

BEST APPROXIMATION OF CONJUGATE OF A FUNCTION IN GENERALIZED ZYGMUND CLASS

HARE KRISHNA NIGAM

Abstract. In this paper, we, for the very first time, study the error estimates of function \tilde{g} , conjugate to a function g (2π -periodic) in generalized Zygmund class Y_z^w ($z \ge 1$) by Matix-Euler (TE^q) product means of its conjugate Fourier series. In fact, we establish two theorems on degree of approximation of a function \tilde{g} of g (2π -periodic) in generalized Zygmund class Y_z^w ($z \ge 1$) by Matix-Euler (TE^q) product means of its conjugate Fourier series. Our first theorem generalizes three previously known results. Thus, the results of [7], [8] and [26] become the particular cases of our Theorem 1. Some corollaries are also deduced from our Theorem 1.

1. Introduction

The studies of error estimation of conjugate of a function in the different Lipschitz classes $(Lip(\alpha), Lip(\alpha, r), Lip(\xi(t), r)$ and $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ classes) by single summability operators such as Euler, Cesàro, Nörlund, generalized Nörlund, Hölder, Karamata, Riesz, matrix etc. have been a centre of creative study for the several researchers like Kushwaha [14], Nigam and Sharma [12], Qureshi [15, 16, 17, 18], Lal and Nigam [24], Lal [22], Mittal et al. [19], Rhoades [2, 3] Singh and Srivastava [27] and Kranz et al. [21] in last few decades.

The studies of error estimation of a conjugate function \tilde{g} of g (2 π -periodic) in different Lipschitz classes using products means have also been of great interest among the researchers like Lal and Singh [25, 26], Dhakal [4], Nigam and Sharma [9, 10, 11, 13] etc. in recent past.

Our motivation to this work is to consider more advance class of function that can provide best approximation of a function \tilde{g} by works a trigonometric polynomial of degree not more than r.

The review of above research works clearly suggests that the studies of error estimation of function \tilde{g} , conjugate to a function g (2π -periodic) in generalized Zygmund class $Y_z^w(z \ge 1)$ using Matrix-Euler (TE^q) means of conjugate Fourier series have not been initiated so far.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 42A10, 41A10.

Key words and phrases. Generalized Zygmund class, error approximation, conjugate Fourier series, Matix-Euler (TE^q) product means, generalized Minkowski's inequality.

Therefore, we study the error estimates of function \tilde{g} , conjugate to a function g (2π -periodic) in generalized Zygmund class $Y_z^w(z \ge 1)$ by Matix-Euler (TE^q) product means of its conjugate Fourier series. In fact, we establish two theorems on degree of approximation of conjugate function \tilde{g} of g (2π -periodic) in generalized Zygmund class $Y_z^w(z \ge 1)$ by Matix-Euler (TE^q) product means of its conjugate Fourier series. Our main theorem generalizes three previously known results. Thus, the results of [7], [8] and [26] become the particular cases of our Theorem 1.

Note 1. The conjugate Fourier series is not necessarily a Fourier series for example: The series $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(nx)}{\log n}$ conjugate to the Fourier series $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(nx)}{\log n}$ is not a Fourier series ([1], p. 186).

In view of the above example, a separate study of conjugate series of Fourier series in the present direction of work is so required.

We are not representing here the Fourier series and the conjugate Fourier series as these series are well known and the detailed work on these series can be found in [1].

We denote the r^{th} partial sum of conjugate Fourier series by $(\tilde{g}; x)$, which is given by

$$s_r(\tilde{g}; x) - \tilde{g}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \psi_x(l) \frac{\cos(r + \frac{1}{2})l}{\sin(\frac{1}{2})} dl,$$

where \tilde{g} is the conjugate function of g and is given by

$$\tilde{g} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \psi_x(l) \cot\left(\frac{l}{2}\right) dt.$$

Let $T = (a_{r,m})$ be an infinite triangular matrix satisfying the conditions of regularity ([20]) i.e.,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} = 1 \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty$$

$$a_{r,m} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad m > r \qquad (1)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{r} |a_{r,m}| \le M, \quad \text{a finite constant.}$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$t_r^T := \sum_{m=0}^r a_{r,m} s_m = \sum_{m=0}^r a_{r,r-m} s_{r-m}$$
(2)

defines the sequence t_r^T of triangular matrix means of the sequence $\{s_r\}$ generated by the sequence of coefficients $(a_{r,m})$.

If $t_r^T \to s$ as $r \to \infty$ then the conjugate Fourier series is said to be summable to *s* by *T*-method [1].

Let

$$E_r^q = \frac{1}{(1+q)^r} \sum_{m=0}^r \binom{r}{m} q^{r-m} s_m.$$
 (3)

If $E_r^q \to s$ as $r \to \infty$ then the conjugate Fourier series is said to be summable to *s* by E^q means [6].

The TE^q means (*T*-means of E^q means) is given by

$$\tilde{t}_{r}^{T,E^{q}} := \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} E_{m}^{q}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} \frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} {m \choose \nu} q^{m-\nu} s_{\nu}.$$
(4)

If $\tilde{t}_r^{T,E^q} \to s$ as $r \to \infty$, then the conjugate Fourier series is said to be summable to *s* by $T.E^q$ means.

Note 2. The regularity of *T* and E^q methods implies regularity of *T*. E^q method.

Remark 1. TE^q means reduces to

- (i) $(H, \frac{1}{r+1})E^q$ or $H.E^q$ means if $a_{r,m} = \frac{1}{(r-m+1)\log(r+1)}$.
- (ii) $(C, 1)E^q$ or C^1E^q means if $a_{r,m} = \frac{1}{r+1}$.
- (iii) $(N, p_r)E^q$ or N_pE^q means if $a_{r,m} = \frac{p_{r-m}}{P_r}$ where $P_r = \sum_{m=0}^r p_m \neq 0$.
- (iv) $(N, p, q)E^q$ or $N_{p,q}E^q$ means if $a_{r,m} = \frac{p_{r-m}q_m}{R_r}$ where $R_r = \sum_{m=0}^r p_m q_{r-m}$.
- (v) $(\bar{N}, p_r)E^q$ or $\bar{N}_p E^q$ means if $a_{r,m} = \frac{p_m}{P_r}$

Note 3. If $q_m = 1$ for all *m* then $H.E^1, C^1E^1, N_pE^1, N_{p,q}E^1$ and \bar{N}_pE^1 are also the particular cases of the $T.E^q$ means.

Let $L^{z}[0,2\pi] = \{g: [0,2\pi] \to \mathbb{R} : \int_{0}^{2\pi} |g(x)|^{z} dx < \infty, z \ge 1\}$ be the space of functions $(2\pi - periodic and integrable).$

Now, we define $\|\cdot\|_r$ by

$$\|g\|_{z} := \begin{cases} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |g(x)|^{r} dx \right\}^{1/r} & \text{for } 1 \le z < \infty \\ ess \sup_{x \in (0, 2\pi)} |g(x)| & \text{for } z = \infty. \end{cases}$$

As defined in [1], $w : [0,2\pi] \to \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary function with w(l) > 0 for $0 < l \le 2\pi$ and $\lim_{l \to 0^+} w(l) = w(0) = 0$.

We define

$$Y_{z}^{(w)} = \left\{ g \in L^{z}[0, 2\pi] : \sup_{l \neq 0} \frac{||g(\cdot, +l) - g(\cdot, -l)||_{z}}{w(l)} < \infty, z \ge 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\|.\|_{z}^{(w)} = \|g\|_{z}^{(w)} = \|g\|_{z} + \sup_{l \neq 0} \frac{\|g(\cdot, +l) - g(\cdot, -l)\|_{z}}{w(l)}; z \ge 1.$$

Hence, the space Y_z^w is considered as a Banach space represented by the norm $\|\|_z^{(w)}$.

The completeness of the space $Z_r^{(w)}$ can be discussed by considering the completeness of L^r , $r \ge 1$.

We define

$$\|g\|_{z}^{(\nu)} := \|g\|_{z} + \sup_{l \neq 0} \frac{\|g(\cdot + l) - g(\cdot - l)\|_{z}}{\nu(l)}, \quad z \ge 1.$$

Remark 2. w(l) and v(l) denote moduli of continuity of order 2 [1].

If we consider $\frac{w(l)}{v(l)}$ as positive and non-decreasing,

$$\|g\|_{z}^{(v)} \le \max\left(1, \frac{w(2\pi)}{v(2\pi)}\right) \|g\|_{z}^{(w)} < \infty$$

Thus,

$$Y_z^{(w)} \subset Y_z^{(v)} \subset L^z; z \ge 1.$$

Remark 3.

- (i) If $w(l) = l^{\alpha}$ in $Y^{(w)}$, $Y^{(w)}$ implies Y_{α} class.
- (ii) If $w(l) = l^{\alpha}$ in $Y_z^{(w)}$, $Y^{(w)}$ implies $Y_{\alpha,z}$ class.

(iii) If $z \to \infty$ in $Y_z^{(w)}$, $Y_z^{(w)}$ implies $Y^{(w)}$ class and $Y_{\alpha,z}$ class implies Y_α class.

The error estimation of function \tilde{g} is given by

$$E_r(\tilde{g}) = \min \|\tilde{g} - t_r\|_z,$$

where \tilde{t}_r is a trigonometric polynomial of degree r, [1].

We write,

$$\psi_x(l) = \psi(x, l) = g(x+l) - g(x-l)$$
$$\tilde{M}_r(l) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^r a_{r,m} \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{\nu=0}^m \frac{\cos(\nu + \frac{1}{2})l}{\sin(\frac{1}{2})}$$

2. Main Theorems

Theorem 1. If $\tilde{g} \in Y_z^{(w)} class; z \ge 1$ and $\frac{w(l)}{v(l)}$ be positive, non-decreasing then the error estimation of \tilde{g} by TE^q means of its conjugate Fourier series is

$$||\tilde{t}_r^{T,E^q} - \tilde{g}||_z^{(\nu)} = O\left(\frac{\log \pi (r+1)^2}{\log \pi (r+1)}\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{l\nu(l)}dl\right),$$

where $T = (a_{r,m})$ be an infinite triangular matrix satisfying (1) and w, v are defined as in Remark 2.

Theorem 2. If $\tilde{g} \in Y_z^{(w)}$ class; $z \ge 1$ and in addition of Theorem 1, if $\frac{w(l)}{lv(l)}$ be non-decreasing then the error estimation of \tilde{g} by TE^q means of its conjugate Fourier series is

$$\|\tilde{t}_{r}^{T.E^{q}} - \tilde{g}\|_{z}^{(v)} = O\left(\log \pi (r+1)^{2} \frac{w\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\right)}\right),$$

where $T = (a_{r,m})$ be an infinite triangular matrix satisfying (1) and w, v are defined as in Remark 2.

3. Lemmas

Lemma 1. Under condition (1), $\tilde{M}_r(l) = O(\frac{1}{l})$ for $0 < l < \frac{1}{r+1}$.

Proof. For $0 < l \le \frac{1}{r+1}$, using $\sin(\frac{l}{2}) \ge \frac{l}{\pi}$ and $|\cos r l| \le 1$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{M}_{r}(l) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} \frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} q^{m-\nu} \frac{\cos(\nu+\frac{1}{2})l}{\sin(\frac{l}{2})} \\ |\tilde{M}_{r}(l)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \times \frac{\pi}{l} \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} \frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} q^{m-\nu} |\cos(\nu+\frac{1}{2})l| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2l} \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} \frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} q^{m-\nu} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2l} \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} \\ \therefore \tilde{H}_{r}(l) &= O\left(\frac{1}{l}\right). \end{split}$$

Lemma 2. Under condition (1), $\tilde{M}_r(l) = O(\frac{1}{t})$ for $\frac{1}{r+1} \le l \le \pi$.

Proof. For $\frac{1}{r+1} \le l \le \pi$, using $\sin(\frac{l}{2}) \ge \frac{l}{\pi}$.

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{H}_{r}(l)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \times \frac{\pi}{l} \left| \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} \frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} q^{m-\nu} \cos(\nu + \frac{1}{2}) l \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2l} \left| \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} \left[\frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} q^{m-\nu} e^{i(\nu + \frac{1}{2}) l} \right\} \right] \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2l} \left| \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} \left[\frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} q^{m-\nu} e^{i\nu l} \right\} \right] \right| \left| e^{\frac{il}{2}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2l} \left| \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} \left[\frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} q^{m-\nu} e^{i\nu l} \right\} \right] \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2l} \left| \sum_{m=0}^{\tau-1} a_{r,m} \left[\frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} q^{m-\nu} e^{i\nu l} \right\} \right] \right| \end{split}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2l}\left|\sum_{m=\tau}^{r}a_{r,m}\left[\frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}}\operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{\nu=0}^{m}\binom{m}{\nu}q^{m-\nu}e^{i\nu l}\right\}\right]\right|.$$
(5)

Now considering the first term of (5),

$$\frac{1}{2l} \left| \sum_{m=0}^{\tau-1} a_{r,m} \left[\frac{1}{(1+q)^m} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^m \binom{m}{\nu} q^{m-\nu} e^{i\nu l} \right\} \right] \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{2l} \left| \sum_{m=0}^{\tau-1} a_{r,m} \left[\frac{1}{(1+q)^m} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^m \binom{m}{\nu} q^{m-\nu} \right\} \right] \right| \left| e^{i\nu l} \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{2l} \left| \sum_{m=0}^{\tau-1} a_{r,m} \right| \\
= O\left(\tau \sum_{m=0}^{\tau-1} a_{r,m} \right).$$
(6)

Now considering the second term of (5) and using Abel's lemma,

$$\frac{1}{2l} \left| \sum_{m=\tau}^{r} a_{r,m} \left[\frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} {m \choose \nu} q^{m-\nu} e^{i\nu l} \right\} \right] \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{2l} \left| \sum_{m=\tau}^{r} a_{r,m} \frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \left[\max_{0 \le k \le m} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} {m \choose \nu} q^{m-\nu} e^{i\nu l} \right\} \right] \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{2l} (1+q)^{\tau} \sum_{m=\tau}^{r} a_{r,m} \frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \\
\leq \left[\tau (1+q)^{\tau} \sum_{m=\tau}^{r} a_{r,m} \frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \right] \\
\leq \left[\tau (1+q)^{\tau} \left(a_{r,\tau} \frac{1}{(1+q)^{\tau}} + a_{r,\tau+1} \frac{1}{(1+q)^{\tau+1}} + \dots + a_{r,r} \frac{1}{(1+q)^{r}} \right) \right] \\
\leq \left[\tau \left(a_{r,\tau} + a_{r,\tau+1} + \dots + a_{r,r} \right) \right] \\
\leq \left[\tau \left(a_{r,\tau} + a_{r,\tau+1} + \dots + a_{r,r} \right) \right] \\
= O \left(\tau \sum_{m=\tau}^{r} a_{r,m} \right).$$
(7)

Combining (5), (6) and (7),

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{M}_r(l)| &= O\Big(\tau \sum_{m=0}^{\tau-1} a_{r,m}\Big) + O\Big(\tau \sum_{m=\tau}^r a_{r,m}\Big) \\ &= O\Big(\tau \sum_{m=0}^r a_{r,m}\Big) \\ &= O\Big(\frac{1}{l}\Big). \end{split}$$

Lemma 3. Let $\tilde{g} \in Y_z^{(w)}$ then for $0 < l \le \pi$: If w(l) and v(l) are defined as in Remark 2 then

$$\|\psi(\cdot+y,l)-\psi(\cdot-y,l)\|_{z}=O\left(\nu(|y|)\left(\frac{w(l)}{\nu(l)}\right)\right).$$

Proof. This lemma can be proved along the same line of the proof of lemma proved in ([23], p.93). □

4. Proof of Main Theorems

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. The integral representation of $s_r(\tilde{g}; x)$ is given by

$$s_r(\tilde{g}; x) - \tilde{g}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \psi_x(l) \frac{\cos(r + \frac{1}{2})l}{\sin(\frac{l}{2})} dl.$$

Now, denoting $T.E^q$ transform of $s_r(\tilde{g}; x)$ by $\tilde{t_r}^{T.E^q}$, we get

$$\begin{split} \tilde{t}_{r}^{T.E^{q}}(x) &- \tilde{g}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} (E_{m}^{q}(x) - \tilde{g}(x)) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} \left(\frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} q^{m-\nu} s_{\nu}(\tilde{g};x) - \tilde{g}(x) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \psi_{x}(l) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{r} a_{r,m} \frac{1}{(1+q)^{m}} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m} \binom{m}{\nu} q^{m-\nu} \frac{\cos(\nu + \frac{1}{2})}{\sin(\frac{1}{2})} \right) dl \\ \tilde{t}_{r}^{T.E^{q}}(x) - \tilde{g}(x) = \int_{0}^{\pi} \psi_{x}(l) \tilde{M}_{r}(l) dl. \end{split}$$

Let

$$\tilde{R}_r(x) = \tilde{t}_r^{T.E^q}(x) - \tilde{g}(x) = \int_0^\pi \psi_x(l) \tilde{M}_r dl.$$

Then

$$\tilde{R}_r(x+y) - \tilde{R}_r(x-y) = \int_0^{\pi} \{ \psi_x(x+y,l) - \psi_x(x-y,l) \} \tilde{M}_r(l) dl.$$

Using generalized Minkowski's inequality Chui [5], we get

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{R}_{r}(\cdot+y) - \tilde{R}_{r}(\cdot-y)\|_{z} &\leq \int_{0}^{\pi} \|\psi_{x}(\cdot+y,l)\|_{z} \tilde{M}_{r}(l) dl \\ &= \left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{r+1}} + \int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi}\right) \|\psi(\cdot+y,l) - \psi(\cdot-y,l)\|_{z} \tilde{M}_{r}(l) dl \\ &= I_{1} + I_{2}. \end{split}$$
(8)

Using Lemmas 1 and 3, we have

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \|\psi(\cdot + y, l) - \psi(\cdot - y, l)\|_z \tilde{M}_r(l) dl$$

$$= O\left(v(|y|)\frac{w(\frac{1}{r+1})}{v(\frac{1}{r+1})} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \frac{1}{l} dl\right)$$

= $O\left(v(|y|)\frac{w(\frac{1}{r+1})}{v(\frac{1}{r+1})}\log(r+1)\right).$ (9)

Using Lemmas 2 and 3, we have

$$I_{2} = \int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \|\psi(\cdot + y, l) - \psi(\cdot - y, l)\|_{z} \tilde{M}_{r}(l) dl$$

= $O\left(\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \nu(|y|) \frac{w(l)}{l\nu(l)} dl\right).$ (10)

Using (8), (9) and (10), we have

$$\sup_{y\neq 0} \frac{\|\tilde{R}_r(\cdot+y) - \tilde{R}_r(\cdot-y)\|_z}{\nu(|y|)} = O\left(\frac{w(\frac{1}{r+1})}{\nu(\frac{1}{r+1})}\right)\log(r+1) + O\left(\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{l\nu(l)}dl\right).$$
 (11)

Again using Lemmas 1 and 2 and $\|\psi(\cdot, l)\|_z = O(w(l))$,

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{R}_{r}(\cdot)\|_{z} &= \|\tilde{t}_{r}^{T,E^{q}} - \tilde{g}\|_{z} \leq \left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{r+1}} + \int_{\pi}^{\frac{1}{r+1}}\right) \|\psi(\cdot,l)\|_{z} \; \tilde{M}_{r}(l) dl \\ &= O\left(\int_{0}^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \frac{w(l)}{l} dl\right) + O\left(\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{l} dl\right) \\ &= O\left(w\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\right) \log(r+1)\right) + O\left(\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{l} dl\right). \end{split}$$
(12)

Now, we have

$$\|\tilde{R}_{r}(\cdot)\|_{z}^{(\nu)} = \|\tilde{R}_{r}(\cdot)\|_{z} + \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{\|\tilde{R}_{r}(\cdot+y) - \tilde{R}_{r}(\cdot-y)\|_{z}}{\nu(|y|)}.$$

Using (11) and (12), we get

$$\|\tilde{R_r}(\cdot)\|_{\mathcal{Z}}^{(\nu)} = O\left(w\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\right)\log(r+1)\right) + O\left(\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{l}dl\right) + O\left(\frac{w(\frac{1}{r+1})}{v(\frac{1}{r+1})}\log(r+1)\right) + O\left(\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{l\,v(l)}dl\right).$$

By the Monotonicity of v(l), we have $w(l) = \frac{w(l)}{v(l)}v(l) \le v(\pi)\frac{w(l)}{v(l)}$, $0 < l \le \pi$. Hence,

$$\|\tilde{R}_{r}(\cdot)\|_{z}^{(v)} = O\left(\frac{w(\frac{1}{r+1})}{v(\frac{1}{r+1})}\log(r+1)\right) + O\left(\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{lv(l)}dl\right).$$
(13)

Using the fact that $\frac{w(l)}{v(l)}$ is positive and non-decreasing, we have

$$\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{lv(l)} dl \ge \frac{w(\frac{1}{r+1})}{v(\frac{1}{r+1})} \int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{l} dl$$
$$\ge \frac{w(\frac{1}{r+1})}{2v(\frac{1}{r+1})} \log \pi(r+1).$$

Then,

$$\frac{w(\frac{1}{r+1})}{\nu(\frac{1}{r+1})} = O\left(\frac{\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{l\nu(l)} dl}{\log \pi(r+1)}\right).$$
(14)

From (13) and (14), we get

$$\|\tilde{R}_{r}(\cdot)\|_{z}^{(v)} = O\left(\frac{\log(r+1)}{\log\pi(r+1)}\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi}\frac{w(l)}{lv(l)}dl\right) + O\left(\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi}\frac{w(l)}{lv(l)}dl\right)$$
$$\therefore \|\tilde{t}_{r}^{T.E^{q}} - \tilde{g}\|_{z}^{(v)} = O\left(\frac{\log\pi(r+1)^{2}}{\log\pi(r+1)}\int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi}\frac{w(l)}{lv(l)}dl\right).$$

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Following the proof of the Theorem 1,

$$\|\tilde{t}_r^{T.E^q} - \tilde{g}\|_z^{(\nu)} = O\left(\frac{\log \pi (r+1)^2}{\log \pi (r+1)} \int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{l\nu(l)} dl\right).$$

Since $\frac{w(l)}{lv(l)}$ is positive, non-increasing, then by second mean value theorem of integral calculus,

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{t}_{r}^{T,E^{q}} - \tilde{g}\|_{z}^{(v)} &= O\left(\frac{\log \pi (r+1)^{2}}{\log \pi (r+1)} \int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{lv(l)} dl\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{\log \pi (r+1)^{2}}{\log \pi (r+1)} \frac{w\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\right)} \int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{1}{l} dl\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{\log \pi (r+1)^{2}}{\log \pi (r+1)} \frac{w\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\right)} \log \pi (r+1)\right) \\ \|\tilde{t}_{r}^{T,E^{q}} - \tilde{g}\|_{z}^{(v)} &= O\left(\log \pi (r+1)^{2} \frac{w\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\right)}{v\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\right)}\right). \end{split}$$

5. Corollaries

Corollary 1. Let $0 \le \beta < \alpha \le 1$ and $\tilde{g} \in Y_{(\alpha),z}$, $z \ge 1$. Then

$$\|\tilde{t}_{r}^{T.E^{q}} - \tilde{g}\|_{(\beta),z} = \begin{cases} O\left[\frac{(\log \pi (r+1)^{2})(r+1)^{\beta-\alpha}}{\log \pi (r+1)}\right] & \text{if } 0 \leq \beta < \alpha < 1. \\\\ O\left[\frac{(\log \pi (r+1)^{2})}{(r+1)\log \pi (r+1)}\right] & \text{if } \beta = 0, \ \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is obtained by putting $w(l) = l^{\alpha}, v(l) = l^{\beta}, 0 \le \beta < \alpha \le 1$ in Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. If $a_{r,m} = \frac{1}{\log(r+1)} \sum_{m=0}^{r} \frac{1}{r-m+1}$ then $T.E^q$ means reduces to $(H, \frac{1}{r+1}) \cdot E^q$ means and the error estimation of $\tilde{g} \in Y_z^{(w)}$ by $(H, \frac{1}{r+1}) \cdot E^q$ means of conjugate Fourier series is

$$\|\tilde{t}_r^{(H,\frac{1}{r+1})} - \tilde{g}\|_z^{(v)} == O\left(\frac{\log \pi (r+1)^2}{\log \pi (r+1)} \int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{lv(l)} dl\right)$$

Corollary 3. If $a_{r,m} = \frac{p_{r-m}}{p_r}$ then $T.E^q$ means reduces to $N_p.E^q$ and the error estimation of $\tilde{g} \in Y_z^{(w)}$ by $N_p.E^q$ means of conjugate Fourier series is

$$\|\tilde{t}_r^{N_p.E^q} - \tilde{g}\|_z^{(\nu)} == O\left(\frac{\log \pi (r+1)^2}{\log \pi (r+1)} \int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{l\nu(l)} dl\right).$$

Corollary 4. If $a_{r,m} = \frac{p_{r-m}q_m}{R_r}$ then $T.E^q$ means reduces to $N_{p,q}.E^q$ and the error estimation of $\tilde{g} \in Y_z^{(w)}$ by $N_{p,q}.E^q$ means of conjugate Fourier series is

$$\|\tilde{t}_r^{N_{p,q},E^q} - \tilde{g}\|_z^{(\nu)} == O\left(\frac{\log \pi (r+1)^2}{\log \pi (r+1)} \int_{\frac{1}{r+1}}^{\pi} \frac{w(l)}{lv(l)} dl\right).$$

Remark 4.

- (i) In our Theorem 1, if $z \to \infty$ in $Y_z^{(w)}$ class then $Y_z^{(w)}$ class reduces to $Y^{(w)}$ class. Also putting $w(l) = l^{\alpha}$ and $v(l) = l^{\beta}$ in our Theorem 1, $Y^{(w)}$ class reduces to Y_{α} class then by putting $\beta = 0$ in Y_{α} class, Y_{α} class reduces to Lip α class.
- (ii) In our Theorem 1, by putting $w(l) = l^{\alpha}$, $v(l) = l^{\beta}$ in $Y_z^{(w)}$ class, $Y_z^{(w)}$ class reduces to $Y_{\alpha,z}$ then by putting $\beta = 0$ in $Y_{\alpha,z}$ class, $Y_{\alpha,z}$ class reduces to Lip (α, z) class.

6. Particular cases

- (i) Using remark 4(i) and putting $a_{r,m} = \frac{1}{r+1}$ and $q_m = 1$ for all m in our Theorem 1, our Theorem 1 becomes a particular case of the Theorem 2.1 of Nigam [7].
- (ii) Using remark 4(ii) and putting $a_{r,m} = \frac{1}{r+1}$ in our Theorem 1, our Theorem 1 becomes a particular case of the Theorem 1 of Nigam [8].
- (iii) Using remark 4(ii) and putting $a_{r,m} = \frac{1}{r+1}$ and $q_m = 1$ for all m in our Theorem 1, our Theorem 1 becomes a particular case of Lal and Singh [26].

Acknowledgement

The author expresses his gratitude towards his mother for her blessings. The author also expresses his gratitude towards his father in heaven, whose soul is always guiding and encouraging him. This research work is supported by Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Government of India under the project 25/(0225)/13/EMR-II.

References

- [1] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 3rd rev. ed., 2002.
- [2] B. E. Rhoades, On the degree of approximation of the conjugate of a function belonging to the weighted $W(L^p,\xi(t))$ -class by matrix means of the conjugate series of a Fourier series, Tamkang J. Math., **33**(4) (2002), 365–370.
- [3] B. E. Rhoades, The degree of approximation of functions, and their conjugates, belonging to several general Lipschitz classes by Hausdorff matrix means of the Fourier series and conjugate series of a Fourier series, Tamkang J. Math., 45(4) (2014), 389–395.
- [4] B. P. Dhakal, Approximation of the conjugate of a function belonging to the $W(L^p, \xi(t))$ class by $(N, p_n)(E, 1)$ means of the conjugate series of the Fourier series, Kathmandu University Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology, **5**(II) (2009), 30–36.
- [5] C. K. Chui, *An introduction to wavelets (Wavelet analysis and its applications)*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, USA, 1992.
- [6] G. H. Hardy, Divergent Series, First Edition, Oxford Press, 1949.
- [7] H. K. Nigam, *A study on approximation of conjugate of a functions belonging to Lipschitz class and generalized Lipschitz class by product summability means*, Thai Journal of Mathematics, **10**(2) (2012), 275–287.
- [8] H. K. Nigam, *Approximation of a function and conjugate of function belonging to* $Lip(\alpha, \gamma)$ *class by* (*C*, 1)(*E*, 1), Open Journal of Mathematical Modeling, 1(6)(2013), 212–224.
- [9] H. K. Nigam and A. Sharma, On approximation of conjugate of a function belonging to weighted $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ class by product means, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., **70**(3) (2011), 317–328.
- [10] H. K. Nigam and A. Sharma, On approximation of conjugate of functions belonging to different classes by product means, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 76(2) (2012), 303–316.
- [11] H. K. Nigam and A. Sharma, On approximation of conjugate of a function belonging to $Lip(\xi(t), r)$ class by product summability means of conjugate series of Fourier series, Int. J. Cont.. Math. Sci., **5** (54) (2010), 2673–2683.
- [12] H. K. Nigam and K. Sharma, *A study on degree of approximation by Karamata summability method*, J. Inequal. Appl., **85**(1) (2011), 1–28.
- [13] H. K. Nigam and K. Sharma, Approximation of conjugate of functions belonging to Lipa class and $W(L_r, \xi(t))$ class by product means of conjugate Fourier series, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math., 4(3) (2011), 276–286.
- [14] J. K. Kushwaha, On the Approximation of Generalized Lipschitz Function by Euler means of Conjugate series of Fourier series, Sci. World J., 2013 (2013).
- [15] K. Qureshi, On the degree of approximation of a periodic function f by almost riesz means of its conjugate *series*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **13**(10) (1982), 1136–1139.
- [16] K. Qureshi, On the degree of approximation of functions belonging to the Lipschitz class by means of a conjugate series, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **12**(9) (1981), 1120–1123.
- [17] K. Qureshi, On the degree of approximation of functions belonging to the $Lip(\alpha, p)$ by means of a conjugate series, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **13**(5) (1982), 560–563.
- [18] K. Qureshi, On the degree of approximation to a function belonging to weighted $(L^p, \xi_1(t))$ class, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **13**(4) (1982), 471–475.
- [19] M. L. Mittal, U. Singh and V. N. Mishra, *Approximation of functions (signals) belonging to* $W(L_p,\xi(t))$ *-class by means of conjugate Fourier series using Nörlund operators*, Varah. J. Math. Sci. India, **6**(1) (2006), 383–392.
- [20] O. Töeplitz, Uberall. lineara Mittelbil dunger P.M.F., 22 (1913), 113–119.
- [21] R. Kranz, W. Lenski and S. Bogdan, On the degrees of approximation of functions belonging to $L^p(\tilde{\omega})_\beta$ class by matrix means of conjugate Fourier series, Math. Inequal. Appl., **15**(3) (2012), 717–732.
- [22] S. Lal, On the degree of approximation of conjugate of a function belonging to weighted $W(L^p,\xi(t))$ class by matrix summability means of conjugate series of a Fourier series, Tamkang J. Math., **31**(4) (2000), 279–288.
- [23] S. Lal and A. Mishra, *The method of summation* $(E, 1)(N, p_n)$ *and Trigonometric Approximation of function in generalized Holder metric*, Journal of Indian Math. Soc., **80** (2013), No. 1-2, 87–98.

- [24] S. Lal and H. K. Nigam, Degree of approximation of conjugate of a function belonging to $Lip(\xi(t), p)$ -class by matrix summability means of conjugate Fourier series, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **27** (2001), 555–563.
- [25] S. Lal and H. P. Singh, *The degree of approximation of conjugates of almost Lipschitz functions by* (N, p, q)(E, 1) *means*, Int. Math. Forum, 5 (34) (2010), 1663–1671.
- [26] S. Lal and P. N. Singh, Degree of approximation of conjugate of $Lip(\alpha, p)$ function by (C, 1)(E, 1) means of conjugate series of a Fourier series, Tamkang J. Math, **33**(3) (2002), 269–274.
- [27] U. Singh and S. K. Srivastava, Approximation of conjugate of functions belonging to weighted Lipschitz class $W(L_p,\xi(t))$ by Hausdorff means of conjugate Fourier series, J. Comput. Appl. Math., **259** (2014), 633–640.

Department of Mathematics, Central University of South Bihar, Gaya-824236, Bihar, India.

E-mail: hknigam@cusb.ac.in