEQUALITIES

ZHONGXUE LÜ

**Abstract.** In this paper, some new inverse type Hilbert-Pachpatte inequalities are given.

### 1. Introduction

In [1, p.253] the following extension of Hilber's double-series theorem is given.

**Theorem A.** Let  $p > 1$ ,  $q > 1$ ,  $1/p + 1/q \geq 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda = 2 - 1/p - 1/q = 1/p + 1/q \geq 1$ . Then

$$\sum_1^{\infty} \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^{\lambda}} \leq K \left( \sum_1^{\infty} a_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_1^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{1/q}$$

where  $K = K(p, q)$  depends on  $p$  and  $q$  only.

The following intergral analogue of Theorem A is also given in [1, p.254].

**Theorem B.** Under the same conditions as in Theorem A we have

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^{\lambda}} dx dy \leq K \left( \int_0^{\infty} f^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^{\infty} g^q dy \right)^{1/q}$$

where  $K = K(p, q)$  depends on  $p$  and  $q$  only.

In [2] some new inequalities similar to the inequalities given in Theorem A and Theorem B were established.

**Theorem C.** Let  $p > 1$ ,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Let  $a(s) : N_m \rightarrow R$ ,  $b(t) : N_n \rightarrow R$ , and  $a(0) = b(0) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{|a(s)| |b(t)|}{qs^{p-1} + pt^{q-1}} \\ & \leq M(p, q, m, n) \left( \sum_{s=1}^m (m-s+1) |\nabla a(s)|^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{t=1}^n (n-t+1) |\nabla b(t)|^q \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

---

Received March 22, 2002, revised April 25, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Inequalities, Hilbert's inequality, Hilbert's double-series theorem, integral analogue, Hölder's inequality, Pachpatte's inequality.

where  $M(p, q, m, n) = \frac{1}{pq}m^{(p-1)/p}n^{(q-1)/q}$ .

**Theorem D.** Let  $p > 1, 1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Let  $f(s)$  and  $g(t)$  be real-valued continuous functions defined on  $I_x$  and  $I_y$ , respectively, and let  $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ . Then

$$\int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{|f(s)| |g(t)|}{qs^{p-1} + pt^{q-1}} ds dt \\ \leq K(p, q, x, y) \left( \int_0^x (x-s) |f'(s)|^p ds \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^y (y-t) |g'(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/q}$$

where  $K(p, q, x, y) = \frac{1}{pq}x^{(p-1)/p}y^{(q-1)/q}$ .

**Theorem E.** Let  $p > 1, 1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Let  $a(s, t) : N_x \times N_y \rightarrow R, b(k, r) : N_z \times N_w \rightarrow R$ , and  $a(0, t) = b(0, t) = 0, b(s, 0) = b(s, 0) = 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{s=1}^x \sum_{t=1}^y \left( \sum_{k=1}^z \sum_{r=1}^w \frac{|a(s, t)| |b(k, r)|}{q(st)^{p-1} + p(kr)^{q-1}} \right) \\ \leq L(p, q, x, y, z, w) \left( \sum_{s=1}^x \sum_{t=1}^y (x-s+1)(y-t+1) |\nabla_2 \nabla_1 a(s, t)|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ \times \left( \sum_{k=1}^z \sum_{r=1}^w (z-k+1)(w-r+1) |\nabla_2 \nabla_1 b(k, r)|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

where  $L(p, q, x, y, z, w) = \frac{1}{pq}(xy)^{(p-1)/p}(zw)^{(q-1)/q}$ .

**Theorem F.** Let  $p > 1, 1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Let  $f(s, t)$  and  $g(k, r)$  be real-valued continuous functions defined on  $I_x \times I_y$  and  $I_z \times I_w$ , respectively, and let  $f(0, t) = g(0, t) = 0, f(s, 0) = g(s, 0) = 0$ . Then

$$\int_0^x \int_0^y \left( \int_0^z \int_0^w \frac{|f(s, t)| |g(k, r)|}{q(st)^{p-1} + p(kr)^{q-1}} dk dr \right) ds dt \\ \leq C(p, q, x, y, z, w) \left( \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)(y-t) |D_2 D_1 f(s, t)|^p ds dt \right)^{1/p} \\ \times \left( \int_0^z \int_0^w (z-k)(w-r) |D_2 D_1 g(k, r)|^q dk dr \right)^{1/q}$$

where  $C(p, q, x, y, z, w) = \frac{1}{pq}(xy)^{(p-1)/p}(zw)^{(q-1)/q}$ .

In this paper, we show some new inverse type inequalities on above Theorem C, D, E, F.

## 2. Statement of Results

In what follows we denote by  $R$  the set of real numbers. Let  $N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $N_\lambda = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, \lambda\}$ ,  $\lambda \in N$ . We define the operator  $\nabla$  by  $\nabla u(t) = u(t) -$

$u(t-1)$  for any function  $u$  defined on  $N_0$ . For a function  $v(s, t) : N_0 \times N_0 \rightarrow R$ , we define the operators  $\nabla_1 v(s, t) = v(s, t) - v(s-1, t)$ ,  $\nabla_2 v(s, t) = v(s, t) - v(s, t-1)$ , and  $\nabla_2 \nabla_1 v(s, t) = \nabla_2(\nabla_1 v(s, t)) = \nabla_1(\nabla_2 v(s, t))$ . Let  $I = [0, \infty)$ ,  $I_0 = (0, \infty)$ ,  $I_\beta = [0, \beta]$ ,  $\beta \in I_0$ , denote the subintervals of  $R$ . For any function  $u : I \rightarrow R$ , we denote by  $u'$  the derivatives of  $u$ , and for the function  $u(s, t) : I \times I \rightarrow R$ , we denote the partial derivatives  $(\partial/\partial s)u(s, t)$ ,  $(\partial/\partial t)u(s, t)$ , and  $(\partial^2/\partial s\partial t)u(s, t)$  by  $D_1 u(s, t)$ ,  $D_2 u(s, t)$ , and  $D_2 D_1 u(s, t) = D_1 D_2 u(s, t)$ , respectively.

Our main result is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$  or  $p < 0, 1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Let  $a(s) : N_m \rightarrow R$ ,  $b(t) : N_n \rightarrow R$ , and  $\nabla a(s) > 0, \nabla b(t) > 0$ ,  $a(0) = b(0) = 0$ . Then*

$$\sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{a(s)b(t)}{s^{1/p}t^{1/q}} \geq m^{1/q} n^{1/p} \left( \sum_{s=1}^m (m-s+1)(\nabla a(s))^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{t=1}^n (n-t+1)(\nabla b(t))^q \right)^{1/q} \quad (1)$$

for  $m, n \in N$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$  or  $p < 0, 1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Let  $f(s)$  and  $g(t)$  be real-valued continuous functions defined on  $I_x$  and  $I_y$ , respectively,  $f'(s) > 0$  and  $g'(t) > 0$ , and let  $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ . Then*

$$\int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{f(s)g(t)}{s^{1/p}t^{1/q}} ds dt \geq x^{1/q} y^{1/p} \left( \int_0^x (x-s)f'(s)^p ds \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^y (y-t)g'(t)^q dt \right)^{1/q} \quad (2)$$

for  $x, y \in I_0$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$  or  $p < 0, 1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Let  $a(s, t) : N_x \times N_y \rightarrow R$ ,  $b(k, r) : N_z \times N_w \rightarrow R$ , and  $\nabla_1 a(s, t) > 0, \nabla_2 a(s, t) > 0, \nabla_1 b(s, t) > 0, \nabla_2 b(s, t) > 0$ ,  $a(0, t) = b(0, t) = 0, a(s, 0) = b(s, 0) = 0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^x \sum_{t=1}^y \left( \sum_{k=1}^z \sum_{r=1}^w \frac{a(s, t)b(k, r)}{(st)^{1/q}(kr)^{1/p}} \right) \\ & \geq (xy)^{1/q} (zw)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{s=1}^x \sum_{t=1}^y (x-s+1)(y-t+1)(\nabla_2 \nabla_1 a(s, t))^p \right)^{1/p} \\ & \quad \times \left( \sum_{k=1}^z \sum_{r=1}^w (z-k+1)(w-r+1)(\nabla_2 \nabla_1 b(k, r))^q \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for  $x, y, z, w \in N$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $0 < p < 1$  or  $p < 0, 1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Let  $f(s, t)$  and  $g(k, r)$  be real-valued continuous functions defined on  $I_x \times I_y$  and  $I_z \times I_w$ , respectively, and let  $D_1 f(s, t) > 0, D_2 f(s, t) > 0, D_1 g(s, t) > 0, D_2 g(s, t) > 0, f(0, t) = g(0, t) = 0$ ,*

$f(s, 0) = g(s, 0) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^x \int_0^y \left( \int_0^z \int_0^w \frac{f(s, t)g(k, r)}{(st)^{1/q}(kr)^{1/p}} dk dr \right) ds dt \\ & \geq (xy)^{1/q}(zw)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)(y-t)(D_2 D_1 f(s, t))^p ds dt \right)^{1/p} \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_0^z \int_0^w (z-k)(w-r)(D_2 D_1 g(k, r))^q dk dr \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for  $x, y, z, w \in I_0$ .

### 3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

From the hypotheses of Theorem 1, it is easy to note that

$$a(s) = \sum_{\tau=1}^s \nabla a(\tau), \quad b(t) = \sum_{\delta=1}^t \nabla b(\delta) \quad (5)$$

From (5) and in view of the special case of the Hölder inequality, we have

$$a(s) \geq s^{1/q} \left( \sum_{\tau=1}^s (\nabla a(\tau))^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad (6)$$

$$b(t) \geq t^{1/p} \left( \sum_{\delta=1}^t (\nabla b(\delta))^q \right)^{1/q} \quad (7)$$

for  $s \in N_m, t \in N_n$ . By (6) and (7) it follows that

$$\frac{a(s)b(t)}{s^{1/q}t^{1/p}} \geq \left( \sum_{\tau=1}^s (\nabla a(\tau))^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{\delta=1}^t (\nabla b(\delta))^q \right)^{1/q} \quad (8)$$

for  $s \in N_m, t \in N_n$ . Taking the sum on both sides of (8) over  $t$  from 1 to  $n$  first and taking the sum on both sides of the resulting inequality over  $s$  from 1 to  $m$  and using the special case of the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{a(s)b(t)}{s^{1/q}t^{1/p}} \geq \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^m \left( \sum_{\tau=1}^s (\nabla a(\tau))^p \right)^{1/p} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n \left( \sum_{\delta=1}^t (\nabla b(\delta))^q \right)^{1/q} \right\} \\ & \geq m^{1/q} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^m \left( \sum_{\tau=1}^s (\nabla a(\tau))^p \right)^{1/p} \right\} n^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n \left( \sum_{\delta=1}^t (\nabla b(\delta))^q \right)^{1/q} \right\} \\ & = m^{1/q} n^{1/p} \left( \sum_{s=1}^m (m-s+1)(\nabla a(s))^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{t=1}^n (n-t+1)(\nabla b(t))^q \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The proof is complete.

From the hypotheses of Theorem 2, it is easy to note that

$$f(s) = \int_0^s f'(\tau) d\tau, \quad g(t) = \int_0^t g'(\delta) d\delta \quad (10)$$

From (10) and in view of the special case of the Hölder integral inequality, we have

$$f(s) \geq s^{1/q} \left( \int_0^s (f'(\tau))^p d\tau \right)^{1/p}, \quad (11)$$

$$g(t) \geq t^{1/p} \left( \int_0^t (g'(\delta))^q d\delta \right)^{1/q} \quad (12)$$

for  $s \in I_x$ ,  $t \in I_y$ . By (11) and (12) it follows that

$$\frac{f(s)g(t)}{s^{1/q}t^{1/p}} \geq \left( \int_0^s (f'(\tau))^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^t (g'(\delta))^q d\delta \right)^{1/q} \quad (13)$$

for  $s \in I_x$ ,  $t \in I_y$ . Integrating over  $t$  from 0 to  $y$  first and integrating the resulting inequality over  $s$  from 0 to  $x$  and using the special case of the Hölder integral inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{f(s)g(t)}{s^{1/q}t^{1/p}} ds dt &\geq \left\{ \int_0^x \left( \int_0^s (f'(\tau))^p d\tau \right)^{1/p} ds \right\} \left\{ \int_0^y \left( \int_0^t (g'(\delta))^q d\delta \right)^{1/q} dt \right\} \\ &\geq x^{1/q} \left\{ \int_0^x \left( \int_0^s (f'(\tau))^p d\tau \right) ds \right\}^{1/p} y^{1/p} \left\{ \int_0^y \left( \int_0^t (g'(\delta))^q d\delta \right) ds \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= x^{1/q} y^{1/p} \left( \int_0^x (x-s)(f'(s))^p ds \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^y (y-t)(g'(t))^q dt \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The proof is complete.

#### 4. Proofs of Theorems 3 and 4

From the hypotheses of Theorem 3, it is easy to observe that

$$a(s, t) = \sum_{\xi=1}^s \sum_{\eta=1}^t \nabla_2 \nabla_1 a(\xi, \eta), \quad b(k, r) = \sum_{\delta=1}^k \sum_{\tau=1}^r \nabla_2 \nabla_1 b(\delta, \tau) \quad (15)$$

for  $(s, t) \in N_x \times N_y$ ,  $(k, r) \in N_z \times N_w$ . From (15) and in view of the special case of the Hölder inequality, we have

$$a(s, t) \geq (st)^{1/q} \left( \sum_{\xi=1}^s \sum_{\eta=1}^t (\nabla_2 \nabla_1 a(\xi, \eta))^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad (16)$$

$$b(k, r) \geq (kr)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{\delta=1}^k \sum_{\tau=1}^r (\nabla_2 \nabla_1 b(\delta, \tau))^q \right)^{1/q} \quad (17)$$

for  $(s, t) \in N_x \times N_y$ ,  $(k, r) \in N_z \times N_w$ . By (16) and (17) it follows that

$$\frac{a(s, t)b(k, r)}{(st)^{1/q}(kr)^{1/p}} \geq \left( \sum_{\xi=1}^s \sum_{\eta=1}^t (\nabla_2 \nabla_1 a(\xi, \eta))^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{\delta=1}^k \sum_{\tau=1}^r (\nabla_2 \nabla_1 b(\delta, \tau))^q \right)^{1/q} \quad (18)$$

for  $(s, t) \in N_x \times N_y$ ,  $(k, r) \in N_z \times N_w$ . Taking the sum on both sides of (18) first over  $r$  from 1 to  $w$  and then over  $k$  from 1 to  $z$  and taking the sum on both sides of the resulting inequality first over  $t$  from 1 to  $y$  and then over  $s$  from 1 to  $x$  and using the special case of the Hölder inequality and interchanging the order of the summations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^x \sum_{t=1}^y \left( \sum_{k=1}^z \sum_{r=1}^w \frac{a(s, t)b(k, r)}{(st)^{1/q}(kr)^{1/p}} \right) \\ & \geq \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^x \sum_{t=1}^y \left( \sum_{\xi=1}^s \sum_{\eta=1}^t (\nabla_2 \nabla_1 a(\xi, \eta))^p \right)^{1/p} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^z \sum_{r=1}^w \left( \sum_{\delta=1}^k \sum_{\tau=1}^r (\nabla_2 \nabla_1 b(\delta, \tau))^q \right)^{1/q} \right\} \\ & \geq (xy)^{1/q} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^x \sum_{t=1}^y \left( \sum_{\xi=1}^s \sum_{\eta=1}^t (\nabla_2 \nabla_1 a(\xi, \eta))^p \right)^{1/p} \right\} \\ & \quad \times (zw)^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^z \sum_{r=1}^w \left( \sum_{\delta=1}^k \sum_{\tau=1}^r (\nabla_2 \nabla_1 b(\delta, \tau))^q \right)^{1/q} \right\} \\ & = (xy)^{1/q} (zw)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{s=1}^x \sum_{t=1}^y (s-t+1)(y-t+1) (\nabla_2 \nabla_1 a(s, t))^p \right)^{1/p} \\ & \quad \times \left( \sum_{k=1}^z \sum_{r=1}^w (z-k+1)(w-r+1) (\nabla_2 \nabla_1 b(k, r))^q \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The proof is complete.

From the hypotheses of Theorem 4, we have the following identities

$$f(s, t) = \int_0^s \int_0^t D_2 D_1 f(\xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta, \quad g(k, r) = \int_0^k \int_0^r D_2 D_1 g(\delta, \tau) d\delta d\tau \quad (20)$$

for  $(s, t) \in I_s \times I_t$ ,  $(k, r) \in I_z \times I_w$ . From (20) and in view of the special case of the Hölder integral inequality, we have

$$f(s, t) \geq (st)^{1/q} \left( \int_0^s \int_0^t (D_2 D_1 f(\xi, \tau))^p d\tau d\xi \right)^{1/p}, \quad (21)$$

$$g(k, r) \geq (kr)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^k \int_0^r (D_2 D_1 g(\delta, \tau))^q d\tau d\delta \right)^{1/q} \quad (22)$$

for  $(s, t) \in I_s \times I_t$ ,  $(k, r) \in I_z \times I_w$ . By (21) and (22) it follows that

$$\frac{f(s, t)g(k, r)}{(st)^{1/q}(kr)^{1/p}} \geq \left( \int_0^s \int_0^t (D_2 D_1 f(\xi, \eta))^p d\xi d\eta \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^k \int_0^r (D_2 D_1 g(\delta, \tau))^q d\delta d\tau \right)^{1/q} \quad (23)$$

for  $(s, t) \in I_s \times I_t$ ,  $(k, r) \in I_z \times I_w$ . Integrating both sides of (23) first over  $r$  from 0 to  $w$  and then over  $k$  from 0 to  $z$  and integrating both sides of the resulting inequality over  $t$  from 0 to  $y$  and over  $s$  from 0 to  $x$  and using the special case of the Hölder integral inequality and Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^x \int_0^y \left( \int_0^z \int_0^w \frac{f(s, t)g(k, r)}{(st)^{1/q}(kr)^{1/p}} dk dr \right) ds dt \\ & \geq \left\{ \int_0^x \int_0^y \left( \int_0^s \int_0^t (D_2 D_1 f(\xi, \eta))^p d\xi d\eta \right)^{1/p} ds dt \right\} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \int_0^z \int_0^w \left( \int_0^k \int_0^r (D_2 D_1 g(\delta, \tau))^q d\delta d\tau \right)^{1/q} dk dr \right\} \\ & \geq (xy)^{1/q} \left\{ \int_0^x \int_0^y \left( \int_0^s \int_0^t (D_2 D_1 f(\xi, \eta))^p d\xi d\eta \right) ds dt \right\}^{1/p} \\ & \quad \times (zw)^{1/p} \left\{ \int_0^z \int_0^w \left( \int_0^k \int_0^r (D_2 D_1 g(\delta, \tau))^q d\delta d\tau \right) dk dr \right\}^{1/q} \\ & = (xy)^{1/q} (zw)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^x \int_0^y (x-s)(y-t) (D_2 D_1 f(s, t))^p ds dt \right)^{1/p} \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_0^z \int_0^w (z-k)(w-r) (D_2 D_1 g(k, r))^q dk dr \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The proof is complete.

## References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1952.
- [2] B. G. Pachpatte, *Inequalities similar to certain extensions of Hilbert's inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **243**(2000), 217-227.

Department of Basic Science of Technology College, Xuzhou Normal University, 221011, People's Republic of China.

E-mail: lvzx1@pub.xz.jsinfo.net