

Ali Ghaffari, Samaneh Javadi and Ebrahim Tamimi

**Abstract**. In this paper, we study Connes amenability of  $l^1$ -Munn algebras. We apply this results to semigroup algebras. We show that for a weakly cancellative semigroup S with finite idempotents, amenability and Connes amenability are equivalent.

 ${\it Keywords.}\,$  Amenability, Banach algebras, derivation,  $l^1$ -Munn algebras,<br/>semigroup algebras

## 1 Introduction

In [4], Eslamzadeh introduced  $l^1$ -Munn algebras. He used these algebras to characterize amenable semigroup algebras. A special case of these algebras was introduced by Munn [18].  $l^1$ -Munn algebras has been studied in some texts. In [1], Blackmore showed the  $l^1$ -Munn algebra of the group algebra  $l^1(G)$  is weakly amenable. Eslamzadeh in [5] and [6] investigated the structure of  $l^1$ -Munn algebras. Duncan and Paterson used the  $l^1$ -Munn algebras to study of semigroup algebras of completely simple semigroups [3].

The motivation to study of the theory of amenable von Neumann algebras stems from the fact that they are dual. In [12], it is shown that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a von Neumann algebra containing a weak\*-dense amenable  $C^*$ -subalgebra, then for every normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule E, every weak\*-continuous derivation  $D: \mathcal{A} \to E$  is inner. This concept of amenability was called Connes amenability [9]. In [21], Runde extended the notion of Connes-amenability to dual Banach algebras. For a locally compact group G, the group algebra  $l^1(G)$  and the measure algebra M(G) are two examples of dual Banach algebras. In [23], Runde introduced normal, virtual diagonals for a dual Banach algebra and showed that the existence of a normal virtual diagonal for M(G) is equivalent to it being Connes amenable. Also in [22], it is shown that G is amenable if and only if M(G) is Connes amenable. In particular,  $l^1(G)$  is amenable if and only if  $l^1(G)$  is Connes amenable.

The investigation of Connes amenability for dual Banach algebras which are not von Neumann algebra is interesting for many authors, see [24], [2] and [7]. Several authors have generalized the earlier concept of amenability introduced by Lau in [13] (see [14], [15], [16] and [17]). Recently the authors have introduced the  $\phi$ -version of Connes amenability of dual Banach algebra

Received date: December 11, 2019; Published online: April 16, 2021.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 65F05, 46L05, 11Y50.

Corresponding author: Ali Ghaffari.

 $\mathcal{A}$  that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathbb{C}$  that lies in predual  $\mathcal{A}_*$ . We study the Runde's theorem for the case of semigroup algebra of a weakly cancellative semigroup [8]. In this paper, we study Connes amenability of  $l^1$ -Munn algebras. We use the  $l^1$ -Munn algebras to study of Connes amenability of semigroup algebras of weakly cancellative semigroups. In order to do this, we follow the argument of [4].

### 2 Connes amenability of *l*<sup>1</sup>-Munn algebras

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a dual Banach algebra with predual  $\mathcal{A}_*$ . A dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule E is called normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule if for each  $x \in E$ , the maps  $a \mapsto x.a$ ,  $a \mapsto a.x$  are weak\*-continuous ( $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ).  $\mathcal{A}$  is called Connes amenable, if for every normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule E, every weak\*-continuous derivation  $D : \mathcal{A} \to E$  is inner.

Let E be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. An element  $x \in E$  is called weak\*-weakly continuous if the module maps  $a \mapsto x.a$ ,  $a \mapsto a.x$  are weak\*-weak continuous  $(a \in \mathcal{A})$ . The collection of all weak\*weakly continuous elements of E is denoted by  $\sigma wc(E)$ . It is shown that,  $\sigma wc(E)^*$  is normal [24]. Let  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  be the multiplication map. From Corollary 4.6 in [24],  $\pi^*$  maps  $\mathcal{A}_*$  into  $\sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)$ . Consequently,  $\pi^{**}$  drops to a homomorphism  $\pi_{\sigma wc} : \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)^* \to \mathcal{A}$ . An element  $M \in \sigma wc((\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})^*)^*$  is called a  $\sigma wc$ -virtual diagonal for  $\mathcal{A}$ , if M.u = u.M,  $u.\pi_{\sigma wc}(M) =$ u for every  $u \in \mathcal{A}$ . In [24], Runde showed that  $\mathcal{A}$  is Connes amenable if and only if there is a  $\sigma wc$ -virtual diagonal for  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital Banach algebra, let I and J be nonempty sets and  $P = (p_{ij}) \in M_{J \times I}(\mathcal{A})$  be such that  $||P||_{\infty} = sup\{||p_{ji}|| : j \in J, i \in I\} \leq 1$ . The set  $M_{I \times J}(\mathcal{A})$  of all  $I \times J$  matrices  $a = (a_{ij})$ on  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $l^1$ -norm and the product  $A \odot B = APB$ ,  $(A, B \in M_{I \times J}(\mathcal{A}))$  is a Banach algebra that is called  $l^1$ -Munn algebra on  $\mathcal{A}$  with sandwich matrix P. It is denoted by  $\mathcal{LM}(\mathcal{A}, P, I, J)$  [4]. Also  $\xi_{ij}$  is denoted the element of  $M_{I \times J}(\mathbb{C})$  with 1 in (i, j)th place and 0 elsewhere. Throughout we use the notations of [4]. We define  $\Gamma : M_{I \times J}(\mathcal{A}_*) \to M_{I \times J}(\mathcal{A})$  by  $\langle (\Gamma(f))_{ij}, a\xi_{ij} \rangle \to \langle (f_{ij}), a\xi_{ij} \rangle$ , then  $M_{I \times J}(\mathcal{A})$  is a dual space with predual  $M_{I \times J}(\mathcal{A}_*)$ . It is clear that above multiplication in  $\mathcal{LM}(\mathcal{A}, P, I, J)$  is separately weak\*-continuous and from Proposition 1.2 in [21],  $\mathcal{LM}(\mathcal{A}, P, I, J)$ is a dual Banach algebra.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital dual Banach algebra. The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{LM}(\mathcal{A}, P, I, J)$  is Connes amenable;
- (ii) A is Connes amenable, I and J are finite and P is invertible.

Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Since  $\mathcal{LM}(\mathcal{A}, P, I, J)$  is Connes amenable dual Banach algebra, then from Proposition 4.1 in [21], it has a bounded approximate identity. By Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.5 in [4], I and J are finite, P is invertible and  $\mathcal{LM}(\mathcal{A}, P, I, J)$  is topologically algebra isomorphic to  $\mathcal{LM}(\mathcal{A}, I_m, I, J)$  where  $I_m$  is the identity matrix with dimension m and |I| = |J| = m. It is known that  $\mathcal{LM}(\mathcal{A}, I_m, I, J)$  is isometrically algebra isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$  where  $\mathcal{M}_m$  is the algebra of  $m \times m$  complex matrices [19]. Using the idea of Theorem 4.1 in [4] and Theorem 4.8 in [24], we obtain the desired proof.

By Theorem 4.8 in [24], there exists

$$M \in \sigma wc(((\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}))^*)^*$$

such that

$$M.u = u.M, \quad u.\pi_{\sigma wc}(M) = u, \quad u \in (\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}).$$

Now as [24], we consider those elements of  $\sigma wc(((\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}))^*)^*$  that lies in the canonical image of  $(\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})$  and we write  $M = \sum_{i,j=1}^m (\xi_{ij} \otimes a_{ij}) \otimes \sum_{r,l=1}^m (\xi_{rl} \otimes b_{rl}).$ 

Let E be a normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule with predual  $E_*$  and  $D: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow E$  be a derivation that is weak\*-continuous. By a similar argument in Lemma 3.3 in [8], we may assume that E is a normal dual Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule such that its predual is essential. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : (\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) &\longrightarrow & (\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_m) \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) \\ \psi ((c \otimes x) \otimes (d \otimes y)) &= & (c \otimes d) \otimes (x \otimes y) \ (x, y \in \mathcal{A}, c, d \in \mathcal{M}_m) \end{aligned}$$

be the onto linear isometry. Let  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $c = \sum_{s,t=1}^{m} \xi_{st} \otimes c_{st}$  that  $c_{11} = c, c_{st} = 0$  if  $s \neq 1$  or  $t \neq 1$ . We have

$$c = c.\pi_{\sigma wc}(M) = \sum_{s,t=1}^{m} \xi_{st} \otimes c_{st} \cdot \sum_{i,j,l=1}^{m} (\xi_{il} \otimes a_{ij}b_{jl})$$
  
=  $\sum_{i,j,s,l=1}^{m} \xi_{sl} \otimes c_{si}a_{ij}b_{jl}).$ 

Then

$$\Sigma_{i,j,s,t=1}^m \xi_{st} \otimes (c_{st} - c_{si} a_{ij} b_{jt}) = 0.$$

$$(2.1)$$

Also

$$c.M = \sum_{s,t=1}^{m} (\xi_{st} \otimes c_{st}) \cdot (\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} (\xi_{ij} \otimes a_{ij}) \otimes \sum_{r,l=1}^{m} (\xi_{rl} \otimes b_{rl}))$$
  
=  $(\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} (\xi_{ij} \otimes a_{ij}) \otimes \sum_{r,l=1}^{m} (\xi_{rl} \otimes b_{rl})) \cdot \sum_{s,t=1}^{m} (\xi_{st} \otimes c_{st}) = M.c.$ 

Therefore

$$\Sigma_{s,t,r,l,j=1}^m(\xi_{sj}\otimes c_{st}a_{tj})\otimes (\xi_{rl}\otimes b_{rl})=\Sigma_{i,j,r,l,t=1}^m(\xi_{ij}\otimes a_{ij})\otimes (\xi_{rt}\otimes b_{rl}c_{lt}).$$

Apply  $\psi$ , we have

$$\Sigma_{i,t,r,l,j=1}^{m}(\xi_{ij}\otimes\xi_{rl})\otimes(c_{it}a_{tj}\otimes b_{rl})=\Sigma_{i,j,r,l,t=1}^{m}(\xi_{ij}\otimes\xi_{rt})\otimes(a_{ij}\otimes b_{rl}c_{lt}).$$

Suppose that  $c = \sum_{s,t=1}^{m} \xi_{st} \otimes c_{st}$  that  $c_{11} = c, c_{st} = 0$  if  $s \neq 1$  or  $t \neq 1$ . Then

$$\Sigma_{r,j=1}^{m}(\xi_{1j}\otimes\xi_{r1})\otimes(c_{11}a_{1j}\otimes b_{r1})=\Sigma_{r,j=1}^{m}(\xi_{1j}\otimes\xi_{r1})\otimes(a_{1j}\otimes b_{r1}c_{11}).$$
(2.2)

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : ((\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_m) \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A})) &\longrightarrow E \\ \theta (\Sigma_{i,j,r,l=1}^m (\xi_{ij} \otimes \xi_{rl}) \otimes (a_{ij} \otimes b_{rl})) &= \Sigma_{i,j,r,l=1}^m a_{ij} D(b_{rl}) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  are weak<sup>\*</sup>-continuous. Now consider

$$\lambda = \theta O \psi : (\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) \to E.$$

From Lemma 4.9 in [24]  $\lambda^*$  maps  $E_*$  into  $\sigma wc(((\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}))^*)$  and so  $(\lambda^*|_{E_*})^*$  maps  $\sigma wc(((\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}) \widehat{\otimes} (\mathcal{M}_m \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}))^*)^*$  into E. We apply  $\theta$  on (2.2) and we get

$$\Sigma_{r,j=1}^{m} c_{11} a_{1j} D(b_{r1}) = \Sigma_{r,j=1}^{m} a_{1j} D(b_{r1} c_{11}).$$
(2.3)

Put  $M_1 = \sum_{r,j=1}^m (\xi_{1j} \otimes a_{1j}) \otimes (\xi_{r1} \otimes b_{r1})$  and  $M' = \lambda(M_1)$ . We obtain from (2.1) and (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, c.M' \rangle &= \langle x, \Sigma_{r,j=1}^m c_{11} a_{1j} D(b_{r1}) \rangle \\ &= \langle x, \Sigma_{r,j=1}^m a_{1j} D(b_{r1} c_{11}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$= \langle x, \Sigma_{r,j=1}^m a_{1j} D(b_{r1}) . c_{11} + \Sigma_{r,j=1}^m a_{1j} b_{r1} D(c_{11}) \rangle$$
  
$$= \langle x, M', c \rangle + \langle x, D(c) \rangle$$

for all  $x \in E_*$ . Consequently D(c) = M'.c - c.M'.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let *E* be a normal Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ -bimodule and  $D : \mathcal{M}_n \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow E$  be a derivation that is weak<sup>\*</sup>-continuous. Let  $e_{\mathcal{A}}$  denote the identity of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We define

 $\xi_{ij} \bullet x = (\xi_{ij} \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}). x, \quad x \bullet \xi_{ij} = x. \ (\xi_{ij} \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \ (i, j \in 1, .., m).$ 

So E is a normal Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -bimodule.

Put  $D_{\mathcal{M}_n} : \mathcal{M}_n \longrightarrow E, \ D_{\mathcal{M}_n}(\xi_{ij}) = D(\xi_{ij} \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}), \text{ then}$ 

$$D_{\mathcal{M}_n}(\xi_{ij}\xi_{kl}) = D(\xi_{ij}\xi_{kl}\otimes e_{\mathcal{A}})$$
  
=  $D(\xi_{ij}\otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}). \ (\xi_{kl}\otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) + (\xi_{ij}\otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}). \ D(\xi_{kl}\otimes e_{\mathcal{A}})$   
=  $D(\xi_{ij}\otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) \bullet \xi_{kl} + \xi_{ij} \bullet D(\xi_{kl}\otimes e_{\mathcal{A}})$   
=  $D_{\mathcal{M}_n}(\xi_{ij}) \bullet \xi_{kl} + \xi_{ij} \bullet D_{\mathcal{M}_n}(\xi_{kl}).$ 

Hence, there exists  $u \in E$  such that  $D_{\mathcal{M}_n} = ad_u$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{D} = D(\xi_{ij} \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}) - ad_u$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{M}_n \otimes e_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Let I be the identity matrix. Then E is an A-bimodule for the maps defined by

 $a \circ x = (I \otimes a). x, x \circ a = x. (I \otimes a), (a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in E).$ 

Let us now  $D_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \tilde{D}(I \otimes a)$   $(a \in \mathcal{A})$ . Define  $K = \{e \in E_* : \langle \tilde{D}(I \otimes a), e \rangle = 0\}$ . Note that  $(\frac{E_*}{K})^* = \overline{\tilde{D}(I \otimes a)}^{w_k^*}$ . Further  $\overline{\tilde{D}(I \otimes a)}^{w_k^*}$  is a commutative normal Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. Then, there is  $\nu \in \overline{\tilde{D}(I \otimes a)}^{w_k^*}$  such that  $\tilde{D}(I \otimes a) = (I \otimes a)$ .  $\nu - \nu$ .  $(I \otimes a)$ . This complete the proof.  $\Box$ 

#### 3 Semigroup algebra

In this section, we apply these results to semigroup algebra  $l^1(S)$ . For a semigroup S and  $s \in S$ , we define maps  $L_s, R_s : S \to S$  by  $L_s(t) = st$ ,  $R_s(t) = ts$ ,  $t \in S$ . If for each  $s \in S$ ,  $R_s$  and  $L_s$  are finite-to-one maps, then we say that S is weakly cancellative. Before turning our results, we note that if S is a weakly cancellative semigroup, then  $l^1(S)$  is a dual Banach algebra with predual  $c_0(S)$  [2]. It is known that  $(l^1(S) \otimes l^1(S))' = B(l^1(S), l^{\infty}(S)) = l^1(S \times S)' = l^{\infty}(S \times S)$ , where  $T \in B(l^1(S), l^{\infty}(S))$  is identified with  $T \in l^{\infty}(S \times S)$ , where  $T(s,t) = \langle T(\delta_s), \delta_t \rangle$ . By the Krein-Smulian Theorem, T is weakly compact if and only if the set  $\{T(\delta_s) : s \in S\}$  is relatively weakly compact.

A semigroup S is simple if the only ideal in S is S. A semigroup S with zero is called 0-simple if  $\{0\}$  and S are the only ideals and  $S.S \neq 0$ . An element  $p \in S$  is an idempotent if  $p^2 = p$ , the set of idempotents of S is denoted by E(S). For  $p, q \in E(S)$ , set  $p \leq q$  if pq = qp = p. An element  $e \in E(S)$  is called primitive if it is nonzero and is minimal in the set of nonzero idempotents. S is called completely simple if it is simple and contains a primitive idempotent.

Let G be a group, I and J be arbitrary nonempty sets and  $G^0 = G \cup \{0\}$ . Let  $P_G = (a_{ij}) \in M_{J \times I}(G)$ . For  $x \in G$ , let  $(x)_{ij}$  be the element of  $M_{I \times J}(G^0)$  with x in  $(i, j)^{th}$  place and 0 elsewhere. The set of all  $(x)_{ij}$  matrices is denoted by S. Multiplication in S is given by the formula

$$(x)_{ij}(y)_{kl} = (xa_{jk}y)_{il} \ (x, y \in G, i, k \in I, j, l \in J).$$

We write  $S = \mathcal{M}(G, P, I, J)$ . S is called Rees matrix semigroup with sandwich matrix P. It is known that S is a completely simple semigroup and each completely simple semigroup is isomorphic to one constructed in this manner [10]. Similarly, we have the semigroup  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, P, I, J)$ where the elements of this semigroup are those of  $\mathcal{M}(G, P, I, J)$ , together with the element 0 so that 0 is a matrix with 0 everywhere and  $P_G = (a_{ij}) \in M_{J \times I}(G^0)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Let S be a weakly cancellative semigroup and let  $l^1(S)$  be unital with unit  $e_{l^1(S)}$ . If  $l^1(S)$  is Connes amenable, then E(S) is finite.

*Proof.* For each  $s \in S$ , we put  $[ss^{-1}] = \{x \in S : xs = s\}$  and  $[s^{-1}s] = \{x \in S : sx = s\}$ and  $\chi(s) = sS \cap [ss^{-1}]$ . We follow [3] and consider the equivalence relation R on E(S) by sRtif  $s \in \chi(t)$ . By this relation, E(S) is partitioned into the sets  $\chi(s)$ . Suppose via contradiction, there exists an infinite sequence of sets  $\chi(k_n), \{k_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in S$ .

Let  $M \in (l^1(S)\widehat{\otimes}l^1(S))^{**} = l^{\infty}(S \times S)'$  be a  $\sigma wc$ -virtual diagonal for  $l^1(S)$  which satisfies Theorem 5.9 in [2]. Therefore  $\langle M, f(hk, g) - f(h, kg) \rangle = 0$  for each  $k \in S$  and  $f \in l^{\infty}(S \times S)$ . Then  $\langle M, f(h', g) \rangle = 0, (h' = hk, g \notin kS)$ . In particular  $\langle M, f(h', g) \rangle = 0(h' = hk, g \notin kS, ghk = k)$ .

Now consider the multiplication map  $\pi : l^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} l^1(S) \to l^1(S)$  and  $f' \in l^{\infty}(S)$  such that  $\pi' f' = f$ . We have  $\langle \pi'(f'), \delta_g \otimes \delta_h \rangle = \langle f', \delta_{gh} \rangle$ . Also

$$\langle \delta_k.M, \pi'(f') \rangle = \langle \delta_k.(e_{l^1(S)} \otimes e_{l^1(S)}), \pi'(f') \rangle = \langle (\delta_k.e_{l^1(S)} \otimes e_{l^1(S)}), \pi'(f') \rangle.$$

Consequently

This implies that  $\langle M, f(h', g) \rangle = \langle f' \delta_k, e_A \rangle (g \in kS, gh'k = k)$ . Write  $f(h, g) = \langle T(\delta_h), \delta_g \rangle$  where  $T \in B(l^1(S), l^{\infty}(S))$ . Since T is weakly compact. By Theorem 5.9 in [2],  $\{T(\delta_i) : i \in I\}$  is relatively weakly compact and so totally bounded [20]. Let

$$Z(k) = \{(h,g) \in S \times S : g \in kS, ghk = k\}.$$

Since S is weakly cancellative, then each  $\chi(k_n)$  is finite and also  $Z(k_n)$  is contained in pairwise disjoint sets  $\chi(k_n)$ . Choose distinct elements  $k_1, k_2, ..., k_n \in S$  with

$$L = \min|\langle f', \delta_{k_i} \rangle|, \quad nL \ge \|M\|\sum_{i=1}^n (h,g) \in Z(k_i) \sup\{|\langle T(\delta_h), \delta_g \rangle|\}.$$

Therefore

$$nL \leq \Sigma_{i=1}^{n} |\langle f', \delta_{k_i} \rangle| = \sum_{i=1(h,g) \in \mathbb{Z}(k_i)}^{n} |\langle M, f(h,g) \rangle|$$
  
$$\leq \|M\| \sum_{i=1(h,g) \in \mathbb{Z}(k_i)}^{n} \sup\{|\langle T(\delta_h), \delta_g \rangle|\}.$$

This is a contradiction.

**Example 1.** Let S be the natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ , with the product

$$(m,n) \to m \lor n = max\{m,n\}.$$

S is a semigroup with identity 1 and weakly cancellative. Clearly E(S) = S. Then  $l^1(S)$  is a dual Banach algebra with predual  $c_0(S)$  and  $l^1(S)$  is not Connes amenable as E(S) is infinite.

It is easy to see that each weakly cancellative semigroup is simple. In fact, suppose that  $\mathcal{I}$  be a left ideal of S containing a nonzero element *i*, then

$$S = Si \subseteq S\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$$

and so  $\mathcal{I} = S$ . Consequently, if S is a weakly cancellative semigroup with E(S) finite, then S is completely simple semigroup and Rees matrix semigroup of the form  $S = \mathcal{M}(G, P, I, J)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let S be a weakly cancellative semigroup and let  $l^1(S)$  be unital with unit  $e_{l^1(S)}$ . If  $l^1(S)$  is Connes amenable, then S is a Rees matrix semigroup of the form  $S = \mathcal{M}(G, P, I, J)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}M(l^1(G), P, I, J)$  has an identity and  $l^1(G)$  is Connes amenable.

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1, S is a simple semigroup with E(S) finite, then S is a completely simple semigroup. Therefore, S is a Rees matrix semigroup of the form  $S = \mathcal{M}(G, P, I, J)$ . By Proposition 5.6 in [4],  $l^1(S)$  is isometrically algebra isomorphic to  $\mathcal{LM}(l^1(G), P, I, J)$ . Since  $l^1(S)$  is Connes amenable, then  $\mathcal{LM}(l^1(G), P, I, J)$  is Connes amenable and it has an identity. Also by Theorem 2.1,  $l^1(G)$  is Connes amenable.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let S be a weakly cancellative semigroup with E(S) finite and let  $l^1(S)$  be unital with unit  $e_{l^1(S)}$ . Then S is a Rees matrix semigroup of the form  $S = \mathcal{M}(G, P, I, J)$ . With above notation, the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $l^1(S)$  is Connes amenable;
- (ii)  $\mathcal{L}M(l^1(G), P, I, J)$  has an identity and  $l^1(G)$  is Connes amenable;
- (iii)  $l^1(S)$  is amenable.

*Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  is Theorem 3.1.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i) \mathcal{L}M(l^1(G), P, I, J)$  has an identity, then I and J are finite and P is invertible [4]. Since  $l^1(G)$  is Connes amenable, then from Theorem 2.1,  $\mathcal{L}M(l^1(G), P, I, J)$  is Connes amenable. By Proposition 5.6 in [4],  $l^1(S)$  is isometrically algebra isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}M(l^1(G), P, I, J)$  and  $l^1(S)$  is Connes amenable.

 $(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii)$  By Theorem 5.3 in [22],  $l^1(G)$  is amenable if and only if  $l^1(G)$  is Connes amenable. Then, this is Theorem 5.9 in [4].

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $S = \mathcal{M}(G, P, I, J)$  be a weakly cancellative semigroup. Let  $l^1(S)$  be unital with unit  $e_{l^1(S)}$ . With above notation, the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $l^1(S)$  is Connes amenable;
- (i)  $l^1(S)$  is amenable.

*Proof.* This follows in the same manner as the proof of Theorem 3.2.

**Example 2.** Let G be an amenable group. Let J be finite of order n. Let  $S = \mathcal{M}(G, P, 1, J)$  where P is invertible. Let  $(a)_{1j}$  and  $(b)_{1l}$  be two non-zero elements of  $S = \mathcal{M}(G, P, 1, J)$ . It is easy to see that

$$(a)_{1j}(p_{j1}^{-1}a^{-1}b)_{1l} = (b)_{1l}.$$

Then S is a weakly cancellative semigroup. By [11],  $l^1(G)$  is amenable. By Proposition 5.6 and Theorem 4.1 in [4],  $l^1(S)$  is amenable. Also  $l^1(G)$  is Connes amenable and by Theorem 2.1,  $l^1(S)$  is Connes amenable.

# Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading of our paper and many valuable suggestions.

## References

- T. D. Blackmore, Weak amenability of discrete semigroup algebras, Semigroup Forum, 55 (1997), 196–205.
- [2] M. Daws, Connes amenability of bidual and weighted semigroup algebras, Math. Scand., 99 (2006), 217-246.
- [3] J. Duncan and A. L. T. Paterson, Amenability for discrete convolution semigroup algebras, Math. Scand., 66 (1990), 141–146.
- [4] G. H. Esslamzadeh, Banach algebra structure and amenability of a class of matrix algebras with applications, J. Funct. Anal., 161 (1999), 364–383.
- [5] G. H. Esslamzadeh, Representation theory and positive functionals of involutive l<sup>1</sup>-Munn algebras, Semigroup Forum, 69 (2004), 51–62.
- [6] G. H. Esslamzadeh, Duals and topological center of a class of matrix algebras with applications, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 128 (2000), 3493–3503.
- [7] G. H. Esslamzadeh, B. Shojaee and A. Mahmoodi, Approximate Connes-amenability of dual Banach algebras, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, 19 (2012), 193–213.
- [8] A. Ghaffari and S. Javadi, φ-Connes amenability of dual Banach algebras, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., 43 (2017), 25–39.
- [9] A. Ya. Helemskii, Homological essence of amenability in the sense of A. Connes: the injectivity of the predual bimodule, Sb. Math., 68 (1991), 555–566.
- [10] J. M. Howie, An Introduction to Semigroup Theory, Academic Press, San Diego, 1976.
- [11] B. E. Johnson, Cohomology in Banach Algebras, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 127 (1972).
- [12] B. E. Johnson, R. V. Kadison and J. Ringrose, Cohomology of operator algebras III, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 100 (1972), 73–79.
- [13] A. T. Lau, Analysis on a class of Banach algebras with applications to harmonic analysis on locally compact groups and semigroups, Fund. Math., **118** (1983), 161–175.
- [14] S. M. Maepa and O. T. Mewomo, On character amenability of semigroup algebras, Quaest. Math., 39 (2016), no. 3, 307–318.
- [15] O. T. Mewomo and N. B. Okela, On approximate character amenability of Banach algebra, J. Nigerian Math. Soc., **32** (2013), 303–315.
- [16] O. T. Mewomo and O. J. Ogunsola, On n-weak amenability of semigroup algebras, J. Nigerian Math. Soc., 32 (2013), 298–301.

- [17] O. T. Mewomo, Various notions of amenability in Banach algebras, Expo. Math., 29 (2011), no. 3, 283–299.
- [18] W. D. Munn, On semigroup algebras, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 51 (1955), 1–15.
- [19] V. I. Paulsen, Completely Bounded Maps and Dilations, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 146 Longman, Harlow/New York, 1986.
- [20] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, McGraw Hill, New York, 1991.
- [21] V. Runde, Amenability for dual Banach algebras, Studia Math., 148 (2001), 47–66.
- [22] V. Runde, Connes amenability and normal virtual diagonals for measure algebras I, J. London Math. Soc., 67 (2003), 643–656.
- [23] V. Runde, Connes amenability and normal virtual diagonals for measure algebras II, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 68 (2003), 325–328.
- [24] V. Runde, Dual Banach algebras: Connes amenability, normal, virtual diagonals, and injectivity of the predual bimodule, Math. Scand., 95 (2004), 124–144.

Ali Ghaffari Department of Mathematics, University of Semnan, P. O. Box 35195-363, Semnan, Iran.

E-mail: aghaffari@semnan.ac.ir

Samaneh Javadi Faculty of Engineering- East Guilan, University of Guilan, P. O. Box 44891-63157, Rudsar, Iran.

E-mail: s.javadi62@gmail.com

**Ebrahim Tamimi** Department of Mathematics, University of Semnan, P. O. Box 35195-363, Semnan, Iran.

E-mail: tamimi-ebrahim@semnan.ac.ir