

SIMPLE RINGS OF CHARACTERISTIC NOT 2 WITH ASSOCIATORS IN THE LEFT NUCLEUS ARE ASSOCIATIVE

CHEN-TE YEN

Abstract. We prove that if R is a simple ring of characteristic not 2 with associators in the left nucleus then R is associative. This extends our previous result [2].

1. Introduction

Let R be a nonassociative ring. We shall denote the associator by $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$ for all x, y, z in R . In any ring R one has the following nuclei:

$$\begin{aligned} N &= \{n \in R \mid (n, R, R) = 0\} - \text{left nucleus,} \\ M &= \{n \in R \mid (R, n, R) = 0\} - \text{middle nucleus,} \\ L &= \{n \in R \mid (R, R, n) = 0\} - \text{right nucleus.} \end{aligned}$$

A ring R is called simple if $R^2 \neq 0$ and the only nonzero ideal of R is itself. Since R^2 is a nonzero ideal of R , we have $R^2 = R$. A ring R is called semiprime if the only ideal of R which squares to zero is the zero ideal. Note that each associator is linear in each argument. Thus N , M and L are additive subgroups of $(R, +)$. We shall use the Teichmüller identity.

(1) $(wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z$ for all w, x, y, z in R , which is valid in every ring.

As a consequence of (1), we have that N , M and L are associative subrings of R .

Suppose that $n \in N$. Then with $w = n$ in (1) we obtain

(2) $(nx, y, z) = n(x, y, z)$ for all x, y, z in R and n in N .

Definition. Let A be the associator ideal of a ring R .

By (1) A can be characterized as all finite sums of associators and right (or left) multiples of associators. Hence, we obtain

$$(3) \quad A = (R, R, R) + (R, R, R)R.$$

Received September 22, 1999; revised September 21, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17A30.

Key words and phrases. Nucleus, simple ring, semiprime ring, associator ideal.

In [1], E. Kleinfeld proved that if R is a semiprime ring such that $(R, R, R) \subseteq N \cap M \cap L$ and $(R, +)$ has no elements of order 2 then R is associative. In [2], the author showed the result for the simple ring case under the weaker hypothesis $(R, R, R) \subseteq N \cap M$. In the note, we extend this result. In [3], we generalized E. Kleinfeld's result under the weaker hypothesis $(R, R, R) \subseteq$ two of the three nuclei.

2. Result

Theorem. *Let R be a simple ring of characteristic not 2 and satisfy $(*)$ $(R, R, R) \subseteq N$. Then R is associative.*

Proof. Assume that R is not associative. Then by (3) and $(*)$, we have

$$(4) \quad R = R^2 = AR = \{(R, R, R) + (R, R, R)R\}R = (R, R, R)R + (R, R, R)R^2 = (R, R, R)R.$$

Using (1) and $(*)$, we get

$$(5) \quad w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z \in N \text{ for all } w, x, y, z \text{ in } R.$$

Then with $x \in (R, R, R)$ in (5), and applying $(*)$ we obtain $(R, (R, R, R), R)R \subseteq N$. Using this, $(*)$ and (2), we have $0 = ((R, (R, R, R), R)R, R, R) = (R, (R, R, R), R)(R, R, R)$ and so $(R, (R, R, R), R) \cdot (R, R, R)R = (R, (R, R, R), R)(R, R, R) \cdot R = 0$. Combined this with (4) results in

$$(6) \quad (R, (R, R, R), R)R = 0.$$

Assume that $x \in (R, (R, R, R), R)$ and $w, y, z, t \in R$. Then by (6), (1) and $(*)$ we get $(wx, y, z) + (w, x, yz) = (wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z = 0$ and so $(wx, y, z)t = -(w, x, yz)t = 0$. The last identity implies

$$(7) \quad (R(R, (R, R, R), R), R, R)R = 0.$$

Then with $y \in (R, R, R)$ in (5) and applying (7), we obtain

$$(8) \quad ((R, R, (R, R, R))R, R, R)R = 0.$$

Using (4), $(*)$, (2) and (8), we have

$$(9) \quad (R, R, (R, R, R))R = (R, R, (R, R, R)) \cdot (R, R, R)R = (R, R, (R, R, R))(R, R, R) \cdot R = ((R, R, (R, R, R))R, R, R)R = 0.$$

Then with $y \in (R, R, R)$ in (5) and applying (9), we get

$$(10) \quad R(R, (R, R, R), R) \subset N.$$

For all $x \in (R, (R, R, R), R)$ and $w, y, z \in R$, using the previous computation and by (10) we obtain $(w, x, yz) = -(wx, y, z) = 0$.

Since $R^2 = R$, this implies

$$(11) \quad (R, (R, R, R), R) \subseteq M.$$

Let $T = (R, (R, R, R), R)$. We define V_n inductively by $V_0 = T$, $V_1 = RT$ and $V_{n+1} = RV_n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Assume that

$$(12) \quad B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n.$$

We want to prove by induction that

$$(13) \quad B \cdot R = 0$$

By (6), (11) and (9), we have $V_0R = TR = 0$, $V_1R = RT \cdot R = R \cdot TR = 0$ and $V_2R = R(RT) \cdot R \subseteq ((R, R, T) + R^2T)R = (R, R, T)R + R^2T \cdot R = 0$. Suppose that $V_iR = 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $V_{m+1}R = 0$. Then using these and (9), we get $V_{m+2}R = R(RV_m) \cdot R \subseteq ((R, R, V_m) + R^2V_m)R = (R, R, V_m)R + V_{m+1}R = (R, R, V_m)R = (R, R, R(RV_{m-2}))R \subseteq (R, R, (R, R, V_{m-2}) + R^2V_{m-2})R = (R, R, (R, R, V_{m-2}))R + (R, R, V_{m-1})R = (R, R, V_{m-1})R$. Continuing in this manner, we eventually have $V_{m+2}R \subseteq (R, R, V_m)R \subseteq (R, R, V_{m-1})R \subseteq \dots \subseteq (R, R, V_2)R \subseteq (R, R, V_1)R = (R, R, RT)R$. By (1) and (9), we get $RT = R(R, (R, R, R), R) \subseteq (R, R, R) + (R, R, (R, R, R))R = (R, R, R)$.

Thus, applying this and (9) we have $V_{m+2}R \subseteq (R, R, RT)R \subseteq (R, R, (R, R, R))R = 0$. Hence, by induction (13) holds. By (13), B is just the ideal of R generated by $(R, (R, R, R), R)$. By the simplicity of R and (13), we get $B = 0$. Thus, $(R, (R, R, R), R) = 0$ and so $(R, R, R) \subseteq N \cap M$. Hence, by Theorem 2 of [2], R is associative. This contradiction proves the theorem.

References

- [1] E. Kleinfeld, *A class of rings which are very nearly associative*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **93**(1986), 720-722. MR. 87j:17003.
- [2] C. T. Yen, *Rings with associators in the left and middle nucleus*, Tamkang J. Math., **23**(1992), 363-369. MR.93k:17003.
- [3] C. T. Yen, *Rings with associators in the nuclei*, Chung Yuan J., **28**(2000), 7-9.

Department of Mathematics, Chung Yuan Christian University, Chung Li, Taiwan, 320, Republic of China.