



Chebyshev Type Inequalities Involving Generalized Katugampola Fractional Integral Operators

Erhan Set, Junesang Choi and İlker Mumcu

Abstract. A number of Chebyshev type inequalities involving various fractional integral operators have, recently, been presented. Here, motivated essentially by the earlier works and their applications in diverse research subjects, we aim to establish several Chebyshev type inequalities involving generalized Katugampola fractional integral operator. Relevant connections of the results presented here with those (known and new) involving relatively simpler and familiar fractional integral operators are also pointed out.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Many integral inequalities of various types have been presented in the literature. Among them, we choose to recall the following Chebyshev inequality (see [3]):

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x) dx \geq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where f and g are two integrable and synchronous functions on $[a, b]$. Here, two functions f and g are called *synchronous* on $[a, b]$ if

$$(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) \geq 0 \quad (x, y \in [a, b]).$$

The inequality (1.1) has many applications in diverse research subjects such as numerical quadrature, transform theory, probability, existence of solutions of differential equations and statistical problems. My authors have investigated generalizations of the Chebyshev inequality (1.1) which are called Chebyshev type inequalities. Take some examples. Niculescu and Roventa [8] proved that for two functions $f, g \in L^\infty([a, b])$, the Chebyshev inequality holds under the following assumption:

$$\left(f(x) - \frac{1}{x-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \right) \left(g(x) - \frac{1}{x-a} \int_a^b g(x) dx \right) \geq 0.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A33, 26D10, 33B20.

Key words and phrases. Gamma function, beta function, fractional integral operators, Katugampola fractional integral operators, Chebyshev inequality, Chebyshev type inequalities, synchronous. Corresponding author: Junesang Choi.

Dahmani et al. [5] established the Chebyshev inequality without synchronous function condition. Also, recently, many authors have presented Chebyshev type inequalities involving various fractional integral operators (see, e.g., [10] and the references therein). Sousa et al. [13] established two Grüss-type inequalities involving generalized Katugampola fractional integral operator (1.8).

Motivated by the above-cited works, in this paper, we aim to establish Chebyshev type inequalities with two synchronous functions involving generalized Katugampola fractional integral operator (1.8). Also, we present a Chebyshev type inequality without two synchronous function assumption by substituting another condition. Further, the results presented here, being very general, are pointed out to include various known and new inequalities involving some relatively simpler and familiar fractional integral operators as their special cases.

For our purpose, we recall some definitions. The beta function $B(\alpha, \beta)$ is defined by (see, e.g., [14, Section 1.1])

$$B(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1} dt & (\Re(\alpha) > 0; \Re(\beta) > 0) \\ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)} & (\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-), \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where Γ is the familiar Gamma function. Here and in the following, let $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{N}$, and \mathbb{Z}_0^- be the sets of complex numbers, real numbers, positive real numbers, positive integers, and non-positive integers, respectively, and let $\mathbb{R}_0^+ := \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$.

Let $[a, b]$ ($-\infty < a < b < \infty$) be a finite interval on the real axis \mathbb{R} . The Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals (left-sided) of a function f of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ are defined by (see, e.g., [2, 7, 9, 11])

$$(J_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt \quad (x > a). \tag{1.3}$$

The Liouville fractional integrals (left-sided) of a real function f of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ are defined by (see, e.g., [2, 7, 9, 11])

$$(I_{0+}^\alpha f)(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{(x-t)^{1-\alpha}} dt \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^+). \tag{1.4}$$

Let (a, b) ($-\infty < a < b < \infty$) be a finite or an infinite interval on the half-axis \mathbb{R}^+ . The Hadamard fractional integrals (left-sided) of a real function $f \in L(a, b)$ of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha) > 0$ are defined by (see, e.g., [2, 7, 9, 11])

$$(H_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \left(\log \frac{x}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{f(t)}{t} dt \quad (a < x < b). \tag{1.5}$$

Let (a, b) $(-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty)$ be a finite or an infinite interval on the half-axis \mathbb{R}^+ . Also, let $\Re(\alpha) > 0$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$. The Erdélyi-Kober fractional integrals (left-sided) of a real function $f \in L(a, b)$ of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ are defined by (see, e.g., [7, 9])

$$\left(I_{a+,\sigma,\eta}^\alpha f\right)(x) := \frac{\sigma x^{-\sigma(\alpha+\eta)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{t^{\sigma(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\alpha - t^\alpha)^{1-\alpha}} f(t) dt \quad (0 \leq a < x < b \leq \infty). \tag{1.6}$$

Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a finite interval. The Katugampola fractional integrals (left-sided) of a real function $f \in X_c^p(a, b)$ of order $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha > 0)$ and $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$ are defined by (see [6])

$$\left({}^\rho I_{a+}^\alpha f\right)(x) := \frac{\rho^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{t^{\rho-1}}{(x^\rho - t^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} f(t) dt \quad (x > a). \tag{1.7}$$

Here, the space $X_c^p(a, b)$ $(c \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty)$ consist of those complex-valued Lebesgue measurable functions φ on (a, b) for which $\|\varphi\|_{X_c^p} < \infty$, with

$$\|\varphi\|_{X_c^p} = \left(\int_a^b |x^c \varphi(x)|^p \frac{dx}{x}\right)^{1/p} \quad (1 \leq p < \infty)$$

and

$$\|\varphi\|_{X_c^p} = \text{esssup}_{x \in (a,b)} [x^c |\varphi(x)|].$$

Let $0 \leq a < x < b \leq \infty$. Also, let $\varphi \in X_c^p(a, b)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $\beta, \rho, \eta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the fractional integrals (left-sided and right-sided) of a function φ are defined, respectively, by (see [12])

$$\left({}^\rho I_{a+,\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} \varphi\right)(x) := \frac{\rho^{1-\beta} x^\kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \frac{\tau^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - \tau^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} \varphi(\tau) d\tau \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$\left({}^\rho I_{b-,\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} \varphi\right)(x) := \frac{\rho^{1-\beta} x^{\rho\eta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^b \frac{\tau^{\kappa+\rho-1}}{(x^\rho - \tau^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} \varphi(\tau) d\tau. \tag{1.9}$$

Remark 1.1. The fractional integral (1.8) contains five well-known fractional integrals as its particular cases (see also [13]):

- (i) Setting $\kappa = 0, \eta = 0$ and taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 1$ in (1.8), the integral operator (1.8) reduces to the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral (1.3) (see also [7, p. 69]).
- (ii) Setting $\kappa = 0, \eta = 0, a = 0$ and taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 1$ in (1.8), the integral operator (1.8) reduces to the Liouville fractional integral (1.4) (see also [7, p.79]).
- (iii) Setting $\beta = \alpha, \kappa = 0, \eta = 0$, and taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 0^+$ with L'Hôspital's rule in (1.8), the integral operator (1.8) reduces to the Hadamard fractional integral (1.5) (see also [7, p. 110]).
- (iv) Setting $\beta = 0$ and $\kappa = -\rho(\alpha + \eta)$ in (1.8), the integral operator (1.8) reduces to the Erdélyi-Kober fractional integral (1.6) (see also [7, p.105]).

(v) Setting $\beta = \alpha$, $\kappa = 0$ and $\eta = 0$ in (1.8), the integral operator (1.8) reduces to the Katugampola fractional integral (1.7) (see also [6]).

2. Chebyshev type inequalities with synchronous functions

Here, we establish Chebyshev type inequalities with synchronous functions involving the Katugampola fractional integrals (1.7). By using (1.2), we obtain (see [13, Eq. (3.1)])

$$\frac{\rho^{1-\beta} x^\kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{\tau^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - \tau^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau = \frac{x^{\kappa+\rho(\eta+\alpha)} \Gamma(\eta+1)}{\rho^\beta \Gamma(\alpha+\eta+1)} := \Lambda_{x,\kappa}^{\rho,\beta}(\alpha,\eta) \tag{2.1}$$

$$(\alpha, x \in \mathbb{R}^+; \beta, \rho, \eta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}).$$

We also let

$$\left({}^\rho I_{0^+,\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} \varphi \right) (x) := \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} \varphi \right) (x). \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $x, \alpha, \rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Also, let f and g be two integrable functions which are synchronous on $[0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} f g \right) (x) \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda_{x,\kappa}^{\rho,\beta}(\alpha,\eta)} \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} f \right) (x) \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} g \right) (x). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Since f and g are synchronous on $[0, \infty)$, we have

$$(f(\tau) - f(\xi))(g(\tau) - g(\xi)) \geq 0 \quad (\tau, \xi \in \mathbb{R}_0^+),$$

or, equivalently,

$$f(\tau)g(\tau) + f(\xi)g(\xi) \geq f(\tau)g(\xi) + f(\xi)g(\tau) \quad (\tau, \xi \in \mathbb{R}_0^+). \tag{2.4}$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.4) by

$$\frac{\rho^{1-\beta} x^\kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\tau^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - \tau^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^+, 0 < \tau < x)$$

and integrating both sides of the resulting inequality with respect to the variable τ from 0 and x , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} f g \right) (x) + f(\xi)g(\xi) \frac{\rho^{1-\beta} x^\kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{\tau^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - \tau^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} d\tau \\ & \geq g(\xi) \frac{\rho^{1-\beta} x^\kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{\tau^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - \tau^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} f(\tau) d\tau + f(\xi) \frac{\rho^{1-\beta} x^\kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x \frac{\tau^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - \tau^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We find from (1.8), (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} f g \right) (x) + f(\xi)g(\xi) \Lambda_{x,\kappa}^{\rho,\beta}(\alpha,\eta) \geq g(\xi) \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} f \right) (x) + f(\xi) \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} g \right) (x). \tag{2.5}$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.5) by

$$\frac{\rho^{1-\beta} x^\kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\xi^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - \xi^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^+, 0 < \tau < x)$$

and integrating both sides of the resulting inequality with respect to the variable ξ from 0 and x , similarly as above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} f g \right) (x) \Lambda_{x,\kappa}^{\rho,\beta} (\alpha, \eta) + \Lambda_{x,\kappa}^{\rho,\beta} (\alpha, \eta) \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} f g \right) (x) \\ & \geq \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} f \right) (x) \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} g \right) (x) + \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} g \right) (x) \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} f \right) (x), \end{aligned}$$

which, upon simplifying, leads to (2.3). □

Remark 2.1. We consider some particular cases of the result in Theorem 2.1.

- (i) Setting $\kappa = 0, \eta = 0$ and taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 1$ in the result in Theorem 2.1 yields the inequality in [1, Theorem 3.1].
- (ii) Setting $\beta = \alpha, \kappa = 0, \eta = 0$ and taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 0^+$ in the result in Theorem 2.1 gives the inequality in [4, Theorem 3.1].
- (iii) Setting $\beta = 0$ and $\kappa = -\rho(\alpha + \eta)$ in the result in Theorem 2.1 yields the inequality in [10, Theorem 1].
- (iv) Setting $\beta = \alpha, \kappa = 0$ and $\eta = 0$ in the result in Theorem 2.1, under the corresponding reduced assumption, we obtain

$$\left({}^\rho I_{0+}^\alpha f g \right) (x) \geq \frac{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{x^{\rho\alpha}} \left({}^\rho I_{0+}^\alpha f \right) (x) \left({}^\rho I_{0+}^\alpha g \right) (x). \tag{2.6}$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $\beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}, x, \alpha, \rho, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+, \text{ and } \eta \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Also, let f and g be two integrable functions which are synchronous on $[0, \infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda_{x,\kappa}^{\rho,\beta} (\sigma, \eta) \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} f g \right) (x) + \Lambda_{x,\kappa}^{\rho,\beta} (\alpha, \eta) \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\sigma,\beta} f g \right) (x) \\ & \geq \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} f \right) (x) \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\sigma,\beta} g \right) (x) + \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\sigma,\beta} f \right) (x) \left({}^\rho I_{\eta,\kappa}^{\alpha,\beta} g \right) (x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (2.5) by

$$\frac{\rho^{1-\beta} x^\kappa}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \frac{\xi^{\rho(n+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - \xi^\rho)^{1-\sigma}} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^+, 0 < \xi < x)$$

and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to the variable ξ , from 0 and x , similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we get the desired result. □

Remark 2.2. We consider some particular cases of the result in Theorem 2.2.

- (i) Setting $\kappa = 0, \eta = 0$ and taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 1$ in the result in Theorem 2.2 yields the inequality in [1, Theorem 3.2].
- (ii) Setting $\beta = \alpha, \kappa = 0, \eta = 0$ and taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 0^+$ in the result in Theorem 2.2 gives the inequality in [4, Theorem 3.2].
- (iii) Setting $\beta = 0$ and $\kappa = -\rho(\alpha + \eta)$ in the result in Theorem 2.2 yields the inequality in [10, Theorem 2].
- (iv) Setting $\beta = \alpha, \kappa = 0$ and $\eta = 0$ in the result in Theorem 2.2, under the corresponding reduced assumption, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\sigma + 1)}{x^{\rho\sigma}} (\rho I_{0+}^\alpha f g)(x) + \frac{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{x^{\rho\alpha}} (\rho I_{0+}^\sigma f g)(x) \\ & \geq (\rho I_{0+}^\alpha f)(x) (\rho I_{0+}^\sigma g)(x) + (\rho I_{0+}^\sigma f)(x) (\rho I_{0+}^\alpha g)(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $\beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}, x, \alpha, \rho \in \mathbb{R}^+, \text{ and } \eta \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Also, let

$$f_j : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (j = 1, \dots, n; n \in \mathbb{N})$$

be increasing functions. Then

$$\left(\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} \prod_{j=1}^n f_j \right)(x) \geq \frac{1}{\{\Lambda_{x, \kappa}^{\rho, \beta}(\alpha, \eta)\}^{n-1}} \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f_j \right)(x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. We prove this theorem by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, the case $n = 1$ of (2.9) holds.

For $n = 2$, since f_1 and f_2 are increasing on \mathbb{R}_0^+ , we find

$$(f_1(\tau) - f_2(\xi))(f_2(\tau) - f_2(\xi)) \geq 0 \quad (\tau, \xi \in \mathbb{R}_0^+).$$

Now, the proof of (2.9) for $n = 2$ would run parallel to that of Theorem 2.1.

Assume that the inequality (2.9) is true for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We observe that $f := \prod_{j=1}^n f_j$ is increasing on \mathbb{R}_0^+ for each f_j increasing. Let $g := f_{n+1}$. Then, applying the case $n = 2$ to the functions f and g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} \prod_{j=1}^n f_j \cdot f_{n+1} \right)(x) & \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda_{x, \kappa}^{\rho, \beta}(\alpha, \eta)} \left(\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} \prod_{j=1}^n f_j \right)(x) \cdot \left(\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f_{n+1} \right)(x) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\{\Lambda_{x, \kappa}^{\rho, \beta}(\alpha, \eta)\}^n} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \left(\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f_j \right)(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the induction hypothesis for n is used for the second inequality. This completes the proof. □

Remark 2.3. We consider some particular cases of the result in Theorem 2.3.

- (i) Setting $\kappa = 0, \eta = 0$ and taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 1$ in the result in Theorem 2.3 yields the inequality in [1, Theorem 3.3].
- (ii) Setting $\beta = \alpha, \kappa = 0, \eta = 0$ and taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 0^+$ in the result in Theorem 2.3 gives the inequality in [4, Theorem 3.3].
- (iii) Setting $\beta = 0$ and $\kappa = -\rho(\alpha + \eta)$ in the result in Theorem 2.3 yields the inequality in [10, Theorem 3].
- (iv) Setting $\beta = \alpha, \kappa = 0$ and $\eta = 0$ in the result in Theorem 2.3, under the corresponding reduced assumption, we obtain

$$\left({}^\rho I_{a^+}^\alpha \prod_{j=1}^n f_j \right) (x) \geq \left(\frac{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{x^{\rho\alpha}} \right)^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^n ({}^\rho I_{a^+}^\alpha f_j) (x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{2.10}$$

Theorem 2.4. Let $\beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}, x, \alpha, \rho \in \mathbb{R}^+, \text{ and } \eta \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Also, let two functions $f, g : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f is increasing and g is differentiable with g' bounded below, and let $m := \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}_0^+} g'(t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f g) (x) &\geq \frac{1}{\Lambda_{x, \kappa}^{\rho, \beta}(\alpha, \eta)} ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f) (x) ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} g) (x) \\ &\quad - \frac{m x \Gamma(\eta + 1 + \frac{1}{\rho}) \Gamma(\eta + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\eta + \alpha + 1 + \frac{1}{\rho}) \Gamma(\eta + 1)} ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f) (x) + m ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} i \cdot f) (x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $i(x) = x$ is the identity function.

Proof. Let $h(x) := g(x) - mx$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$). We find that h is differentiable and increasing on \mathbb{R}_0^+ . As in the process of Theorem 2.3, for clarity, let $p(x) := mx$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f (g - p)) (x) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\Lambda_{x, \kappa}^{\rho, \beta}(\alpha, \eta)} ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f) (x) ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} (g - p)) (x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Lambda_{x, \kappa}^{\rho, \beta}(\alpha, \eta)} ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f) (x) ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} g) (x) - \frac{1}{\Lambda_{x, \kappa}^{\rho, \beta}(\alpha, \eta)} ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f) (x) ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} p) (x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

By using (1.8) with the aid of (1.2), we get

$$({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} p) (x) = \frac{m x^{\kappa + \rho(\alpha + \eta) + 1} \Gamma(\eta + \frac{1}{\rho} + 1)}{\rho^\beta \Gamma(\alpha + \eta + \frac{1}{\rho} + 1)}. \tag{2.13}$$

We have

$$({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f (g - p)) (x) = ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f g) (x) - m ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} i \cdot f) (x). \tag{2.14}$$

Finally, using (2.13) and (2.14) in (2.12), we obtain the result (2.11). □

Remark 2.4. We consider some particular cases of the result in Theorem 2.4.

- (i) Setting $\kappa = 0, \eta = 0$ and taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 1$ in the result in Theorem 2.4 yields the inequality in [1, Theorem 3.4].
- (ii) Setting $\beta = \alpha, \kappa = 0$ and $\eta = 0$ in the result in Theorem 2.4, under the corresponding reduced assumption, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 ({}^\rho I_{a+}^\alpha f g)(x) &\geq \frac{\rho^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{x^{\rho\alpha}} ({}^\rho I_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) ({}^\rho I_{a+}^\alpha g)(x) \\
 &\quad - \frac{m x \Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{\rho}) \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1 + \frac{1}{\rho})} ({}^\rho I_{a+}^\alpha f)(x) + m ({}^\rho I_{a+}^\alpha i \cdot f)(x). \tag{2.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Chebyshev type inequality without synchronous functions

Here, we establish a Chebyshev type inequality involving the fractional integral operator (1.8) without synchronous functions. To do this, we begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \geq 1, \rho \in \mathbb{R}^+, \text{ and } \eta \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Also, let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions such that f is differentiable and g is integrable on $[a, b]$, and $x \in (a, b)$. Then*

$$({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f g)(x) = \frac{1}{x - a} \int_a^x f(s) ds \cdot ({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} g)(x) + \frac{\rho^{1-\beta} x^\kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^x \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \eta, \rho}^{a, x}(\tau) d\tau, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \eta, \rho}^{a, x}(\tau) &:= \left\{ f(\tau) - \frac{1}{\tau - a} \int_a^\tau f(s) ds \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{\tau^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - \tau^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(\tau) - \frac{1}{\tau - a} \int_a^\tau \frac{s^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - s^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(s) ds \right\}. \tag{3.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_a^x f(\tau) \frac{\tau^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - \tau^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(\tau) d\tau \\
 &= \left(f(\tau) \int_a^\tau \frac{s^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - s^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(s) ds \right) \Big|_a^x - \int_a^x \left(f'(\tau) \int_a^\tau \frac{s^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - s^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(s) ds \right) d\tau \\
 &= f(x) \int_a^x \frac{s^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - s^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(s) ds - \int_a^x u(\tau) v'(\tau) d\tau, \tag{3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$u(\tau) := \frac{1}{\tau - a} \int_a^\tau \frac{s^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - s^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(s) ds \quad \text{and} \quad v'(\tau) := (\tau - a) f'(\tau). \tag{3.4}$$

We find

$$u'(\tau) = -\frac{1}{(\tau - a)^2} \int_a^\tau \frac{s^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - s^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(s) ds + \frac{\tau^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(\tau - a)(x^\rho - \tau^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(\tau) \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$v(\tau) = \int_a^\tau (s - a) f'(s) ds = (\tau - a) f(\tau) - \int_a^\tau f(s) ds. \tag{3.6}$$

We have

$$\int_a^x u(\tau) v'(\tau) d\tau = u(\tau) v(\tau) \Big|_a^x - \int_a^x u'(\tau) v(\tau) d\tau. \tag{3.7}$$

Setting (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) in (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^x u(\tau) v'(\tau) d\tau &= \int_a^x \frac{s^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - s^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(s) ds \left\{ f(x) - \frac{1}{x-a} \int_a^x f(s) ds \right\} \\ &\quad + \int_a^x \left\{ \frac{1}{\tau-a} \int_a^\tau \frac{s^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - s^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(s) ds - \frac{\tau^{\rho(\eta+1)-1}}{(x^\rho - \tau^\rho)^{1-\alpha}} g(\tau) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ f(\tau) - \frac{1}{\tau-a} \int_a^\tau f(s) ds \right\} d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Substituting (3.8) into (3.3) and multiplying both sides of the resulting identity by $\frac{\rho^{1-\beta} x^\kappa}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, and using (1.8), we obtain the desired result (3.1). □

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\beta, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \geq 1$, $\rho \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$. Also, let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be functions such that f is differentiable and g is integrable on $[a, b]$. Further, let $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \eta, \rho}^{\alpha, x}(\tau) \geq 0$ ($\tau \in (a, b]$) and $x \in (a, b]$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{x-a} \left({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} f g \right) (x) \geq \left\{ \frac{1}{x-a} \int_a^x f(s) ds \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{x-a} \left({}^\rho I_{\eta, \kappa}^{\alpha, \beta} g \right) (x) \right\}. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Obviously, the result here follows from Lemma 3.1. □

Remark 3.1. We consider some particular cases of the result in Theorem 3.1.

- (i) Setting $\kappa = 0$, $\eta = 0$ and taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 1$ in the result in Theorem 3.1 yields the inequality in [5, Theorem 3.1].
- (ii) Setting $\beta = 0$ and $\kappa = -\rho(\alpha + \eta)$ in the result in Theorem 3.1, under the corresponding reduced assumption, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{x-a} \left(I_{a^+, \sigma, \eta}^\alpha f g \right) (x) \geq \left\{ \frac{1}{x-a} \int_a^x f(s) ds \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{x-a} \left(I_{a^+, \sigma, \eta}^\alpha g \right) (x) \right\}. \tag{3.10}$$

- (iii) Setting $\beta = \alpha$, $\kappa = 0$, $\eta = 0$ in the result in Theorem 3.1 and taking the limit $\rho \rightarrow 0+$ with the aid of L'Hôpital's rule, we get

$$\frac{1}{x-a} \left(H_{a^+}^\alpha f g \right) (x) \geq \left\{ \frac{1}{x-a} \int_a^x f(s) ds \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{x-a} \left(H_{a^+}^\alpha g \right) (x) \right\}. \tag{3.11}$$

- (iv) Setting $\beta = \alpha$, $\kappa = 0$ and $\eta = 0$ in the result in Theorem 3.1, under the corresponding reduced assumption, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{x-a} \left({}^\rho I_{a^+}^\alpha f g \right) (x) \geq \left\{ \frac{1}{x-a} \int_a^x f(s) ds \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{x-a} \left({}^\rho I_{a^+}^\alpha g \right) (x) \right\}. \tag{3.12}$$

References

- [1] S. Belarbi and Z. Dahmani, *On some new fractional integral inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **10**(3) (2009), Article 86.
- [2] R. F. Camargo and E. C. de Oliveira, *Fractional Calculus (In Portuguese)*, Editora Livraria da Física, So Paulo, 2015.
- [3] P. L. Chebyshev, *Sur les expressions approximatives des integrales definies par les autres prises entre les mmes limites*, Proc. Math. Soc. Charkov, **2** (1882), 93–98.
- [4] L. Chincane and B. Pachpatte, *A note on some fractional integral inequalities via hadamard integral*, J. Frac. Cal. Appl., **4**(1) (2013), 125–129.
- [5] Z. Dahmani, A. Khameli and K. Freha, *Fractional integral Chebyshev inequality without synchronous functions condition*, Malaya J. Mat., **4**(3) (2016), 448–452.
- [6] U. N. Katugampola, *A new approach to generalized fractional derivatives*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl., **6**(2014), 1–15.
- [7] A. A. Kilbas, H. M. Srivastava and J. J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematical Studies, Vol. **204**, Elsevier (North-Holland) Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York, 2006.
- [8] C. P. Niculescu and I. Roventa, *An extention of Chebyshev's algebric inequality*, Math. Reports, **15**(65) (2013), 91–95.
- [9] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations. An Introduction to Fractional Derivatives, Fractional Differential Equations, to Methods of their Solution and Some of their Applications*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1999.
- [10] S. D. Purohit and S. L. Kalla, *Certain inequalities related to the Chebyshev's functional involving Erdélyi-Kober operators*, SCIENTIA Series A: Mathematical Sciences, **25** (2014), 55–63.
- [11] E. D. Rainville, *Special Functions*, Macmillan Company, New York, 1960; Reprinted by Chelsea Publishing Company, Bronx, New York, 1971.
- [12] J. Sousa and E. C. de Oliviera, *The Minkowski's inequality by means of a generalized fractional integral*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.07191, 2017.
- [13] J. Sousa, D. S. Oliveira and E. C. de Oliveira, *Grüss-type inequality by mean of a fractional integral*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.00965, 2017.
- [14] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, *Zeta and q -Zeta Functions and Associated Series and Integrals*, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York, 2012.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Ordu University, Ordu, Turkey.

E-mail: erhanset@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Dongguk University, Gyeongju 38066, Republic of Korea.

E-mail: junesang@mail.dongguk.ac.kr

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Ordu University, Ordu, Turkey.

E-mail: mumcuilker@msn.com