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Abstract. Recently C. Ramesha and others have derived a sufficient condition for functions analytic in the unit disc to be starlike. Subsequently M. Nunokowa and others have improved the earlier results of C. Ramesha and others. In this paper we generalise the results of C. Ramesha and others in a different direction and obtain sufficient conditions for \( f(z) \) to be close-to-convex in the unit disc \( E \).

1. Introduction

Let \( A \) denote the class of functions, which are analytic in the unit disc \( E \) and normalized by the conditions \( f(0) = 0; \ f'(0) = 1 \). Let \( S \) denote the subclass of \( A \), containing univalent functions. Let \( S^* \) denote the subclass of \( S \) containing functions which are starlike with respect to the origin. We say that \( f \in S^*(\alpha) \), \( 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \), if and only if \( \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha, \ z \in E \). \( S^*(0) = S^* \) is the class of starlike functions in \( E \). \( f \in A \) is said to be close-to-convex in \( E \), if there exists a function \( g \in S^* \) and a real number \( \eta \), \( |\eta| < \frac{\pi}{2} \), such that \( \text{Re} \left\{ e^{i\eta}zf'(z)/g(z) \right\} > 0, \ z \in E \). The class of close-to-convex is denoted by \( C \). Sufficient conditions for functions to be starlike or convex have been investigated frequently by different authors.

Sufficient criteria for convexity involving higher order derivatives of \( f \) are investigated by H. Silverman [5]. More recently H. Silverman [6] considered the class \( G_b \) consisting of normalized functions \( f \) defined by \( G_b = \{ f : \left| \frac{1 + zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| - 1 < b, z \in E \} \) and found sharp values of \( b \) for which \( G_b \subset S^*(\alpha) \), \( \frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1 \) and also found the values of \( b \) for which \( G_b \subset K \), the class of convex functions in \( E \). We note that Theorems 1 and 2 proved by him in [6] also holds for the meromorphic case with same type of argument. Recently C. Ramesha et al. [3] derived sufficient conditions for \( f \in A \) to lie in \( S^* \).

Subsequently M. Nunokowa and others [2] improved their result. In this paper we generalise the result in [3], in a different direction and obtain sufficient conditions for \( f(z) \) to be in \( C \). We require the following lemmas to prove our main results.
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2. Main Results

**Theorem 1.** Let \( M_b = \left\{ f : \left| \frac{1+zf''(z)}{f(z)} \right| < b, \quad z \in E\setminus0 \right\} \) where \( f \) is given by \( f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots \) with the condition \( f(z)f'(z) \neq 0 \) in \( z \in E\setminus0 \). If \( 0 < b \leq 1 \) and \( f \in M_b \), then \( f \) is meromorphic starlike of order \( 2/(1 + \sqrt{1 + 8b}) \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( f \in A \) with \( f(z)/z \neq 0 \), \( z \in E \) and satisfy the condition
\[
\text{Re} \left\{ \alpha z^2 f''(z)/g(z) + z f'(z)/g(z) \right\} > -\alpha/2
\]
where \( g \in S^* \) and
\[
\text{Re} \left\{ g'(z)/f'(z) \right\} \geq -1/2.
\]
Then \( f \in C \) for any \( \alpha \geq 0 \).

**Theorem 3.** Let \( f \in A \) with \( f(z)/z \neq 0 \), and let \( f \) satisfy the condition
\[
\text{Re} \left\{ \alpha z^2 f''(z)/g(z) + z f'(z)/g(z) \right\} > -\alpha \left( 1 - \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right| \right)^2
\]
where \( g \in S^* \) and \( \left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right| < 1 \) for \( z \in E \). Then \( \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > 0 \) for \( z \in E \), that is \( f \in C \).

**Theorem 4.** For \( 0 < \beta < \alpha \), \( C(\alpha) \subset C(\beta) \) where \( C(\alpha) \) is the class of function \( f \) satisfying the condition of Theorem 1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** The details of proof of Theorem 1 are omitted, since they are exactly same as the proof of Theorem 1 in [6].

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Let \( \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)} \), where \( \omega(z) \) is analytic in \( E \), with \( \omega(0) = 0 \) and \( \omega(z) \neq 1 \). Then
\[
\alpha z^2 \frac{f''(z)}{g(z)} + z \frac{f'(z)}{g(z)} = \alpha \left\{ \frac{2z\omega'(z)}{(1-\omega(z))^2} + \left( \frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)} \right)^2 \frac{g'(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} + (1-\alpha) \frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}
\]
We claim that \(|\omega(z)| < 1\). If there exists a \(z_0\) in \(E\) such that \(|\omega(z_0)| = 1\), then by Lemma 1, we have \(z_0\omega'(z_0) = k\omega(z_0)\) for some \(k \geq 1\).

Let \(\omega(z_0) = e^{i\theta_0}\) for some \(\theta_0\) with \(0 \leq \theta_0 \leq 2\pi\). Using this in (4), on simplification, we obtain

\[
\text{Re} \alpha z^2 \frac{f''(z_0)}{g(z_0)} + z_0 \frac{f'(z_0)}{g(z_0)} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} \left( k + 2 \cos^2 \left( \frac{\theta_0}{2} \right) \text{Re} \left( \frac{\omega'(z_0)}{\omega(z_0)} \right) \right) \leq -\frac{\alpha}{2}
\]

which follows since \(\text{Re} \left( \frac{\omega'(z_0)}{\omega(z_0)} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\).

Thus we get a contradiction to (1) proving that \(z_0\) is also true for all \(\beta\) where \(\beta = 0\).

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Setting \(p(z) = \frac{z^2 f'(z_0)}{g(z)}\), we obtain after simplification

\[
\text{Re} \alpha z^2 \frac{f''(z)}{g(z)} + z \frac{f'(z)}{g(z)} = \alpha z p''(z) + \alpha z p(z) \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} + (1 - \alpha) p(z).
\]

If \(\text{Re} p(z) > 0\), for \(|z| < |z_0| < 1\) and \(\text{Re} p(z_0) = 0\), then by Lemma (2), we have

\[
\text{Re} \left\{ \alpha z^2 \frac{f''(z_0)}{g(z_0)} + z \frac{f'(z_0)}{g(z_0)} \right\} = \text{Re} \left\{ \alpha z_0 p'(z_0) + \alpha p(z_0) z_0 \frac{g'(z_0)}{g(z_0)} + (1 - \alpha) p(z_0) \right\}
\leq -\frac{\alpha}{2} [1 + (\text{Im} p(z_0))^2] - \alpha \beta_0 \gamma_0
\]

where \(\beta_0 = \text{Im} z_0 \frac{g'(z_0)}{g(z_0)}, \gamma_0 = \text{Im} p(z_0) = \text{Im} z_0 \frac{f'(z_0)}{g(z_0)}\).

Right hand member of (5) becomes \(-\frac{\alpha}{2} [1 + \gamma_0^2 + 2 \gamma_0 \beta_0]\) which is \(< -\frac{\alpha}{2} (1 - |\gamma_0|^2)\), which is contradiction to (3). Therefore \(\text{Re} p(z) > 0\) for \(f \in E\). That is, \(f \in C\).

**Proof of Theorem 4.** \(f \in C(\alpha)\) implies that \(\alpha M + B > 0\) where

\[
B = \text{Re} \left( \frac{z f'(z)}{g(z)} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad M = \text{Re} \left( \frac{2 f''(z)}{g(z)} + \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

By Theorem 1, \(B > 0\) for \(f \in C(\alpha)\). If (2) holds for some fixed \(\alpha > 0\) we claim that it is also true for all \(\beta\) with \(0 < \beta < \alpha\). To see this we re-write (6) in the form \(M + \frac{B}{\beta} > 0\).

Since for \(0 < \beta < \alpha\),

\[
M + \frac{B}{\beta} > M + \frac{B}{\alpha} > 0.
\]

From (7) we get \(\beta M + B > 0\) which implies that \(f \in C(\beta)\).
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