

## EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF SEMILINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH NONLOCAL CONDITIONS IN BANACH SPACES

K. BALACHANDRAN AND M. CHANDRASEKARAN

**Abstract.** The aim of this paper is to prove the existence and uniqueness of local, strong and global solutions of a nonlocal Cauchy problem for a differential equation. The method of analytic semigroups and the contraction mapping principle are used to establish the results.

### 1. Introduction

The problem of existence of solutions of evolution equation with nonlocal conditions in Banach space has been studied first by Byszewski [5]. In that paper he has established the existence and uniqueness of mild, strong and classical solutions of the following nonlocal Cauchy problem:

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} + Au(t) = f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in (t_0, t_0 + a] \quad (1)$$

$$u(t_0) + g(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p, u(\cdot)) = u_0 \quad (2)$$

where  $-A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a  $C_0$  semigroup  $T(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , in a Banach space  $X$ ,  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_p \leq t_0 + a$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $u_0 \in X$  and  $f : [t_0, t_0 + a] \times X \rightarrow X$ ,  $g : [t_0, t_0 + a]^p \times X \rightarrow X$  are given functions. Subsequently he has investigated the same type of problem to a different class of evolution equations in Banach spaces [3-7]. Here the symbol  $g(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(\cdot))$  is used in the sense that in the place of ' $\cdot$ ' we can substitute only elements of the set  $\{t_1, \dots, t_p\}$ .

The purpose of this paper is to prove the existence and uniqueness of local, strong and global solutions for a semilinear differential equation with nonlocal conditions of the form:

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} + Au(t) = f(u(t)), \quad t \in (0, b] \quad (3)$$

$$u(0) + g(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) = u_0 \quad (4)$$

where  $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_p \leq b$ . For example,

$$g(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) = c_1 u(t_1) + \dots + c_p u(t_p)$$

Received April 9, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34G20, 47H15.

*Key words and phrases.* Existence of solution, semilinear equation, nonlocal conditions.

where  $c_i (i = 1, \dots, p)$  are constants. In this case, equation (4) allows the measurements at  $t = 0, t_1, \dots, t_p$  rather than just at  $t = 0$ .

Here we assume that  $-A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a bounded analytic semigroup of linear operator  $T(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , in a Banach space  $X$ . The operator  $A^\alpha$  can be defined for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $A^\alpha$  is a closed linear invertible operator with domain  $D(A^\alpha)$  dense in  $X$ . The closedness of  $A^\alpha$  implies that  $D(A^\alpha)$  endowed with the graph norm of  $A^\alpha$ , that is the norm  $\|x\| = \|x\| + \|A^\alpha x\|$ , is a Banach space. Since  $A^\alpha$  is invertible its graph norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is equivalent to the norm  $\|x\|_\alpha = \|A^\alpha x\|$ . Thus,  $D(A^\alpha)$  equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ , is a Banach space which we denote by  $X_\alpha$ . From the definition it is clear that  $0 < \alpha < \beta$  implies  $X_\alpha \supset X_\beta$  and that the imbedding of  $X_\beta$  in  $X_\alpha$  is continuous. Throughout the paper we shall use the symbol  $J = [0, b]$ . The nonlinear operators  $f : X_\alpha \rightarrow X$ ,  $g(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) : J^p \times X_\alpha^p \rightarrow Y$  are given functions.

The motivation for an abstract theory such as this comes from the following partial differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} v_t(x, t) - v_{xx}(x, t) &= \sigma(v(x, t))_x, & 0 < x < 1 \\ v(0, t) = v(1, t) &= 0 & t > 0 \\ v(x, 0) &= v(x, 1) + s(x) & 0 < x < 1 \end{aligned}$$

It is not true in general that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} = A^{1/2}$ , however [13] there exist a bounded linear operator  $B$  from  $X$  into itself such that  $A^{1/2}B = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ . Letting  $G = B\sigma$  we can fit the above equations into the abstract theory developed in this paper.

The abstract theory one can find in the books [8, 9, 12] handles partial differential equations of the above forms, however the theory illustrated in these works does not distinguish between the problems of the form

$$v_t(x, t) - v_{xx}(x, t) = v(x, t)|v(x, t)|^{\beta-1}$$

with nonlocal conditions and the above equations but with right hand side  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[v(x, t)|v(x, t)|^{\beta-1}]$

As in [1-3, 7, 8, 10, 11] the nonlocal condition (4) can be applied in physics with better effect than the classical condition  $u(0) = u_0$  since condition (4) is usually more precise for physical measurements than the classical condition.

## 2. Preliminaries

It is known that equations (3) – (4) are related to the integral equation

$$u(t) = T(t)u_0 - T(t)g(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) + \int_0^t T(t-s)f(u(s))ds, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

where  $T(t)$  is the semigroup of operators generated by  $-A$ . The solution  $u(t)$  of equation (5) is called a mild solution of equations (3) – (4) and is not necessarily a solution of equations (3) – (4).



**Definition 1.** A function  $u(t)$  is a mild solution of equations (3) – (4) on  $[0, b]$  if  $u \in C([0, b]; X_\alpha)$ ,  $u(0) = u_0 - g$ , and  $u(t)$  satisfies the integral equation (5) on  $[0, b]$ .

**Definition 2.** A function  $u(t)$  is a strong solution of equations (3) – (4) if  $u \in C([0, b]; X_\alpha) \cap C^1([0, b]; X)$ ,  $u(0) = u_0 - g$ , and  $u(t)$  satisfies (3) – (4) on  $[0, b]$ .

### 3. Existence of Solutions

We shall make the following assumptions on the operator  $A$  and the nonlinear operators  $f$  and  $g$ :

- (i)  $-A$  is the infinitesimal generator of a bounded analytic semigroup of linear operator  $T(t)$ ,  $t > 0$ , in  $X$ .
- (ii) There exist real constants  $M$  and  $\delta$  such that  $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\delta t}$  for  $t > 0$ .
- (iii) For  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , the fractional power  $A^\alpha$  satisfies  $\|A^\alpha T(t)\| \leq C_\alpha t^{-\alpha}$  for  $t > 0$  where  $C_\alpha$  is a real constant.

We shall assume  $X_\alpha \subseteq Y \subseteq X$  so that  $T(t) : X \rightarrow Y$  for all  $t > 0$  is a bounded linear operator and

- (iv)  $A^\beta T(t) : X \rightarrow Y$  for  $t > 0$  and  $\|A^\beta T(t)\| \in L^1(0, r)$  for  $\beta \in [\alpha, \alpha + d]$  for some  $d > 0$  and every  $r > 0$ .
- (v) The function  $f$  maps  $X_\alpha$  into  $X$ , and satisfies:  
there exists  $G : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\|G(u) - G(v)\| \leq K\|u - v\|$  where  $K$  is a constant,  $G : X_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$  and for each  $u(0) \in X_\alpha$ ,  $f(u(0)) = A^\alpha G(u(0))$
- (vi) The function  $g(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))$  maps  $J^p \times X_\alpha^p$  into  $Y$  and satisfies:  
there exist  $h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) : J^p \times X^p \rightarrow X$  and a constant  $K_1 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) - h(t_1, \dots, t_p, v(t_1), \dots, v(t_p))\| \leq K_1\|u - v\|, \\ & h : J^p \times X_\alpha^p \rightarrow X_\alpha \text{ and } g = A^\alpha h. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.** *If the assumptions (i) to (vi) hold, then for each  $u(0) \in Y$  there exists a  $b > 0$  and a unique continuous function  $u : [0, b] \rightarrow Y$  such that*

$$u(t) = T(t)u_0 - T(t)A^\alpha h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) + \int_0^t A^\alpha T(t-s)G(u(s))ds, \quad t \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

**Proof.** Define the set  $S = \{u : [0, t] \rightarrow Y : u(t) \text{ and } g \in Y \text{ are continuous, } u(0) = u_0 - g \text{ and } \|u(t) - u(0)\| \leq R\}$ . Choose ‘ $b$ ’ such that for

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(T(t) - I)(\|u_0\| + \|g(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))\|) \\ & + Cb^{(1-\alpha)}/(1-\alpha)\{\|G(u(0))\| + KR\} \leq R \\ \text{and} \quad & \{\|A^\alpha T(t)\|K_1 + Kb^{(1-\alpha)}/(1-\alpha)\} < 1 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, define the mapping  $P$  on  $S$  by

$$(Pu)(t) = T(t)u_0 - T(t)g(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) + \int_0^t A^\alpha T(t-s)G(u(s))ds, \quad t \geq 0$$

First note that for  $u \in S$ ,  $P$  is well defined since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|A^\alpha T(t-s)G(u(s))\|ds &\leq \left\{ \int_0^t \|A^\alpha T(t-s)\|ds \right\} \cdot \{\|G(u(0)) + G(u(t)) - G(u(0))\|\} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_0^t \|A^\alpha T(t-s)\|ds \right\} \cdot \{\|G(u(0))\| + KR\} \end{aligned}$$

For  $u \in S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Pu)(t) - u(0)\| &= \|T(t)u_0 - T(t)g(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t A^\alpha T(t-s)G(u(s))ds - (u_0 - g)\| \\ &\leq \|(T(t) - I)\|(\|u_0\| + \|g(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))\|) \\ &\quad + Cb^{(1-\alpha)}/(1-\alpha)\{\|G(u(0))\| + KR\} \\ &\leq R \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $P(S) \subseteq S$ . Therefore,  $P$  maps  $S$  into itself. Let  $u, v \in S$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(Pu)(t) - (Pv)(t)\| \\ &\leq \|T(t)A^\alpha(h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) - h(t_1, \dots, t_p, v(t_1), \dots, v(t_p)))\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^t A^\alpha T(t-s)[G(u(s)) - G(v(s))]ds \right\| \\ &\leq \|A^\alpha T(t)\|K_1\|u - v\| + \left\{ K \int_0^t \|A^\alpha T(s)\|ds \right\}\|u - v\| \\ &\leq \{\|A^\alpha T(t)\|K_1 + K \int_0^t \|A^\alpha T(s)ds\|\}\|u - v\| \\ &\leq \{\|A^\alpha T(t)\|K_1 + Kb^{1-\alpha}/1-\alpha\}\|u - v\| \end{aligned}$$

By the contraction mapping theorem  $P$  has a unique fixed point  $u \in S$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let assumptions (i) – (vi) be satisfied, then all  $\theta > 0$  and  $t, t+h \in [\theta, a]$  there exist  $\sigma$  such that  $\|u(t+h) - u(t)\| \leq C(\theta)h^\sigma$ ,  $0 < \sigma < 1$ .*

**Proof.** Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t+h) - u(t)\| &\leq \|(T(h) - I)T(t)u_0\| + \|(T(h) - I)A^\alpha T(t)h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^t A^\alpha \{(T(h) - I)T(t-s)\}G(u(s))ds \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left\| \int_t^{t+h} A^\alpha T(t+h-s)G(u(s))ds \right\| \\
& \leq \|A^\alpha T(t)(T(h) - I)A^{-\alpha}u_0\| \\
& \quad + \|A^\alpha T(t)(T(h) - I)h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))\| \\
& \quad + \left\| (T(h) - I) \int_0^t A^\alpha T(t-s)G(u(s))ds \right\| \\
& \quad + \left\| \int_t^{t+h} A^\alpha T(t+h-s)G(u(s))ds \right\| \\
& \leq C\theta^{-\tau} \|(T(h) - I)A^{-\alpha}u_0\| \\
& \quad + C\theta^{-\tau} \|T(h) - I\| A^{-\alpha} A^\alpha T(t)h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))\| \\
& \quad + \left\| \int_0^t A^{\alpha+\epsilon} T(t-s)(T(h) - I)A^{-\epsilon}G(u(s))ds \right\| \\
& \quad + \int_t^{t+h} A^\alpha T(t+h-s)ds \cdot \{\|G(u(0))\| + KR\} \\
& \leq C\theta^{-\tau} \|u_0\| h^\alpha + C\theta^{-\tau} \|A^\alpha T(t)h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))\| h^\alpha \\
& \quad + [C(\|G(u(0))\| + KR) \int_0^t \|A^{\alpha+\epsilon} T(s)\| ds] h^\epsilon + C\{\|G(u(0))\| + KR\} h^{1-\tau}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\sigma = \min\{\alpha, 1 - \tau, \epsilon\}$ , where  $0 < \epsilon < \sigma$ , hence the Lemma.

This establishes that a solution of equation (6) is locally Holder continuous on  $(0, b]$ . If the solution  $u(t)$  of equation (6) is in  $X_\alpha$  and if it is also Holder continuous in the  $X_\alpha$  norm we can show that  $u(t)$  is a solution of (3) – (4) if  $f$  and  $g$  are locally Lipschitz continuous from  $X_\alpha$  into  $X$  and  $J^p \times X_\alpha^p$  into  $Y$  respectively.

**Lemma 2.** *Let assumptions of Lemma 1 be hold. Then the solution  $u(t)$  of equation (6) is in  $X_{1-\alpha}$  for  $t \in (0, b]$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mu > 0$ , then the solution  $u(t)$  of equation (6) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) &= T(t - \mu)u_0 - T(t - \mu)A^\alpha h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) \\
& \quad + \int_\mu^t A^\alpha T(t-s)G(u(s))ds
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) &= T(t - \mu)u_0 - T(t - \mu)A^\alpha h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) \\
& \quad + \int_\mu^t A^\alpha T(t-s)G(u(t))ds + \int_\mu^t A^\alpha T(t-s)[G(u(s)) - G(u(t))]ds
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $T(t - \mu)u_0, T(t - \mu)A^\alpha h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) \in D(A)$  for all  $t > \mu$  and  $\int_\mu^t A^\alpha T(t-s)G(u(t))ds = A^{\alpha-1}[G(u(t)) - T(t - \mu)G(u(t))]$  for all  $t \geq \mu$ . We have only



to show that

$$\int_{\mu}^t A^{\alpha} T(t-s)[G(u(s)) - G(u(t))] ds$$

is in  $X_{1-\alpha}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{1-\alpha} \int_{\mu}^t A^{\alpha} T(t-s)[G(u(s)) - G(u(t))] ds\| &= \left\| \int_{\mu}^t AT(t-s)[G(u(s)) - u(t)] ds \right\| \\ &\leq CK \int_{\mu}^t (t-s)^{-1} (t-s)^{\sigma} ds \\ &= CK(t-\mu)^{\sigma} / \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1, the last inequality is true.

**Lemma 3.** *Let the assumptions of the previous Lemma holds and that  $X_{1-\alpha} \subseteq X_{\alpha}$ , the imbedding being continuous, then the solution  $u(t)$  of equation (6) is a mild solution of equations (3) – (4) and is locally Holder continuous into  $X_{\alpha}$ .*

**Proof.** From Lemma 2 and assumption  $X_{1-\alpha} \subseteq X_{\alpha}$  implies that  $u(t) \in X_{\alpha}$  for all  $t > 0$ . Thus for  $\mu > 0$  and  $t > \mu/2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= T(t - \mu/2)u_0 - T(t - \mu/2)g(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mu/2}^t T(t-s)f(u(s))ds \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Since  $u(t)$  is continuous into  $X_{1-\alpha}$  and  $X_{1-\alpha}$  is continuously imbedded into  $X_{\alpha}$ ,  $u(t)$  is continuous into  $X_{\alpha}$ . Now we show that  $u(t)$  is locally Holder continuous into  $X_{\alpha}$ . Let  $\mu > 0$  and  $t+h, t \in [\mu, b]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t+h) - u(t)\| &\leq \|A^{\alpha}(T(h) - I)T(t - \mu/2)u_0\| \\ &\quad + \|A^{\alpha}(T(h) - I)T(t - \mu/2)g(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_{\mu/2}^t A^{\alpha} T(t-s)(T(h) - I)f(u(s))ds \right\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_t^{t+h} A^{\alpha} T(t+h-s)f(u(s))ds \right\| \\ &\leq C\|u_0\|h^{\alpha} + C\|g(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))\|h^{\alpha} \\ &\quad + \left\{ C \int_{\mu/2}^t \|A^{\alpha+\varepsilon} T(t-s)\| ds \right\} \sup \|f(u(s))\| h^{\varepsilon} \\ &\quad + C/(1-\alpha) \sup \|f(u(t))\| h^{1-\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is chosen so that  $\alpha + \varepsilon < 1$ . Thus there exist a  $C > 0$  and a  $0 < \theta < 1$  such that  $\|u(t+h) - u(t)\| \leq Ch^{\theta}$  for  $t, t+h \in [\mu, b]$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If the conditions (i)–(vi) and the assumptions of Lemma 3 are satisfied, and  $f$  and  $g$  are locally Lipschitz from  $X_\alpha$  into  $X$  and  $J^p \times X_\alpha^p$  into  $Y$  respectively, then any solution of equation (6) is also a strong solution of equations (3) – (4).*

**Proof.** Since  $f$  and  $g$  are locally Lipschitz and  $u(t)$  is locally Hölder continuous into  $X_\alpha$ , the functions  $f$  and  $g$  are locally Hölder continuous on  $[\mu, b]$  for any  $\mu > 0$ . Thus the theory of analytic semigroups of linear operators [12] gives the desired result.

**Theorem 3.** *Let the assumptions of Lemma 1 be satisfied, then  $u(t)$  may be extended to a maximum interval of existence  $I = [0, c)$ , where  $c = \max b$ . If  $b < \infty$  then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow c} \int_0^t \|A^\alpha T(t-s)\| \|G(u(s))\| ds = \infty \quad (8)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow c} \|u(t)\| = \infty \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $c < \infty$ . For every  $t \in I$ ,  $u(t)$  satisfies the integral equation (6). Claim  $\lim_{t \rightarrow c} \sup \|u(t)\| \leq C$  for all  $t \in I$  and some  $C > 0$ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\| &\leq \|T(t)\mu_0\| + \|T(t)A^\alpha h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))\| \\ &\quad + \left\{ \int_0^c \|A^\alpha T(t-s)\| ds \right\} \cdot \left\{ \sup_{t \in I} \|G(u(t))\| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

For  $0 < \tau < t < c$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u(t) - u(\tau)\| \\ &\leq \|A^\alpha T(\tau)[T(t-\tau) - I]A^{-\alpha}u_0\| \\ &\quad + \|A^\alpha T(\tau)[T(t-\tau) - I]A^{-\alpha}A^\alpha h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_0^\tau A^{\alpha+\epsilon} T(\tau-s)[T(t-\tau) - I]A^{-\epsilon}G(u(s))\| ds + \left\| \int_\tau^t A^\alpha T(t-s)G(u(s))\| ds \right\| \\ &\leq C\tau^{-\epsilon}\|u_0\|(t-\tau)^\alpha + C\tau^{-\epsilon}\|A^\alpha h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))\|(t-\tau)^\alpha \\ &\quad + C(t-\tau)^\epsilon \left\{ \int_0^\tau \|A^{\alpha+\epsilon} T(s)\| ds \right\} \cdot \left\{ \sup_{t \in I} \|G(u(t))\| \right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \int_\tau^t \|A^\alpha T(s)\| ds \right\} \cdot \left\{ \sup_{t \in I} \|G(u(t))\| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $\|u(t) - u(\tau)\| = 0$  as  $t, \tau \rightarrow c$ , contradicting the maximality of  $c$ .

If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow c} \|u(t)\| \neq \infty$  then there exist numbers  $r > 0$  and  $d > 0$  with  $d$  arbitrarily large and sequences  $\tau_n \rightarrow c$ ,  $t_n \rightarrow c$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and such that  $\tau_n < t_n < c$ ,  $\|u(\tau_n)\| = r$ ,  $\|u(t_n)\| = r + d$  and  $\|u(t)\| \leq r + d$  for  $t \in [\tau_n, t_n]$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t_n) - u(\tau_n)\| &\leq \|[T(t_n - \tau_n) - I]u(\tau_n)\| \\ &\quad + \|A^\alpha [T(t_n - \tau_n) - I]h(t_1, \dots, t_p, u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p))\| \\ &\quad + \left\| \int_{\tau_n}^{t_n} A^\alpha T(t_n - s)G(u(s))\| \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The right hand side of (10) approaches zero as  $\tau_n$  and  $t_n$  approach  $c$  while the left hand side of (10) is bounded below by  $d > 0$ . This contradiction gives the result and (8) follows from (9).

### References

- [1] K. Balachandran and S. Ilamaram, *Existence and uniqueness of mild and strong solutions of a semilinear evolution equation with nonlocal conditions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **25** (1994), 411-418.
- [2] K. Balchandran and M. Chandrasekaran, *Existence of solution of a delay differential equation with nonlocal conditions*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **27** (1996), 443-449.
- [3] L. Byszewski, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlocal problems for hyperbolic equation  $u_{xt} = F(x, t, u, u_x)$* , J. Math. Stoch. Anal., **3** (1990), 163-168.
- [4] L. Byszewski, *Theorem about existence and uniqueness of continuous solution of nonlocal problem for nonlinear hyperbolic equation*, Appl. Anal. **40** (1991), 173-180.
- [5] L. Byszewski, *Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonlocal Cauchy problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **162** (1991), 494-506.
- [6] L. Byszewski, *Existence of approximate solution to abstract nonlocal Cauchy problem*, J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal. **5** (1992), 363-374.
- [7] L. Byszewski, *Uniqueness criterion for solution of abstract nonlocal Cauchy problem*, J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal. **6** (1993), 49-54.
- [8] A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equations*, Renhart and Winston, New York, 1969.
- [9] D. Henry, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equation*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- [10] D. Jackson, *Existence and uniqueness of solutions to semilinear nonlocal parabolic equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **172** (1993), 256-265.
- [11] Y. Lin and J. H. Liu, *Semilinear integrodifferential equations with nonlocal Cauchy problem*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Method and Appl. **26** (1996), 1023-1033.
- [12] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [13] S. M. Rankin III, *Semilinear evolution equations in Banach spaces with application to parabolic partial differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **336** (1993), 523-535.

Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore 641 046, India.