

A REFINEMENT OF HADAMARD'S INEQUALITY FOR ISOTONIC LINEAR FUNCTIONALS

GOU-SHENG YANG AND HUEY-LAN WU

Abstract. A general refinement of Hadamard's inequality for isotonic linear functionals and some applications to norm and discrete inequalities are given.

I. Introduction

Let $f : I \subseteq R \rightarrow R$ be a convex function. The following double inequality

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t)dt \leq \frac{f(x)+f(y)}{2} \quad (1.1)$$

where $x, y \in I$ is known in literature as Hadamard's inequality (see [7][9][5] or [6]). For some recent results in connection with this famous integral inequality we refer to [2-5] and [9-11] where further applications are given.

In this note we will give a refinement of Hadamard's inequality for isotonic linear functionals (compare with [8]), and some natural applications. As in [1], let E be a nonempty set and let L be a linear class of real-value functions $g : E \rightarrow R$ having the properties:

- $L_1 : f, g \in L$ imply $(af + bg) \in L$ for all $a, b \in R$;
- $L_2 : 1 \in L$, that is, if $f(t) = 1$ ($t \in E$) then $f \in L$.

We also consider isotonic linear functionals $A : L \rightarrow R$. That is

- $A1 : A(af + bg) = aA(f) + bA(g)$ for all $f, g \in L$;
- $A2 : f \in L, f(t) \geq 0$ on E implies $A(f) \geq 0$

Received August 23, 1995

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26D15.

Key words and phrases. Hadamard's inequality, arithmetic mean, geometric mean.

We note that some examples of such isotonic linear functionals A are given by $A(g) = \int_E g du$ or $A(g) = \sum_{k \in E} p_k g_k$ where u is a positive measure on E in the first case and E is a subset of natural number N in the second case with $p_k \geq 0$ for $k \in E$.

Jessen's Inequality: Let L satisfy properties $L1$, $L2$ on a nonempty set E and suppose Φ is a convex function on an interval $I \subseteq R$. If A is any isotonic linear functional with $A(1) = 1$, then for all $g \in L$ so that $\Phi(g) \in I$, we have $A(g) \in I$ and $\Phi(A(g)) \leq A(\Phi(g))$.

2. Main Result

We will start with the following simple lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a real linear space and C be a convex subset of X . If $f : C \rightarrow R$ is convex on C , then for all x, y in C the mapping $g_{xy} : [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ given by*

$$g_{xy}(t) = \alpha f\left[\frac{t}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] + \beta f\left[\left(1 - \frac{t}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{t}{2\beta}y\right]$$

where $\beta \geq \alpha > 0$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$ is also convex on $[0, 2\alpha]$.

In addition, we have the inequality:

$$f(\alpha y + \beta x) \leq g_{xy}(t) \leq \alpha f(y) + \beta f(x) \quad (2.1)$$

for all x, y in C and $0 \leq t \leq 2\alpha$.

Proof. Suppose $x, y \in C$ and let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 2\alpha]$ and $a, b \geq 0$ with $a + b = 1$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{x,y}(at_1 + bt_2) \\ &= \alpha f\left[\frac{at_1 + bt_2}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{at_1 + bt_2}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] + \beta f\left[\left(1 - \frac{at_1 + bt_2}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{at_1 + bt_2}{2\beta}y\right] \\ &= \alpha f\left[a\left(\frac{t_1}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{t_1}{2\alpha}\right)y\right) + b\left(\frac{t_2}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{t_2}{2\alpha}\right)y\right)\right] \\ &\quad + \beta f\left[a\left(\left(1 - \frac{t_1}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{t_1}{2\beta}y\right) + b\left(\left(1 - \frac{t_2}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{t_2}{2\beta}y\right)\right] \\ &\leq \alpha \alpha f\left[\frac{t_1}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{t_1}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] + b \alpha f\left[\frac{t_2}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{t_2}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] \\ &\quad + \alpha \beta f\left[\left(1 - \frac{t_1}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{t_1}{2\beta}y\right] + b \beta f\left[\left(1 - \frac{t_2}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{t_2}{2\beta}y\right] \\ &= a g_{x,y}(t_1) + b g_{x,y}(t_2) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $g_{x,y}$ is convex in $[0, 2\alpha]$.

By the convexity of f we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{x,y}(t) &\geq f\left[\alpha\frac{t}{2\alpha}x + \alpha\left(1 - \frac{t}{2\alpha}\right)y + \beta\left(\left(1 - \frac{t}{2\beta}\right)x + \beta\frac{t}{2\beta}y\right)\right] \\ &= f(\alpha y + \beta x) \\ g_{x,y}(t) &\leq \alpha\left[\frac{t}{2\alpha}f(x) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2\alpha}\right)f(y)\right] + \beta\left[\left(1 - \frac{t}{2\beta}\right)f(x) + \frac{t}{2\beta}f(y)\right] \\ &= \alpha f(y) + \beta f(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all t in $[0, 2\alpha]$. This completes the proof.

Remark. By the inequality (2.1) we have:

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} g_{x,y}(t) = \alpha f(y) + \beta f(x) \text{ and } \inf_{t \in [0,1]} g_{x,y}(t) = f(\alpha y + \beta x)$$

for all $x, y \in C$.

Now we given our main result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow R$ be a convex function on a convex set C .

Let L and A satisfy conditions L1, L2 and A1, A2 and let $h : E \rightarrow R$,

$0 \leq h(t) \leq 2\alpha(t \in E), h \in L$ is so that $f(hx + (1 - h)y), f((1 - h)x + hy)$ belong to L for x, y fixed in C . If $A(1) = 1$, then we have the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha y + \beta x) &\leq \alpha f\left[\frac{A(h)}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{A(h)}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] + \beta f\left[\left(1 - \frac{A(h)}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{A(h)}{2\beta}y\right] \\ &\leq \alpha Af\left[\frac{h}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{h}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] + \beta Af\left[\left(1 - \frac{h}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{h}{2\beta}y\right] \\ &\leq \alpha f(y) + \beta f(x) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\beta \geq \alpha > 0$ with $\alpha + \beta = 1$.

Proof. Consider the mapping $g_{x,y} : [0, 1] \rightarrow R$ given above. Then by lemma 2.1 we know that $g_{x,y}$ is convex $[0, 1]$. Applying Jessen's inequality for the mapping $g_{x,y}$ we get

$$g_{x,y}(A(h)) \leq A(g_{x,y}(h))$$

where $g_{x,y}(A(h)) = \alpha f\left[\frac{A(h)}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{A(h)}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] + \beta f\left[\left(1 - \frac{A(h)}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{A(h)}{2\beta}y\right]$ and

$A(g_{x,y}(h)) = \alpha Af\left[\frac{h}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{h}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] + \beta Af\left[\left(1 - \frac{h}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{h}{2\beta}y\right]$. This proves the second inequality in (2.2).

To prove the first inequality in (2.2) we observe that, by (2.1), we can write $f(\alpha y + \beta x) \leq g_{x,y}(A(h))$.

Finally , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha f\left[\frac{h}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{h}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] + \beta f\left[\left(1 - \frac{h}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{h}{2\beta}y\right] \\ &\leq \frac{h}{2}f(x) + \alpha f(y) - \frac{h}{2}f(y) + \beta f(x) - \frac{h}{2}f(x) + \frac{h}{2}f(y) \\ &= \alpha f(y) + \beta f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the last part of (2.2) follows from

$$A \left[\frac{\alpha f \left[\frac{h}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{h}{2\alpha}\right)y \right] + \beta f \left[\left(1 - \frac{h}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{h}{2\beta}y \right]}{\alpha f(y) + \beta f(x)} \right] \leq A(1) = 1$$

Remark. If we choose: $A = \int_0^{2\alpha}$, $E = [0, 1]$, $h(t) = t$, $C = [x, y] \subset R$, then a simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\alpha} f \left[\frac{t}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2\alpha}\right)y \right] dt &= \frac{2\alpha}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t) dt \\ \int_0^{2\alpha} f \left[\left(1 - \frac{t}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{t}{2\beta}y \right] dt &= \frac{2\beta}{y-x} \int_x^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta})x + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}y} f(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (2.2) that

$$f(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \frac{2\alpha^2}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t) dt + \frac{2\beta^2}{y-x} \int_x^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{\beta})x + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}y} f(t) dt \leq \alpha f(x) + \beta f(y) \tag{2.3}$$

By letting $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ in (2.3), we recapture the Hadamard's inequality

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t) dt \leq \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2}.$$

Lemma 2.2 *Let X be a real linear space and C be a convex subset of X . If $f : C \rightarrow R$ is convex, then*

$$g_{x,y,z}(t) = \frac{1}{3} [f((1-t)x + ty) + f((1-t)y + tz) + f((1-t)z + tx)]$$

is also convex on $[0, 1]$. In addition, we have the inequality:

$$f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) \leq g_{x,y,z}(t) \leq \frac{f(x) + f(y) + f(z)}{3} \tag{2.4}$$

for all x, y, z in C and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Suppose $x, y, z \in C$ and let $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $a, b \geq 0$ with $a + b = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &g_{x,y,z}(at_1 + bt_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} f((1 - at_1bt_2)x + (at_1 + bt_2)y) + f((1 - at_1 - bt_2)y + (at_1 + bt_2)z) \\ &\quad + f((1 - at_1 - bt_2)z + (at_1 + bt_2)x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} f\{a[1 - t_1]x + t_1y\} + b\{(1 - t_2)x + t_2y\} + \frac{1}{3} f\{a[(1 - t_1)y + t_1z] + b[(1 - t_2)y + t_2z]\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} f\{a[(1 - t_1)z + t_1x] + b[(1 - t_2)z + t_2x]\} \\ &\leq \frac{a}{3} f[(1 - t_1)x + t_1y] + \frac{b}{3} f[1 - t_2)x + t_2y] + \frac{a}{3} f[(1 - t_1)y + t_1z] + \frac{b}{3} f[(1 - t_2)y + t_2z] \\ &\quad + \frac{a}{3} f[(1 - t_1)z + t_1x] + \frac{b}{3} f[(1 - t_2)z + t_2x] \\ &= ag_{x,y,z}(t_1) + bg_{x,y,z}(t_2) \end{aligned}$$

so that $g_{x,y,z}(t)$ is convex on $[0, 1]$. By the convexity of f , we have

$$g_{x,y,z}(t) \geq f\left[\frac{(1-t)x + ty}{3} + \frac{(1-t)y + tz}{3} + \frac{(1-t)z + tx}{3}\right] = f\left(\frac{x + y + z}{3}\right)$$

and $g_{x,y,z}(t) \leq \frac{(1-t)}{3}f(x) + \frac{t}{3}f(y) + \frac{(1-t)}{3}f(y) + \frac{t}{3}f(z) + \frac{(1-t)}{3}f(z) + \frac{t}{3}f(x) = \frac{f(x)+f(y)+f(z)}{3}$ for all t in $[0, 1]$.

Remark. It follows from the inequality (2.4) that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} g_{x,y,z}(t) = \frac{f(x)+f(y)+f(z)}{3} \text{ and } \inf_{t \in [0,1]} g_{x,y,z}(t) = f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right)$$

for all x, y, z in C .

Theorem 2.2. Let $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow R$ be a convex function on a convex set C, L and A satisfy conditions $L1, L2$ and $A1, A2$ and $h : E \rightarrow R, 0 \leq h(t) \leq 1 (t \in E), h \in L$ is so that $f((1-h)x + hy), f((1-h)y + hz), f((1-h)z + hx)$ belong to L for x, y, z fixed in C . If $A(1) = 1$, then we have the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) &\leq \frac{f[(1-A(h))x + A(h)y] + f[(1-A(h))y + A(h)z] + f[(1-A(h))z + A(h)x]}{3} \\ &\leq \frac{Af[(1-h)x + hy] + Af[(1-h)y + hz] + Af[(1-h)z + hx]}{3} \\ &\leq \frac{f(x) + f(y) + f(z)}{3} \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Consider the mapping $g_{x,y,z}[0, 1] \rightarrow R$ given as in lemma 2.2 above. Applying Jessen's inequality for the mapping $g_{x,y,z}$ we get

$$g_{x,y,z}(A(h)) \leq A(g_{x,y,z}(h))$$

where

$$g_{x,y,z}(A(h)) = \frac{f[(1-A(h))x + A(h)y] + f[(1-A(h))y + A(h)z] + f[(1-A(h))z + A(h)x]}{3}$$

and

$$A(g_{x,y,z}(h)) = \frac{Af[(1-h)x + hy] + Af[(1-h)y + hz] + Af[(1-h)z + hx]}{3}$$

so the second inequality in (2.5) is proved.

To prove the first inequality in (2.5) we observe that by (2.4), we have $f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) \leq g_{x,y,z}(A(h))$ which is exactly the desired statement.

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_{x,y,z}(h) &= \frac{f[(1-h)x+hy] + f[(1-h)y+hz] + f[(1-h)z+hx]}{3} \\ &\leq \frac{(1-h)}{3}f(x) + \frac{h}{3}f(y) + \frac{(1-h)}{3}f(y) + \frac{h}{3}f(z) + \frac{(1-h)}{3}f(z) + \frac{h}{3}f(x) \\ &= \frac{f(x) + f(y) + f(z)}{3} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the last inequality of (2.5) follows from

$$A \left[g_{x,y,z}(h) \frac{1}{\frac{f(x)+f(y)+f(z)}{3}} \right] \leq A(1) = 1.$$

Remark. if we choose $A = \int_0^1$, $E = [0, 1]$, $h(t) = t$, $C = [x, y] \cup [y, z] \subset R$, then, a simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 f[(1-t)x+ty]dt &= \frac{1}{y-x} \int_x^y f(t)dt \\ \int_0^1 f[(1-t)y+tz]dt &= \frac{1}{z-y} \int_y^z f(t)dt \\ \int_0^1 f[(1-t)z+tx]dt &= \frac{1}{x-z} \int_z^x f(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

and the inequality (2.5) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) &\leq \frac{1}{3(y-x)} \int_x^y f(t)dt + \frac{1}{3(z-y)} \int_y^z f(t)dt + \frac{1}{3(x-z)} \int_z^x f(t)dt \\ &\leq \frac{f(x) + f(y) + f(z)}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

If we put $z = \frac{x+y}{2}$ in (2.6), we recapture the Hadamard's inequality.

3. Applications

1. Let $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 2\alpha]$ be a Riemann integrable function on $[0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$. Then for all x, y in a norm space $(X; \|\cdot\|)$ with $\beta \geq \alpha > 0$, $\alpha + \beta = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha y + \beta x\|^p &\leq \alpha \left\| \left(\frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_0^1 h(t)dt \right) x + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int_0^1 h(t)dt \right) y \right\|^p \\ &\quad + \beta \left\| \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\beta} \int_0^1 h(t)dt \right) x + \left(\frac{1}{2\beta} \int_0^1 h(t)dt \right) y \right\|^p \\ &\leq \alpha \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{h(t)}{2\alpha} x + \left(1 - \frac{h(t)}{2\alpha} \right) y \right\|^p dt + \beta \int_0^1 \left\| \left(1 - \frac{h(t)}{2\beta} \right) x + \frac{h(t)}{2\beta} y \right\|^p dt \\ &\leq \alpha \|y\|^p + \beta \|x\|^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

If we choose $h(t) = t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha y + \beta x\|^p &\leq \alpha \int_0^1 \left\| \frac{t}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2\alpha}\right)y \right\|^p dt + \beta \int_0^1 \left\| \left(1 - \frac{t}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{t}{2\beta}y \right\|^p dt \\ &\leq \alpha \|y\|^p + \beta \|x\|^p \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for all x, y in X .

The inequality (3.1) follows by theorem 2.1 for the functional $A = \int_0^1$.

2. Let $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow R$ be a convex function on a convex subset C of a linear space X , and $t_i \in [0, 2\alpha], i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $\beta \geq \alpha > 0, \alpha + \beta = 1$, then we have the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha y + \beta x) &\leq \alpha f\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i}{2\alpha}x + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{t_i}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] + \beta f\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{t_i}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i}{2\beta}y\right] \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f\left[\frac{t_i}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{t_i}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] + \frac{\beta}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f\left[\left(1 - \frac{t_i}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{t_i}{2\beta}y\right] \\ &\leq \alpha f(y) + \beta f(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

If we put $t_i = \sin^2 \alpha_i, \alpha_i \in R, i = 1, \dots, n$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &f(\alpha y + \beta x) \\ &\leq \alpha f\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sin^2 \alpha_i}{2\alpha}x + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin^2 \alpha_i}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] + \beta f\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{\sin^2 \alpha_i}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\sin^2 \alpha_i}{2\beta}y\right] \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f\left[\frac{\sin^2 \alpha_i}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{\sin^2 \alpha_i}{2\alpha}\right)y\right] + \frac{\beta}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f\left[\left(1 - \frac{\sin^2 \alpha_i}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{\sin^2 \alpha_i}{2\beta}y\right] \\ &\leq \alpha f(y) + \beta f(x). \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (3.3) follows by (2.2) for

$$A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^n, h(t) = \begin{cases} t_1, & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n} \\ t_2, & \frac{1}{n} < t \leq \frac{2}{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ t_n, & \frac{n-1}{n} < t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

By the use of the inequality (3.3), we have the following arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality

$$\alpha y + \beta x \geq y^\alpha x^\beta$$

where $x, y \geq 0$. Indeed, chosing $f(x) = -\ln x, x > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha y + \beta x &\geq \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i}{2\alpha}x + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{t_i}{2\alpha}\right)y\right]^\alpha \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{t_i}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{t_i}{2\beta}y\right]^\beta \\ &\geq \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\left[\frac{t_i}{2\alpha}x + \left(1 - \frac{t_i}{2\alpha}\right)y\right]^\alpha \left[\left(1 - \frac{t_i}{2\beta}\right)x + \frac{t_i}{2\beta}y\right]^\beta\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq y^\alpha x^\beta \end{aligned}$$

where inequality holds if and only if $x = y$.

3. Let $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a Riemann integrable function on $[0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$. Then for all x, y, z vectors in normed space $(X; \|\cdot\|)$ we have the inequality :

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{x+y+z}{3} \right\|^p &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left\| \left(1 - \int_0^1 h(t) dt\right)x + \left(\int_0^1 h(t) dt\right)y \right\|^p \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \left\| \left(1 - \int_0^1 h(t) dt\right)y + \left(\int_0^1 h(t) dt\right)z \right\|^p + \frac{1}{3} \left\| \left(1 - \int_0^1 h(t) dt\right)x \right\|^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 \|(1-h(t))x + h(t)y\|^p dt + \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 \|(1-h(t))y + h(t)z\|^p dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 \|(1-h(t))z + h(t)x\|^p dt \\ &\leq \frac{\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

If we choose $h(t) = t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{x+y+z}{3} \right\|^p &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 \|(1-t)x + ty\|^p dt + \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 \|(1-t)y + tz\|^p dt + \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 \|(1-t)z + tx\|^p dt \\ &\leq \frac{\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for all x, y, z in X .

The inequality (3.4) follows by theorem 2.2 for the functional $A = \int_0^1$.

4. Let $f : C \subseteq X \rightarrow R$ be a convex function on a convex subset C of a linear space X , $t_i \in [0, 1]$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have the inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} &f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} f\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (1-t_i)x + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i\right)y\right] + \frac{1}{3} f\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1-t_i)y + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i\right)z\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} f\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1-t_i)z + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i\right)x\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n f[(1-t_i)x + t_i y] + \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n f[(1-t_i)y + t_i z] + \frac{1}{3n} \sum_{i=1}^n f[(1-t_i)z + t_i x] \\ &\leq \frac{f(x) + f(y) + f(z)}{3} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

If we put $t_i = \sin^2 \alpha_i$, $\alpha_i \in R$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{3} f \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \cos^2 \alpha_i \right) x + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sin^2 \alpha_i \right) y \right] + \frac{1}{3} f \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \cos^2 \alpha_i \right) y + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sin^2 \alpha_i \right) z \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} f \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \cos^2 \alpha_i \right) z + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sin^2 \alpha_i \right) x \right] \\ &\leq \frac{f(x) + f(y) + f(z)}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (3.6) follows by (2.5) for $A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n$, $h(t) = t_i \in [0, 1]$.

By use of the inequality (3.6), we obtain arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality

$$\frac{x + y + z}{3} \geq (xyz)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad \text{where } x, y, z \geq 0.$$

Indeed, choosing $f(x) = -\ln x, x > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x+y+z}{3} &\geq \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1-t_i)x + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \right) y \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1-t_i)y + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \right) z \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\quad \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (1-t_i)z + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \right) x \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &\geq \prod_{i=1}^n \left\{ [(1-t_i)x + t_i y]^{\frac{1}{3}} [(1-t_i)y + t_i z]^{\frac{1}{3}} [(1-t_i)z + t_i x]^{\frac{1}{3}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\geq (xyz)^{\frac{1}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

where equality holds if, and only if $x = y = z$.

References

- [1] P. R. Beesack and J. E. Pečarić, "On Jensen's inequality for convex functions," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 110 (1985), 536-552.
- [2] S. S. Dragomir, J. E. Pečarić and J. Sándor, "A note on the Jensen-Hadamard inequalities," *Anal. Num. Theor. Approx.*, 19 (1990), 29-34.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir. "Some refinements of Hadamard's inequalities," *G. M. Metod. (Bucharest)*, 11 (1990), 189-191.
- [4] S. S. Dragomir. "Two refinements of Hadamard's inequalities," *Coll. of Sci. Pap. of the Fac. Science, Kragujevac.*, 11 (1990), 23-26.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir. "A mapping in connection to Hadamard's inequalities," *Anz. Österr. Akad. Wiss. Math-natur. Klasse*, 128 (1991), 17-20.
- [6] S. S. Dragomir, "A refinement of Hadamard's inequality for isotonic linear functionals," *Tamkang Journal of Math.*, 24 (1) (1993), 101-106.
- [7] J. Hadamard, "Etude sur les propriétés des fonctions entières et en particulier d'une fonction considérée par Riemann," *J. Math. Pure Appl.*, 5 (1893), 171-215.
- [8] A. Lupas. "A generalization of Hadamard's inequalities for convex functions," *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektr. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz.* No. 544- No. 576 (1976), 115-121.
- [9] D. S. Mitrinović and I. B. Lacković, "Hermite and convexity," *Aequat. Math.*, 2 (195), 229-232.

- [10] J. Sándor, "Some integral inequalities," *E1. Math.*, 43 (1988), 177-180.
- [11] J. Sándor, "An application of the Jensen-Hadamard inequality," *Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde* (to appear).

Department of Mathematics, Tamkang University, Tamsui, Taiwan, 25137.