ON A SUBRING OF PRIME RING WITH DERIVATION

CHEN-TE YEN

Abstract. Let R be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic not 2, and let d be a nonzero derivation of R. We prove that the subring V of R generated by all $[d(x), y], x, y \in R$ contains a nonzero two-sided ideal of R.

I. Introduction

Throughout the note, R will represent an associative ring. An additive mapping d of R is called a derivation if d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y) hold for all x, y in R. Let R be a prime ring with center Z. We shall denote the commutator by [x, y] = xy - yx for all x, y in R. Posner [2] proved the

Lemma 1[2]. Let R be a noncommutative prime ring, and let d be a nonzero derivation of R. Then the subring of R generated by all $[d(x), x], x \in R$ is not contained in Z.

Lemma 2[2]. Let R be a prime ring of characteristic not 2. If there exist derivations d and g of R such that gd is a derivation of R, then either d = 0 or g = 0.

Recently, M. Brešar and J. Vukman showed the

Theorem A[1]. Let R be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic not 2, and let d be nonzero derivation of R. Then U, the subring of R generated by all $[d(x), x], x \in R$, contains a nonzero left ideal of R and a nonzero right ideal of R.

There is an open question [1]: is it possible to generalize Theorem A by proving that U contains a nonzero two-sided ideal? A linearization of this assumption gives

Received September 3, 1993.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16W25, 16N60.

Key words and phrases. Derivation, ideal, prime ring.

CHEN-TE YEN

 $[d(x), y] + [d(y), x] \in U$ for all x, y in R. In the note, we prove that this question is true under the stronger hypothesis $[d(x), y] \in U$ for all x, y in R.

2. Result

Theorem. Let R be a noncommutative prime ring of characteristic not 2, and let d be a nonzero derivation of R. Then V, the subring of R generated by all $[d(x), y], x, y \in R$, contains a nonzero two-sided ideal of R.

Proof. By the assumption, we get

$$[d(x), y] \in V \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } R.$$
(1)

Replacing y by yz in (1), we have $[d(x), yz] \in V$ and so

$$[d(x), y]z + y[d(x), z] \in V \text{ for all } x, y, z \text{ in } R.$$

$$(2)$$

Then with $y = v \in V$ and $z = u \in V$ in (2) respectively, and using (1), and noting that V is a subring of R, we obtain

$$[d(x), v]z \in V \text{ for all } x, z \in R \text{ and } v \in V.$$
(3)

and

$$y[d(x), u] \in V \text{ for all } x, y \in R \text{ and } u \in V.$$
 (4)

Replacing y by yt in (2), we have $[d(x), yt]z + yt[d(x), z] \in V$ and so

$$[d(x), y]tz + y[d(x), t]z + yt[d(x), z] \in V \text{ for all } x, y, t, z \in R.$$
(5)

For all $y, z, w \in R$, and $u \in V$, by (3) and (4) we get $[d(w), u]z \in V$ and $y[d(w), u] \in V$. Replacing t by [d(w), u] in (5), and applying these and (1), we obtain

$$y[d(x), [d(w), u]]z \in V \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in R \text{ and } u \in V.$$
(6)

We suppose that the Theorem is not true. Thus V does not contain nonzero twosided ideals of R. Hence (6) implies

$$[d(x), [d(w), u]] = 0 \text{ for all } x, w \in R \text{ and } u \in V.$$

$$(7)$$

Assume that $w \in R$ and $u \in V$. Let g be the inner derivation defined by g(x) = [x, [d(w), u]], for all x in R. Then using (7), we get gd(x) = 0. Thus gd = 0. By Lemma 2 and $d \neq 0$, this implies g = 0. Hence $[d(w), u] \in Z$. Applying this and (3), we have that [d(w), u]R is an ideal of R and $[d(w), u]R \subseteq V$. Therefore, we obtain

$$[d(w), u] = 0 \text{ for all } w \in R \text{ and } u \in V.$$
(8)

Let h be the inner derivation defined by h(w) = [w, u] for all $w \in R$. Then by (8), we get hd(w) = 0. Thus hd = 0. By Lemma 2 and $d \neq 0$, this implies h = 0. Hence $u \in Z$. Thus, $V \subseteq Z$ which contradicts Lemma 1. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

References

- M. Brešar and J. Vukman, "On certain subrings of prime rings with derivations," J. Austral. Math. Soc., (Series A) 54(1993), 133-141.
- [2] E. C. Posner, "Derivations in prime rings," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8(1957), 1093-1100.

Department of Mathematics, Chung Yuan University, Chung Li, Taiwan, 320, Republic of China.