ON ENDO CURVATURE TENSOR OF A CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLD
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Abstract. We prove that a \((k, \mu)\)-manifold with vanishing Endo curvature tensor is a Sasakian manifold. We find a necessary and sufficient condition for a non-Sasakian \((k, \mu)\)-manifold whose Endo curvature tensor \(B^e\) satisfies \(B^e(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0\), where \(S\) is the Ricci tensor. Using \(D\)-homothetic deformation we obtain an example of an \(N(k)\)-contact metric manifold on which \(B^e(\xi, X) \cdot S \neq 0\).

1. Introduction

In [2], Blair, Koufogiorgos and Papantoniou introduced the class of contact metric manifolds \(M\) with contact metric structures \((\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)\), in which the curvature tensor \(R\) satisfies the equation

\[ R(X, Y) \xi = (kI + \mu h)(X \wedge Y) \xi, \quad X, Y \in TM, \]

where \((k, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2\), \(2h\) is the Lie derivative of \(\varphi\) in the direction \(\xi\) and

\[ (X \wedge Y) Z = g(Y, Z) X - g(X, Z) Y, \quad X, Y, Z \in TM. \]

A contact metric manifold belonging to this class is called a \((k, \mu)\)-manifold. A \((k, \mu)\)-manifold becomes a Sasakian manifold if \(k = 1\). Characteristic examples of non-Sasakian \((k, \mu)\)-manifolds are the tangent sphere bundles of Riemannian manifolds of constant sectional curvature not equal to one and certain Lie groups.

On the other hand, Bochner introduced a Kähler analogue of the Weyl conformal curvature tensor, which is now well known as the Bochner curvature tensor [3]. By using the Boothby-Wang’s fibration, Matsumoto and Chūman constructed \(C\)-Bochner curvature tensor [9] from the Bochner curvature tensor and studied in the context of Sasakian geometry. In a Sasakian manifold, the Ricci operator \(Q\) commutes with the structure tensor \(\varphi\), but in general \(Q\varphi \neq \varphi Q\). Thus the definition of \(C\)-Bochner curvature tensor seems not to include all the non-Sasakian cases. Perhaps keeping this view in mind, Endo [6] defined a curvature tensor \(B^e\) on a contact metric manifold, which coincides with the \(C\)-Bochner curvature tensor when the manifold is Sasakian.
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In this paper, we recognize the curvature tensor $B^{es}$ as Endo curvature tensor. In Section 2, we give necessary details about contact metric manifolds and Sasakian manifolds. In Section 3, we prove that an $\xi$-Endo flat $(k, \mu)$-manifold is a Sasakian manifold. In particular, an Endo flat $(k, \mu)$-manifold is a Sasakian manifold. We also prove that if the Endo curvature tensor vanishes on the unit tangent sphere bundle $T_1 M(c)$ of a manifold of constant curvature $c$, then $c = 1$. In [13], [14] and [17], contact metric manifolds satisfying $R(X, \xi) \cdot S = 0$ are studied. Motivated by these studies, in Section 4, we prove that in a non-Sasakian $(k, \mu)$-manifold the Endo curvature tensor $B^{es}$ satisfies $B^{es}(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ if and only if the manifold is 3-dimensional and flat. In last, we also give an example of an $N(k)$-contact metric manifold, on which $B^{es}(\xi, X) \cdot S \neq 0$.

2. Contact metric manifolds

A $(2n + 1)$-dimensional differentiable manifold $M$ is called an almost contact manifold if there is an almost contact structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta)$ consisting of a (1, 1) tensor field $\varphi$, a vector field $\xi$, and a 1-form $\eta$ satisfying

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \text{and (one of)} \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \varphi \xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \varphi = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Let $g$ be a compatible Riemannian metric with $(\varphi, \xi, \eta)$, that is,

$$g(X, Y) = g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (2.2)$$

or equivalently,

$$g(X, \varphi Y) = -g(\varphi X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$. Then, $M$ becomes an almost contact metric manifold equipped with an almost contact metric structure $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$.

An almost contact metric structure becomes a contact metric structure if

$$g(X, \varphi Y) = d\eta(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in TM. \quad (2.4)$$

In a contact metric manifold, the (1, 1)-tensor field $h$ is symmetric and satisfies

$$h\xi = 0, \quad h\varphi + \varphi h = 0, \quad \text{trace}(h) = \text{trace}(\varphi h) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

A contact metric manifold $M$ is Sasakian if and only if

$$R(X, Y)\xi = (X \wedge Y)\xi, \quad X, Y \in TM. \quad (2.6)$$

The $(k, \mu)$-nullity distribution $N(k, \mu)$ ([2],[13]) of a contact metric manifold $M$ is defined by

$$N(k, \mu) : p \rightarrow N_p(k, \mu) = \{Z \in T_p M : R(X, Y)Z = (kI + \mu h)(X \wedge Y)Z\}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in TM$, where $(k, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. A contact metric manifold with $\xi \in N(k, \mu)$ is called a $(k, \mu)$-manifold. For a $(k, \mu)$-manifold, it follows that $h^2 = (k-1)\varphi^2$. This class contains
Sasakian manifolds for $k = 1$. In fact, for a $(k, \mu)$-manifold, the conditions of being Sasakian manifold, $k = 1$ and $h = 0$ are all equivalent. If $\mu = 0$, the $(k, \mu)$-nullity distribution $N(k, \mu)$ is reduced to the $k$-nullity distribution $N(k)$ [15]. If $\xi \in N(k)$, then we call a contact metric manifold $M$ an $N(k)$-contact metric manifold. For more details we refer to [1].

3. $(k, \mu)$-manifolds with vanishing Endo curvature tensor

In [6], Endo defined a tensor in a $(2n + 1)$-dimensional contact metric manifold $M$ as follows:

$$B^{es}(X, Y) = R(X, Y) + h\phi X \wedge h\phi Y$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4(n + 2)} \left\{ QY \wedge X - \phi Q\phi Y \wedge X$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \eta(Y) Q\xi \wedge X + \eta(QY) \xi \wedge X \right\}$$

$$- QX \wedge Y + \phi Q\phi X \wedge Y$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \eta(X) Q\xi \wedge Y + \eta(QX) \xi \wedge Y \right\}$$

$$+ Q\phi Y \wedge \phi X + \phi QY \wedge \phi X$$

$$- Q\phi X \wedge \phi Y - \phi QX \wedge \phi Y$$

$$+ 2g(Q\phi X, Y)\phi + 2g(\phi QX, Y)\phi$$

$$+ 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Q + 2g(\phi X, Y)Q\phi$$

$$- \eta(X)QY \wedge \xi + \eta(X)(\phi Q\phi Y) \wedge \xi$$

$$+ \eta(Y)QX \wedge \xi - \eta(Y)(\phi Q\phi X) \wedge \xi \right\}$$

$$- \frac{r + 4n^2 + 6n}{4(n + 1)(n + 2)} \{ \phi Y \wedge \phi X + 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi \}$$

$$- \frac{r - 6n - 8}{4(n + 1)(n + 2)} Y \wedge X$$

$$+ \frac{r + 2n}{4(n + 1)(n + 2)} \{ \eta(Y)\xi \wedge X + \eta(X)Y \wedge \xi \}$$

$$- \frac{1}{4(n + 1)(n + 2)} \text{trace}(h^2) \{ \phi Y \wedge \phi X + 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi$$

$$+ Y \wedge X + \eta(\xi)X \wedge \xi + \eta(X)\xi \wedge Y \} \right\}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $X, Y \in TM$, $Q$ is the Ricci operator and $r$ is the scalar curvature. The tensor $B^{es}$ will be called the Endo curvature tensor.

In a $(2n + 1)$-dimensional $(k, \mu)$-manifold $M$, (1.1) is equivalent to

$$R(\xi, X) = \xi \wedge (kI + \mu h)X = - R(X, \xi), \quad X \in TM. \tag{3.2}$$

From (3.1), (1.1) and (3.2), it follows that

$$B^{es}(X, Y)\xi = \left(\frac{2(k - 1)}{n + 2} - I + \mu h\right)(X \wedge Y)\xi, \tag{3.3}$$
for all $X, Y \in T M$. Consequently, we have

$$B^{es} (\xi, X) \xi = 2 (k - 1) n + 2 (\eta(X) \xi - X) - \mu h X = -B^{es} (X, \xi).$$

(3.5)

$$\eta (B^{es} (X, Y) \xi) = 2 (k - 1) n + 2 (g(X, Y) - \eta(X) \eta(Y)) + \mu g (h X, Y).$$

(3.7)

Now, we need the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** A $(k, \mu)$-manifold $M$ is said to be $\xi$-Endo flat if

$$B^{es} (X, Y) \xi = 0, \quad X, Y \in T M.$$

In particular, if $B^{es} = 0$ then $M$ will be said to be Endo flat.

Now, we prove the following

**Theorem 3.2.** An $\xi$-Endo flat $(k, \mu)$-manifold is a Sasakian manifold.

**Proof.** Let $M^{2n+1}$ be an $\xi$-Endo flat $(k, \mu)$-manifold. Then in view of (3.5), we get

$$0 = \frac{2 (k - 1)}{n + 2} (\eta(X) \xi - X) - \mu h X,$$

which, in view of $h^2 = (k - 1) \varphi^2$, implies that

$$\frac{(n + 2) \mu}{2} = h = h^2.$$  

(3.8)

Taking the trace of (3.8), we obtain

$$0 = \text{trace} (h^2) = 2n (1 - k).$$

(3.9)

From (3.9), we have $k = 1$. Thus, $M^{2n+1}$ becomes Sasakian.

**Corollary 3.3.** An Endo flat $(k, \mu)$-manifold is a Sasakian manifold.

A contact metric manifold $M$ is said to be $\eta$-Einstein [10] if the Ricci tensor $S$ satisfies

$$S = ag + b \eta \otimes \eta,$$

(3.10)

where $a$ and $b$ are smooth functions on the manifold. In particular, if $b = 0$ then $M$ is an Einstein manifold.
The Endo curvature tensor reduces to $C$-Bochner curvature tensor in a Sasakian manifold. A number of results for Sasakian manifolds with vanishing $C$-Bochner curvature tensor can be found in [5], [7], [8], [11], [12] etc. Here, we list some known results for Sasakian manifolds with vanishing $C$-Bochner curvature tensor in the following two Theorems.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $M^{2n+1}$ be a Sasakian manifold with vanishing $C$-Bochner curvature tensor. Then the following statements are true:

1. (Theorem 1, [12]) If the scalar curvature $r$ is constant and the square of the length of the Ricci tensor is less than $r^2/2n$, then $M^{2n+1}$ is $\eta$-Einstein.

2. (Theorem 2, [7]) If the scalar curvature $r$ is constant and the Ricci tensor is positive semi-definite, then $M^{2n+1}$ is $\eta$-Einstein.

3. (Theorem 1, [7]) If $n \geq 3$, the scalar curvature $r$ is constant and the square of the length of the $\eta$-Einstein tensor

$$S_{ij} = \left(\frac{r}{2n} - 1\right) g_{ij} - \left(\frac{r}{2n} - 2n - 1\right) \eta_i \eta_j$$

is less than \(\frac{(n-1)(n+2)^2(r+2n)^2}{2n(n+1)^2(n-2)^2}\); then $M^{2n+1}$ is a Sasakian space form.

4. (Theorem 2, [7]) If $n = 2$ and the scalar curvature is a constant $r \neq -4$, then $M^{2n+1}$ is a Sasakian space form.

5. (Theorem B, [5]) If $n \geq 2$, the scalar curvature is constant and the Ricci tensor is positive semi-definite; then $M^{2n+1}$ is a Sasakian space form.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $M^{2n+1}$ be a compact Sasakian manifold with vanishing $C$-Bochner curvature tensor. Then the following statements are true:

1. (Theorem 2, [12]) If the square of the length of the Ricci tensor is constant and less than $r^2/2n$, where $r$ is the scalar curvature; then $M^{2n+1}$ is $\eta$-Einstein.

2. (Theorem 4.1, [9]) If $n \geq 2$, the scalar curvature is constant and the smallest eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor is greater than $-2$, then $M^{2n+1}$ is $\eta$-Einstein.

3. (Theorem C, [5]) If $n \geq 3$, the length of the Ricci tensor is constant and the length of the $\eta$-Einstein tensor

$$S_{ij} = \left(\frac{r}{2n} - 1\right) g_{ij} - \left(\frac{r}{2n} - 2n - 1\right) \eta_i \eta_j$$

is less than \(\frac{\sqrt{(r-n+1)}}{\sqrt{(n-1)(n-3)}}\); then $M^{2n+1}$ is a Sasakian space form.
Remark 3.6. In view of Corollary 3.3, the consequences of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 are still true if Sasakian manifolds with vanishing $C$-Bochner curvature tensor are replaced by Endo-flat $(k, \mu)$-manifolds.

The unit tangent sphere bundle $T_1M$ equipped with the standard contact metric structure is a $(k, \mu)$-manifold if and only if the base manifold $M$ is of constant curvature $c$ with $k = c(2 - c)$ and $\mu = -2c$ [2]. In case of $c \neq 1$, the unit tangent sphere bundle is non-Sasakian [16].

Then, applying Theorem 3.2 to $T_1M(c)$, we have

Corollary 3.7. If the unit tangent sphere bundle $T_1M(c)$ of a space of constant curvature $c$ is Endo flat then $c = 1$.

4. $(k, \mu)$-manifolds satisfying $B^{es}(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$

In a non-Sasakian $(k, \mu)$-manifold $M^{2n+1}$ the Ricci tensor $S$ is given by [2]

$$S(X, Y) = \left\{ 2(n - 1) - n\mu \right\} g(X, Y) + \left\{ 2(n - 1) + \mu \right\} g(hX, Y)$$
$$+ \left\{ 2(1 - n) + n(2k + \mu) \right\} \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

(4.1)

for all $X, Y \in TM$.

We also recall the following result for later use.

Theorem 4.1. (Theorem 1.2, [18]) A non-Sasakian Einstein $(k, \mu)$-manifold is flat and 3-dimensional.

Now we prove the main result of this section as follows:

Theorem 4.2. Let $M$ be a non-Sasakian $(k, \mu)$-manifold. Then the Endo curvature tensor $B^{es}$ satisfies $B^{es}(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ if and only if $M$ is flat and 3-dimensional.

Proof. Let $M^{2n+1}$ be a non-Sasakian $(k, \mu)$-manifold. Then the condition $B^{es}(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ gives

$$S\left\{ B^{es}(\xi, X)Y, \xi \right\} + S\left\{ Y, B^{es}(\xi, X)\xi \right\} = 0.$$  

(4.2)

In view of $Q\xi = 2nk\xi$, we get

$$S(X, \xi) = 2nk\eta(X),$$

(4.3)

which implies that

$$S\left\{ B^{es}(\xi, X)Y, \xi \right\} = 2nk\eta\left( B^{es}(\xi, X)Y \right).$$

(4.4)

Using (3.7) in (4.4), we obtain

$$S\left\{ B^{es}(\xi, X)Y, \xi \right\} = 2nk\mu g(hX, Y)$$
$$+ \frac{4nk(k - 1)}{n + 2} \left( g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \right).$$

(4.5)
In view of (3.5) and (4.3) we have
\[ S\left(B^e\left(\xi, X, Y\right)\right) = \frac{4nk(k-1)}{n+2} \eta(X)\eta(Y) 
- \frac{2(k-1)}{n+2} S(X, Y) - \mu S(hX, Y). \] (4.6)

From (4.2), (4.5) and (4.6), we have
\[ \frac{2(k-1)}{n+2} S(X, Y) = \frac{4nk(k-1)}{n+2} g(X, Y) 
+ 2n\mu g(hX, Y) - \mu S(hX, Y). \] (4.7)

From (4.1) we have
\[ S(hX, Y) = (2(n-1) - n\mu) g(hX, Y) 
- (k-1) \left(2(n-1) + \mu\right) g(X, Y) 
+ (k-1) \left(2(n-1) + \mu\right) \eta(X)\eta(Y), \] (4.8)

where we have used \( \eta\circ h = 0, \quad h^2 = (k-1)\eta^2 \) and (2.2). In view of (4.1), (4.7) and (4.8) we obtain (3.10) with
\[ a = \frac{(2(n-1) + \mu) (k-1) \left(4nk + (n+2)(2(n-1) + \mu)\right)}{2(k-1) \left(2(n-1) + \mu\right) - \mu(n+2) \left[2 + n\mu\right]}, \] (4.9)

and
\[ b = -\frac{\mu(n+2)((k-1)(2(n-1) + \mu)^2 + (2 + n\mu)(n(2k + \mu) - 2(n-1)))}{2(k-1)(2(n-1) + \mu) - \mu(2 + n\mu)(n+2)}. \] (4.10)

Thus we see that \( M^{2n+1} \) becomes \( \eta \)-Einstein. From (4.1) and (3.10), we see that a non-Sasakian \((k, \mu)\)-manifold \( M^{2n+1} \) is \( \eta \)-Einstein if and only if
\[ \mu = -2(n-1). \] (4.11)

Using (4.11) in (4.1) we get
\[ S = 2\left(n^2 - 1\right) g - 2\left(n^2 - nk - 1\right) \eta \otimes \eta. \] (4.12)

It can be noted that in view of (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), equation (3.10) also yields (4.12). From (4.12), it follows that
\[ r = 2n(k + 2(n-1)(n+1)). \] (4.13)

Using (4.11) in (4.8) we get
\[ S(hX, Y) = 2\left(n^2 - 1\right) g(hX, Y). \] (4.14)
Using (4.11) and (4.14) in (4.7) and contracting the resulting equation we obtain

$$0 = (k - 1) (2nk(2n + 1) - r), \quad (4.15)$$

where trace(h) = 0 is used. In view of (4.13), (4.15) and k < 1, we obtain

$$n^2 - nk - 1 = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Using (4.16) in (4.12) we see that $M_2n+1$ is Einstein and consequently in view of Theorem 4.1, $M_2n+1$ becomes flat and 3-dimensional.

The converse is straightforward.

In last, we give an example of an $N(k)$-contact metric manifold, on which $B^c\xi(X) \cdot S \neq 0$.

First, we recall the notion of a $D_a$-homothetic deformation. For a given contact metric structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$, this is the structure defined by

$$\tilde{\eta} = a\eta, \quad \tilde{\xi} = \frac{1}{a} \xi, \quad \tilde{\phi} = \phi, \quad \tilde{g} = ag + a(a - 1)\eta \otimes \eta,$$

where $a$ is a positive constant. While such a change preserves the state of being contact metric or Sasakian, it destroys a condition like $R(X, Y)\xi = 0$ or $R(X, Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$. However the form of the $(k, \mu)$-nullity condition, that is

$$R(X, Y)\xi = (kI + \mu h) [\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \quad X, Y \in TM$$

for $k, \mu$ being real constants, is preserved under a $D_a$-homothetic deformation with

$$\tilde{k} = \frac{k + a^2 - 1}{a^2}, \quad \tilde{\mu} = \frac{\mu + 2a - 2}{a}.$$

Given a non-Sasakian $(k, \mu)$-manifold $M$, Boeckx [4] introduced an invariant $I_M = (1 - \mu/2)/\sqrt{1 - k}$ and showed that two non-Sasakian $(k, \mu)$-manifolds $M_1$ and $M_2$ are locally isometric as contact metric manifolds up to a $D_a$-homothetic deformation if and only if $I_{M_1} = I_{M_2}$.

Now, we present the following

**Example 4.3.** Consider the unit tangent sphere bundle of a 4-dimensional manifold of constant curvature $(3 + 2\sqrt{2})$. After a $D_{2(2\sqrt{2})}$-homothetic deformation we get an $N(1/2)$-contact metric manifold, on which $B^c\xi(X) \cdot S \neq 0$.
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