## TAMKANG JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Volume 30, Number 1, Spring 1999

## COINCIDENCE THEOREMS IN COMPLETE METRIC SPACES

## Y. J. CHO, N. J. HUANG AND L. XIANG

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to introduce new classes of generalized contractive type set-valued mappings and weakly dissipative mappings and to prove some coincidence theorems for these mappings by using the concept of  $\omega$ -distances.

#### 1. Introduction

Recently, in [6] and [8], Kada-Suzuki-Takahashi introduced the new concept of  $\omega$ distances in metric spaces and improved Caristi's fixed point theorem, Ekeland's  $\varepsilon$ variational principle and the nonconvex minimization theorem in metric spaces.

On the other hand, in [5], Husain-Latif introduced a class of generalized contractive type set-valued mappings in metric spaces and showed the existence of fixed points for these mappings ([3], [4], [9]).

In this paper, we introduce new classes of generalized contractive type set-valued mappings and weakly dissipative mappings in metric spaces and prove some coincidence theorems for these mappings by using the concept of  $\omega$ -distances. Our main results extend, generalize and improve the results of Caristi ([1]), Nadler ([17]), Kada-Suzuki-Takahashi ([6]) and others ([2]-[5], [9]).

#### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, let N and R denote the sets of positive integers and real numbers, respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** ([6]) Let (X, d) be a metric space. A function  $p: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  is called a  $\omega$ -distance on X if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1)  $p(x,z) \le p(x,y) + p(y,z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

(2) for any  $x \in X$ ,  $p(x, \cdot) : X \to [0, \infty)$  is lower semicontinuous,

Received April 19, 1996.

Key words and phrases.  $\omega$ -distance, coincidence point, contractive type set-valued mapping, weakly dissipative mapping.

The first author was supported in part by the Academic Research Fund of Ministry of Education, Korea, 1997, Project No. BSRI-97-1405.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10, 54H25, 54C60

(3) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that  $p(z, x) \leq \delta$  and  $p(z, y) \leq \delta$  imple  $d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$ .

Some examples of  $\omega$ -distances are given as follows:

**Example.** ([6]) (1) If X is a metric space with the metric d, then p = d is a  $\omega$ -distance on X.

(2) If X is a normed linear space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , then a function  $p: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  defined by  $p(x, y) = \|x\| + \|y\|$  for all  $x, y \in X$  is a  $\omega$ -distance on X.

(3) Let (X, d) be a metric space. If T is a continuous mapping from X into itself, then a function  $p: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  defined by  $p(x, y) = \max\{d(Tx, y), D(Tx, Ty)\}$  for all,  $x, y \in X$  is a  $\omega$ -distance on X.

(4) Let (X, d) be a metric space and F be a bounded and closed subset of X. If F contains at least two points and C is a constant with  $C \ge diam F$ , where diam F denotes the diameter of F, then a function  $p: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$p(x,y) = \begin{cases} d(x,y) \text{ for } x, y \in F, \\ C & \text{ for } x \notin F \text{ or } y \notin F \end{cases}$$

is a  $\omega$ -distance on X.

We need the following lemma for our main theorems:

**Lemma 2.1.** ([6]) Let (X, d) be a metric space, p be a  $\omega$ -distance and  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$  be sequences in X. Let  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{\beta_n\}$  be sequences in  $[0, \infty)$  converging to 0 and let  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then we have the following:

- (1) If  $p(x_n, y) \leq a_n$  and  $p(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$  for any  $n \in N$ , then y = z. In particular, if p(x, y) = 0 and p(x, z) = 0, then y = z.
- (2) If  $p(x_n, y_n) \leq a_n$  and  $p(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$  for any  $n \in N$ , then  $\{y_n\}$  converges to z.
- (3) If  $p(x_n, x_m) \leq a_n$  for any,  $n, m \in N$  with m > n, then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X.
- (4) If  $p(y, x_n) \leq a_n$  for any  $n \in N$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Let  $2^X$  denote the family of all nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d).

**Definition 2.2.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and M be a nonempty subset of X. Let p be a  $\omega$ -distance on X and f be a function from M into X.  $J: M \to 2^X$  is said to be a weakly f-contractive type set-valued mapping if, for all  $x \in M$  and  $u_x \in Jx$ , there exists  $v_y \in Jy$  for all  $y \in M$  such that

$$p(u_x, v_y) \le hp(fx, fy)$$

for some  $h \in [0, 1)$ .

2

**Definition 2.3.** ([16]) Let (X, d) be a metric space and p be a  $\omega$ -distance on X. A set-valued mapping  $J: X \to 2^X$  is said to be weakly contractive (or *p*-contractive) if, for any  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $y_1 \in Jx_1$ , there exists  $y_2 \in Jx_2$  such that

$$p(y_1, y_2) \le rp(x_1, x_2)$$

for some  $r \in [0, 1)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** ([5]) Let (X, d) be a metric space and M be a nonempty subset of X.  $J: M \to 2^X$  is called a contractive type set-valued mapping if, for all  $x \in M$  and  $u_x \in Jx$ , there exists  $v_y \in Jy$  for all  $y \in M$  such that

$$d(u_x, v_y) \le h d(x, y)$$

for some  $h \in [0, 1)$ .

**Remark.** It is obvious that a weakly f-contractive type set-valued mapping is more generalized than the weakly contractive type and contractive type set-valued mappings.

Let C(X) denote the family of all nonempty closed subsets of a metric space (X, d)and A be a set-valued mapping from X into C(X).

**Definition 2.5.** Let p be a  $\omega$ -distance on X and  $f: X \to X$  be a mapping. A function  $\phi: X \to [0, +\infty)$  is called a (f, p)-weak entropy of a set-valued mapping  $A: X \to C(X)$  if, for all  $x \in X$ , there exists  $y \in Ax$  such that

$$p(fx, fy) \le \phi(x) - \phi(y). \tag{2.1}$$

**Definition 2.6.** A set-valued mapping  $A : X \to C(X)$  is said to be (f, p)-weakly dissipative if there exists a (f, p)-weak entropy of A.

**Definition 2.7.** A set-valued mapping  $A: X \to C(X)$  is said to be upper semicontinuous if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} H_+(Ax_n, Ax) = 0$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x \in X$ , where

$$H_+(S,T) = \sup_{y \in Tx \in S} \inf d(x,y)$$

for all  $S, T \in C(X)$ .

#### 3. Main results

Now, we are ready to give our main theorems.

# Y. J. CHO, N. J. HUANG AND L. XIANG

**Theorem 3.1.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, M be a nonempty closed subset of X and p be a  $\omega$ -distance on X. Let  $f : M \to M$  be a function and  $J : M \to 2^M$  be a weakly f-contractive type set-valued mapping with closed values. If f(M) = M, then there exists a point  $z \in M$  such that  $fz \in Jz$ .

**Proof.** Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary but fixed element of M and choose  $y_1 \in J(x_0)$ . Since f(M) = M, we can choose  $x_1 \in M$  such that  $y_1 = fx_1$ . Moreover, since J is weakly f-contractive type, there exists  $y_2 \in Jx_1$  such that, for some  $h \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$p(y_1, y_2) \le hp(fx_0, fx_1).$$

Since f(M) = M, we can choose again  $x_2 \in M$  such that  $fx_2 = y_2$ . Inductively, we can obtain a sequence  $\{fx_n\}$  in M = f(M) such that

$$\begin{cases} fx_{n+1} \in Jx_n, \\ p(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \le hp(fx_{n-1}, fx_n) \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  Thus we have

$$p(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \le hp(fx_{n-1}, fx_n)$$

$$\le \cdots$$

$$< h^n p(fx_0, fx_1).$$
(3.2)

Since  $h \in [0, 1)$ , it follows from (3.2) and Lemma 2.1 that  $\{fx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in M = f(M). Hence, by the completeness of M,  $\{fx_n\}$  converges to a point  $u \in M$ . Since f(M) = M, there exists  $z \in M$  such that fz = u.

Furthermore, since  $Fx_{n+1} \in Jx_n$  for n = 0, 1, 2, ..., J is weakly *f*-contractive type and f(M) = M, we can choose  $fv_n \in Jz$  such that

$$p(fx_{n+1}, fv_n) \le hp(fx_n, fz). \tag{3.3}$$

Since  $fx_n \to fz = u$  as  $n \to \infty$ , by the lower semicontinuity of p, we have

$$p(fx_n, fz) \le \lim_{m \to \infty} p(fx_n, fx_m)$$

$$\le \lim_{m \to \infty} h^{m-n} p(fx_0, fx_1)$$
(3.4)

for all  $m, n \in N$  with m > n. Therefore, from (3.3), (3.4) and lemma 2.1, it follows that  $fv_n \to fz$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since Jz is closed,  $fz \in Jz$ . This completes the proof.

**Remark.** If  $f = I_X$  (: the identity mapping on X), then we obtain Theorem 2 in [6]. Further, if  $f = I_X$  and p = d, then we have Theorem 2.3 in [2] as a corollary.

Next, we need the following lemma for a generalization of Caristi's fixed point theorem. The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of Lemma 1 in [6]:

4

**Lemma 3.2.** Let (X, d) be a metric space and p be a  $\omega$ -distance on X. If  $p(x_n, x_m) \leq a_{n,m}$  for any  $n, m \in N$  with m > n and  $a_{n,m} \geq 0$  with  $a_{n,m} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X.

**Proof.** Note that, for sufficiently large  $m, n \in N$  with  $m > n, a_{m,n} \to 0^+$ . Let  $\varepsilon \ge 0$ . By the definition of  $\omega$ -distance, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $p(u, v) \le \delta$  and  $p(u, z) \le \delta$ imply  $d(v, z) \le \varepsilon$ . Thus, since  $a_{m,n} \to 0^+$  as  $n, m \to \infty$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $a_{n_0,m} < \delta$  for  $n, m \ge n_0$ , which implies that  $p(x_{n_0}, x_m) < \delta$  and  $p(x_{n_0}, x_n) < \delta$ . Therefore,  $d(x_n, x_m) \le \varepsilon$ , i.e.,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and p be a  $\omega$ -distance on X. If a function  $f : X \to X$  is surjective and a set-valued mapping  $A : X \to C(X)$  is (f, p)-weakly dissipative and upper semicontinuous, then there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $fz \in Az$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\phi$  be a (f, p)-weak entropy of a set-valued mapping  $A : X \to C(X)$ . By (2.1), we can construct a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that

$$\begin{cases} fx_{n+1} \in Ax_n, \\ p(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) \le \phi(x_n) - \phi(x_{n+1}) \end{cases}$$
(3.5)

for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  From (3.5), it follows that  $\phi(x_n) \ge 0$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  and  $\{\phi(x_n)\}$  is nonincreasing.

Suppose that  $\phi(x_n) \to a \in [0, \infty)$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then, since, for any  $i, j \in N$  with i < j, we have

$$p(fx_{i}, fx_{j}) \leq \sum_{n=i}^{j-1} p(fx_{n}, fx_{n+1})$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=i}^{j-1} (\phi(x_{n}) - \phi(x_{n+1}))$$

$$= \phi(x_{i}) - \phi(x_{j}),$$
(3.6)

from Lemma 3.2, it follows that  $\{fx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since (X, d) is complete,  $\{fx_n\}$  converges to a point  $u \in X$ . since f is surjective, there exists  $z \in X$  such that fz = u. Furthermore, since  $fx_{n+1} \in Ax_n$ , we have

$$d(fx_{n+1}, Az) \le H_+(Az, Ax_n). \tag{3.7}$$

Thus, since A is upper semicontinuous,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} H_+(Az, Ax_n) = 0$ . On the other hand, we have

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(fx_{n+1}, Az) = d(fz, Az).$ 

Therefore, from (3.7), it follows that d(fz, Az) = 0 and so, since Az is closed,  $fz \in Az$ . This completes the proof. **Remark.** From Theorem 3.3, we have Caristi's fixed point theorem [1] as a corollary.

The following example illustrates our theorem:

**Example.** Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and define  $d, p : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  as follows, respectively:

$$d(1,1) = d(2,2) = d(3,3) = d(4,4) = 0,$$
  

$$d(1,2) = d(2,1) = 1, d(1,3) = d(3,1) = 2,$$
  

$$d(1,4) = d(4,1) = 3, d(2,3) = d(3,2) = 2,$$
  

$$d(1,1) = d(4,2) = 3, d(3,4) = d(4,3) = 1$$

and

$$p(1,1) = 1, p(1,2) = 1, p(1,3) = 2, p(1,4) = 3,$$
  

$$p(2,1) = 2, p(2,2) = 1, p(2,3) = 3, p(2,4) = 2,$$
  

$$p(3,1) = 2, p(3,2) = 1, p(3,3) = 2, p(3,4) = 1,$$
  

$$p(4,1) = 1, p(4,2) = 2, p(4,3) = 2, p(4,4) = 2.$$

Clearly d is a metric and p is a  $\omega$ -distance on X, respectively. Define  $f: X \to X$  by

$$f(1) = 2, f(2) = 3, f(3) = 1, f(4) = 4$$

and  $\phi: X \to [0, +\infty)$  by

$$\phi(1) = 8, \phi(2) = 4, \phi(3) = 7, \phi(4) = 6.$$

Next, define  $A: X \to C(X)$  by

$$A(1) = \{2,3\}, A(2) = \{3,4\}, A(3) = \{1,2\}, A(4) = \{2,4\}.$$

By routine computation, it is easy to check that all the conditions of Theorem 3.3 are satisfied. Moreover, for all  $z \in X$ , we have  $fz \in Az$ .

### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their deep thanks to the referee for his helpful suggestions.

## References

- J. Caristi, Fixed Point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 215(1976), 241-251.
- [2] S. S. Chang, N. J. Huang and Y. J. Cho, Coincidence and fixed point theorems, Bull. Honam Math. Soc. 12(1995), 153-161.

- [3] T. Husain and E. Tarafdar, Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings of nonexpansive type, Yokohama Math. J. 28 (1980), 1-6.
- [4] T. Husain and A. Latif, Fixed points of multivalued nonexpansive maps, Math. Japon. 33(1988), 285-391.
- [5] T. Husain and A. Latif, Fixed points of multivalued maps, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 14 (1991), 421-430.
- [6] O. Kada, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, Math. Japon. 44(1996), 381-391.
- [7] S. B. Nadler, Jr., Multivalued contraction mappings, Pacific J. Math. 30(1969), 475-488.
- [8] T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems and characterizations of metric completeness, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis 8(1996), 371-382.
- [9] H. K. Xu, On weakly nonexpansive and \*-nonexpansive multivalued mappings, Math. Japon. 36(1991), 441-445.

Department of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610064, People's Republic of China