

ON BOREL DIRECTION CONCERNING SMALL FUNCTIONS

T. Y. PETER CHERN*

Abstract. In this paper, we shall prove

Theorem 1. *Let f be nonconstant meromorphic in \mathbb{C} with finite positive order λ , $\lambda(r)$ be a proximate order of f and $U(r, f) = r^{\lambda(r)}$, then for each number α , $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$, there exists a number φ_0 with $0 \leq \varphi_0 < 2\pi$ such that the inequality*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^3 n(r, \varphi_0, \alpha, f = a_i(z)) / U(r, f) > 0,$$

holds for any three distinct meromorphic functions $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) with $T(r, a_i) = o(U(r, f))$, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Let f be a function meromorphic in the finite complex plane \mathbb{C} . We denote by $T(r, f)$ ($T_0(r, f)$) the Nevanlinna (Ahlfors-Shmizu) characteristic function of f . A meromorphic function $a(z)$ (including the case $f(z) \equiv c$ where c in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$) is called *small* with respect to f if $T(r, a(z)) = o(T(r, f))$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. We let $n(r, \varphi, \alpha, f = a(z))$ be the number of roots (multiple roots being counted with their multiplicities) of the equation $f(z) = a(z)$ for z in the angular domain $\Omega(r, \varphi, \alpha) = \{z : |\arg z - \varphi| < \alpha, |z| < r\}$ where $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi, \alpha > 0$.

This paper deals with the existence of the Borel directions concerning small functions for meromorphic functions of finite positive order. Using Tsuji's method, we shall mainly prove Theorem 1 stated in the abstract. Theorem 1 extends a result of Chuang [2, p.127, Corollary 5.3], there $a(z)$ are restricted over all extended complex numbers. Chuang's method is different from ours and is based on the existence of a sequence of filling disk with their roots in the works of Milloux [3] and Valiron [7].

Theorem 2. (The Existence Theorem on Borel Direction concerning small functions)
If f is meromorphic in \mathbb{C} with order λ , $0 < \lambda < +\infty$, then there exists a number φ_0 with

Received November 15, 1995, revised September 25, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30D30, 30D35

Key words and phrases. Borel direction, small function, finiter positive order.

*This research was supported in part by the NSC R. O. C. Contract 84-2121-M214-003.

$0 \leq \varphi_0 < 2\pi$, such that for each $\alpha > 0$, the equation

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \log \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^3 n(r, \varphi_0, \alpha, f = a_i(z)) \right\} / \log r = \lambda,$$

holds for any three distinct meromorphic functions $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) with $T(r, a_i) = o(T(r, f))$, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

Theorem 2 extends a result of Biernacki [1, Theorem 6.5].

Applying Theorem 1 and adapting a line of reasoning used by C. T. Chuang [2, p.128, Corollary 5.4], it is easy to obtain the following

Theorem 3. [4] *If f is meromorphic in \mathbb{C} with finite positive order, $U(r, f)$ is given as in Theorem 1, then there is a number φ_0 with $0 \leq \varphi_0 < 2\pi$ such that for each positive number α , the inequality*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^3 n(r, \varphi_0, \alpha, f = a_i(z)) / U(r, f) > 0,$$

holds for any three distinct meromorphic functions $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) with $T(r, a_i) = o(U(r, f))$, as $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

Theorem 3 extends a result of Valiron [8, p.34, Theorem 29.]. Since $\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{U(r, f)} = 1$, Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 3.

2. The Proof of Theorem 1

To prove Theorem 1, we need some terminologies. Let $S(r, \varphi, \alpha, f)$ be the spherical area of the image under f of $\Omega(r, \varphi, \alpha)$ where $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$. $T_0(r, \varphi, \alpha, f)$ be the Ahlfors-Shimizu characteristic of f associated with $S(r, \varphi, \alpha, f)$ and $N(r, \varphi, \alpha, f = a(z))$ be the integral counting function of f associated with $n(r, \varphi, \alpha, f = a(z))$. Suppose that the conclusion of Theorem 1 is incorrect, then there exists a positive number α , $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$; for each φ , $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$, there exist three distinct meromorphic functions a_{φ_j} ($j = 1, 2, 3$) with $T(r, a_{\varphi_j}) = o(U(r, f))$ such that the expression

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j=1}^3 n(r, \varphi_0, \alpha, f = a_{\varphi_j}(z)) / U(r, f) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

holds.

Since $\chi = \{(\varphi - \alpha/4, \varphi + \alpha/4) : \varphi \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ is an open covering of the closed interval $[0, 2\pi]$ and $[0, 2\pi]$ is compact; so there exists a finite subcovering $\chi_0 = \{(\varphi_k - \alpha/4, \varphi_k + \alpha/4) | k = 1, \dots, n\}$ which covers $[0, 2\pi]$.

For each positive integer $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, we put

$$F_k(z) = (f(z) - a_{\varphi_{k1}}(z))(a_{\varphi_{k3}}(z) - a_{\varphi_{k2}}(z)) / (f(z) - a_{\varphi_{k2}}(z))(a_{\varphi_{k3}}(z) - a_{\varphi_{k1}}(z)), \quad (2.2)$$

where $a_{\varphi_{kj}}(z), (j = 1, 2, 3)$ depending on φ_k and α and satisfying the expression (2.1). The function f can be written as

$$f = (g_{\varphi_{k1}}F_k + g_{\varphi_{k2}}) / (g_{\varphi_{k3}}F_k + g_{\varphi_{k4}}). \quad (2.3)$$

For above expression (2.3), applying [6, Lemma, p.277], we have

$$S(r, \varphi_k, \alpha/4, f) \leq 27S(64r, \varphi_k, \alpha/2, F_k) + o\left(\int_1^{128r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^4 T(t, g_{\varphi_{kj}})/t\right) dt\right). \quad (2.4)$$

Dividing two sides of the above inequality (2.4) by r , and then integrating them to r , and then applying [6, Theorem VII.8, p.272], we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(r, \varphi_k, \alpha/4, f) &\leq 27T_0(64r, \varphi_k, \alpha/2, F_k) + o(U(r, f)) \\ &\leq 81 \sum_{j=1}^3 N(128r, \varphi_k, \alpha, F_k = b_j) + o(U(r, f)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 1, b_3 = \infty$.

Since χ_0 covers $[0, 2\pi]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(r, f) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^n T_0(r, \varphi_k, \alpha/4, f) \\ &\leq 81 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^3 N(128r, \varphi_k, \alpha, F_k = b_j) + o(U(r, f)) \\ &= 81 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^3 N(128r, \varphi_k, \alpha, f = a_{\varphi_{kj}}) + o(U(r, f)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Dividing two sides of the above inequality (2.6) by $U(r, f)$, then taking $\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty}$, and then applying the L' Hopital Rule we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} T_0(r, f) / U(r, f) &\leq 81 \sum_{k=1}^n \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j=1}^3 N(128r, \varphi_k, \alpha, f = a_{\varphi_{kj}}) / U(r, f) \\ &= 81 \sum_{k=1}^n (128)^\lambda \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j=1}^3 N(r, \varphi_k, \alpha, f = a_{\varphi_{kj}}) / U(r, f) \\ &\leq 81 (128)^\lambda \sum_{k=1}^n \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{j=1}^3 (1/\lambda) n(r, \varphi_k, \alpha, f = a_{\varphi_{kj}}) / U(r, f) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Above result contradicts $\limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} T(r, f)/U(r, f) = 1$, and $T_0(r, f) \sim T(r, f)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

References

- [1] M. Biernacki, "Sur les directions de Borel des fonctions meromorphes," *Acta Math.*, 56 (1930), 197-204.
- [2] C. T. Chuang, *Singular Direction of Meromorphic Functions* (in Chinese), Science Press, Beijing, 1982.
- [3] H. Milloux, "Le theoreme de Picard, suites de fonctions holomorphes; fonctions meromorphes et fonctions entieres," *J. de Math.*, 3 (1924), 345-401.
- [4] X. Pang, "On the singular direction of meromorphic function" (in Chinese), *Advances in Mathematics* (China), 16 (3) (1987), 309-315.
- [5] M. Tsuji, "On Borel's directions of meromorphic functions of finite order, III," *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, (1950), 104-108.
- [6] M. Tsuji, *Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory*, Chelsea Publ., N. Y., 1975.
- [7] G. Valiron, "Recherches sur le theoreme de M. Borel dans la theorie des fonctions meromorphes," *Acta Math.* 52 (1928), 67-92.
- [8] G. Valiron, *Directions de Borel des fonctions meromorphes*, Memor. Sci. Math., Fasc. 89, Press, 1938.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Taohsiung Polytechnic Institute, Ta-Hsu Hsiang, Kaohsiung County, Taiwan 84008 R.O.C.