

A RESULT ON BEST APPROXIMATION

NASEER SHAHZAD

Abstract. Using a common fixed point theorem for noncommuting mappings of Pant [4], we improve and extend a result of Sahab, Khan and Sessa [5] on best approximation.

Throughout this paper, E denotes a normed space. A subset C of E is said to be starshaped with respect to a point $p \in C$ if, for each $x \in C$, the segment joining x to p is contained in C (that is, $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)p \in C$ for each $x \in C$ and real λ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$). $C \subset E$ is said to be starshaped if it is starshaped with respect to one of its elements. A convex set obviously starshaped. A mapping $T : E \rightarrow E$ is nonexpansive on E (resp. on a subset C of E) if $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in E$ (resp. for all $x, y \in C$). The set of fixed points of T in E is denoted by $F(T)$. Suppose $\hat{x} \in E$. An element $y \in C$ is called an element of best approximation of \hat{x} if we have $\|\hat{x} - y\| = \inf_{z \in C} \|\hat{x} - z\|$. We will denote by $P_c(\hat{x})$ the set of all such elements y . We denote the boundary of C by ∂C .

In 1969, Brosowski [1] obtained the following result which generalizes a theorem of Meinardus [3]:

Theorem 1. *Let $T : E \rightarrow E$ be a linear and nonexpansive operator on E . Let C be a T -invariant subset of E and let $\hat{x} \in F(T)$. If $P_c(\hat{x})$ is nonempty, compact, and convex then $P_c(\hat{x}) \cap F(T) \neq \phi$.*

In 1979, Singh [6] observed that the linearity of the operator T and the convexity of $P_c(\hat{x})$ in Theorem 1 can be relaxed and gave the following extension of it.

Theorem 2. *Let $T : E \rightarrow E$ be a nonexpansive operator on E . Let C be a T -invariant subset of E and let $\hat{x} \in F(T)$. If $P_c(\hat{x})$ is nonempty, compact, and starshaped, then $P_c(\hat{x}) \cap F(T) \neq \phi$.*

Singh [7] showed that if $D' = P_c(\hat{x}) \cup \{\hat{x}\}$, then Theorem 2 remains valid for T satisfying the condition of nonexpansiveness only on D' . Recently, Sahab, Khan and Sessa [5] generalized Theorem 2 with the following result.

Theorem 3. *Let $T, I : E \rightarrow E$ be operators, C be a subset of E such that $T : \partial C \rightarrow C$, and $\hat{x} \in F(T) \cap F(I)$. Further T and I satisfy*

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|Ix - Iy\| \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y \in D' = P_c(\hat{x}) \cup \{\hat{x}\}$ and let I be linear, continuous on $P_c(\hat{x})$, and $ITx = TIx$ for all $x \in P_c(\hat{x})$. If $P_c(\hat{x})$ is nonempty, compact and starshaped with respect to a point $p \in F(I)$ and if $I(P_c(\hat{x})) = P_c(\hat{x})$, then $P_c(\hat{x}) \cap F(T) \cap F(I) \neq \phi$.

Received December 15, 1997.

Remark 4. In Theorem 3, the hypothesis that I is continuous implies that T is continuous. In [5] Sahab, Khan and Sessa used the continuity of both T and I .

We need the following preliminary definitions and results.

Let (X, d) be a metric space and let T and I be self-mappings of X . The mappings T and I will be called R -weakly commuting on X , provided there exists some positive real number R such that

$$d(TIx, ITx) \leq Rd(Tx, Ix)$$

for each $x \in X$. T and I will be called R -weakly commuting and a point x if $d(TIx, ITx) \leq R d(Tx, Ix)$ for some $R > 0$. Obviously, weak commutativity implies R -weak commutativity. However, R -weak commutativity implies weak commutativity only when $R \leq 1$. For details of the above see Pant [4].

The following is a consequence of Theorem 1 of Pant [4].

Theorem 5. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T, I : X \rightarrow X$ be R -weakly commuting mappings such that $T(X) \subseteq I(X)$, and $d(Tx, Ty) < d(Ix, Iy)$ whenever $Ix \neq Iy$. If either T or I is continuous, then $F(T) \cap F(I)$ is singleton.

Let us continue this paper by observing that even if in Theorem 3 the conditions of continuity and commutativity of operators are somewhat relaxed, the assertion of Theorem 3 remains valid. Thus we get the following interesting result, which is new in the sense that, unlike other authors (see Remark 4), we do not require both T and I to be continuous.

Theorem 6. Let $T, I : E \rightarrow E$ be operators, C be a subset of E such that $T : \partial C \rightarrow C$, and $\hat{x} \in F(T) \cap F(I)$. Further T and I satisfy (1) on $D' = P_c(\hat{x}) \cup \{\hat{x}\}$ and let I be linear on $P_c(\hat{x})$ and T, I be R -weakly commuting on $P_c(\hat{x})$. If $P_c(\hat{x})$ is nonempty, compact and starshaped with respect to $p \in F(I)$, if $I(P_c(\hat{x})) = P_c(\hat{x})$, and if either T or I is continuous, then $P_c(\hat{x}) \cap F(T) \cap F(I) \neq \phi$.

Proof. First, we show that $T : P_c(\hat{x}) \rightarrow P_c(\hat{x})$. Let $y \in P_c(\hat{x})$ and hence $Iy \in P_c(\hat{x})$ since $I(P_c(\hat{x})) = P_c(\hat{x})$. Then $y \in \partial C$ (see Hicks and Humphries [2]) implying that $Ty \in C$, since $T : \partial C \rightarrow C$. It follows from (1) that

$$\|Ty - \hat{x}\| = \|Ty - T\hat{x}\| \leq \|Iy - I\hat{x}\| = \|Iy - \hat{x}\|$$

and therefore $Ty \in P_c(\hat{x})$.

Let us define a sequence of maps T_n :

$$T_n x = (1 - k_n)p + k_n T x,$$

where k_n is a fixed sequence of positive numbers less than 1 and converging to 1. Each T_n maps $P_c(\hat{x})$ into itself because $T : P_c(\hat{x}) \rightarrow P_c(\hat{x})$ and $P_c(\hat{x})$ is starshaped with respect to p . Since I is linear and R -weakly commutes with T on $P_c(\hat{x})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_n I x &= (1 - k_n)I p + k_n T I x, \\ I T_n x &= (1 - k_n)I p + k_n I T x \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n Ix - IT_n x\| &= k_n \|T Ix - ITx\| \\ &\leq k_n R \|Tx - Ix\| \\ &< R \|Tx - Ix\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in P_c(\hat{x})$. Thus T_n and I are R -weakly commuting on $P_c(\hat{x})$ for each n and $T_n(P_c(\hat{x})) \subseteq I(P_c(\hat{x}))$. Also, we have

$$\|T_n x - T_n y\| = k_n \|Tx - Ty\| \leq k_n \|Ix - Iy\| < \|Ix - Iy\|$$

whenever $Ix \neq Iy$.

Since either T or I is continuous, according to Theorem 5 $F(T_n) \cap F(I) = \{x_n\}$ for each n . Since $P_c(\hat{x})$ is compact, $\{x_n\}$ has a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\} \rightarrow z$ (say) in $P_c(\hat{x})$.

Let us suppose that the mapping T is continuous. Since

$$x_{n_i} = T_{n_i} x_{n_i} = (1 - k_{n_i})p + k_{n_i} T x_{n_i},$$

we have, as $i \rightarrow \infty$, that $z = Tz$, that is $z \in P_c(\hat{x}) \cap F(T)$. Since T_n and I are R -weakly commuting, we have that

$$\|T_{n_i} Ix_{n_i} - IT_{n_i} x_{n_i}\| \leq R \|T_{n_i} x_{n_i} - Ix_{n_i}\|.$$

On letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, the above inequality yields $IT_{n_i} x_{n_i} \rightarrow Tz = z$ since $T_{n_i} x_{n_i} = Ix_{n_i} = x_{n_i}$. Since $T(P_c(\hat{x})) \subseteq P_c(\hat{x}) = I(P_c(\hat{x}))$ it follows from $z = Tz$ that there exists $z_1 \in P_c(\hat{x})$ such that $z = Tz = Iz_1$. Now

$$\|TT_{n_i} x_{n_i} - Tz_1\| \leq \|IT_{n_i} x_{n_i} - Iz_1\|.$$

This inequality on letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $Tz = Tz_1$ since $IT_{n_i} x_{n_i} \rightarrow Tz$ and $Tz = Tz_1$.

Thus $z = Tz = Tz_1 = Iz_1$. This in turn implies that

$$\|Tz - Iz\| = \|TIz_1 - ITz_1\| \leq R \|Tz_1 - Iz_1\| = 0,$$

that is, $z = Tz = Iz$ and hence

$$P_c(\hat{x}) \cap F(T) \cap F(I) \neq \phi.$$

The same conclusion is found when I is assumed to be continuous since continuity of I implies continuity of T .

We have improved and extended Theorem 3([5]) for noncommuting maps.

References

- [1] B. Brosowski, "Fixpunktsatze in der approximations-theorie," *Mathematica (Cluj)*, 11(1969), 195-220.
- [2] T. L. Hicks and M. D. Humphries, "A note on fixed point theorems," *J. Approx. Theory*, 34(1982), 221-225.
- [3] G. Meinardus, "Invarianz bei linearen approximationen," *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 14(1963), 301-303.
- [4] R. P. Pant, "Common fixed points of noncommuting mapping," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 188(1994), 436-440.
- [5] S. A. Sahab, M. S. Khan and S. Sessa, "A result in best approximation theory," *J. Approx. Theory*, 55(1988), 349-351.
- [6] S. P. Singh, "An application of a fixed point theorem to approximation theory," *J. Approx. Theory*, 25(1979), 89-90.
- [7] S. P. Singh, "Applications of fixed point theorems in approximation theory," in *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, (V. Lakshmikantham, Ed.), Academic Press, New York, 389-394, 1979.

Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad-Pakistan.