

SOME CLASSES OF $L^p(0 < p < 1)$ CONVERGENCE OF TRIGONOMETRIC SERIES

ŽIVORAD TOMOVSKI

Abstract. We study here $L^p(0 < p < 1)$ -convergence of complex trigonometric series, i.e. the extension is made for the V. B. Stanojević Theorem [3], by considering the class $(BV)_r^m$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ instead of $(BV)^m$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Applying the Wang-Telyakovskii class $(BV)_r^\sigma$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\sigma \geq 1$ (see [11]), the extension of Uljanov's theorem [10] (case $r = 0$, $\sigma = 1$) for sine and cosine series with real coefficients is also given. For $\sigma = 1$, some corollaries of the main results are obtained.

1. Introduction

Let $\{c_k : k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers and the partial sums of the complex trigonometric series

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikt} \quad (1.1)$$

be denoted by $S_n^*(t) = \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k e^{ikt}$, $t \in \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{z}$ (1.2).

A sequence $\{c_k\}$ belongs to the class $(BV)^m$ (see [3]) if for some integer $m \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{|k| < \infty} |\Delta^m c_k| < \infty,$$

where $\Delta^m c_k = \Delta(\Delta^{m-1} c_k) = \Delta^{m-1} c_k - \Delta^{m-1} c_{k+1}$.

For $m = 1$, the class $(BV)^1$ is the class of complex sequences with bounded variation. As an extension of the Uljanov's Theorem (see [10]), V. B. Stanojević have obtained the following theorem for L^p , $0 < p < 1$ -convergence of the series (1.1).

Theorem A. ([3]) *If for some integer $m \geq 1$, $\{c_n\} \in (BV)^m$, then the point-wise limit f^* of the partial sums (1.2) exists in $\mathbf{T} \setminus \{0\}$ and for any $0 < p < \frac{1}{m}$.*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |S_n^*(t) - f^*(t)|^p dt = 0$$

Received July 25, 2001;

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15, 42A20, 42A32.

Key words and phrases. $L^p(0 < p < 1)$ -approximation, complex trigonometric series, Wang-Telyakovskii class, Uljanov's theorem.

On the other hand, Wang and Telyakovskii [11] have considered the following class of real sequences $\{a_n\}$. Namelly, a null-sequence $\{a_k\}$ belongs to the class $(\mathbf{BV})_r^\sigma$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\sigma \geq 0$ if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^r |\Delta^\sigma a_k| < \infty$. If $\sigma = 1$, we denote $(\mathbf{BV})_r^\sigma = (\mathbf{BV})_r$ and if $\sigma = 1$, $r = 0$, then we denote $(\mathbf{BV})_r^\sigma = (\mathbf{BV})$.

Theorem B. ([11]) *Let $\rho \geq 0$, $\sigma \geq 0$. Then for all $\gamma > \sigma$ the following embedding relation holds,*

$$(\mathbf{BV})_\rho^\sigma \subset (\mathbf{BV})_\rho^\gamma.$$

Let

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx \quad (C)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin nx \quad (S)$$

be the cosine and sine trigonometric series, and S_n, \overline{S}_n denote the partial sums of the series (C) and (S) respectively.

Wang and Telyakovskii [11] considering the complex form of trigonometric series

$$\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{inx}, \quad x \in (0, \pi]$$

have proved the following theorem.

Theorem C. ([11]) *If $\{a_k\} \in (\mathbf{BV})_r^\sigma$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\sigma \geq 0$, then the series (C) and (S) have continuous derivatives of r -th order on $(0, \pi]$.*

The Wang-Telyakovskii class $(\mathbf{BV})_r^\sigma$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\sigma \geq 0$, motivated me to consider a further class $(\mathbf{BV})_r^m$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, of complex null-sequences $\{c_n\}$ such that

$$\sum_{|k| < \infty} k^r |\Delta^m c_k| < \infty$$

In this paper we shall extend the Theorem A, by considering the class $(\mathbf{BV})_r^m$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ instead of $(\mathbf{BV})^m$. In addition we obtain the extension of corresponding Uljanov's theorem [10], by considering the Wang-Telyakovskii class $(\mathbf{BV})_r^\sigma$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\sigma \geq 1$ instead of \mathbf{BV} .

2. Main results

For the proof of our new results, we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 1. *If $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $0 < p < \frac{1}{m+r}$, then the following estimate holds*

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{d^r}{dt^r} \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1} \right)^m \right|^p dt = O_{r,p,m}(1),$$

where $O_{r,p,m}$ depends on r, p and m .

Proof. We denote $h(t) = \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1}\right)^m$. After some elementary calculations, this function can be written in the form

$$h(t) = \frac{e^{im\frac{t}{2}}}{(2i)^m \sin^m \frac{t}{2}}$$

Then,
$$h^{(r)}(t) = \frac{1}{(2i)^m} \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} \left(\sin^{-m} \frac{t}{2}\right)^{(k)} \left(e^{im\frac{t}{2}}\right)^{(r-k)}.$$

The equality $\left(\sin^{-m} \frac{t}{2}\right)^{(k)} = \frac{P_k(\cos \frac{t}{2})}{\sin^{m+k} \frac{t}{2}}$, where P_k is some cosine polynomial of degree k , can be proved by mathematical induction.

Really, for $k = 1$, we obtain $\left(\sin^{-m} \frac{t}{2}\right)' = \frac{(-m) \cos \frac{t}{2}}{\sin^{m+1} \frac{t}{2}} = \frac{P_1(\cos \frac{t}{2})}{\sin^{m+1} \frac{t}{2}}$.

Suppose that the equality is true for some k and consider the $k + 1$ -th derivate.

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sin^{-m} \frac{t}{2}\right)^{k+1} &= \frac{\left[P_k\left(\cos \frac{t}{2}\right)\right]' \sin^{m+k} \frac{t}{2} - P_k\left(\cos \frac{t}{2}\right) \left(\sin^{m+k} \frac{t}{2}\right)'}{\sin^{2m+2k} \frac{t}{2}} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{P}_{k-1}\left(\cos \frac{t}{2}\right) \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \frac{t}{2}\right) - \frac{m+k}{2} P_k\left(\cos \frac{t}{2}\right) \cdot \cos \frac{t}{2}}{\sin^{m+k+1} \frac{t}{2}} \\ &= \frac{-\frac{1}{2} \tilde{P}_{k-1}\left(\cos \frac{t}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{P}_{k-1}\left(\cos \frac{t}{2}\right) \cos^2 \frac{t}{2} - \frac{m+k}{2} Q_{k+1}\left(\cos \frac{t}{2}\right)}{\sin^{m+k+1} \frac{t}{2}} \\ &= \frac{R_{k+1}\left(\cos \frac{t}{2}\right) - \frac{m+k}{2} Q_{k+1}\left(\cos \frac{t}{2}\right)}{\sin^{m+k+1} \frac{t}{2}} = \frac{T_{k+1}\left(\cos \frac{t}{2}\right)}{\sin^{m+k+1} \frac{t}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\tilde{P}_{k-1}, R_{k+1}, Q_{k+1}, T_{k+1}$ are some cosine polynomials of degree $k - 1$ and $k + 1$ respectively.

Thus
$$h^{(r)}(t) = \frac{1}{(2i)^m} \sum_{k=0}^r \binom{r}{k} \left(\frac{im}{2}\right)^{r-k} \left(e^{im\frac{t}{2}}\right) \frac{P_k\left(\cos \frac{t}{2}\right)}{\sin^{m+k} \frac{t}{2}}.$$

We note that $|P_k(\cos \frac{t}{2})| = O_{k,m}(1)$.

Hence, $|h^{(r)}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{k=0}^r O_{k,m}(1) \cdot \binom{r}{k} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{r-k} \cdot \frac{1}{|\sin^{m+k} \frac{t}{2}|}$

Applying the well-known inequality

$$\left(\sum \alpha_i\right)^\lambda \leq \left(\sum \alpha_i^\lambda\right), \text{ where } \alpha_i \geq 0, 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \tag{2.1}$$

we obtain

$$|h^{(r)}(t)|^p \leq \frac{1}{2^{mp}} \sum_{k=0}^r O_{k,m,p}(1) \binom{r}{k}^p \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{(r-k)p} \frac{1}{\left|\sin^{(m+k)p} \frac{t}{2}\right|}.$$

Finally, for $0 < p < \frac{1}{m+r}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h^{(r)}(t)|^p dt &\leq \frac{1}{2^{mp-2}} \sum_{k=0}^r O_{k,m,p}(1) \binom{r}{k}^p \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{(r-k)p} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{\sin^{(m+k)p} \frac{t}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{mp-2}} \sum_{k=0}^r O_{k,m,p}(1) \binom{r}{k}^p \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{(r-k)p} \pi^{(m+k)p} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dt}{t^{(m+k)p}} = O_{r,p,m}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. Let $\{c_n\} \in (BV)_r^m$, for some integer $m \geq 1$ and $r = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then the point-wise limit $f^{*(r)}$ of the r -th derivate of the sums (1.2) exists in $\mathbf{T} \setminus \{0\}$ and for any $0 < p < \frac{1}{m+r}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |S_n^{*(r)}(t) - f^{*(r)}(t)|^p dt = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. We consider the identity, obtained by V. B. Stanojevic in [3].

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^*(t) &= \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1}\right)^m \sum_{|k| \leq n} (\Delta^m c_k) e^{ikt} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1}\right)^m \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{e^{it}-1}{e^{it}}\right)^j (\Delta^{m-1-j} c_{-n+j}) e^{i(-n+j)t} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1}\right)^m \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{e^{it}-1}{e^{it}}\right)^j (\Delta^{m-1-j} c_{n+j+1}) e^{i(n+j+1)t} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=-n}^{-n+m-1} c_j e^{ijt} - \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} c_j e^{ijt}. \end{aligned}$$

For the r -th derivate of the partial sums of S_n^* , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^{*(r)}(t) &= \sum_{v=0}^r \binom{r}{v} \frac{d^v}{dt^v} \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1}\right)^m i^{r-v} \sum_{|k| \leq n} k^{r-v} (\Delta^m c_k) e^{ikt} \\ &\quad - \sum_{v=0}^r \binom{r}{v} \frac{d^v}{dt^v} \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1}\right)^m \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^j (-1)^q \binom{j}{q} (j-q-n)^{r-v} i^{r-v} \\ &\quad e^{it(j-q-n)} (\Delta^{m-1-j} c_{-n+j}) + \sum_{v=0}^r \binom{r}{v} \frac{d^v}{dt^v} \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1}\right)^m \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^j (-1)^q \binom{j}{q} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times (j - q + n + 1)^{r-v} i^{r-v} e^{it(i-q+n+1)} (\Delta^{m-1-j} c_{n+j+1}) \\ & + \sum_{j=-n}^{-n+m-1} c_j j^r i^r e^{ijt} - \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} c_j j^r i^r e^{ijt}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n^{*(r)}(t) = f^{*(r)}(t)$ exists in $\mathbf{T} \setminus \{0\}$.

Then, we shall prove that $S_n^{*(r)}$ converges to $f^{*(r)}$ in $L^p(\mathbf{T})$ -metric, for any $0 < p < \frac{1}{m+r}$.

For $t \neq 0$, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} & S_n^{*(r)}(t) - f^{*(r)}(t) \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^r \binom{r}{v} \frac{d^v}{dt^v} \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1} \right)^m i^{r-v} \sum_{|k| < \infty} k^{r-v} (\Delta^m c_k) e^{ikt} \\ & \quad - \sum_{v=0}^r \binom{r}{v} \frac{d^v}{dt^v} \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1} \right)^m i^{r-v} \sum_{|k| \leq n} k^{r-v} (\Delta^m c_k) e^{ikt} \\ & \quad + \sum_{v=0}^r \binom{r}{v} \frac{d^v}{dt^v} \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1} \right)^m \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^j (-1)^q \binom{j}{q} (j-q-n)^{r-v} i^{r-v} e^{it(j-q-n)} (\Delta^{m-1-j} c_{-n+j}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{v=0}^r \binom{r}{v} \frac{d^v}{dt^v} \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1} \right)^m \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^j (-1)^q \binom{j}{q} (j-q+n+1)^{r-v} i^{r-v} e^{it(j-q+n+1)} \\ & \quad (\Delta^{m-1-j} c_{n+j+1}) - \sum_{j=-n}^{-n+m-1} c_j j^r i^r e^{ijt} + \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} c_j j^r i^r e^{ijt}. \end{aligned}$$

By inequality (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |S_n^{*(r)}(t) - f^{*(r)}(t)|^p \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{v=0}^r \binom{r}{v}^p \left| \frac{d^v}{dt^v} \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1} \right)^m \right|^p \right) \left(\sum_{|k| \geq n+1} k^r |\Delta^m c_k| \right)^p \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_{v=0}^r \binom{r}{v}^p \left| \frac{d^v}{dt^v} \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1} \right)^m \right|^p \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^j \binom{j}{q} (j-q-n)^r |\Delta^{m-1-j} c_{-n+j}| \right)^p \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_{v=0}^r \binom{r}{v}^p \left| \frac{d^v}{dt^v} \left(\frac{e^{it}}{e^{it}-1} \right)^m \right|^p \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^j \binom{j}{q} (j-q+n+1)^r |\Delta^{m-1-j} c_{n+j+1}| \right)^p \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_{j=-n}^{-n+m-1} j^r |c_j| \right)^p + \left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} j^r |c_j| \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |S_n^{*(r)}(t) - f^{*(r)}(t)|^p dt \\ & \leq O_{r,p,m}(1) \left(\sum_{|k| \geq n+1} k^r |\Delta^m c_k| \right)^p \\ & \quad + O_{r,p,m}(1) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^j \binom{j}{q} (j-q-n)^r |\Delta^{m-1-i} c_{-n+j}| \right)^p \\ & \quad + O_{r,p,m}(1) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{q=0}^j \binom{j}{q} (j-q+n+1)^r |\Delta^{m-1-j} c_{n+j+1}| \right)^p \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_{j=-n}^{-n+m-1} j^r |c_j| \right)^p + \left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+m} j^r |c_j| \right)^p . \end{aligned}$$

The second and thirth sums on the right-hand side of the last inequality are finite sums of $o(1)$ terms as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $j^r |c_j| \leq \sum_{l=j}^{\infty} l^r |\Delta c_l| = o(1)$, $j \rightarrow \infty$ the last two terms are trivially $o(1)$. Hence, $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |S_n^{*(r)}(t) - f^{*(r)}(t)|^p dt \leq O_{r,p,m}(1) (\sum_{|k| \geq n+1} k^r |\Delta^m c_k|)^p = o(1)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2. Let $\{a_n\} \in (\mathbf{BV})_r^\sigma$, where $\sigma \geq 1$ and $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Then the point-wise limits $f^{(r)}$ and $\tilde{f}^{(r)}$ of the r -th derivatives of the sums S_n and \tilde{S}_n exist in $(0, \pi]$ and for any $0 < p < \frac{1}{\sigma+r}$, the following limits hold:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f^{(r)}(t) - S_n^{(r)}(t)|^p dt = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\tilde{f}^{(r)}(t) - \tilde{S}_n^{(r)}(t)|^p dt = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Let m is integer such that $m \geq \sigma$. Then by Theorem B, we obtain $\{a_n\} \in (\mathbf{BV})_r^m$, and by Theorem C, the point-wise limits $f^{(r)}$ and $\tilde{f}^{(r)}$ of the r -th derivatives of the sums S_n and \tilde{S}_n exist in $(0, \pi]$. Applying the same technique for series (C) and (S) as in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain (2.3) and (2.4).

3. Some Corollaries for $\sigma = 1$

A null sequence $\{a_n\}$ belongs to the class $H_{q\alpha r}$, $0 < q \leq 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, [\alpha]\}$ if there exists a monotonically decreasing sequence $\{A_k\}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^\alpha A_k < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{n^{q(\alpha-r)+q}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|\Delta a_k|^q}{A_k^q} = O(1)$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $\{a_n\} \in H_{q\alpha r}$, $0 < q \leq 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, [\alpha]\}$. Then the point-wise limits $f^{(r)}$ and $\tilde{f}^{(r)}$ of the r -th derivatives of the sums S_n and \tilde{S}_n exist in $(0, \pi]$ and for any $0 < p < 1$, the limits (2.3) and (2.4) hold.*

Proof. By Theorem 2 (case $\sigma = 1$), it suffices to show that $H_{q\alpha r}$ is a subclass of $(BV)_r$.

Applying first Abel's transformation, then inequality (2.1), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n k^r |\Delta a_k| &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{\alpha+1} (\Delta A_k) \left(\frac{1}{k^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k j^r \frac{|\Delta a_j|}{A_j} \right) + n^{\alpha+1} A_n \left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha+1}} \sum_{j=1}^n j^r \frac{|\Delta a_j|}{A_j} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{\alpha+1} (\Delta A_k) \left(\frac{1}{k^{\alpha-r+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|\Delta a_j|}{A_j} \right) + n^{\alpha+1} A_n \left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha-r+1}} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|\Delta a_j|}{A_j} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{\alpha+1} (\Delta A_k) \left(\frac{1}{k^{q(\alpha-r)+q}} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|\Delta a_j|^q}{A_j^q} \right)^{1/q} \\ &\quad + n^{\alpha+1} A_n \left(\frac{1}{n^{q(\alpha-r)+q}} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|\Delta a_j|^q}{A_j^q} \right)^{1/q} \\ &= O_q(1) \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{\alpha+1} (\Delta A_k) + n^{\alpha+1} A_n \right] \\ &= O_q(1) \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n [k^{\alpha+1} - (k-1)^{\alpha+1}] A_k - n^{\alpha+1} A_n + n^{\alpha+1} A_n \right\} \\ &= O_q \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k^\alpha A_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\{a_n\} \in (BV)_r$.

Next, we shall define some known classes of real sequences introduced in [5], [6], [7], [8], [9].

A null-sequence $\{a_k\}$ belongs to the class S_r , $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (see [6]) if there exists a monotonically decreasing sequence $\{A_k\}$ such that $\sum_{k=0}^\infty k^r A_k < \infty$ and $|\Delta a_k| \leq A_k$, for all k .

When $r = 0$, we obtain the Sidon-Telyakovskii class S (see [4]). It is obvious that $S_r \subset (BV)_r$.

A null sequence $\{a_k\}$ belongs to the class S_{qr} , $q > 1$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (see [5]), if there exists a monotonically decreasing sequence $\{A_k\}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^r A_k < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|\Delta a_k|^q}{A_k^q} = O(1)$.

In [5], we proved that $S_{qr} \subset (BV)_r$.

Denote by I_m the dyadic interval $[2^{m-1}, 2^m)$, for $m \geq 1$.

A null sequence $\{a_k\}$ belongs to the class F_{qr} , $q > 1$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ if

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{m(1+r)} \left(\frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{k \in I_m} |\Delta a_k|^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty \quad (\text{see [7]})$$

It is obvious that for $r = 0$, we obtain the Fomin's class F_q (see [7]).

But, in [7] we verified the embedding relation $F_{qr} \subset (\mathbf{BV})_r$. On the other hand, in [8], [9] we defined an equivalent form of the Sheng's class $S'_{q\alpha r}$, $q > 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, [\alpha]\}$ (see [2]) as follows: a null sequence $\{a_k\}$ belongs to the class $S_{q\alpha r}$, $q > 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, [\alpha]\}$ if there exists a monotonically decreasing sequence $\{A_k\}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^\alpha A_k < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{n^{q(\alpha-r)+1}} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|\Delta a_k|^q}{A_k^q} = O(1)$.

The following embedding relation holds $S_{q\alpha r} \subset (\mathbf{BV})_r$. (see [8]).

However, we can to formulate the following corollaries of the Theorem 2.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\{a_n\} \in S_r$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then the point-wise limits $f^{(r)}$ and $\bar{f}^{(r)}$ of the r -th derivatives of the sums S_n and \bar{S}_n exist in $(0, \pi]$ and for any $0 < p < 1$, the limits (2.3) and (2.4) hold.*

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\{a_n\} \in S_{qr}$, $q > 1$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then the point-wise limits $f^{(r)}$ and $\bar{f}^{(r)}$ of the r -th derivatives of the sums S_n and \bar{S}_n exist in $(0, \pi]$ and for any $0 < p < 1$, the limits (2.3) and (2.4) hold.*

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\{a_n\} \in F_{qr}$, $q > 1$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then the point-wise limits $f^{(r)}$ and $\bar{f}^{(r)}$ of the r -th derivatives of the sums S_n and \bar{S}_n exist in $(0, \pi]$ and for any $0 < p < 1$, the limits (2.3) and (2.4) hold.*

Corollary 3.5. *Let $S_{q\alpha r}$, $q > 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, [\alpha]\}$. Then the point-wise limits $f^{(r)}$ and $\bar{f}^{(r)}$ of the r -th derivatives of the sums S_n and \bar{S}_n exist in $(0, \pi]$ and for any $0 < p < 1$, the limits (2.3) and (2.4) hold.*

References

- [1] G. A. Fomin, *A class of trigonometric series*, Mat. Zametki **23** (1978), 117-124. (Russian)
- [2] S. Sheng, *The extension of the theorems of Č. V. Stanojevic and V. B. Stanojevic*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), 895-904.
- [3] V. B. Stanojevic, *On a theorem of P. L. Uljanov*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **90** (1984), 370-372.
- [4] S. A. Telyakovskii, *On a sufficient condition of Sidon for the integrability of trigonometric series*, Mat. Zametki **14** (1973), 742-748 (Russian).
- [5] Ž. Tomovski, *An extension of the Garrett-Stanojevic class*, Approximation Theory & Applications **16** (2000), 46-51, A corrected version is available in the *RGMIA Research Report Collection*, **3**, Article 3, 2000. URL: <http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/v3n4.html>
- [6] Ž. Tomovski, *An extension of the Sidon-Fomin type inequality and its applications*, Math. Ineq & Applications **4** (2001), 231-238 (Zagreb).

- [7] Ž. Tomovski, *On a Bojanic-Stanojevic type inequality and its applications*. Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, **1** (2000), Article 13 (electronic journal: <http://jipam.vu.edu.au>).
- [8] Ž. Tomovski, *Some classes of L¹-convergence of Fourier series*, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications **4**(2002), 79-89, Memphis-USA.
- [9] Ž. Tomovski, *Remarks on some classes of Fourier coefficients*, Analysis Mathematica (Szeged-Moscow), (accepted).
- [10] P. L. Uljanov, *Application of A-integration on a class of trigonometric series*, Mat. Sb. **35** (1954), 469-490 (Russian).
- [11] K. Wang, S. A. Telyakovskii, *Differential properties of sums of trigonometric series of a certain class*, Moscow University Mathematics (Mechanics) Bulletin **1** (1999), 24-29 (Russian).

Faculty of Mathematical and Natural Sciences, P. O. Box 162, 1000 Skopje, MACEDONIA

E-mail: tomovski@iunona.pmf.ukim.edu.mk