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Abstract. In this paper, we studied the particular cases of higher-order realized multipower variation process, their asymptotic properties comprising the probability limits and limit distributions were highlighted. The respective asymptotic variances of the limit distributions were obtained and jump detection models were developed from the asymptotic results. The models were obtained from the particular cases of the higher-order of the realized multipower variation process, in a class of continuous stochastic volatility semimartingale process. These are extensions of the method of jump detection by Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard (2006), for large discrete data. An Empirical Application of the models to the Nigerian All Share Index (NASI) data shows that the models are robust to jumps and suggest that stochastic models with added jump components will give a better representation of the NASI price process.

1 Introduction

Jumps are sudden discontinuities that occur spontaneously in the trajectories of price processes, which could be as a result of sudden and unpredictable inflows of information into the market. The effect on the dynamics of a price process cannot be overemphasized; it can lead to additional risk parameters in the process of a risky asset as well as a sporadic change in the dynamics of the process. In recent times, several attempts have been made by researchers to suggest empirical models that best describe the behavior of the Nigerian stock market price process (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]). However, detecting the presence or absence of jumps in discontinuous paths in the Nigerian stock market price process is rarely investigated in the literature. To determine the dynamics of any process, there is the need to examine and estimate some very important asymptotic features, the presence or absence of jumps in available discretely observed price data. In this paper, we
therefore, establish jump detection models via the realised multipower variation process. We then applied the models to the Nigerian All Share Index (NASI) discretely observed data by considering the NASI log-price process as \( X = \{ X_t \}_{t \geq 0} \) defined on a given interval \([0, t]\) such that the observations of this process are made for all discrete times \( 0 = t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_n = t \). The \( j^{th} \) observed time is given as:

\[
j \Delta = j \left( \frac{t}{n} \right) \Rightarrow n = \left[ \frac{t}{\Delta} \right], \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \tag{1.1}\]

where \( \Delta \) is the time interval between two successive observations, assumed to be of equal distance, and \( n \) is the number of observations. These observations are of utmost importance since they give the kind of stochastic process governed by an underlying stock. The challenge of capturing jumps in discretely observed processes, in the face of available high-frequency data on the Internet (especially when \( n \) is so large that \( \Delta \) is vanishingly small) is on the increase.

Given that \( \Delta \) is the equal time interval between two successive observations within \([0, t]\), and given a positive real constant \( r \), the \( r^{th} \)-order realized power variation of such a process is given as:

\[
\{ X_\Delta \}^{[r]} = \Delta^{1-r/2} \sum_{j=1}^{[t/\Delta]} \left| X_{j\Delta} - X_{(j-1)\Delta} \right|^r \tag{1.2}
\]

where \( X_{j\Delta} \) is the \( j^{th} \) observed log-return price for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

The \( r^{th} \)-order power variation process is given as the limit in probability of the \( r^{th} \)-order realized power variation (RPV):

\[
\{ X \}^{[r]} = \mathbb{P} - \lim_{\Delta \to 0} \{ X_\Delta \}^{[r]} \tag{1.3}
\]

An estimation of the Quadratic Variation (QV) denoted by \([X]_t\) is obtained for \( r = 2 \) of the realized variation in (2). In [8], the Realized Variance (RV) is proven to be a consistent estimator (as \( n \to \infty \)) of \([X]_t\), when \( X \) is assumed to be a class of continuous stochastic volatility semimartingales (Svsmc).

Given that \( X_t \) belongs to the class of continuous stochastic volatility semimartingales (Svsmc), ([9, 10]) such that:

\[
X_t = \int_0^t \alpha_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s \tag{1.4}
\]

where \( A_t = \int_0^t \alpha_s ds \) is an adapted, càdlàg process with "finite variation" which implies that the variation of each path \( t \to A_t \) is bounded over each finite interval in \([0, t]\) and \( M_t = \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s \) is a continuous local martingale and an Ito integral of the spot volatility process \( \sigma_t > 0 \) with respect
to a standard Brownian motion $W_t$. The Integrated Volatility (IV) process is also assumed finite. Then, the limit distribution of functions of (3 above, its convergence in probability and the central limit theorem results were obtained in [11, 12]. Based on the procedure given in [11, 12], a jump detection method was achieved in [13]. The main limitation of the results of the RPV, subject to an $Svsm^c$ process is that when jumps are added to a class of models described in (4) above, the RV can no longer estimate the IV, but instead it gives a result of the sum of the IV and the QV of the jump component. Hence, the need for a robust process that cannot be affected when jumps are incorporated in the process.

The realized multi-power variation process defined on a 1-dimensional semi martingale process in its generalized form is given as:

$$\{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[r_1,\ldots,r_m]} = \Delta^{1-\delta(r_1,\ldots,r_m)} \sum_{j=1}^{c(t,m,\Delta)} f(x_j, r_i). \quad (1.5)$$

as defined in [14], with $\delta(r_1, \ldots, r_m) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i$, $c(t, m, \Delta) = \lceil t/\Delta \rceil - (m-1)$ and $f(x_j, r_i) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} |x_{j+i}|^{r_i+1}$ for $n > m$. The asymptotic properties of (1.5) above, has been extensively given in [11, 15]. Particular cases of (1.5) are the Bipower, Tripower and the Quadpower processes which can be found in [16, 17, 18].

The BNS method for jump detection named after "Barndorff-Nielsen and Shephard" was established in [17] for $X_t \in Svsm^c$ subject to the above stated assumptions for the processes $\sigma_t^2$, $\alpha_t$ and $W_t$. This method was basically derived from the asymptotic distribution of the difference of a particular realized bipower variation process: $\{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[1,1]}$ and the realized variance process $[X]_{\Delta,t}^{[2]}$.

That is, for $m = 2$, and $r_1 = r_2 = 1$ in (1.5) above, then,

$$\Delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \mu_1^{-2} \{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[1,1]} - [X]_{\Delta,t}^{[2]} \right) \overset{L}{\to} N(0, \varphi_{BPV}) \quad (1.6)$$

where $\varphi_{BPV}$ is the asymptotic variance of the convergence in law result given in (1.6) above

$$\varphi_{BPV} = \mu_1^{-4} + 2\mu_1^{-2} - 5 \simeq 0.6091, \mu_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \quad (1.7)$$

The main contribution of this work to the description in (1.5), (1.6), and (1.7), as well as to the work given in [17] entails a derivation of the asymptotic theories for particular cases of the realized multi-power variation ($RMPV$) process by considering the convergence in distribution (law) of the difference of the realized variance $RV$ and particular cases of the $RMPV$ process. Hence, we obtain the asymptotic variances of the particular cases that is, $\varphi_{RBV}$, $\varphi_{RTV}$, $\varphi_{QPV}$, $\varphi_{PPV}$, $\varphi_{HPV}$, $\varphi_{Hpv}$, $\varphi_{OpV}$, $\varphi_{NPV}$, $\varphi_{DPV}$, and $\varphi_{UPV}$ respectively for the realized Bipower,
Tripower, Quadpower, Pentpower, Hexpower, Heptpower, Octpower, Nonpower, Decpower and Unopower variation processes. Based on the results obtained, we develop jump detection models from the asymptotic properties of the particular cases of the \( RMPV \) process; these results are extensions of the results in \([17, 19, 20]\).

1.1 Data Realization

The empirical data set to be tested in this work is the data of the Nigerian All Share Index (NASI) obtained from the Nigerian Stock Exchange via www.nse.com.ng/market-data/indices, accessed on the 13th August 2018; these are closing market indices comprising the general indices of 5, 522 daily observations.

The remaining part of the paper is structured as follows: basic definitions of the concepts are given in Section 2, the asymptotic results of the RMPV process are spelled out in Section 3. The RMPV jump test models derived from the asymptotic properties in particular cases and an empirical application of models to the NASI data are given in Section 4, discussions and a concluding remark are in Section 5.

2 Basic definitions and Methods

We give some basic definitions and the jump detection method based on the bipower variation process as can be found in \([17]\) in this section.

2.1 Basic definitions

The following definitions \([9, 10, 21]\) are based on the assumption that the process \( X_t \) is defined on the filtered probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, \mathbb{F})\)

**Definition 1. A Martingale.** A process \( \{M_t\} \) which is adapted to the filtration \( (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0} \) and \( E(|M_t|^2) < \infty \), is called a martingale if \( E(M_t/\mathcal{F}_s) = M_s \) for all \( t \geq s \), where \( 0 \leq s \leq t \).

**Definition 2. A Semimartingale:** A process \( \{X_t\} \) is called a total semimartingale if \( X \) is càdlàg, adapted and the stochastic integral of \( X \) is continuous. Moreso, it is called a classical semimartingale if it can be decomposed into two adapted, càdlàg processes: \( \{M_t\} \) and \( \{A_t\} \), such that,

\[
X_t = M_t + A_t
\]  

(2.1)

where \( A_t \) is a locally finite variation process and \( M_t \) is a local martingale where \( A(0) = M(0) = 0 \).
Definition 3. \textit{Svsm}^c and \textit{Svsm}^j processes: Given that the log-returns is a semimartingale, as given in definition 2 above, such that the processes $M_t, \sigma_t > 0$ and $A_t$ satisfy the conditions given in (1.4) above, then $X_t$ is said to be in a class of continuous stochastic volatility semimartingale process ($Svsm^c$). Given also that $X_t$ is with a continuous part $X_t^c \in Svsm^c$ and a discontinuous part $X_t^j$,

Such that:

$$X_t = X_t^c + X_t^j$$ (2.2)

where, $X_t^j$ is the discontinuous (jump) process defined as:

$$X_t^j = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} \gamma_i$$ (2.3)

where $N(t)$ is a simple counting process, which stands for the number of jumps at time $t$ and $\gamma_i$ is a non-zero stochastic process. Then $X_t$ is said to belong to a class of stochastic volatility semimartingale with added jumps ($X_t \in Svsm^j$).

Thus, we have

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \alpha_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s dW_s + \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} \gamma_i$$ (2.4)

with processes $\alpha_t, \sigma_t, W_t, \gamma_i$ and $N(t)$ as defined above.

2.2 The BNS jump detection method

The BNS jump-test ([17, 19, 20]) is classified into the linear jump test and the ratio-jump test comprising: the feasible linear jump test, ratio test and adjusted ratio test given respectively as:

$$\hat{P} = \frac{\Delta^{-1} \left( \mu_1^2 \{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[1,1]} | X|_{\Delta,t}^{[2]} \right) }{ \sqrt{ \mu_1^4 \{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[1,1,1,1]} } }$$ (2.5)

$$\hat{Q} = \frac{\Delta^{-1} \left( \mu_1^2 \{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[1,1]} / |X|_{\Delta,t}^{[2]} - 1 \right) }{ \sqrt{ \{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[1,1,1,1]} / (\{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[1,1]})^2 } }$$ (2.6)

$$\hat{R} = \frac{\Delta^{-1} \left( \mu_1^2 \{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[1,1]} / |X|_{\Delta,t}^{[2]} - 1 \right) }{ \max \left( \frac{1}{\Delta t}, \sqrt{ \{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[1,1,1,1]} / (\{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[1,1]})^2 } \right) }$$ (2.7)
where, $\hat{P}$, $\hat{Q}$ and $\hat{R}$ converges in law to $N\left(0, \varphi_{BPV}\right)$.

Given that,

$$
\{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[1,1,1,1]} = \sum_{j=4}^{\frac{\Delta}{x}} |x_j||x_{j-1}||x_{j-2}||x_{j-3}|
$$

and

$$
\{X\}_{\Delta,t}^{[1,1]} = \sum_{j=2}^{\frac{\Delta}{x}} |x_j||x_{j-1}|
$$

The hypothesis for the BNS jump test is as follows:

$$H_0 : X_t \in S_{vsm}^c$$

$$H_1 : X_t \in S_{vsm}^j$$

3 Asymptotic results for the realised multipower variation process

We give here some asymptotic properties of the RMPV process.

3.1 Convergence in probability of the realised multipower variation process

**Theorem 3.1.** ([19], [20]) Let the process $X_t \in S_{vsm}^c$, defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathbb{F})$ which can be expressed as given in (1.4) above. Then for $\alpha_t = 0$, the multipower variation process $\{X\}_{t}^{[r_1,\ldots,r_m]}$ satisfies the following:

$$
\{X\}_{t}^{[r_1,\ldots,r_m]} \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mu_{r_1} \cdots \mu_{r_m} \int_0^t |\sigma_s|^{2(\delta(r_1,\ldots,r_m))} ds
$$

where,

$$
2\delta(r_1,\ldots,r_m) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i, \quad \mu_{r_i} = \mathbb{E}(\nu^{r_i}), \quad \nu \sim N(0, 1)
$$

3.2 Convergence in Distribution result for the difference of the RV and the RMPV process

The methods adopted by [17, 22, 23, 24] to test for jumps in the log price process were built on the idea of the theory of convergence in distribution for the difference of the RV and a special case of the realised bipower variation process. The RMPV version of the convergence result in [17, 22, 23, 24] is given in the following theorem:
Theorem 3.2. ([20]) Let $X_t \in S_{VSM^c}$, then as $\Delta \to 0$, and $A_t = 0$

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \int_0^t \sigma^4 ds \left( \frac{\mu_{-m} \{ X \}^{[r_1, \ldots, r_m]} - [ X ]^{[2]}_{\Delta, t} - \mu^2}{m} \right) \overset{L}{\to} N(0, \varphi_{MPV})
$$

(3.2)

where $\varphi_{RMV}$ is the asymptotic variance of the convergence in distribution of the difference of the RV and the RMPV process, given as:

$$
\varphi_{MPV}(m, \nu_i) = \text{Var}(|\nu|^2) + \frac{2 \nu^2}{m} \omega^2_m - \mu^2 \frac{m \text{Cov}(\nu_i^2, \prod_{i=1}^m |\nu_i|^2_m)}{m}
$$

(3.3)

where

$$
\mu^2_m = E(|\nu|^2) = \frac{2^\frac{1}{m} \pi^{(m+\frac{1}{2})}}{\sqrt{\pi}},
$$

(3.4)

$$
\omega^2_m = \text{Var}(\prod_{i=1}^m |\nu_i|^2_m) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \text{Cov}(\prod_{i=1}^m |\nu_i|^2_m, \prod_{i=1}^m |\nu_{i+j}|^2_m)
$$

(3.5)

4 The Jump Detection Models

In this section, we shall derive the jump test models for the particular cases of the RMPV process from the asymptotic results obtained in section 3 of this work and then apply the models to the Nigeria All Share Index (NASI) data.

4.1 The RMPV Jump test Models

With reference to [17], we observe basically three types of test for jumps based on the first order RMPV process, namely: the linear jump test, the ratio jump test and adjusted ratio jump test. For the RMPV process $\{X\}^{[r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m]}_{\Delta, t}$, the linear jump test is based on the asymptotic result given in (3.2).

Given that $\{X\}^{[2]}_{\Delta, t} \overset{L}{\to} \int_0^t \sigma^2 ds$ in [8], then we can obtain the ratio jump test from (3.2) by dividing through by $\{X\}^{[2]}_{\Delta, t} = \int_0^t \sigma^2 ds$ to obtain

$$
\frac{\left( \frac{\mu_{-m} \{ X \}^{[r_1, \ldots, r_m]} - [ X ]^{[2]}_{\Delta, t}}{\{ X \}^{[2]}_{\Delta, t}} \right) - 1}{\Delta^{1/2} \varphi_{RMV}} \sqrt{\frac{\int_0^t \sigma^4 ds}{(\int_0^t \sigma^2 ds)^2}} \overset{L}{\to} N(0, 1)
$$

(4.1)
In this work, we shall use the feasible adjusted ratio jump test for the particular cases of the model in (4.1) by the following steps below:

i. Since the values of the component \( \int_t^t \sigma_s^4 ds \) and \( \int_0^t \sigma_s^2 ds \) cannot be observed when working with the NASI discrete data, we shall need estimators of the quantities. Thus, using (3.1) to obtain these estimators, let \( \hat{q} \) be the estimator for \( \int_0^t \sigma_s^4 ds \) and \( \hat{p} \) be the estimator for \( \int_0^t \sigma_s^2 ds \) where, \( \hat{p} \) is the realized quad power variation \( \{ X \}^{[1,1,1,1]} \) process given as:

\[
\hat{q} = \mu_1^{-4} \sum_{j=4}^n \left| x_j \right| \left| x_{j+1} \right| \left| x_{j+2} \right| \left| x_{j+3} \right| = \mu_1^{-4} \prod_{j=4}^n \left| x_{j+i} \right| \quad (4.2)
\]

and the estimator for \( \int_0^t \sigma_s^2 ds \) is the realized bipower variation process \( \{ X \}^{[1,1]} \), \( \hat{p} \) given as;

\[
\hat{p} = \mu_1^{-2} \sum_{j=2}^n \left| x_j \right| \left| x_{j+1} \right| = \mu_1^{-2} \{ X \}^{[1,1]}_{\Delta, t} \quad (4.3)
\]

Therefore, from the above we obtain a feasible ratio jump test for the RMPV model given as:

\[
\frac{\left( \frac{\mu_2/m \{ X \}^{[r_1, \ldots, r_m]}_{\Delta, t}}{\{ X \}^{[r_1, \ldots, r_m]}_{\Delta, t}} - 1 \right)}{\varphi_{RMV} \Delta^{1/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{p}^2}}} = \hat{Z}_m \quad (4.4)
\]

where \( \hat{Z}_m \sim N(0, 1) \).

ii. By Jensen’s inequality, for small samples, in the feasible test [25];

\[
\frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{p}^2} \geq 1
\]

Hence, we employ the adjusted ratio for the estimators \( \frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{p}^2} \) to get

\[
\max \left( 1, \frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{p}^2} \right)
\]

. Thus, we obtain the feasible adjusted ratio jump test for the RMPV model as follows:

\[
\hat{Z}_m = \frac{\left( \frac{\mu_2/m \{ X \}^{[r_1, \ldots, r_m]}_{\Delta, t}}{\{ X \}^{[r_1, \ldots, r_m]}_{\Delta, t}} - 1 \right)}{\varphi_{RMV} \Delta^{1/2} \sqrt{\max \left( 1, \frac{\hat{q}}{\hat{p}^2} \right)}} \quad (4.5)
\]
(4.5) is the jump test model that will be used in this work for particular cases, subject to the following hypothesis:

\[ H_0 : X_t \in S_{vsm}^c. \]

\[ H_1 : X_t \in S_{vsm}^j \]

Thus for the BP case, we have for \( m = 2, \) and \( r_1 = r_2 = 1 \) in (4.5) that,

\[
\hat{Z}_2 = \frac{-\mu^{-2}\{X\}_{\Delta t}^{[1,1]} - \mu^{-1}\{X\}_{\Delta t}^{[2,1]}}{\varphi_{BP}\Delta^{1/2}\max(1, \frac{\hat{q}}{p^2})} \tag{4.6}
\]

where, \( \varphi_{BP} = 0.6090 \) and \( \hat{Z}_2 \sim N(0, 1). \)

For the realized tripower variation model, we have for \( m = 3, \) and \( r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = \frac{2}{3} \) in (4.5) that,

\[
\hat{Z}_3 = \frac{-\mu^{-3}\{X\}_{\Delta t}^{[2/3,2/3,2/3]} - \mu^{-1/2}\{X\}_{\Delta t}^{[2,2,2]}}{\varphi_{TP}\Delta^{1/2}\max(1, \frac{\hat{q}}{p^2})} \tag{4.7}
\]

where, \( \varphi_{RTP} \approx 1.0613 \)

The RQV jump test model, we have for \( m = 4, \) and \( r_1 = \ldots = r_4 = \frac{1}{4} \) in (4.5) that,

\[
\hat{Z}_4 = \frac{-\mu^{-4}\{X\}_{\Delta t}^{[1/4,1/4,1/4,1/4]} - \mu^{-1/2}\{X\}_{\Delta t}^{[2,2,2,2]}}{\varphi_{RQV}\Delta^{1/2}\max(1, \frac{\hat{q}}{p^2})} \tag{4.8}
\]

where, \( \varphi_{RQP} \approx 1.3770 \)

The RPV jump test model for \( m = 5, \) and \( r_1 = \ldots = r_5 = \frac{2}{5} \) in (4.5) that,

\[
\hat{Z}_5 = \frac{-\mu^{-5}\{X\}_{\Delta t}^{[2/5,2/5,2/5,2/5,2/5]} - \mu^{-1/2}\{X\}_{\Delta t}^{[2,2,2,2,2]}}{\varphi_{RPV}\Delta^{1/2}\max(1, \frac{\hat{q}}{p^2})} \tag{4.9}
\]

where, \( \varphi_{RQP} \approx 1.6053 \)

The RHXV jump test model for \( m = 6, \) and \( r_1 = \ldots = r_6 = \frac{1}{3} \) in (4.5) that,

\[
\hat{Z}_6 = \frac{-\mu^{-6}\{X\}_{\Delta t}^{[1/3,1/3,1/3,1/3,1/3,1/3]} - \mu^{-1/2}\{X\}_{\Delta t}^{[2,2,2,2,2,2]}}{\varphi_{RHXV}\Delta^{1/2}\max(1, \frac{\hat{q}}{p^2})} \tag{4.10}
\]
where, $\varphi_{RHV} \approx 1.7769$

The $RH_pV$ jump test model, for $m = 7$, and $r_1 = \ldots = r_7 = \frac{2}{7}$ in (4.5) that,

$$
\hat{Z}_7 = \frac{\mu^{-7}_2 \{X\}_{\Delta_t}^{[2/7, 2/7, 2/7, 2/7, 2/7, 2/7, 2/7]} - 1}{\varphi_{RH_pV} \Delta^{1/2} \sqrt{\max \left(1, \frac{\hat{q}}{p^*}\right)}}
$$

(4.11)

where, $\varphi_{RH_pV} \approx 1.9100$

The $RO_pV$ jump test model, for $m = 8$, and $r_1 = \ldots = r_8 = \frac{1}{4}$ in (4.5) that,

$$
\hat{Z}_8 = \frac{\mu^{-9}_1 \{X\}_{\Delta_t}^{[1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4, 1/4]} - 1}{\varphi_{RO_pV} \Delta^{1/2} \sqrt{\max \left(1, \frac{\hat{q}}{p^*}\right)}}
$$

(4.12)

where, $\varphi_{RO_pV} \approx 2.0161$

The RNV jump test model, for $m = 9$, and $r_1 = \ldots = r_9 = \frac{2}{9}$ in (4.5) that,

$$
\hat{Z}_9 = \frac{\mu^{-9}_2 \{X\}_{\Delta_t}^{[2/9, 2/9, 2/9, 2/9, 2/9, 2/9, 2/9, 2/9]} - 1}{\varphi_{RNV} \Delta^{1/2} \sqrt{\max \left(1, \frac{\hat{q}}{p^*}\right)}}
$$

(4.13)

where, $\varphi_{RNV} \approx 2.1026$

The RDV jump test model, for $m = 10$, and $r_1 = \ldots = r_{10} = \frac{1}{5}$ in (4.5) that,

$$
\hat{Z}_{10} = \frac{\mu^{-10}_1 \{X\}_{\Delta_t}^{[1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/5]} - 1}{\varphi_{RDV} \Delta^{1/2} \sqrt{\max \left(1, \frac{\hat{q}}{p^*}\right)}}
$$

(4.14)

where, $\varphi_{RDV} \approx 2.1744$

The RUV jump test model for $m = 11$, and $r_1 = \ldots = r_{11} = \frac{2}{11}$ in (4.5) that,

$$
\hat{Z}_{11} = \frac{\mu^{-11}_2 \{X\}_{\Delta_t}^{[2/11, 2/11, 2/11, 2/11, 2/11, 2/11, 2/11, 2/11]} - 1}{\varphi_{RUV} \Delta^{1/2} \sqrt{\max \left(1, \frac{\hat{q}}{p^*}\right)}}
$$

(4.15)

where, $\varphi_{RUV} \approx 2.2348$
Remark 1. The values of the asymptotic variances $\phi_{RBV}, \phi_{RTV}, \phi_{QPV}, \phi_{PPV}, \phi_{HPV}, \phi_{HPV}, \phi_{OpV}, \phi_{NPV}, \phi_{DPV}$ and $\phi_{UPV}$ above, were obtained from (3.3) - (3.5) for $m = 2, \ldots, 11$ respectively.

4.2 Empirical Application

An empirical Application of the models in Eqns. (4.6)-(4.15) was carried out on the Nigerian All Share Index comprising of 5,522 daily market indices. We set the null hypothesis $H_0$ to be “No jump” in the log-return of the NASI process. That is,

$H_0 : \text{No jumps in the log-returns of the NASI data.}$

$H_1 : \text{Jumps are in the log-return of the NASI data.}$

The test was carried out on a significant level of 5%(0.05). In the analysis, we used the R-program to compute asymptotic variance $\phi_{PMV}$ for the particular cases, that is we computed; $\phi_{RBV}, \phi_{RTV}, \phi_{QPV}, \phi_{PPV}, \phi_{HPV}, \phi_{HPV}, \phi_{OpV}, \phi_{NPV}, \phi_{DPV}$, and $\phi_{UPV}$. The different values of $\hat{Z}_m$ for values of $m = 2, \ldots, 11$ as given in (4.6)- (4.15) were also computed. The p-values (estimated probability value) and the test-Statistics values $Z_m$ for $m = 1 \cdots 11$ were obtained via the R-Program. Computationally, we calculated the realized variance $\{X\}_{\Delta t}^{[2]}$ of the NASI data and the respective $r^{th}$-power variation process. Since we are dealing with a two-tailed test, we reject $H_0$ if $\hat{Z}_m > Z_{\text{tab}}$. 
4.3 Distributions of the \( RV \) and the \( RMVP \) models of the NASI Data

We shall take a look at the behavior of the difference of the realized variance and each of the particular realized multipower variation processes by plotting their graphs in the case of the daily observed NASI data.
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Figure 3: Distribution of RV and RPV; RV and RTPV

Figure 4: Distribution of RV and RQPV; RV and RPPV

Figure 5: Distribution of RV and RHX PV; RV and RHp PV
5 Discussions and Conclusion

If $X_t \in S_{vsm}^j$, then the quadratic variation of the jump part of $X_t$ can be obtained from the difference of $X_{\Delta t}^{[1]}$ and $[X]_{\Delta t}^{[2]}$, which establishes a method for jump detection as can be seen in [16, 17]. However, an extension of the above-mentioned concept has been carried out in this work. The jump test models (Eqns. (4.7) – Eqns. (4.14)) for higher-order particular cases of the realised multipower variation process restricted to $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i = 2$, have been shown to be better estimators of jumps than the bipower variation case. The distribution of the particular cases of the $RMPV$ models as shown in figs. (3)-(6) presents slight deviants between the distributions of the particular cases of the realized multipower variation. However, the asymptotic variances obtained in particular cases, satisfy the following inequality:

\[ \varphi_{RBV} < \varphi_{RTV} < \varphi_{QPV} < \varphi_{PPV} < \varphi_{HPV} < \varphi_{HPV} < \varphi_{OpV} < \varphi_{NPV} < \varphi_{DPV} < \varphi_{UPV} \]

Based on the jump test analysis result on the NASI data given in table 1 above, with a significant level of 5%, we reject the null hypothesis since all $\tilde{Z}_m > \tilde{Z}_{tab}$ and conclude that jumps are present in the NASI price process. Observations made on the sizes of the jumps present in the path of the NASI price process, as given in Figs 1 and 2, show that the jump sizes vary at different times of occurrence and large jumps are more prominent in the later paths of the process. Hence, we suggest that stochastic models with imputed jump components will be better representations of the NASI price process.
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