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#### Abstract

Chen established the relationship between the Ricci curvature and the squared norm of mean curvature vector for submanifolds of Riemannian space form with arbitrary codimension known as Chen-Ricci inequality. Deng improved the inequality for Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space form by using algebraic technique. In this paper, we establish the same inequalities for different submanifolds of Bochner-Kaehler manifolds. Moreover, we obtain improved Chen-Ricci inequality for Kaehlerian slant submanifolds of Bochner-Kaehler manifolds.


## 1. Introduction

One of the most powerful tools to find relationships between intrinsic invariants and extrinsic invariants of a submanifold is provided by Chen's invariants. The study of Chen invariants and inequalities has been an active field of research over the past two decades. Chen [8] investigated sharp relationship between the Ricci curvature and the squared norm of mean curvature for a submanifold in a Riemannian space form with arbitrary codimension. Tripathi [27] named this inequality as Chen-Ricci inequality. Matsumoto et al. [18] obtained ChenRicci inequality for submanifolds in complex space form. Matsumoto et al. [19] obtained the same inequality for the slant submanifolds of complex space form. After that, many research articles have been published by different geometers in this direction (see [26, 21, 24]). They obtained the similar inequalities for different submanifolds and ambient spaces in complex as well as in contact version.

Deng [10] improved the Chen-Ricci inequality for Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space form by using algebraic technique. The same author obtained the improved ChenRicci inequality for Quaternion space forms [11]. Mihai et al. [22] obtained the improved Chen-Ricci Inequality for Kaehlerian slant submanifolds in complex space form. Mihai [20]
generalizes the same inequality for Lagrangian submanifolds of complex space form and Legendrian submanifolds in a Sasakian space form with semi-symmetric metric connections.

In 1949, Bochner [1] introduced a complex analogue of the Weyl conformal curvature tensor for a Kaehler manifold. This tensor is the largest irreducible component of the Riemannian curvature under the unitary group. A Kaehler metric with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor is said to be a Bochner-Kaehler metric [9]. In a seminal paper published in 2001, Bryant [2] provides an explicit local classification of Bochner-Kaehler metric and in depth study of their global geometry, generating considerable interest on this kind of manifolds (see [3, 12, 17, 23, 29]). In particular, we note that Inoue investegated [15] penrose transformation on Hermitian manifolds that are conformal to Bochner-Kaehler manifolds, using the modification of the O'Brien-Rawnsley twistor space for almost Hermitian manifolds.

There are several classes of submanifolds in Bochner-Kaehler manifolds that were investigated by many geometers: totally real submanifolds [14], anti-invariant submanifolds [28], CR-submanifolds [25] and contact hypersurfaces [13] etc.

In the first part of the paper, we obtain the Chen-Ricci inequality for submanifolds of Bochner-Kaehler manifolds and discuss the results for invariant, anti-invariant and slant submanifolds. In the second part, we improve the inequality for Kaehlerian slant submanifolds of Bochner-Kaehler manifolds using Deng's technique.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ be a submanifold of a Bochner-Kaehler manifold $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{m}$. Let $\nabla$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connections on $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ and $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{m}$ respectively. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y),  \tag{1}\\
& \bar{\nabla}_{X} V=-A_{V} X+D_{X} Y, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $X, Y$ tangent to $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ and vector field $V$ normal to $\mathscr{M}^{n}$, where $h, D, A_{V}$ denotes the second fundamental form, normal connection and the shape operator in the direction of $V$. The second fundamental form and the shape operator are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(h(X, Y), V)=g\left(A_{V} X, Y\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p \in \mathscr{M}^{n}$ and $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space $T_{p} \mathscr{M}^{n}$ and $\left\{e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ be the orthonormal basis of $T^{\perp} \mathscr{M}^{n}$. We denote by $H$ (the mean curvature vector) at $p$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(p)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we set

$$
h_{i j}^{r}=g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), e_{r}\right), \quad i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, \quad r \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $p \in \mathscr{M}^{n}$ and $X \in \mathscr{M}^{n}$, we put $J X=P X+Q X$, where $P X$ and $Q X$ are the tangential and normal components of $J X$ respectively.

We denote by

$$
\|P\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g^{2}\left(P e_{i}, e_{j}\right)
$$

For a Riemannian manifold $\mathscr{M}^{n}$, we denote by $K(\pi)$ the sectional curvature of $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ associated with a plane section $\pi \subset T_{P} \mathscr{M}^{n}, p \in \mathscr{M}^{n}$. For an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of the tangent space $T_{p} \mathscr{M}^{n}$, the scalar curvature $\rho$ is defined by

$$
\rho=\sum_{i<j} K_{i j}
$$

where $K_{i j}$ denotes the sectional curvature of the 2-plane section spanned by $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$.
We recall that for a submanifold $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ in a Riemannian manifold, the relative null space of $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ at a point $p \in \mathscr{M}^{n}$ is defined by

$$
\mathscr{N}_{p}=\left\{X \in T_{p} \mathscr{M}^{n} \mid h(X, Y)=0, \forall Y \in T_{p} \mathscr{M}^{n}\right\} .
$$

Let $R$ be the curvature tensor of $\mathscr{M}^{n}$, then the Gauss equation is given by

$$
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W)=R(X, Y, Z, W)+g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z))-g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W))
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z, W$ tangent to $\mathscr{M}^{n}$.
The curvature tensor of $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{m}$ is given by [25]

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, W)= & L(Y, Z) g(X, W)-L(X, Z) g(Y, W)+L(X, W) g(Y, Z) \\
& -L(Y, W) g(X, Z)+M(X, W) g(J X, W)-M(X, Z) g(J Y, W) \\
& +M(X, W) g(J Y, Z)-M(Y, W) g(J X, Z) \\
& -2 M(X, Y) g(J Z, W)-2 M(Z, W) g(J X, Y) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
L(Y, Z)=\frac{1}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c(Y, Z)-\frac{\bar{\rho}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} g(Y, Z),  \tag{7}\\
M(Y, Z)=-L(Y, J Z),  \tag{8}\\
L(Y, Z)=L(Z, Y), \quad L(Y, Z)=L(J Y, J Z), \quad L(Y, J Z)=-L(J Y, Z), \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\overline{\mathscr{R}} i c$ and $\bar{\rho}$ are the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{m}$.

Definition 2.1. The Kaehler manifold $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{m}$ is said to be Bochner-Kaehler if its Bochner curvature tensor vanishes. These spaces are also known as Bochner-flat manifolds.

Definition 2.2. A Riemannian manifold $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ is said to be Einstein manifold if the Ricci tensor is proportional to the metric tensor, that is, $\mathscr{R} i c(X, Y)=\lambda g(X, Y)$ for some constant $\lambda$.

Definition 2.3. A submanifold $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ of a Bochner-Kaehler manifold $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{m}$ is said to be a slant submanifold if for any $p \in \mathscr{M}^{n}$ and any non zero vector $X \in T_{p} \mathscr{M}^{n}$, the angle between $J X$ and the tangent space $T_{p} \mathscr{M}^{n}$ is constant.

Invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds are the slant submanifolds with slant angle $\theta=0$ and $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ respectively and when $0<\theta<\frac{\pi}{2}$, then slant submanifold is called proper slant submanifold.

A proper slant submanifold is said to be Kaehlerian slant if $\nabla P=0$. A Kaehlerian slant submanifold is a Kaehler manifold with respect to the induced metric and an almost complex structure $\bar{J}=\sec \theta J$. Let $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ be proper slant submanifold and $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M$. If $m=n$, an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{n}^{*}\right\}$ of the normal space $T^{\perp} M^{n}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{k}^{*}=\frac{1}{\sin \theta} Q e_{k}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For Kaehlerian slant submanifold we have [5]

$$
A_{Q X} Y=A_{Q Y} X \quad \forall X, Y \in T_{p} M^{n}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j}^{k}=h_{i k}^{j}=h_{j k}^{i} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the shape operator and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j}^{k}=g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), e_{k}^{*}\right), \quad i, j, k=1, \ldots, n . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the propositions given below characterize the submanifolds with $\nabla P=0$.
Proposition 2.1 ([5]). Let $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ be a submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{m}$. Then $\nabla P=0$ if and only if $M$ is locally the Riemannian product $M_{1} \times M_{2} \times \cdots \times M_{k}$, where each $M_{i}$ is either a complex submanifold, a totally real submanifold or a Kaehlerian slant submanifold of $\bar{M}$.

Proposition 2.2 ([5]). Let $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ be an irreducible submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{m}$. If $M$ is neither invariant nor totally real, then $M$ is a Kaehlerian slant submanifold if and only if the endomorphism $P$ is parallel.

Definition 2.4. A slant H-umbilical submanifold of a Kaehler manifold $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{n}$ is a slant submanifold for which the second fundamental form takes the following forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=\lambda e_{1}^{*}, h\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=\cdots=h\left(e_{n}, e_{n}\right)=\mu e_{1}^{*} \\
& h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=\mu e_{j}^{*}, h\left(e_{j}, e_{k}\right)=0,2 \leq j \neq k \leq n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{n}^{*}$ defined as in (10).

## 3. Ricci curvature and squared norm of mean curvature

In this section, we prove some inequalities of Ricci curvatures for submanifolds of BochnerKaehler manifolds.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ be a submanifold of a Bochner-Kaehler manifold $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{m}$, then
(i) for each unit vector $X \in T_{p} \mathscr{M}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R} i c(X) \leq & \frac{1}{4} n^{2}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{4 n^{3}-12 n^{2}-2 n+10-\left(3 n^{2}-9 n+3\right)\|P\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} \\
& \frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)-\frac{3}{2 n+4} \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $H(p)=0$, the unit tangent vector $X$ at $p$ satisfies the equality if and only if $X \in \mathscr{N}_{p}$.
(iii) The equality case holds identically for all unit tangent vectors at $p$ if and only if either $p$ is totally geodesic point or $n=2$ and $p$ is totally umbilical point.

Proof. (i) Let $X \in T_{p} \mathscr{M}$ be a unit tangent vector at $p$. We choose orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}, e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ such that $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ are tangent to $\mathscr{M}$ at $p$ with $e_{1}=X$, then from Gauss equation we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y, Z, W)= & L(Y, Z) g(X, W)-L(X, Z) g(Y, W)+L(X, W) g(Y, Z) \\
& -L(Y, W) g(X, Z)+M(Y, Z) g(J X, W)-M(X, Z) g(J Y, W) \\
& +M(X, W) g(J Y, Z)-M(Y, W) g(J X, Z)-2 M(X, Y)(J Z, W) \\
& -2 M(Z, W) g(J X, Y)+g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z))-g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)),
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{W} \in \mathrm{TM}$. Therefore we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i, j} R\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{j}, e_{i}\right)= & \sum_{i, j}\left(L\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)-L\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right)+L\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) g\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right. \\
& -L\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right) g\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)+M\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right) g\left(J e_{i}, e_{i}\right)-M\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) g\left(J e_{j}, e_{i}\right) \\
& +M\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) g\left(J e_{j}, e_{j}\right)-M\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right) g\left(J e_{i}, e_{j}\right)-2 M\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\left(J e_{j}, e_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-2 M\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right) g\left(J e_{i}, e_{j}\right)+g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right), h\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right)-g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), h\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right)\right),\right) \\
= & \sum_{i, j}\left(L\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)-L\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right)+L\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) g\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right. \\
& -L\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right) g\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)-L\left(e_{j}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(J e_{i}, e_{i}\right)+L\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(J e_{j}, e_{i}\right) \\
& +L\left(e_{i}, J e_{i}\right) g\left(J e_{j}, e_{j}\right)+L\left(e_{j}, J e_{i}\right) g\left(J e_{i}, e_{j}\right)+2 L\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)\left(J e_{j}, e_{i}\right) \\
& \left.+2 L\left(e_{j}, J e_{i}\right) g\left(J e_{i}, e_{j}\right)+g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right), h\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right)\right)-g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), h\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using(4), (5) and (9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i, j} R\left(e_{i}, e_{j}, e_{j}, e_{i}\right)= & 2 n \sum_{i} L\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)-2 \sum_{i, j} L\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)+6 \sum_{i, j} L\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) \\
& +n^{2}\|H\|^{2}-\|h\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Last equation simplifies to,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \rho=2(n-1) \sum_{i} L\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)+6 \sum_{i, j} L\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)+n^{2}\|H\|^{2}-\|h\|^{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (7) and (13), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \rho= & \frac{2(n-1)}{2 n+4} \sum_{i} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)-\frac{2(n-1) \bar{\rho}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \sum_{i} g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) \\
& +\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)-\sum_{i, j} \frac{6 \bar{\rho}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) \\
& +n^{2}\|H\|^{2}-\|h\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=2 \rho-\left(\frac{6 n^{2}+2 n-8-6\|P\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)}\right) \bar{\rho}+\|h\|^{2}-\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) .
$$

From which we have,

$$
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=2 \rho-\left(\frac{6 n^{2}+2 n-8-6\|P\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)}\right) \bar{\rho}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}-\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) .
$$

that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}= & 2 \rho-\left(\frac{6 n^{2}+2 n-8-6\|P\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)}\right) \bar{\rho}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{m}\left[\left(h_{11}^{r}\right)^{2}+\left(h_{22}^{r}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(h_{n n}^{r}\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{i<j}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& -\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Which simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}= & 2 \rho-\left(\frac{6 n^{2}+2 n-8-6\|P\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)}\right) \bar{\rho}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{m}\left[\left(h_{11}^{r}\right)^{2}+\left(h_{22}^{r}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(h_{n n}^{r}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +2 \sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \sum_{i<j}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}-\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { or } \begin{aligned}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}= & 2 \rho-\left(\frac{6 n^{2}+2 n-8-6\|P\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)}\right) \bar{\rho}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{m}\left[\left(h_{11}^{r}\right)^{2}+\left(h_{22}^{r}+\cdots+h_{n n}^{r}\right)^{2}-2 \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n} h_{i i}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}\right] \\
& +2 \sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \sum_{i<j}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}-\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

From which we derive that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}= & 2 \rho-\left(\frac{6 n^{2}+2 n-8-6\|P\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)}\right) \bar{\rho}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+1}^{m}\left[\left(h_{11}^{r}+h_{22}^{r}+\cdots+h_{n n}^{r}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(h_{11}^{r}-h_{22}^{r}-\cdots-h_{n n}^{r}\right)^{2}\right]-2 \sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n} h_{i i}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}+2 \sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \sum_{i<j}\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& -\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}= & 2 \rho-\left(\frac{6 n^{2}+2 n-8-6\|P\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)}\right) \bar{\rho} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+1}^{m}\left(h_{11}^{r}+h_{22}^{r}+\cdots+h_{n n}^{r}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+1}^{m}\left(h_{11}^{r}-h_{22}^{r}-\cdots-h_{n n}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(h_{1 j}^{r}\right)^{2}-2\left[\sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n} h_{i i}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}-\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& -\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, from Gauss equation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{i j}= & 2 L\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)+6 L\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)+\sum_{r=n+1}^{m}\left[h_{i i}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}-\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{2}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)-\frac{2 \bar{\rho}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)+\frac{6}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) \\
& -\frac{6 \bar{\rho}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)+\sum_{r=n+1}^{m}\left[h_{i i}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}-\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right] \\
= & \frac{8 n+6-6\|p\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho}+\frac{6}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)+\sum_{r=n+1}^{m}\left[h_{i i}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}-\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and consequently

$$
\sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n} K_{i j}=\frac{4 n^{3}-9 n^{2}-n+6-\left(3 n^{2}-9 n+6\right)\|p\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)+\sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n}\left[h_{i i}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}-\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Incorporating (15) in (14), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} n^{2}\|H\|^{2} \geq 2 \mathscr{R} i c(X)-\frac{6 n^{2}+2 n-8-6\|P\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho}+2 \frac{4 n^{3}-9 n^{2}-n+6-\left(3 n^{2}-9 n+6\right)\|P\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} \\
& \frac{12}{2 n+4} \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)-\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R} i c(X) \leq & \frac{1}{4} n^{2}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{4 n^{3}-12 n^{2}-2 n+10-\left(3 n^{2}+9 n-3\right)\|P\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} \\
& \frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)-\frac{3}{2 n+4} \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) g\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Suppose $H(p)=0$, equality holds if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{12}^{r}=\cdots=h_{1 n}^{r}=0, \\
h_{11}^{r}=h_{22}^{r}+\cdots+h_{n n}^{r}, r \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $h_{1 j}^{r}=0 \forall j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, r \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\}$, i.e. $X \in \mathscr{N}$.
(iii) The equality case holds for all unit vectors at $p$ if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
h_{12}^{r}=0, i \neq j, r \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\}, \\
h_{11}^{r}+\cdots+h_{n n}^{r}-2 h_{i j}^{r}=0, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, r \in\{n+1, \ldots, m\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We distinguish two cases:
(a) $n \neq 2$, then $p$ is a totally geodesic point
(b) $\mathrm{n}=2$, it follows that $p$ is a totally umbilical point.

The converse is trivial.
The following proposition follows from the theorem 3.1, if the submanifold $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ is Einstein.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ be a submanifold of a Bochner-Kaehler manifold $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{m}$ which is Einstein, then
(i) For each unit vector $X \in T_{p} \mathscr{M}$, we have

$$
\mathscr{R} i c(X) \leq \frac{1}{4} n^{2}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{4 n^{3}-12 n^{2}-2 n+10-\left(3 n^{2}-9 n+3\right)\|P\|^{2}}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho}+\frac{3}{2 n+2} \lambda\|P\|^{2} .
$$

(ii) If $H(p)=0$, the unit tangent vector $X$ at $p$ satisfies the equality if and only if $X \in \mathscr{N}_{p}$.
(iii) The equality case holds identically for all unit tangent vectors at $p$ if and only if either $p$ is totally geodesic point or $n=2$ and $p$ is totally umbilical point.

If $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ is a slant submanifold of $\mathscr{M}^{m}$, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ be a slant submanifold of a Bochner-Kaehler manifold $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{m}$, then
(i) for each unit vector $X \in T_{p} \mathscr{M}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R} i c(X) \leq & \frac{1}{4} n^{2}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{4 n^{3}-12 n^{2}-2 n+10-\left(3 n^{2}-9 n+3\right) \cos ^{2} \theta}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} \\
& +\frac{6 \cos \theta}{2 n+4} \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)-\frac{3}{2 n+4} \cos \theta \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $H(p)=0$, the unit tangent vector $X$ at $p$ satisfies the equality if and only if $X \in \mathscr{N}_{p}$.
(iii) The equality case holds identically for all unit tangent vectors at $p$ if and only if either $p$ is totally geodesic point or $n=2$ and $p$ is totally umbilical point.

Following corollaries can be deduced from the last theorem.
Corollary 3.4. Let $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ be an anti-invariantsubmanifold of a Bochner-Kaehler manifold $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{m}$, then
(i) for each unit vector $X \in T_{p} \mathscr{M}$, we have

$$
\mathscr{R} i c(X) \leq \frac{1}{4} n^{2}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{4 n^{3}-12 n^{2}-2 n+10}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} .
$$

(ii) If $H(p)=0$, the unit tangent vector $X$ at $p$ satisfies the equality if and only if $X \in \mathscr{N}_{p}$.
(iii) The equality case holds identically for all unit tangent vectors at $p$ if and only if either $p$ is totally geodesic point or $n=2$ and $p$ is totally umbilical point.

Corollary 3.5. Let $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ be a invariant submanifold of a Bochner-Kaehler manifold $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{m}$, then
(i) for each unit vector $X \in T_{p} \mathscr{M}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R} i c(X) \leq & \frac{1}{4} n^{2}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{4 n^{3}-12 n^{2}-2 n+10-\left(3 n^{2}-9 n+3\right)}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} \\
& +\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{2 \leq i<j \leq n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right)-\frac{3}{2 n+4} \sum_{i, j} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{i}, J e_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If $H(p)=0$, the unit tangent vector $X$ at $p$ satisfies the equality if and only if $X \in \mathscr{N}_{p}$.
(iii) The equality case holds identically for all unit tangent vectors at $p$ if and only if either $p$ is totally geodesic point or $n=2$ and $p$ is totally umbilical point.

## 4. Improved Chen-Ricci inequality

In 2009, Deng improved the Chen-Ricci inequality for Lagrangian submanifolds in complex space forms using the algebraic technique. In this section we will improve the Chen-Ricci inequality for Bochner-Kaehler manifolds. The following lemmas will be helpful for the proof of the main result.

Lemma 4.1 ([10]). Let $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a point in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. If $x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}=n a$, we have

$$
x_{2}^{1}+x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2} \geq n a^{2} .
$$

The equality sign holds if and only if $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=a$.
Lemma 4.2 ([10]). Let $f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a function in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ defined by

$$
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1} \sum_{j=2}^{n} x_{j}-\sum_{j=2}^{n} x_{j}^{2} .
$$

If $x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}=2 n a$, we have

$$
f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leq \frac{(n-1)}{4 n}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{n}\right)^{2} .
$$

The equality sign holds if and only if $\frac{1}{n+1} x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=a$.
Lemma 4.3 ([10]). Let $f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a function in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ defined by

$$
f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1} \sum_{j=2}^{n} x_{j}-x_{1}^{2} .
$$

If $x_{1}+x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}=4 a$, we have

$$
f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{8}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{n}\right)^{2} .
$$

The equality sign holds if and only if $x_{1}=a$ and $x_{2}+\cdots+x_{n}=3 a$.
Now, we prove the main result of this section
Theorem 4.4. Let $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ be a Kaehlerian slant submanifold of a Bochner-Kaehler manifold $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{2 n}$. Let $X$ be a unit tangent vector in the tangent space $T_{x} \mathscr{M}^{n}$ at a point $x$ in $\mathscr{M}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{R} i c(X) \leq & \frac{(n-2)}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c(X)+\frac{2(n+3)}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho}-\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right) \cos \theta \\
& +\frac{6}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} \cos ^{2} \theta+\frac{n(n-1)}{4}\|H\|^{2} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $H$ is the mean curvature vector of $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ in $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{2 n}$ and $\mathscr{R} i c(X)$ is the Ricci curvature of $\mathscr{M}^{n}$ at $X . \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c(X)$ and $\bar{\rho}$ are the Ricci curvature and scalar curvature of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{2 n}$.

The equality sign holds for any unit tangent vector $X$ at a point $x$ iff either
(i) $M$ is a totally geodesic or
(ii) $n=2$ and $M$ is an $H$-umbilical surface with $\lambda=3 \mu$.

Proof. Let $X$ be a unit tangent vector at any fixed point $x$ in $\mathscr{M}^{n}$. For choosing an orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ in $T_{x} \mathscr{M}^{n}$ such that $e_{1}=X$ and $\left\{e_{1}^{*}=\frac{Q e_{1}}{\sin \theta}, e_{2}^{*}=\frac{Q e_{2}}{\sin \theta}, \ldots, e_{n}^{*}=\frac{Q e_{n}}{\sin \theta}\right\}$ an orthonormal frame in $T_{x}^{\perp} \mathscr{M}^{n}$. Using Gauss equation we have

$$
R\left(e_{j}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{j}\right)=\bar{R}\left(e_{j}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{j}\right)+g\left(h\left(e_{j}, e_{j}\right), h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)\right)-g\left(h\left(e_{j}, e_{1}\right), h\left(e_{1}, e_{j}\right)\right),
$$

By putting $X=W=e_{j}$ and $Y=Z=e_{1}, j=2, \ldots, n$ in the curvature tensor of Bochner-Kaehler manifold and using (7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}\left(e_{j}, e_{1}, e_{1}, e_{j}\right)= & \frac{(n-2)}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c(X)+\frac{2(n+3)}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho}+\frac{6}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right) g\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right) \\
& -\frac{6}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} g^{2}\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By summing after $\mathrm{j}=2, \ldots, \mathrm{n}$. From above two equations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R} i c(X)= & \frac{(n-2)}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c(X)+\frac{2(n+3)}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho}+\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right) g\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right) \\
& -\frac{6}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} \sum_{j=2}^{n} g^{2}\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right)+\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{n}\left[h_{11}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}-\left(h_{1 j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Whereby we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathscr{R} i c(X)-\frac{(n-2)}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c(X)-\frac{2(n+3)}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho}-\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right) g\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right) \\
+\frac{6}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} \sum_{j=2}^{n} g^{2}\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right) \leq \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{11}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}-\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left(h_{1 j}^{1}\right)^{2}-\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left(h_{1 j}^{1}\right)^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

Since $M$ is a Kaehlerian slant submanifold, using (11) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R} i c(X)- & \frac{(n-2)}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c(X)-\frac{2(n+3)}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho}-\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right) \cos \theta \\
& +\frac{6}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} \cos ^{2} \theta \leq \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{11}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}-\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left(h_{11}^{j}\right)^{2}-\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left(h_{j j}^{1}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we suppose that

$$
f_{1}\left(h_{11}^{1}, h_{22}^{1}, \ldots, h_{n n}^{1}\right)=h_{11}^{1} \sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j j}^{1}-\sum_{j=2}^{n}\left(h_{j j}^{1}\right)^{2}
$$

and

$$
f_{r}\left(h_{11}^{r}, h_{22}^{r}, \ldots, h_{n n}^{r}\right)=h_{11}^{r} \sum_{j=2}^{n} h_{j j}^{r}-\left(h_{11}^{r}\right)^{2}, \quad \text { for } \quad r=2, \ldots, n
$$

It is known that

$$
n H^{1}=h_{11}^{1}+h_{22}^{1}+\ldots h_{n n}^{1}
$$

Now by using lemma(4.2), we have

$$
f_{1}\left(h_{11}^{1}, h_{22}^{1}, \ldots, h_{n n}^{1}\right) \leq \frac{n-1}{4 n}\left(n H^{1}\right)^{2}
$$

Also by using lemma(4.3), we get

$$
f_{r}\left(h_{11}^{r}, h_{22}^{r}, \ldots, h_{n n}^{r}\right) \leq \frac{1}{8}\left(n H^{r}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{n-1}{4 n}\left(n H^{r}\right)^{2}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R} i c(X) \leq & \frac{(n-2)}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c(X)+\frac{2(n+3)}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho}+\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right) \cos \theta \\
& -\frac{6}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} \cos ^{2} \theta+\frac{n(n-1)}{4}\|H\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, consider the equality case, for $n \geq 3$, we choose $Q e_{1}$ parallel to the mean curvature vector $H$. Then, we have $H^{r}=0$, for $r \geq 2$.

From lemma(4.3), we get

$$
h_{1 j}^{1}=h_{11}^{j}=\frac{n H^{j}}{4}=0, \quad \text { for } \quad j \geq 2
$$

and

$$
h_{j k}^{1}=0, \quad \text { for } \quad j, k \geq 2, \quad j \neq k
$$

Also from lemma (4.2), we have

$$
h_{11}^{1}=(n+1) a, \quad h_{j j}^{1}=a, \quad \text { for } \quad j \geq 2 \quad \text { with } a=\frac{H^{1}}{2}
$$

Similarly computing $\overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{2}\right)$ as we compute $\mathscr{R} i c(X)=\overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{1}\right)$, in the inequality 16 , from the equality we get

$$
h_{2 j}^{r}=h_{j r}^{2}=0, \quad \text { for } \quad r \neq 2, \quad j \neq 2, \quad r \neq j
$$

Using the lemma(4.2) and the equality, we get

$$
\frac{h_{11}^{2}}{n+1}=h_{22}^{2}=\cdots=h_{n n}^{2}=\frac{H^{2}}{2}=0
$$

Since the equality holds for all unit vector fields, thus the argument is also true for matrices $\left(h_{j k}^{r}\right)$. So $h_{2 j}^{2}=h_{22}^{j}=\frac{H^{j}}{2}=0$ for all $j \geq 3$.

Thus the matrix ( $h_{j k}^{2}$ ) has only two non-zero possible entries $h_{12}^{2}=h_{21}^{2}=h_{22}^{1}=\frac{H^{1}}{2}$, similarly the matrix ( $h_{j k}^{r}$ ) has also only two non-zero possible entries $h_{1 r}^{r}=h_{r 1}^{r}=h_{r r}^{1}=\frac{H^{1}}{2}$ for $r \geq 3$.

Now for computing $\overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(e_{2}\right)$, put $X=Z=e_{2}$ and $Y=W=e_{j}$ in the Gauss equation, we have

$$
\bar{R}\left(e_{2}, e_{j}, e_{2}, e_{j}\right)=R\left(e_{2}, e_{j}, e_{2}, e_{j}\right)-\left(\frac{H^{1}}{2}\right)^{2}, \forall j \geq 3
$$

By putting $X=Z=e_{2}$ and $Y=W=e_{1}$ in the Gauss equation, we get

$$
\bar{R}\left(e_{2}, e_{j}, e_{2}, e_{j}\right)=R\left(e_{2}, e_{j}, e_{2}, e_{j}\right)-(n+1)\left(\frac{H^{1}}{2}\right)^{2}+-\left(\frac{H^{1}}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

on combining the above two relations, we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathscr{R} i c(X)-\frac{(n-2)}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c(X)-\frac{2(n+3)}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho}+\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right) \cos \theta \\
-\frac{6}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} \cos ^{2} \theta=2(n-1)\left(\frac{H^{1}}{2}\right)^{2}
\end{array}
$$

on the other hand, the equality case of (16)implies that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathscr{R} i c(X)-\frac{(n-2)}{2 n+4} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c(X)-\frac{2(n+3)}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho}+\frac{6}{2 n+4} \sum_{j=2}^{n} \overline{\mathscr{R}} i c\left(J e_{1}, e_{j}\right) \cos \theta \\
-\frac{6}{2(2 n+2)(2 n+4)} \bar{\rho} \cos ^{2} \theta=n(n-1)\left(\frac{H^{1}}{2}\right)^{2}
\end{array}
$$

We know that $n \neq 1,2$, thus from last two equations, we find that $H^{1}=0$. Thus ( $h_{j k}^{r}$ ) are all zero i.e $M$ is totally geodesic submanifold in $\overline{\mathscr{M}}^{2 n}$.

For $n=2$, if $M^{2}$ is not totally geodesic, we have

$$
h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=\lambda e_{1}^{*}, h\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=\mu e_{1}^{*}, h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=\mu e_{2}^{*}
$$

with $\lambda=3 \mu$ and such a surface is called H -umbilical surface.
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