

## ON A GENERALIZATION OF CLOSE-TO-CONVEXITY OF COMPLEX ORDER

KHALIDA INAYAT NOOR

**Abstract.** The class  $V_k$  of bounded boundary rotation is used to generalize the concept of close-to-convexity of complex order. A function  $f : f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ , analytic in the unit disc  $E$ , belongs to  $T_k(b)$ ,  $b \neq 0$  (complex) if and only if there exists a function  $g \in V_k$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left( \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} - 1 \right) \right\} > 0, \quad z \in E.$$

Some basic properties, rate growth of Hankel determinant and radii problems for the functions in  $T_k(b)$  are studied.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  denote the class of functions  $f$  given by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the unit disc  $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . By  $S, K, S^*$  and  $C$ , we denote the subclasses of  $A$  which are respectively univalent, close-to-convex, starlike and convex in  $E$ . Let  $P$  be the class of analytic functions  $h$  given by

$$h(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n \quad (1.2)$$

with  $\operatorname{Re} h(z) > 0$  for  $z \in E$ .

Let  $V_k$ ,  $k \geq 2$  be the class of functions of bounded boundary rotation and let  $P_k$  be the class of functions  $p$  analytic in  $E$  and have the representation

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} d\mu(t),$$

---

Received September 8, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.* Bounded boundary rotation, starlike, close-to-convex of complex order, univalent, Hankel determinant, radius of convexity.

where  $\mu(t)$  is a function with bounded variation on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  which satisfies the conditions

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\mu(t) = 2, \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |d\mu(t)| \leq k.$$

We note that  $P_2 = P$ .

It is known [13] that  $P_k$  is a convex set. Also  $f$ , given by (1.1), belongs to  $V_k$  if and only if  $\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \in P_k$ . It is clear that  $p \in P_k$  if and only if

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)p_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)p_2(z), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $p_1, p_2 \in P$ .

We define the class  $P(b)$  as follows.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $b \neq 0$  be a complex number. Then an analytic function  $h$ , given by (1.2), is said to belong to  $P(b)$ , if and only if, there exists a function  $p \in P$  such that

$$h(z) = bp(z) + (1 - b). \quad (1.4)$$

We note that, for  $0 < b < 1$ ,

$$p(b) \subset P$$

and  $P(1) = P$ .

In the following we define a generalized concept of close-to-convexity of complex order.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $f$  be analytic in  $E$  and be given by (1.1). Then  $f \in T_k(b)$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ,  $b \neq 0$  (complex), if and only if, there exists a function  $g \in V_k$  such that  $\frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \in P(b)$  for  $z \in E$ .

We note that  $T_k(1) = T_k$ , a class of analytic functions introduced and studied in [9] and  $T_2(1)$  is the class  $K$  of close-to-convex functions. Also  $T_2(b) = K(b)$  consists entirely of close-to-convex functions of complex order introduced in [1] by Al-Amiri and Fernando.

## 2. Some Basic Properties of $T_k(b)$

**Theorem 2.1.**  $f \in T_k(b)$  if and only if

$$f'(z) = \frac{(K_1'(z))^{\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}}}{(K_2'(z))^{\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}},$$

where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are close-to-convex functions of complex order  $b$ .

**Proof.** From definition 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= g'(z)h(z), \quad g \in V_k, h \in P(b) \\ &= \frac{(s_1(z)/z)^{\frac{k}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}}{(s_2(z)/z)^{\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}} h(z), \quad s_1, s_2 \in S^*, \text{ see [3].} \\ &= \frac{(K_1(z))^{\frac{k}{4}+\frac{1}{2}}}{(K_2(z))^{\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad K_1, K_2 \in K(b). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $0 < b_1 < b_2$ . Then  $T_k(b_1) \subset T_k(b_2)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $f \in T_k(b_1)$ . Then there exists a function  $g \in V_k$  such that

$$\frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} = b_1 h(z) + (1 - b_1), \quad h \in P.$$

Now

$$1 + \frac{1}{b_2} \left[ \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} - 1 \right] = \frac{b_1}{b_2} h(z) + \left( 1 - \frac{b_1}{b_2} \right).$$

Since  $0 < b_1 < b_2$ , we have  $0 < \frac{b_1}{b_2} < 1$  and this means  $0 < (1 - \frac{b_1}{b_2}) = \alpha_1 < 1$ . Hence  $\text{Re}[1 + \frac{1}{b_2} \{ \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} - 1 \}] > \alpha_1 > 0$ . This implies that  $f \in T_k(b_2)$ , and this completes the proof.

We now discuss a geometrical property for the class  $T_k(b)$ . Here we investigate the behaviour of the inclination of the tangent at a point  $\omega(\theta) = f(re^{i\theta})$  to the image  $\Gamma_r$  of the circle  $C_r = \{z : |z| = r\}$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ , and  $\theta$  is any number of interval  $(0, 2\pi)$  under the mapping by means of a function  $f$  from the class  $T_k(b)$ .

We have

$$\phi(\theta) = \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta + \arg f'(re^{i\theta}) = \arg \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta}),$$

and for  $\theta_2 > \theta_1, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,

$$\phi(\theta_2) - \phi(\theta_1) = \theta_2 + \arg f'(re^{i\theta_2}) - \theta_1 - \arg f'(re^{i\theta_1}).$$

Now, since

$$\theta + \arg f'(re^{i\theta}) = \theta + \text{Re}\{-i \ln f'(re^{i\theta})\},$$

then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\theta + \arg f'(re^{i\theta})) = \text{Re}\left\{ 1 + \frac{re^{i\theta} f''(re^{i\theta})}{f'(re^{i\theta})} \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\theta + \arg f'(re^{i\theta})) = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \text{Re}\left\{ 1 + \frac{re^{i\theta} f''(re^{i\theta})}{f'(re^{i\theta})} \right\} d\theta.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \{ \theta + \arg f'(re^{i\theta}) \} d\theta &= \theta_2 + \arg f'(re^{i\theta_2}) - \theta_1 - \arg f'(re^{i\theta_1}) \\ &= \phi(\theta_2) - \phi(\theta_1). \end{aligned}$$

So, the integral on the left hand side of the last equality characterizes the increment of the angle of the inclination of the tangent to the curve  $\Gamma_r$  between the points  $\omega(\theta_2)$  and  $\omega(\theta_1)$  for  $\theta_2 > \theta_1$ .

We now have the following.

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $f \in T_k(b)$  and  $0 \leq r < 1$ ,  $|2b - 1| < 1$ , then for  $\theta_2 > \theta_1$ ,  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,*

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{re^{i\theta} f''(re^{i\theta})}{f'(re^{i\theta})} \right\} d\theta > -\frac{k}{2}\pi + 2 \cos^{-1} \frac{2|b|r}{1 - |2b - 1|r^2}.$$

**Proof.** From definition 1.2, we can write

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} = \operatorname{Re} \frac{(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} + \operatorname{Re} \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)}, \quad g \in V_k, h \in P(b).$$

With  $z = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 \leq r < 1$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,  $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ , we have

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{re^{i\theta} f''(re^{i\theta})}{f'(re^{i\theta})} \right\} d\theta = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left[ 1 + \frac{re^{i\theta} g''(re^{i\theta})}{g'(re^{i\theta})} \right] d\theta + \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{re^{i\theta} h'(re^{i\theta})}{h(re^{i\theta})} d\theta. \quad (2.1)$$

It is known [3] that, for  $g \in V_k$ ,

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{re^{i\theta} g''(re^{i\theta})}{g'(re^{i\theta})} \right\} d\theta > -\left(\frac{k}{2} - 1\right)\pi. \quad (2.2)$$

Now in the second integral we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg h(re^{i\theta}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \operatorname{Re} \{-i \ln h(re^{i\theta})\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{re^{i\theta} h'(re^{i\theta})}{h(re^{i\theta})} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{re^{i\theta} h'(re^{i\theta})}{h(re^{i\theta})} \right\} d\theta = \arg h(re^{i\theta_2}) - \arg h(re^{i\theta_1}).$$

Hence

$$\max_{h \in P(b)} \left| \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{re^{i\theta} h'(re^{i\theta})}{h(re^{i\theta})} d\theta \right| = \max_{h \in P(b)} |\arg h(re^{i\theta_2}) - \arg h(re^{i\theta_1})|.$$

Also, from (1.4), we have

$$1 + \frac{1}{b}(h(z) - 1) = p(z), \quad p \in P,$$

and, for  $|z| = r < 1$ , it is well-known that

$$\left| p(z) - \frac{1+r^2}{1-r^2} \right| \leq \frac{2r}{1-r^2}.$$

From this, we have

$$\left| h(z) - \frac{1+(2b-1)r^2}{1-r^2} \right| \leq \frac{2|b|r}{1-r^2}.$$

Thus the values of  $h(z)$  are contained in the circle of Apollonius whose diameter is the line segment from  $\frac{1+(2b-1)r}{1+r}$  to  $\frac{1-(2b-1)r}{1-r}$ . The circle is centered at the point  $\frac{1+(2b-1)r^2}{1+r^2}$  and has the radius  $\frac{|b|r}{1-r^2}$ . So  $|\arg h(z)|$  attains its maximum at points where a ray from the origin is tangent to the circle, that is, when

$$\arg h(z) = \pm \sin^{-1} \frac{2|b|r}{1 - |(2b-1)r^2|.} \tag{2.3}$$

From the above observations, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{h \in P(b)} \left| \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{re^{i\theta} h'(re^{i\theta})}{h(re^{i\theta})} d\theta \right| &\leq 2 \sin^{-1} \frac{2|b|r}{1 - |2b-1|r^2} \\ &= \pi - 2 \cos^{-1} \frac{2|b|r}{1 - |2b-1|r^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Using (2.2) and (2.4) in (2.1), we obtain the required result.

**Remark 2.1.** If  $f \in T_k(b)$  and  $b$  is real, then it can easily be shown that, for  $\theta_2 > \theta_1, z = re^{i\theta}$

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{re^{i\theta} f''(re^{i\theta})}{f'(re^{i\theta})} \right\} d\theta > -\left( \frac{k}{2} - 1 + |b| \right).$$

For  $\beta_1 \geq 0$ , the class  $K(\beta_1)$  has been introduced in [5]. We notice that, if  $b$  is real,

- (i)  $T_k(b) \subset K(\frac{k}{2} + |b| - 1)$
- (ii)  $T_k(b)$  consists of univalent functions for  $k + 2|b| \leq 4$  whilst  $f \in T_k(b)$  for  $k + 2|b| > 4$  need not be finitely-valent.
- (iii) It can easily be seen that  $T_k(b)$  forms a subset of a linear-invariant family of order  $(\frac{k}{2} + |b|)$ .

From (2.3) and the well-known result

$$|\arg g'(z)| \leq k \sin^{-1} r$$

for  $g \in V_k$ , we have the following.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f \in T_k(b)$ ,  $|2b - 1| \leq 1$ . Then*

$$|\arg f'(z)| \leq k \sin^{-1} r + \sin^{-1} \frac{2|b|r}{1 - |2b - 1|r^2}.$$

Next we prove a distortion theorem for  $T_k(b)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $f \in T_k(b)$ . Then*

$$\frac{(1 - |2b - 1|r)(1 - r)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1 + r)^{\frac{k}{2}+2}} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{(1 + r)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}(1 + |2b - 1|r)}{(1 - r)^{\frac{k}{2}+2}}$$

The equality is attained for the function  $f_0 \in T_k(b)$  defined by

$$f'_0(z) = \frac{(1 + \delta_1 z)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1 - \delta_2 z)^{\frac{k}{2}+2}} (1 + (2b - 1)\delta_1 z), \quad |\delta_1| = |\delta_2| = 1.$$

The proof is immediate when we use the distortion theorems for  $g \in V_k$ , see [13] and for  $h \in P(b)$ ,

$$\frac{1 - |2b - 1|r}{1 + r} \leq |h(z)| \leq \frac{1 + |2b - 1|r}{1 - r}.$$

### Speical Cases.

(i) For  $k = 2$ ,  $f$  is close-to-convex of complex order  $b$  and we have

$$\frac{1 - |2b - 1|r}{(1 + r)^3} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1 + |2b - 1|r}{(1 - r)^3}.$$

This reult is proved in [1].

(ii) For  $b = 1$ , we obtain the sharp bounds for  $f \in T_k$  established in [9].

**Theorem 2.6.**(Covering theorem). *The image of  $E$  under functions in  $T_k(b)$  contains the schlicht disc*

$$|z| < \frac{k + 1 - |2b - 1|}{k(k + 2)}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $d_r$  denote the radius of the largest schlicht disc centered at the origin contained in the image of  $|z| < r$  under  $f(z)$ . Then there is a point  $z_0$ ,  $|z_0| = r$  such that  $|f(z_0)| = d_r$ . The ray from 0 to  $f(z_0)$  lies entirely in the image of  $E$  and the inverse image of this way is a curve in  $|z| < r$ .

Thus

$$d_r = |f(z_0)| = \int_c^r |f'(z)||dz| \geq \int_0^r \frac{(1 - b_1 t)}{1 + t} \left( \frac{1 - t}{1 + t} \right)^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \cdot \frac{dt}{(1 + t)^2},$$

where  $b_1 = |2b - 1|$ .

Let  $\frac{1-t}{1+t} = \xi$ . Then  $\frac{-2}{(1+t)^2} dt = d\xi$ .

So

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z_0)| &\geq -\frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\frac{1-r}{1+r}} (1-b_1)\xi^{\frac{k}{2}-1} d\xi - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\frac{1-r}{1+r}} (1+b_1)\xi^{\frac{k}{2}} d\xi \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \left[ \frac{(1+b_1)}{k+2} \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right) + \frac{(1-b_1)}{k} \right] + \left(\frac{k+(1-b_1)}{k(k+2)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, by letting  $r \rightarrow 1$ , we obtain the required result.

### 3. Hankel Determinant Problem for $T_k(b)$

Hankel determinant of  $f \in A$  and given by (1.1) is defined, for  $q \geq 1, n \geq 1$ , by

$$h_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} \cdots a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & \cdots \cdots \\ \vdots & \\ a_{n+q-1} & \cdots a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

For  $f \in S^*$ , Pommerenke [16] solved this problem completely. He showed that, if  $f \in S^*$ ,  $H_q(n) = O(1)n^{2-q}$  and the exponent  $(2 - q)$  is best possible.

We shall investigate the rate of growth of  $H_q(n)$  for  $f \in T_k(b)$ . We first prove the following.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f \in T_k(b), k > 3$  and be given by (1.1). Then, for  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , there are numbers  $\gamma_m$  and  $c_{m\mu} (\mu = 0, \dots, m)$  that satisfy  $|c_{m0}| = |c_{mm}| = 1$ , and*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_k \leq 3, \quad 0 \leq \gamma_m \leq \frac{2}{m+1} \tag{3.1}$$

such that

$$\sum_{m\mu=0}^{\infty} c_{m\mu} a_{n+\mu} = O(1).n^{\gamma_m + \frac{k}{2} - 2}, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

The bounds (3.1) are best possible.

**Proof.** Since  $f \in T_k(b)$ , there exists  $g \in V_k$  such that, for  $z \in E$  and  $h \in P(b)$ , we have

$$f'(z) = g'(z)h(z). \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $\tilde{K}(\beta)$  be the class of strongly close-to-convex functions of order  $\beta$  in the sense of Pommerenke [14]. It is known [4] that, for all  $k > 2, V_k$  is properly contained in  $\tilde{K}(\beta)$  and  $\beta = (\frac{k}{2} - 1)$ . From this it follows that, if  $g \in V_k, k > 2$ , then

$$zg'(z) = s(z)p^{\frac{k}{2}-1}(z),$$

for some  $s \in S^*$ ,  $p \in P$ .

Thus we can write (3.2) as

$$zf'(z) = s(z)p^{\frac{k}{2}-1}(z)h(z) \quad (3.3)$$

Now  $s$  can be represented as

$$s(z) = z \exp\left[\int_0^{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{1 - ze^{-it}} d\mu(t)\right],$$

where  $\mu(t)$  is an increasing function and  $\mu(2\pi) - \mu(0) = 2$ .

Let  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots$  be the jumps of  $\mu(t)$  and  $t = \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$  be the values at which these jumps occur. We may assume that  $\theta_1 = 0$ . Then  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots \leq 2$  and  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_q = 2$  for some  $q$  if and only if  $s$  is of the form

$$s(z) = z \prod_{j=1}^q (1 - e^{-i\theta_j} z)^{-2/q}. \quad (3.4)$$

We define  $\phi_m$  by

$$\phi_m(z) = \prod_{\mu=1}^m (1 - e^{i\theta_\mu} z).$$

We consider three cases as in [16] and define  $\eta_m$ , for each case respectively, as follows.

- (i)  $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 1$  and  $\eta_m = \alpha_{m+1}$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ )
- (ii)  $1 < \alpha_1 < \frac{3}{2}$  and  $\eta_0 = \alpha_1, \eta_1 = \max(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2), \eta_2 = \max(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_3), \eta_m = \alpha_m$  for  $m \geq 3$ .
- (iii)  $\frac{3}{2} \leq \alpha_1 \leq 2$  and  $\eta_0 = \alpha_1, \eta_1 = \max(\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2), \eta_m = \alpha_m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ).

Then the first part, that is the the bounds (3.1), follows similarly as in [16]. For the rest, we need the following.

**Lemma 3.1.** [16] *Let  $\theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_q < \theta_1 + 2\pi$  and let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q$  be real,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda \geq \lambda_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, q$ ). If*

$$\psi(z) = \prod_{j=1}^q (1 - e^{-i\theta_j} z)^{-\lambda_j} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (3.5)$$

then

$$b_n = O(1) \cdot n^{\lambda-1} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We now complete the proof of theorem 3.1. We write

$$\phi_m(z) = \sum_{\mu=0}^m c_{m\mu} z^{m-\mu}$$



and

$$\phi_m(z) \cdot z f'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^m b_{mn} z^{n+m} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+m) a_{mn} z^{z+m}, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$b_{mn} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n (n+\nu) c_{m-\nu} a_{n-\nu},$$

$$a_{mn} = \sum_{m\mu} c_{m\mu} a_{n+\mu}, |c_{m0}| = |c_{mm}| = 1.$$

First let  $s$  in (3.3) be not of the form (3.4). Then  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k < 2$  for  $k \geq 1$  and in particular  $\alpha_1 < 2$ . Hence the number  $\eta_m$  defined as before satisfy

$$\eta_m < \frac{2}{m+1}, \quad \eta_0 + \eta_1 + \dots < 3.$$

For  $m \geq 0$ , let

$$\delta_m = \frac{1}{3} \min \left\{ \frac{2}{m+1} - \eta_m, \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \left( 3 - \sum_{k=0}^m \eta_k \right) \right\},$$

and

$$\gamma_m = \eta_m + 2\delta_m.$$

Then  $\delta_m > 0$  and  $\gamma_m < \frac{2}{m+1}$ ,  $\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \dots < 3$ . Now, it can easily be shown [15] that in each case (i), (ii), (iii),

$$\max_{|z|=r} |\phi_m(z)g(z)| = O(1) \cdot (1-r)^{-\eta_m-\delta_m} \quad (3.7)$$

Thus, from (3.6), (3.3) and Cauchy integral formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n+m)|a_{mn}| &\leq \frac{1}{r^{n+m}} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi_m(z)s(z)p^{\frac{k}{2}-1}(z)h(z)|d\theta \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi r^{n+m}} \max |\phi_m(z)s(z)| \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |p^{\frac{k}{2}-1}h(z)|d\theta \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^{n+m}} \max |\phi_m(z)s(z)| \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p^{k-2}(z)|d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(z)|^2d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

We shall need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.2.** [6] *Let  $p \in P$  for  $z \in E$ . Then, for  $\lambda > 1$ ,*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |p(re^{i\theta})|^\lambda d\theta < c(\lambda) \frac{1}{(1-r)^{\lambda-1}}.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** [12] *Let  $h \in P(b)$  in  $E$  and be given by (1.2). Then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \leq \frac{1 + (4|b|^2 - 1)r^2}{1 - r^2}.$$

Using these lemmas in (3.8), we have for  $k > 3$

$$(n + m)|a_{mn}| = O(1) \cdot (1 - r)^{-\eta_m - \delta_m - (\frac{k}{2} - 1)} \quad (r \rightarrow 1),$$

which implies

$$a_{mn} = O(1)n^{\gamma_m + \frac{k}{2} - 2} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

We now consider the case when  $s$  in (3.3) has the form (3.4), that is,  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_q = 2$  with  $\gamma_m = \eta_m$ . It follows that

$$\gamma_m \leq \frac{2}{m + 1}, \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \dots \leq 3,$$

and

$$\gamma_m = \frac{2}{m + 1} \text{ implies that } m = q - 1, \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_q.$$

Thus, for  $k > 3$

$$\begin{aligned} (n + n_i)|a_{mn}| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi r^{n+m}} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi_m(z)s(z)p^{\frac{k}{2}-1}(z)h(z)| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi_m(z)s(z)p^{\frac{k}{2}-1}(z)|^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(z)|^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Now

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi_m(z)s(z)|^2 |p(z)|^{k-2} d\theta \leq \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi_m(z)s(z)|^4 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(z)|^{2k-4} d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.10)$$

When we write  $|\phi_m(z)|^4$  in the form (3.5), the exponents  $(-\lambda_j)$  satisfy  $\lambda_j \leq 4\gamma_m$  ( $j = 1, \dots, q; m > 0$ ). Hence, by using lemma 2.1, we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\phi_m(z)s(z)|^4 d\theta \leq A_1 n^{4\gamma_m - 1} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3.11)$$

Also, since  $k > 3$ , we use Lemma 3.2 to have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(z)|^{2k-4} d\theta \leq A_2 n^{2k-5} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3.12)$$

Thus, from (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi_m(z)s(z)|^2 |p(z)|^{k-2} d\theta \leq A n^{2\gamma_m + k - 3}. \quad (3.13)$$

Hence, using (3.13) and Lemma 3.3 in (3.9), we obtain

$$(n + m)|a_{mn}| \leq B(k, b)n^{\gamma_m + \frac{k}{2} - 1} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

and this gives us

$$a_{mn} = O(1) \cdot n^{\gamma_m + \frac{k}{2} - 2}$$

The function  $s_0 : s_0(z) = z(1 - z^q)^{-\frac{2}{q}} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \binom{\frac{2}{q} + \nu - 1}{\nu} z^{\nu q + 1}$  shows that the bounds in (3.1) are best possible. This completes the proof of theorem 3.1.

We can now easily prove the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f \in T_k(b)$ ,  $k > 3$  and  $f$  be given by (1.1). Then, for  $q \geq 1, n \geq 1$ ,*

$$H_q(n) = O(1) \cdot n^{2 + (\frac{k}{2} - 2)q}.$$

*The exponent  $[2 + (\frac{k}{2} - 2)q]$  is best possible.*

In particular, for  $q = 1, k > 3$

$$H_1(n) = a_n = O(1) \cdot n^{\frac{k}{2}} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

**Remark 3.1.** From remark 2.1, it is clear that, for  $b$  real,  $T_k(b) \subset K(\frac{k}{2} + |b| - 1)$ . Thus for  $f \in T_k(b)$ ,  $b$  real, we can write

$$zf'(z) = s(z)p(z)^{\frac{k}{2} + |b| - 1}, \quad s \in S^*, p \in P.$$

Following the same techniques of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 together with the remark 3.1, we have the following.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $f \in T_k(b)$ ,  $b$  real,  $k + 2|b| > 3$ . Then, for  $q \geq 1, n \geq 1$*

$$H_q(n) = O(1) \cdot n^{2 + q(\frac{k}{2} + |b| - 3)}.$$

#### 4. Some Radii Problems

In the following we find the radius of convexity for  $f \in T_k(b)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $f \in T_k(b)$ . Then  $f$  maps  $|z| < r_0$  onto a convex domain, where  $r_0$  is the least positive root of the equation*

$$T(r) = (1 + Re\mu) - (1 + k)(1 + Re\mu)r - (1 + k)(1 - Re\mu)r^2 + (1 - Re\mu)r^3 = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\mu = \frac{1-b}{b}$  and  $Re \mu \geq 0$ .

This results is best possible for  $b = 1$  where the extremel function  $F_k \in T_k(1)$  is given by

$$F_k(z) = \frac{1}{k+2} \left[ \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{\frac{k}{2}+1} - 1 \right].$$

Also, for  $b = 1$ ,  $k = 2$ ,  $r_0$  is the radius of convexity for  $f \in K$  and in this case  $r_0 = 2 - \sqrt{3}$ .

**Proof.** We can write

$$\begin{aligned} zf'(z) &= zg'(z)h(z), g \in V_k, h \in P(b) \\ &= zg'(z)[bp(z) + (1-b)], p \in P. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating logarithmically, we have

$$\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} = \frac{(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + \frac{1-b}{b}}.$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \geq \operatorname{Re} \frac{(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} - \left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + \mu} \right|, \quad \mu = \left( \frac{1-b}{b} \right).$$

It is known [13] that for  $g \in V_k$

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} \geq \frac{r^2 - kr + 1}{1 - r^2}, \quad z = re^{i\theta}, 0 \leq r < 1,$$

and for  $p \in P$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} \mu \geq 0$ ,

$$\left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z) + \mu} \right| \leq \frac{2r}{(1-r)[1+r+\operatorname{Re}\mu(1-r)]}, \quad \text{see [7].}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} &\geq \frac{r^2 - kr + 1}{1 - r^2} - \frac{2r}{(1-r)[1+r+\operatorname{Re}\mu(1-r)]} \\ &= \frac{(1+\operatorname{Re}\mu) - (k+1)(1+\operatorname{Re}\mu)r - (1+k)(1-\operatorname{Re}\mu)r^2 + (1-\operatorname{Re}\mu)r^3}{(1-r^2)[1+\gamma+\operatorname{Re}\mu(1-r)]}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $\operatorname{Re} \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} > 0$  for  $|z| < r_0$  where  $r_0$  is the least positive root of  $T(r) = 0$  give by (4.1).

We note here that  $T(0) = 1 + \operatorname{Re}\mu > 0$  and  $T(1) = -2k < 0$  which means that  $T(r) = 0$  has at least one zero in  $(0,1)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $f \in T_k(b)$  and  $F$  be defined, for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , by

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} z^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^z \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} f(\xi) d\xi.$$

Then  $F$  is close-to-convex of complex order  $b$  for  $|z| < r_1$ , where

$$r_1 = \frac{1}{2}[k - \sqrt{k^2 - 4}]. \quad (4.2)$$

This result is sharp.

**Proof.** Since  $f \in T_k(b)$ , there exists a function  $g \in V_k$  such that  $\frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \in P(b)$ . Let

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} z^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \int_0^z \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} g(\xi) d\xi.$$

Then, from a special case of a result proved in [11], we see that  $G$  is convex for  $|z| < r_1$  and this radius is best possible.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F'(z)}{G'(z)} &= \frac{z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} f(z) - (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1) \int_0^z \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} f(\xi) d\xi}{z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} g(z) - (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1) \int_0^z \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} g(\xi) d\xi} \\ &= \frac{\int_0^z \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} f'(\xi) d\xi}{\int_0^z \xi^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} g'(\xi) d\xi} = \frac{N(z)}{D(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{N'(z)}{D'(z)} = \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \in P(b).$$

Since  $g \in V_k$ , we know that  $g$  is convex for  $|z| < r_1$  and so  $zg'$  is starlike for  $|z| < r_1$ . Now, using a similar technique of Libera [8], we can easily show that  $\frac{N(z)}{D(z)} \in P(b)$  for  $|z| < r_1$  where  $r_1$  is given by (4.2). This completes the proof.

We have the following special cases.

- (i) For  $k = 2$ ,  $f$  is close-to-convex of complex order  $b$ . Then  $F$  is also close-to-convex of complex order  $b$  in  $E$ .
- (ii) For  $b = 1$ ,  $f \in T_k$ . Then  $F$  is close-to-convex (hence univalent) in  $|z| < r_1$ .
- (iii) When  $b = 1$ ,  $k = 2$ ,  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$  a positive integer, we obtain a result proved by Bernardi [2].
- (iv) Libera [8] proved this result with  $b = 1$ ,  $k = 2$  and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $f \in T_k(b)$  with respect to  $h \in V_k$ . Let  $g \in V_k$  and for  $\alpha, \beta$  positively real with  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ , let

$$F(z) = \int_0^z (f'(\xi))^\alpha (g'(\xi))^\beta d\xi$$

and

$$H(z) = \int_0^z (h'(\xi))^\alpha (g'(\xi))^\beta d\xi.$$

Then  $F \in T_k$  with respect to  $H$  for  $|z| < r_2$  where  $r_2$  is given by

$$r_2 = \left[ \frac{1}{|b| + \sqrt{|b|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}b + 1}} \right]. \quad (4.3)$$

**Proof.** We first note that  $H \in V_k$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(zH'(z))'}{H'(z)} &= \frac{\alpha(zh'(z))'}{h'(z)} + \frac{\beta(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} \\ &= \alpha p_1(z) + \beta p_2(z), p_1, p_2 \in P_k \\ &= p_3(z), p_3 \in P_k \text{ as } P_k \text{ is a convex set.} \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\frac{F'(z)}{H'(z)} = \frac{(f'(z))^\alpha (g'(z))^\beta}{(h'(z))^\alpha (g'(z))^\beta} = \left( \frac{f'(z)}{h'(z)} \right)^\alpha = (p(z))^\alpha.$$

Since  $p \in P$  for  $|z| < r_2$  where  $r_2$  is given by (4.3), see [1], it follows that  $p^\alpha \in P$  for  $|z| < r_2$  which implies that  $F \in T_k$  for  $|z| < r_2$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $f \in V_k$  and let

$$F(z) = bz^{2-\frac{1}{b}} [z^{\frac{1}{b}-1} f(z)]'.$$

Then  $F \in T_k(b)$  for all  $|z| < r_1$  where  $r_1$  is given by (4.2). This result is sharp.

**Proof.** Let  $F'(z) = b[(\frac{1}{b} - 1)f'(z) + (zf'(z))']$

Then

$$\frac{F'(z)}{f'(z)} = b \left[ \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} + \left( \frac{1}{b} - 1 \right) \right] = bH(z) + (1 - b)$$

Since  $H \in P_k$ , it follows that  $H \in P$  for  $|z| < r_1$  and the radius  $r_1$  is best possible, see [13]. This implies that, for  $|z| < r_1$ ,  $F \in T_k(b)$ .

**Remark 4.1.** Since  $r_1$  is the radius of convexity for  $g \in V_k$ , we can conclude that  $f \in T_k(b)$  is close-to-convex of complex order  $b$  for  $|z| < r_1$  where  $r_1$  is given by (4.2).

Following essentially the same technique used in [10], we can prove:

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $F \in T_2(b)$  and let, for  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ,

$$f(z) = (1 - \lambda)F(z) + \lambda zF'(z).$$

Then  $f \in T_2(b)$  for  $|z| < r_\lambda$ , where

$$r_\lambda = \left[ \frac{1}{2\lambda + \sqrt{4\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1}} \right].$$

This result is best possible.

## References

- [1] H. S. Al-Amiri and T. S. Fernando, "On close-to-convex functions of complex order," *Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, 13(1990), 321-330.
- [2] S. D. Bernardi, "Convex and starlike univalent functions," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135(1969), 429-446.
- [3] D. A. Brannan, "On functions of bounded boundary rotation," *Proc. Edin. Math. Soc.*, 2(1968/69), 339-347.
- [4] D. A. Brannan, J. G. Clunie and W. E. Kirwan, "On the coefficient problem for functions of bounded boundary rotation," *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Series AI*, 523(1973), 1-18.
- [5] A. W. Goodman, "On close-to-convex functions of higher order," *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math.*, 15(1972), 17-30.
- [6] W. K. Hayman, "On functions with positive real part," *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 36(1961), 34-48.
- [7] R. J. Libera, "Some radius of convexity problems," *Duke Math. J.*, 31(1964), 143-158.
- [8] R. J. Libera, "Some classes of regular univalent functions," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(1965), 753-758.
- [9] K. I. Noor, "On a generalization of close-to-convexity," *Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, 6(1983), 327-334.
- [10] K. I. Noor, F. Al-Oboudi and N. Al-Dihan, "On the radius of univalence of convex combinations of analytic functions," *Int. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, 6(1983), 335-340.
- [11] K. I. Noor, "On some analytic functions of class  $P_k(\alpha)$ ," *C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci., Canada*, 12(1990), 69-74.
- [12] K. I. Noor, "On close-to-convex functions of complex order and related subclasses, Analysis," *Geometry and Groups: A Riemann Legacy Volume (ed. H. M. Srivastava and Th. M. Rassias)* Hadronic Press, U. S. A., (1994), 313-335.
- [13] B. Pinchuk, "Functions with bounded boundary rotation," *I. J. Math.*, 10(1971), 7-16.
- [14] Ch. Pommerenke, "On close-to-convex analytic functions," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 114(1965), 176-186.
- [15] Ch. Pommerenke, "On starlike and close-to-convex functions," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13(1963), 290-304.
- [16] Ch. Pommerenke, "On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions," *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 41(1966), 111-122.

Mathematics Department, College of Science, P. O. Box 2455, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia.