

## ON HUA'S INEQUALITY IN REAL INNER PRODUCT SPACES

J. PEČARIĆ

**Abstract.** Generalization of results in [1] concerning the Hua's inequality in real inner product spaces are given.

### 1. Introduction

The following generalizations of the Hua's inequality in real inner product spaces was given in [1]:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(X; (\cdot, \cdot))$  be a real inner product space and  $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ . For all  $x, y \in X$  one has the inequality*

$$(\delta - (x, y))^2 + \alpha \|x\|^2 \geq \alpha \delta^2 / (\alpha + \|y\|^2). \quad (1.1)$$

The equality holds in (1.1) iff

$$x = (\delta / (\alpha + \|y\|^2))y.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $(X; (\cdot, \cdot))$  be an inner product space and  $x_i \in X (i = 1, 2, \dots, n), y \in X$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Then one has the inequality:*

$$\left\| y - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\|^2 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \geq (\alpha / (n + \alpha)) \|y\|^2. \quad (1.2)$$

The equality holds in (1.2) iff

$$x_i = (1 / (n + \alpha))y, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

In this paper we shall give some new generalization of these results.

### 2. Results

First we shall prove the following result:

---

Received July 19, 1991.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15.

*Key words and phrases.* Hua's inequality, inner product spaces.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let the conditions of Theorem 1.1. be satisfied and let  $(x, y) < \delta, p, q > 1, 1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Then one has the inequality*

$$(\delta - (x, y))^p + \alpha^{p-1} \|x\|^p \geq (\alpha/(\alpha + \|y\|^q))^{p-1} \delta^p \quad (2.1)$$

The equality holds in (2.1) iff

$$x = (\delta \|y\|^{q-2} / \alpha + \|y\|^q) y. \quad (2.2)$$

**Proof.** Applying Hölder's and Schwarz's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \alpha^{-1} \|y\|^q)^{1/q} [(\delta - (x, y))^p + \alpha^{-1} \alpha^p \|x\|^p]^{1/p} \\ \geq \delta - (x, y) + \alpha^{-1} \|y\| \alpha \|x\| = \delta - (x, y) + \|x\| \|y\| \geq \delta \end{aligned}$$

This inequality is equivalent to (2.1). From the conditions for equality in Holder's inequality we have

$$\alpha^p \|x\|^p = C \|y\|^q, \quad (\delta - (x, y))^p = C \quad (2.3)$$

while the equality in Schwarz's inequality gives

$$x = \lambda y, \quad (\lambda > 0). \quad (2.4)$$

From (2.4) and (2.3) we have

$$\alpha^p \lambda^p \|y\|^p = C \|y\|^q,$$

that is

$$C = \alpha^p \lambda^p \|y\|^{p-q}. \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.4), (2.3) and (2.5) we have

$$(\delta - (x, y))^p = \alpha^p \lambda^p \|y\|^{p-q}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta - \lambda \|y\|^2 &= \alpha \lambda \|y\|^{1-q/p} \\ &= \alpha \lambda \|y\|^{2-q} \end{aligned}$$

where from we have

$$\lambda = \delta \|y\|^{q-2} / (\alpha + \|y\|^q). \quad (2.6)$$

Now, (2.4) and (2.6) gives (2.2).

**Remark.** For  $p = 2$ , we have Theorem 1.1.

The following theorem is also valid:

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $x, y, \delta, \alpha$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.1 and let  $f$  be a convex nondecreasing function on  $[0, \infty)$ . Then*

$$f(\delta - (x, y)) + \alpha^{-1} \|y\| f(\alpha \|x\|) \geq ((\alpha + \|y\|)/\alpha) f(\alpha \delta / (\alpha + \|y\|)) \quad (2.7)$$

If  $f$  is strictly convex, then the equality holds in (2.7) iff

$$x = (\delta / (\|y\|(\alpha + \|y\|)))y. \quad (2.8)$$

**Proof.** By applying Jensen's and Schwarz's inequality and the nondecreasing property of  $f$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\delta - (x, y)) + \alpha^{-1} \|y\| f(\alpha \|x\|) \\ & \geq (1 + \|y\|/\alpha) f((\delta - (x, y) + \|x\| \|y\|) / (1 + \|y\|/\alpha)) \\ & \geq ((\alpha + \|y\|)/\alpha) f(\alpha\delta / (\alpha + \|y\|)) \end{aligned}$$

The case of equality for Jensen's and Schwarz's inequality gives respectively

$$\delta - (x, y) = \alpha \|x\| \quad \text{and} \quad x = \lambda y (\lambda > 0),$$

where from we have

$$\lambda = \delta / (\|y\|(\alpha + \|y\|)),$$

and so we have (2.8).

Similarly we can prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let the conditions of Theorem 1.2. be satisfied. If  $f$  is a convex nondecreasing function on  $[0, \infty)$ , then*

$$f\left(\|y - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\|\right) + \alpha^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\alpha \|x_i\|) \geq ((\alpha + n)/\alpha) f(\alpha \|y\| / (\alpha + n)) \quad (2.9)$$

If  $f$  is a strictly convex, then equality holds iff

$$x_i = (1/(n + \alpha))y \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.10)$$

**Proof.** By the triangle inequality we have

$$\left\|y - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\| \geq \left|\|y\| - \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\|\right| \quad (2.11)$$

Hence since  $f$  is nondecreasing on  $[0, \infty)$  we have

$$f\left(\left\|y - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\|\right) \geq f\left(\left|\|y\| - \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\|\right|\right) \quad (2.12)$$

Now Jensen's inequality for convex functions gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\alpha \|x_i\|) = (n/\alpha)(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n f(\alpha \|x_i\|) \\ & \geq (n/\alpha) f((\alpha/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|) \geq (n/\alpha) f((\alpha/n) \left\|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\|) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Note that  $f(|t|)$  is also a convex function. So by (2.12) and (2.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f\left(\left\|y - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right\|\right) + \alpha^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n f(\alpha\|x_i\|) \\ & \geq f\left(\left\| \|y\| - \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| \right\| \right) + (n/\alpha) f\left((\alpha/n) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| \right) \\ & \geq ((\alpha + n)/\alpha) f\left(\left| \alpha\|y\|/(\alpha + n) \right| \right) = ((\alpha + n)/\alpha) f(\alpha \|y\|/(\alpha + n)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Now we address the condition for equality in the event that  $f$  is strictly convex. Since it is nondecreasing it must be strictly increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ . The first inequality in (2.13) becomes equality iff

$$\|x_1\| = \|x_2\| = \dots = \|x_n\| \quad (2.15)$$

While the second inequality in (2.14) becomes an equality iff

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| = n\|y\|/(\alpha + n) \quad (2.16)$$

The second inequality in (2.13) becomes an equality iff

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\| = \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \quad (2.17)$$

i.e. there exists  $\lambda_{ij} > 0$  so that  $x_i = \lambda_{ij}x_j$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $j \neq i$ . With respect to (2.15) it is clear that  $\lambda_{ij} = 1$ . From (2.16) and (2.17) we have

$$n\|x_i\| = n\|y\|/(\alpha + n) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (2.18)$$

Moreover equality in (2.11) is valid if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \lambda y \quad \text{i.e. } nx_i = \lambda y$$

which together with (2.18) gives (2.10).

**Remark.** For  $f(x) = x^2$ , we have Theorem 1.2, while for  $f(x) = x^p$ ,  $p > 1$  we obtain

$$\left\| y - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\|^p + \alpha^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^p \geq (\alpha/(\alpha + n))^{p-1} \|y\|^p \quad (2.19)$$

## References

- [1] S. S. Dragomir, G. S. Yang, *On Hua's inequality in real inner product spaces*, Tamkang J. Math. **27** (1996), 227-232.