# ON THE EXISTENCE OF PROJECTIVE AFFINE MOTION IN A W-RECURRENT FINSLER SPACE

### A. KUMAR, H. S. SHULKA AND R. P. TRIPATHI

**Abstract**. The paper is devoted to study the properties of a W-R  $F_n$  space admitting an infinitesimal point transformation  $\overline{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x)dt$  which satisfies the condition  $L_v \lambda_s = 0$ .

#### 1. Introduction

Let us consider an *n*-dimensional affinely connected Finsler space  $F_n[1]^1$  equipped with 2n line elements  $(x^i, \dot{x}^i)$  and a fundamental metric function  $F(x, \dot{x})$  positively homogeneous of degree one in its directional argument. The fundamental metric tensor  $g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\partial}_j F^2(x, \dot{x})^2$  of the space is symmetric in its indices *i* and *j*. Let  $T_j^i(x, \dot{x})$ be any tensor field depending on both the positional and directional arguments. The covariant derivative of  $T_i^i(x, \dot{x})$  with respect to  $x^k$  in the sense of Berwald is given by

$$T^i_{j(k)} = \partial_k T^i_j - \dot{\partial}_h T^i_j G^h_k + T^h_j G^i_{hk} - T^i_h G^h_{jk}$$
(1.1)

where  $G_{ik}^{i}(x, \dot{x})$  are Berwald's connection coefficients and satisfy the following relations:

a) 
$$\dot{\partial}_h G^i_{jk} = G^i_{hjk}$$
 b)  $G^i_{hjk} \dot{x}^h = 0$  and (1.2)  
c)  $G^i_{hk} = G^i_{kh}$ 

The following commutation formulae involving the Berwald's covariant derivatives are given by

$$\dot{\partial}_h T^i_{j(k)} - (\dot{\partial}_h T^i_j)_{(k)} = T^s_j G^i_{shk} - T^i_s G^s_{jhk}, \qquad (1.3)$$

$${}^{3}2T^{i}_{j[(h)(k)]} = -\dot{\partial}_{r}T^{i}_{j}H^{r}_{hk} + T^{s}_{j}H^{i}_{shk} - T^{i}_{s}H^{s}_{jhk}$$
(1.4)

Received February 12, 1998; revised October 30, 1999.

71

 ${}^{2}\dot{\partial}_{i} \equiv \partial/\partial \dot{x}^{i}$  and  $\partial_{i} \equiv \partial/\partial x_{i}$  ${}^{3}2A_{[hk]} = A_{hk} - A_{kh}$ 

 $<sup>1991\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 53C.$ 

*Key words and phrases.* Finsler space, projective motion, recurrent, Lie-derivative, infinitesimal point transformation, connection coefficients.

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{The}$  numbers in square brackets refer to the references at the end of the paper.

where

$$H^{i}_{hjk}(x,\dot{x}) \stackrel{def}{=} 2 \Big\{ \partial_{[k} G^{i}_{j]h} + G^{r}_{h[j} G^{i}_{k]r} + G^{i}_{rh[k} G^{r}_{j]} \Big\}$$
(1.5)

are Berwald's curvature tensor field and satisfies the following relations:

a) 
$$H_{hjk}^{i} = -H_{hkj}^{i}$$
, b)  $H_{hjk}^{i}\dot{x}^{h} = H_{jk}^{i}$ , c)  $H_{rhj}^{r} = 2H_{[hj]}$   
d)  $H_{hjr}^{r} = H_{hj}$ , e)  $H_{i}^{i} = (n-1)H$  and f)  $\dot{x}^{j}H_{jk}^{i} = H_{k}^{i}$  (1.6)

The projective deviation tensor field  $W^i_{hjk}(x, \dot{x})$  of the space is given by

$$W_{hjk}^{i}(x,\dot{x}) = H_{hjk}^{i} + \frac{1}{(n+1)} \Big\{ \delta_{h}^{i} H_{rkj}^{r} + \dot{x}^{i} \dot{\partial}_{h} H_{rkj}^{r} + 2\delta_{[j}^{i}(H_{(h)k]} + \dot{\partial}_{k]} \dot{\partial}_{h} H ) \Big\}$$
(1.7)

which satisfies the following identity:

$$W^{i}_{hjk(\ell)} + W^{i}_{hk\ell(j)} + W^{i}_{h\ell j(k)} = 0$$
(1.8)

If the projective deviation tensor field  $W^i_{hjk}(x, \dot{x})$  satisfies the condition

$$W^i_{hjk(s)} = \lambda_s W^i_{hjk} \tag{1.9}$$

where  $\lambda_s(x)$  means a non-zero covariant recurrence vector, the space is called a W-recurrent Finsler space or an W-R  $F_n$  space.

Let us consider an infinitesimal point transformation

$$\overline{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x)dt \tag{1.10}$$

where  $v^{i}(x)$  is any vector field and dt is an infinitesimal point constant. The above transformation which is considered at each point in the space is called a projective affine motion, when and only when

$$L_v G^i_{ik} = 0 \tag{1.11}$$

where  $L_v$  denotes the well known Lie-derivative with respect to (1.10). The Lie-derivatives of the tensor field  $T_j^i(x, \dot{x})$  and connection coefficient  $G_{jk}^i(x, \dot{x})$  in view of (1.10) and the Berwald's covariant derivative are given by [2]

$$L_v T_j^i = T_{j(h)}^i v^h + T_h^i v_{(j)}^h - T_j^h v_{(h)}^i + \dot{\partial}_h T_j^i v_{(s)}^h \dot{x}^s$$
(1.12)

and

$$L_v G^i_{jk} = v^i_{(j)(k)} + H^i_{jkh} v^h + G^i_{sjk} v^s_{(r)} \dot{x}^r$$
(1.13)

respectively.

We have the following commutation formulae:

$$L_v(\dot{\partial}_\ell T^i_j) - \dot{\partial}_\ell L_v T^i_j = 0 \tag{1.14}$$

$$(L_v G^i_{jh})_{(k)} - (L_v G^i_{kh})_{(j)} = L_v H^i_{hjk} + 2\dot{x}^s G^i_{rh[j} L_v G^r_{k]s}$$
(1.15)

and

$$(L_v T^i_{jk(m)}) - (L_v T^i_{jk})_{(m)} = T^s_{jk} L_v G^i_{sm} - T^i_{sk} L_v G^s_{jm} - T^i_{js} L_v G^s_{km} - \dot{\partial}_s T^i_{jk} L_v G^s_{rm} \dot{x}^r \quad (1.16)$$

Hence, for an infinitesimal projective affine motion the last relation shows that the two operators  $L_v$  and (k) are commutative with each other.

With the help of the equation (1.11) and (1.15), we get

$$L_v H^i_{hjk} = 0 \tag{1.17}$$

In view of the equation (1.6) and the fact that the operations of contraction and Lie-derivation are commutative the above relation yields

a) 
$$L_v H_{rjk}^r = 0$$
, b)  $L_v H_{jk} = 0$  and c)  $L_v H = 0$  (1.18)

Taking the Lie-derivative of the each side of (1.7) and using the equations (1.14), (1.17) and (1.18), we obtain

$$L_v W^i_{hjk} = 0 \tag{1.19}$$

Applying  $L_v$  to both sides of (1.9) and using the equations (1.11), (1.16) and (1.19), we have

$$(L_v \lambda_s) W^i_{h\,ik} = 0 \tag{1.20}$$

Since the space is not an isotropic (i.e.  $W_{hjk}^i \neq 0$ ), we have

$$L_v \lambda_s = 0 \tag{1.21}$$

i.e. the recurrence vector  $\lambda_s$  of the space must be Lie-invariant one.

In what follows, we shall study a W-R Fn space admitting an infinitesimal transformation  $\overline{x}^i = x^i + v^i(x)dt$  which satisfies (1.21). We shall call such a restricted space, for brevity, as S-WR Fn space.

# 2. The Vanishing of $L_v W^i_{hjk}(x, \dot{x})$

First of all here we shall prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.** In an S-WR Fn space if the recurrence vector  $\lambda_s$  is a gradient one, we have  $\lambda_s v^s = \text{const.}$ 

**Proof.** For brevity, let us put

$$\delta = \lambda_s v^s \tag{2.1}$$

Then, with the help of the equations (1.12) and (1.21), we have

$$L_v \lambda_s = \lambda_{s(m)} v^m + \lambda_m v^m_{(s)} = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

By virtue of the assumption  $\lambda_{s(m)} = \lambda_{m(s)}$  the above equation reduces to

$$\delta_{(m)} = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

which completes the proof.

In view of the basic condition (1.12), the Lie-derivative of  $W_{hjk}^i(x, \dot{x})$  is given by

$$L_{v}W_{hjk}^{i} = W_{hjk(s)}^{i}v^{s} + W_{sjk}^{i}v_{(h)}^{s} + W_{hsk}^{i}v_{(j)}^{s} + W_{hjs}^{i}v_{(k)}^{s} - W_{hjk}^{s}v_{(s)}^{i} + \dot{\partial}_{s}W_{hjk}^{i}v_{(r)}^{s}\dot{x}^{r} \quad (2.4)$$

which by virtue of the equation (1.9) and (2.1) reduces to

$$L_{v}W_{hjk}^{i} = \delta W_{hjk}^{i} + W_{sjk}^{i}v_{(h)}^{s} + W_{hsk}^{i}v_{(j)}^{s} + W_{hjs}^{i}v_{(k)}^{s} - W_{hjk}^{s}v_{(s)}^{i} + \dot{\partial}_{s}W_{hjk}^{i}v_{(r)}^{s}\dot{x}^{r}.$$
 (2.5)

Introducing the commutation formula (1.4) to the tensor field  $W^i_{hjk}(x, \dot{x})$ , we get

$$2W^{i}_{hjk[(\ell)(m)]} = -\dot{\partial}_{r}W^{i}_{hjk}H^{r}_{s\ell m}\dot{x}^{s} + W^{s}_{hjk}H^{i}_{s\ell m} - W^{i}_{sjk}H^{s}_{h\ell m} - W^{i}_{hsk}H^{s}_{j\ell m} - W^{i}_{hjs}H^{s}_{k\ell m}.$$
(2.6)

In view of the definition (1.9), the above relation reduces to

$$(\delta_{\ell(m)} - \delta_{m(\ell)})W^{i}_{hjk} = -\dot{\partial}_{r}W^{i}_{hjk}H^{r}_{s\ell m}\dot{x}^{s} + W^{s}_{hjk}H^{i}_{s\ell m} - W^{i}_{sjk}H^{s}_{h\ell m} - W^{i}_{hsk}H^{s}_{j\ell m} - W^{i}_{hjs}H^{s}_{h\ell m}.$$
(2.7)

Next, let us assume that  $\delta_m \neq \text{const.}$  Then, with the help of the Lemma (2.1), we get

$$N_{\ell m}(x) \stackrel{def}{=} (\delta_{\ell(m)} - \delta_{m(\ell)}) \neq 0$$
(2.8)

Let us take

$$v_{(h)}^{i} = H_{hjk}^{i} q^{jk}.$$
 (2.9)

for a suitable non-symmetric tensor  $q^{jk}$ , then multiplying (2.7) by  $q^{lm}$  and summing over 1 and m, we obtain

$$N_{\ell m} q^{\ell m} W^{i}_{hjk} = -\dot{\partial}_{r} W^{i}_{hjk} v^{r}_{(s)} \dot{x}^{s} + W^{s}_{hjk} v^{i}_{(s)} - W^{i}_{sjk} v^{s}_{(h)} - W^{i}_{hsk} v^{s}_{(j)} - W^{i}_{hjs} v^{s}_{(k)}$$
(2.10)

Comparing the last equation with (2.5), we get

$$L_v W^i_{hjk} = (\delta - q^{\ell m} N_{\ell m}) W^i_{hjk}$$

$$\tag{2.11}$$

The above equation shows that  $L_v W_{hjk}^i$  vanishes when and only  $\delta = q^{lm} N_{\ell m}$ . For  $\delta \neq \text{const.}$  and  $N_{\ell m} \neq 0$ , from (2.5) and (2.7), we can construct the following identity

$$N_{\ell m} L_v W^i_{hjk} = W^s_{hjk} (\delta H^i_{s\ell m} - N_{\ell m} v^i_{(s)}) - W^i_{sjk} (\delta H^s_{h\ell m} - N_{\ell m} v^s_{(h)}) - W^i_{hsk} (\delta H^s_{j\ell m} - N_{\ell m} v^s_{(j)}) - W^i_{hjs} (\delta H^s_{k\ell m} - N_{\ell m} v^s_{(k)})$$
(2.12)

Thus, for  $L_v W_{hjk}^i = 0$ , the above equation yields [6]:

$$\delta H^i_{s\ell m} = N_{\ell m} v^i_{(s)} \tag{2.13}$$

where  $v^i$  does not mean a parallel vector.

We define

**Definition 2.1.** A *S*-*WR Fn* space satisfying  $\lambda_m v^m \neq \text{const.}$  is called a special one of the first kind.

Next, let us go back to the case,  $\lambda_m v^m = \text{const.}$  of the foregoing Lemma (2.1). Then, (2.7) is replaced by

$$-\dot{\partial}_{r}W^{i}_{hjk}H^{r}_{s\ell m}\dot{x}^{s} + W^{s}_{hjk}H^{i}_{s\ell m} - W^{i}_{sjk}H^{s}_{h\ell m} - W^{i}_{hsk}H^{s}_{j\ell m} - W^{i}_{hjs}H^{s}_{k\ell m} = 0 \qquad (2.14)$$

Transvecting it by  $q^{lm}$  and remembering the equation (2.9) we get

$$-\dot{\partial}_{r}W^{i}_{hjk}v^{r}_{(s)}\dot{x}^{s} + W^{s}_{hjk}v^{i}_{(s)} - W^{i}_{sjk}v^{s}_{(h)} - W^{i}_{hsk}v^{s}_{(j)} - W^{i}_{hjs}v^{s}_{(k)} = 0$$
(2.15)

Substituting the above equation into the right hand side of (2.5), we obtain

$$L_v W^i_{hjk} = \delta W^i_{hjk} \tag{2.16}$$

Therefore, when the arbitrary constant  $\delta$  vanishes, we have

$$L_v W^i_{hjk} = 0 \tag{2.17}$$

We put the

**Definition.** An *S*-*WR Fn* space is called a special one of the second kind when  $\lambda_m v^m = \text{const.}$  holds good.

Then, summarizing the above results, we have the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.1.** In a special S-WR Fn space of the first kind, if the space has the resolved curvature  $H_{hjk}^i$  of the form (2.13),  $L_v W_{hjk}^i = 0$  holds good.

**Theorem 2.2.** In a special S-WR Fn space of the second kind, if the arbitrary constant  $\lambda_m v^m$  vanishes, we have  $L_v W^i_{hjk} = 0$ . From the last theorem, if  $\lambda_m = 0$ , then with the help of the equation (1.9), we have

$$W^i_{hik(r)} = 0 \tag{2.18}$$

Thus, we have

**Corollary 2.1.** In a symmetric Finsler space,  $L_v W_{hjk}^i = 0$ , is satisfied identically.

## 3. Complete Condition

In this section we shall find the necessary and sufficient condition for (2.13). From the assumption (1.21), we have

$$L_v \lambda_m = \lambda_{m(s)} v^s + (\lambda_m v^s)_{(m)} - \lambda_{s(m)} v^s = 0$$
(3.1)

By virtue of (2.1) and (2.8), the last equation reduces to

$$\delta_{(m)} + N_{ms}v^s = 0 \tag{3.2}$$

In view of the equation (1.12), the Lie-derivative of  $N_{lm}(x)$  is given by

$$L_v N_{\ell m} = N_{\ell m(s)} v^s + N_{sm} v^s_{(\ell)} + N_{\ell s} v^s_{(m)}$$
(3.3)

Remembering the commutation formula (1.16), we have

$$L_v(\lambda_{m(s)} - (L_v\lambda_m)_{(s)}) = -\lambda_r L_v G_{ms}^r$$
(3.4)

With the help of the equation (1.2c), (1.21) and (2.8), the above relation reduces to

$$L_v N_{sm} = 0. ag{3.5}$$

Differentiating (2.7), convariantly with respect to  $x^n$  and using the equations (1.3), (1.9), (2.7) and (2.8), we obtain

$$N_{\ell m(n)}W^{i}_{hjk} = \lambda_{n}W^{i}_{hjk}N_{\ell m} + H^{r}_{a\ell m}\dot{x}^{a}(W^{s}_{hjk}G^{i}_{srn} - W^{i}_{sjk}G^{s}_{hrn} - W^{i}_{hsk}G^{s}_{jrn} - W^{i}_{hjs}G^{s}_{krn})$$
(3.6)

Transvecting it by  $\dot{x}^n$  and noting the equations (1.2b), we get after a little simplification:

$$N_{\ell m(n)} = \lambda_n N_{\ell m} \tag{3.7}$$

Thus, by virtue of the equations (3.3), (3.5) and (3.7), we get

$$\delta N_{\ell m} + N_{sm} v^s_{(\ell)} + N_{\ell s} v^s_{(m)} = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

Next, from the equation (3.2), we have

$$\delta_{(m)(n)} - \delta_{(n)(m)} = -(N_{ms}v^s)_{(n)} + (N_{ns}v^s)_{(m)}$$
(3.9)

being  $\delta$  a non-constant scalar function, the above equation reduces to

$$N_{ms}v^s_{(n)} - N_{sn}v^s_{(m)} = -\lambda_n N_{ms}v^s + \lambda_m N_{ns}v^s$$
(3.10)

where, we have used (3.7) and  $N_{ms} = -N_{sm}$ . Substituting the last equation into the left hand side of (3.8), we get

$$\delta N_{mn} = -\lambda_n \delta_{(m)} + \lambda_m \delta_{(n)} \tag{3.11}$$

In an affinely connected space the identify (1.8) reduces to

$$W^{i}_{hjk(\ell)} + W^{i}_{hk\ell(j)} + W^{i}_{h\ell j(k)} = 0$$
(3.12)

which in view of the definition (1.9) reduces to

$$\delta W^i_{hjk} = \lambda_k W^i_{hj\ell} v^\ell - \lambda_j W^i_{hks} v^s \tag{3.13}$$

Where, we have used (2.1) and  $W_{hjk}^i = -W_{hkj}^i$ . Hence, from (3.11) and (3.13), we can make the following identity:

$$\delta(\delta W^{i}_{hjk} - N_{jk}v^{s}_{(h)}) = \lambda_{k}(\delta W^{i}_{hjs}v^{s} + \delta_{(j)}v^{i}_{(h)}) - \lambda_{j}(\delta W^{i}_{hks}v^{s} + \delta_{(k)}v^{i}_{(h)})$$
(3.14)

Consequently (2.13) follows when and only when, we have

$$\delta w^i_{hjs} v^s + \delta_{(j)} v^i_{(h)} = \lambda_j Q^i_h \tag{3.15}$$

where  $Q_h^i$  means a suitable tensor. Transvecting the above equation by  $v^j$  and summing over j by virtue of  $W_{hjk}^i v^j v^k = 0$  and  $\delta_{(j)} v^j = 0$  derived from (3.2), we get

$$\delta Q_h^i = 0 \tag{3.16}$$

where we have used (2.1). Since  $\delta \neq 0$ , therefore, the last relation yields  $Q_h^i = 0$ . Thus, from (3.15), we have

$$W^{i}_{hjs}v^{s} + \delta_{j}v^{i}_{(h)} = 0, \qquad (\delta_{j} \equiv \delta_{(j)}/\delta)$$
(3.17)

In this way, we have the

**Theorem 3.1.** In order that we have (2.13), (3.17) is necessary and sufficient.

Now the equation (3.17) suggests the concrete form of the tensor  $q^{lm}$  used in the first half of §2. In fact if  $\delta_m \neq 0$  there exists a suitable vector  $\rho^m$  such that

$$\delta_m \rho^m = 1 \tag{3.18}$$

Then transvecting (3.17) by  $\rho^{j}$  and noting the above relation, we get

$$v_{(h)}^i = \delta W_{hsj}^i v^s \rho^j \tag{3.19}$$

If, we introduce

$$q^{lm} = v^l \rho^m \tag{3.20}$$

then  $N_{lm}q^{lm} = N_{lm}v^l\rho^m = \delta_{(m)}\rho^m = \delta \cdot \delta_m\rho^m = \delta$  That is from (3.17) and (2.13), we have

$$\delta = N_{lm} q^{lm} \tag{3.21}$$

straightway. Therefore, we can take (3.20) concretely. Hence in order to have the concrete form of  $q^{lm}$ , (3.17) should be taken as a basic condition in our theory. If this is done, we are able to have (2.13) always, so  $L_v W_{hjk}^i = 0$  holds good. Thus, we have

**Theorem 3.2.** If we introduce  $v_{(h)}^i$  by (3.17),  $L_v w_{hjk}^i = 0$  is satisfied identically.

### References

- [1] H. Rund, The differential geometry of Finsler Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1959.
- [2] K. Yano, The theory of Lie-derivatives and its applications P. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1957.
- [3] Y. C. Wong, A class of non-Riemannian K\*-space, Proc. of the London Math. Soc., 3(1958), 118-128.
- [4] M. S. Knebelman, On the equations of motions in a Riemann space, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 51(1945), 682-685.
- [5] W. Slebodzinski, Sur les transformations isomorphiques dune varie'te a' connexion affine, Prace Mat. Fiz., 39(1932), 55-62.
- [6] K. Takano, On the existence of affine motion in a space with recurrent curvature tensor, Tensor, N. S., 10(1966), 68-73.

Department of Mathematics, DDU Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur-273009, India.