

## A NOTE ON CERTAIN CLASSES OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

H. A. AL-KHARSANI

**Abstract.** The object of the present paper is to derive distortion inequalities for fractional integral operator of functions in the class  $K(n, \alpha, \beta)$  consisting of analytic and univalent functions with negative coefficients.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $A(n)$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0, n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

that are analytic in the unit disk  $U = \{z, |z| < 1\}$ . A function  $f(z) \in A(n)$  is said to be in the class  $K(n, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if there exists a function  $\varphi(z) \in A(n)$  such that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{\varphi(z)} - 1}{\frac{zf'(z)}{\varphi(z)} + (1 - 2\alpha)} \right| < \beta \quad (1.2)$$

for some  $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1), \beta(0 < \beta \leq 1)$  and for all  $z \in U$ . We note that  $K(1, \alpha, \beta) \equiv K(\alpha, \beta)$ , the class  $K(\alpha, \beta)$  was studied by Gupta [1].

A function  $f(z) \in A(n)$  is said to be in the class  $C^*(n, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if there exists a convex function  $\varphi \in A(n)$  such that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{(zf'(z))'}{\varphi'(z)} - 1}{\frac{(zf'(z))'}{\varphi'(z)} + (1 - 2\alpha)} \right| < \beta \quad (1.3)$$

for some  $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1), \beta(0 < \beta \leq 1)$  and for all  $z \in N$ .

It follows immediately that  $f(z) \in C^*(n, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if  $zf' \in K(n, \alpha, \beta)$ .

The following coefficient theorem for the class  $K(\alpha, \beta)$  will be required in our investigation.

---

Received May 28, 1998; revised December 28, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.* Univalent, integral operator, Fractional, convolution.

**Lemma 1.** [1]. If  $f \in K(\alpha, \beta)$  then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \beta)ka_k - (1 - \beta + 2\alpha\beta)b_k \leq 2\beta(1 - \alpha). \tag{1.4}$$

**2. Fractional Integral Operator**

We need the following definition of fractional integral operator given by Srivastave, Saigo and Owa [5]

**Definition.** Let

$$F(a, b; c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k(b)_k}{(c)_k(1)_k} z^k, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$(\nu)_k = \frac{\Gamma(\nu + k)}{\Gamma(\nu)} \begin{cases} 1, & k = 0 \\ \nu(\nu + 1) \cdots (\nu + k - 1), & k \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

For real number  $\rho > 0, \delta, \eta$ , and  $\epsilon > \max\{0, \delta - \eta\} - 1$ , the fractional integral operator  $I_{0,z}^{\rho, \delta, \eta}$  is defined by

$$I_{0,z}^{\rho, \delta, \eta} f(z) = \frac{z^{-\rho-\delta}}{\Gamma(\rho)} \int_0^z (z-t)^{\rho-1} \left(\rho, -\eta; \rho; 1 - \frac{t}{z}\right) f(t) dt. \tag{2.3}$$

where  $f(z)$  is a function analytic in a simply connected region of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin, satisfying

$$f(z) = O(|z|^\epsilon), \quad z \rightarrow 0,$$

and the multiplicity of  $(z-t)^{\rho-1} = \exp((\rho-1)\log(z-t))$  where  $\log(z-t)$  is supposed to be real when  $z-t > 0$ .

**Remark.** For  $\delta = -\rho$ , we note that

$$I_{0,z}^{\rho, -\rho, -\eta} f(z) = D_z^{-\rho} f(z),$$

where  $D_z^{-\rho} f(z)$  is the fractional integral of order  $\rho$  of  $f(z)$  which was introduced by Owa ([2], [3]).

In order to prove our results for the fractional operator, we have to recall here the following lemma due to Srivastava, Saigo and Owa [6].

**Lemma 2.** If  $\rho > 0$  and  $k > \delta - \eta - 1$ , then

$$I_{0,z}^{\rho, \delta, \eta} z^k = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k-\delta+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(k-\delta+1)\Gamma(k+\rho+\eta+1)} z^{k-\delta}. \tag{2.4}$$

With the aid of Lemma 2, we have

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\rho > 0, \delta < 2, \rho + \eta > -2, \delta - \eta < 2$ , and  $\delta(\rho + \eta) \leq 3\rho$ . If  $f(z) \in K(n, \alpha, \beta)$ , then*

$$|I_{0,z}^{\rho,\delta,\eta} f(z)| \geq \frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta + \eta)|z|^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2 - \delta)\Gamma(2 + \rho + \eta)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta)(2 - \delta + \eta)_n(2)_n|z|^n}{(1 + \beta)(n + 1)^2(2 - \delta)_n(2 + \rho + \eta)_n} \right\} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$|I_{0,z}^{\rho,\delta,\eta} f(z)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta + \eta)|z|^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2 - \delta)\Gamma(2 + \rho + \eta)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta)(2 - \delta + \eta)_n(2)_n|z|^n}{(1 + \beta)(n + 1)^2(2 - \delta)_n(2 + \rho + \eta)_n} \right\} \quad (2.6)$$

for  $z \in U_0$ , where

$$U_0 = \begin{cases} U & (\delta \leq 1) \\ U - \{0\} & (\delta > 1) \end{cases}$$

The result is sharp.

**Proof.** By using Lemma 2, we have

$$I_{0,z}^{\rho,\delta,\eta} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta + \eta)}{\Gamma(2 - \delta)\Gamma(2 + \rho + \eta)} z^{1-\delta} - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k - \delta + \eta + 1)}{\Gamma(k - \delta + 1)\Gamma(k + \rho + \eta + 1)} a_k z^{k-\delta} \quad (2.7)$$

letting

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) &= \frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta)\Gamma(2 - \rho + \eta)}{\Gamma(2 + \delta + \eta)} z^\delta I_{0,z}^{\rho,\delta,\eta} f(z) \\ &= z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} h(k) a_k z^k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$h(k) = \frac{(2 - \delta + \eta)_{k-1}(1)_k}{(2 - \delta)_{k-1}(2 + \rho + \eta)_{k-1}}, \quad (k \geq n + 1). \quad (2.9)$$

We can see that  $h(k)$  is non-increasing for integers  $k \geq n + 1$ , and we have

$$0 < h(k) \leq h(n + 1) = \frac{(2 - \delta + \eta)_n(2)_n}{(2 - \delta)_n(2 + \rho + \eta)_n}. \quad (2.10)$$

Since  $f(z) \in K(n, \alpha, \beta)$ , lemma 1 implies that  $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{(1+(1+2n)\beta-2n\alpha\beta)}{(1+\beta)(n+1)^2}$ . Therefore, by using (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |H(z)| &= |z| - h(n + 1)|z|^{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \\ &\geq |z| - \frac{\{1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta\}(2)_n(2 - \delta + \eta)_n}{(1 + \beta)(n + 1)^2(2 - \delta)_n(2 + \rho + \eta)_n} |z|^{n+1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |H(z)| &= |z| + h(n+1)|z|^{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \\
 &\geq |z| + \frac{\{1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta\}(2 - \delta + \eta)_n(2)_n|z|^{n+1}}{(1 + \beta)(n + 1)^2(2 - \delta)_n(2 + \rho + \eta)_n}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.12}$$

Sharpness follows if we take the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\{1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta\}}{(1 + \beta)(n + 1)^2} |z|^{n+1}.
 \tag{2.13}$$

Similarly by applying Corollary 1 to the function  $f(z)$  belonging to the class  $C^*(n, \alpha, \beta)$ , we can derive

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\delta < 2$ ,  $\rho + \eta > -2$ ,  $\delta - \eta < 2$  and  $\delta(\rho + \eta) \leq 3\rho$ . If  $f(z) \in C^*(n, \alpha, \beta)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| I_{0,z}^{\rho,\delta,\eta} f(z) \right| &\geq \frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta + \eta)|z|^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2 - \delta)\Gamma(2 + \rho + \eta)} \\
 &\quad \left\{ 1 - \frac{(1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta)(2 - \delta + \eta)_n(2)_n|z|^n}{(1 + \beta)(n + 1)^3(2 - \delta)_n(2 + \rho + \eta)_n} \right\}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| I_{0,z}^{\rho,\delta,\eta} f(z) \right| &\leq \frac{\Gamma(2 - \delta + \eta)|z|^{1-\delta}}{\Gamma(2 - \delta)\Gamma(2 + \rho + \eta)} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ 1 + \frac{[1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta](2 - \delta + \eta)_n(2)_n|z|^n}{(1 + \beta)(n + 1)^3(2 - \delta)_n(2 + \rho + \eta)_n} \right\}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.15}$$

for  $z \in U_0$  where  $U_0$  is defined in Theorem 1

The equalities in (2.14) and (2.15) are attained by the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta)}{(1 + \beta)(1 + n)^3} z^n.$$

### 3. Convolution Product

Let  $f_i(z)$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be defined by

$$f_i(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{i,k} z^k \quad (a_{i,k} \leq 0).
 \tag{3.1}$$

We denote by  $f_1 * f_2(z)$  the convolution product of the functions  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  defined by

$$f_1 * f_2(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{1,k} a_{2,k} z^k, \tag{3.2}$$

In order to show our results, we need the following

**Theorem 3.** *A function  $f(z) \in A(n)$  is in the class  $K(n, \alpha, \beta)$  only if*

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{(1 + \beta)|ka_k - b_k| + 2(1 - \alpha)\beta b_k\} \leq 2\beta(1 - \alpha). \tag{3.3}$$

**Proof.** Let  $|z| = r < 1$ . Noting

$$|zf'(z) - \varphi(z)| < \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |ka_k - b_k|r$$

and

$$|zf'(z) + (1 - 2\alpha)\varphi(z)| > r \left\{ 2(1 - \alpha) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |ka_k - b_k| + 2(1 - \alpha)b_k \right\}.$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} & |zf'(z) - \varphi(z)| - \beta|zf'(z) + (1 - 2\alpha)\varphi(z)| \\ & < r \left[ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (|ka_k - b_k|(1 + \beta) + 2(1 - \alpha)\beta b_k) - 2(1 - \alpha)\beta \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The right hand side of (3.4) is non-positive by (3.3) so that  $f(z) \in K(n, \alpha, \beta)$ .

**Corollary 1.** *Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be analytic in  $U$ . Then  $f(z)$  is in  $C^*(n, \alpha, \beta)$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [(1 + \beta)k|ka_k - b_k| + 2(1 - \alpha)\beta kb_k] \leq 2(1 - \alpha)\beta. \tag{3.5}$$

**Theorem 4.** *Let the functions  $f_i(z)$ ,  $(i = 1, 2)$  be in the class  $K(n, \alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $f_1 * f_2$  belongs to the class  $K(n, \gamma, \beta)$ , where*

$$\gamma = \frac{[1 + (1 + 2n)\beta][(1 + \beta)n + 2(1 + 2\alpha\beta)] - 4n\alpha^2\beta^2}{2\beta(1 + \beta)(1 + n)^2}. \tag{3.6}$$

The result is sharp.

**Proof.** Employing the technique used earlier by Schild and Silverman [4], we need to find the largest  $\gamma$  such that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\beta)(1+n)k}{1+(1+2n)\beta-2n\gamma\beta} a_{1,k} a_{2,k} \leq 1 \quad (3.7)$$

It follows from (1.1) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\beta)(1+n)k}{1+(1+2n)\beta-2n\alpha\beta} \sqrt{a_{1,k} a_{2,k}} \leq 1 \quad (3.8)$$

Thus we need to find the largest  $\gamma$  such that

$$\frac{(1+\beta)k(n+1)}{1+(1+2n)\beta-2n\gamma\beta} a_{1,k} a_{2,k} \leq \frac{(1+\beta)k(n+1)}{1+(1+2n)\beta-2n\alpha\beta} \sqrt{a_{1,k} a_{2,k}}, \quad (k \geq n+1). \quad (3.9)$$

Or equivalently, that

$$\sqrt{a_{1,k} a_{2,k}} \leq \frac{1+(1+2n)\beta-2n\gamma\beta}{1+(1+2n)\beta-2n\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.10)$$

By virtue of (3.8) it is sufficient to find the largest  $\gamma$  such that

$$\sqrt{a_{1,k} a_{2,k}} \leq \frac{1+(1+2n)\beta-2n\alpha\beta}{(1+\beta)k(n+1)}, \quad (k \geq n+1). \quad (3.11)$$

or, equivalently, that

$$\gamma \leq \frac{k(1+n)(1+\beta)[1+(1+2n)\beta] - [1+(1+2n)\beta-2n\alpha\beta]^2}{2n\beta k(1+n)(1+\beta)}, \quad (k \geq n+1). \quad (3.12)$$

Since

$$\phi(k) = \frac{k(1+n)(1+\beta)[1+(1+2n)\beta] - [1+(1+2n)\beta-2n\alpha\beta]^2}{2n\beta k(1+n)(1+\beta)} \quad (3.13)$$

is an increasing function of  $k$ . Letting  $k = n+1$  in (3.12) we obtain

$$\gamma \leq \phi(n+1) = \frac{[1+(1+2n)\beta][(1+\beta)n+2(1+2\alpha\beta)] - 4n\alpha^2\beta^2}{2\beta(1+\beta)(1+n)^2} \quad (3.14)$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

Finally, by taking the function given by

$$f_i(z) = z - \frac{1+(1+2n)\beta-2n\alpha\beta}{(1+n)^2(1+\beta)} z^{n+1}, \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad (3.15)$$

we can see the result is sharp.

Similarly, we have

**Theorem 5.** *Let the function  $f_i(z)$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ) defined by (3.1) be in the class  $C^*(n, \alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $f_1 * f_2(z)$  belongs to the class  $C^*(n, \gamma, \beta)$ , where*

$$\gamma = \frac{(1 + \beta)(1 + n)^3[1 + (1 + 2n)\beta] - [1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta]^2}{2n\beta(1 + \beta)(1 + n)^3}. \tag{3.16}$$

The result is sharp for the functions given by

$$f_i(z) = z - \frac{1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta}{(n + 1)^3(1 + \beta)} z^{n+1}, \quad (i = 1, 2). \tag{3.17}$$

**Theorem 6.** *Let the functions  $f_i(z)$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) defined by (3.1) be in the class  $K(n, \alpha, \beta)$ . Then the function*

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (a_{1,k}^2 + a_{2,k}^2 + \dots + a_{m,k}^2) z^k$$

belongs to the class  $K(n, \gamma, \beta)$ , where

$$\gamma = \frac{[1 + (1 + 2n)\beta]\{(1 + \beta)(1 + n)^2 - m[1 + \beta(1 + 2n(1 - \alpha))]\} - 4mn^2\alpha^2\beta^2}{2n\beta(1 + \beta)(1 + n)^2}$$

The result is sharp for the functions  $f_i(z)$ , ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) defined by (3.15).

**Proof.** By virtue of Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \beta)^2 k^2 (1 + n)^2}{(1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta)^2} (a_{1,k}^2 + a_{2,k}^2 + \dots + a_{m,k}^2) \leq m.$$

Therefore we need to find the largest  $\gamma$  such that

$$\frac{(1 + \beta)(1 + n)k}{1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\gamma\beta} \leq \frac{1}{m} \frac{(1 + \beta)^2 k^2 (1 + n)^2}{(1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta)^2}, \quad (k \geq n + 1)$$

that is,

$$\gamma \leq \frac{(1 + \beta)k(1 + n)[1 + (1 + 2n)\beta] - m[1 + (1 + 2n)\beta - 2n\alpha\beta]^2}{2n\beta(1 + \beta)k(1 + n)}, \quad (k \geq n + 1).$$

Just as in the proof of Theorem 4, we conclude that

$$\gamma \leq \varphi(n + 1) = \frac{[1 + (1 + 2n)\beta]\{(1 + \beta)(1 + n)^2 - m[1 + \beta(1 + 2n(1 - \alpha))]\} - 4mn^2\alpha^2\beta^2}{2n\beta(1 + \beta)(1 + n)^2}$$

and Theorem 6 follows at once.

### Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to the referee for his helpful criticism and comments.

### References

- [1] V. P. Gupta, *Convex class of starlike functions*, Yokohama Math. J. **32**(1984), 55-59.
- [2] S. Owa, *On the distortion theorems*, Kyungpook Math. J. **18**(1978), 53-59.
- [3] S. Owa, *Some applications of the fractional calculus*, Research Notes in Math. **187**, Pitman, Boston, London and Melbourne, (1985), 164-175.
- [4] A. Schild and H. Silverman, *Convolutions of univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Currie-Sklodowska Sect. A **29**(1975), 99-107.
- [5] H. M. Srivastava, M. Saigo and S. Owa, *A class of distortion theorems involving certain operators of fractional calculus*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **131**(1988), 412-420.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Girl College Dammam, Saudi Arabia.