# SOMIE CLASSES OF ANALYTIC $\mathbb{F} U N C T I O N S ~ R E L A T E D$ WITH $\mathbb{B A Z I L E V I C ̆ ~ F U N C T I O N S ~}$ 

KHALIDA INAYAT NOOR


#### Abstract

A certain class $M_{k}(\alpha, \beta)$ of analytic functions is introduced and it is shown that $M_{2}(\alpha, \beta)$ is contained in the class of Bazilevic functions. Some other properties of $M_{k}(\alpha, \beta)$ are also derived.


## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ denote the class of functions $f: f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ which are analytic in the unit disc $E=\{z:|z|<1\}$. By $S, K, S^{*}$ and $C$, we denote the subclasses of $A$ which are respectively univalent, close-to-convex, starlike (with respect to the origin) and convex in $E$.

Let $P_{k}$ be the class of analytic function $p$ defined in $E$ and with representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1+z e^{-i t}}{1-z e^{-i t}} d \mu(t) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu(t)$ is a function with bounded variation on $[-\pi, \pi]$ and it satisfies the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d \mu(t)=2, \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}|d \mu(t)| \leq k . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $k \geq 2$ and $P_{2}=P$ is the class of analytic functions with positive real part in $E$ with $p(0)=1$. The class $P_{k}$ was introduced in [3]. From the integral representation (1.1) it is immediately clear that $p \in P_{k}$ if and only if there are analytic function $p_{1}, p_{2} \in$ $P$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\left(\frac{k}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right) p_{1}(z)-\left(\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right) p_{2}(z) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We defined the Hadamard product or Convolution of two analytic functions $f(z)=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n+1}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n+1}$ as

$$
(f * g)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n+1}, \quad z \in E .
$$
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We now define the following.
Definition 1.1. A function $f \in A$ is said to be belong to the class $M_{k}(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if it satisties the property
$J(\alpha, \beta ; f(z), g(z))=\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{1-\beta}(z) g^{\beta}(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\alpha(1-\beta) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\alpha \beta \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}\right\} \in P_{k}$, for some real $\alpha, \beta(\beta \geq 0), g \in A$ and $z \in E$.

## Special Cases

(i) For $k=2, \alpha=0$ and $g \in S^{*}$, we obtain the sell-known class $B(\beta) \subset S$ of Bazilevič functions of type $\beta$.
(ii) When $\beta=0$, the class $M_{k}(\alpha, 0)$ consists of functions with bounded Mocanu variation, see [1] and $M_{2}(\alpha, 0)$ is the class of $\alpha$-starlike functions which are known to be starlike univalent in $E$.
(iii) $M_{k}(1,0)=V_{k}$ is the class of functions with bounded boundary rotation and $M_{2}(1,0)=C$.
(iv) $M_{k}(0,0)$ is the class $R_{k}$ of functions with bounded radius rotation and $M_{2}(0,0)=$ $S^{*}$.
(v) For $\alpha=0, \beta=1$ and $g \in R_{k}$, we have $M_{2}(0,1)=T_{k}$ which has been introduced and studied in [2]. Also for $g \in S^{*}$, we note that $M_{2}(0,1)=K$.

## 2. Preliminary Results

Lemma 2.1. Let $p$ be analytic in $E$ and $p(0)=1$. Then, for $\alpha \geq 0, z \in E$, $\left(p+\alpha \frac{z p^{\prime}}{p}\right) \in P_{k}$ implies $p \in P_{k}$ in $E$.

The proof follows directly from the result, proved in [1], that functions with bounded Mocanu variation are in $R_{k}$.

From the Herglotz representation (1.1) for $k=2$, we have the following result.
Lemma 2.2. If $p$ is analytic in $E, p(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>\frac{1}{2}, z \in E$, then for any function $F$, analytic in $E$, the function $p * F$ takes values in the convex hull of the image of $E$ under $F$.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. For $\alpha \geq 0, M_{k}(\alpha, \beta) \subset M_{k}(0, \beta)$.
Proof. Let $f \in M_{k}(\alpha, \beta)$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{1-\beta}(z) g^{\beta}(z)}=H(z) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $H(0)=1$ and $H$ is analytic in $E$. Logarithmic differentiation of (3.1) gives us

$$
\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)-\alpha(1-\beta) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\alpha \beta \frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=\alpha z \frac{H^{\prime}(z)}{H(z)},
$$

and so

$$
J(\alpha, \beta ; f, g)=H(z)+\alpha \frac{z H^{\prime}(z)}{H(z)}
$$

Since $f \in M_{k}(\alpha, \beta)$, it follows that $\left(H+\alpha \frac{z H^{\prime}}{H}\right) \in P_{k}$ and using Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $H \in P_{k}$. Consequently $f \in M_{k}(0, \beta)$.

Corollary 3.1. Let $g \in S^{*}$ and $k=2$. Then, for $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$
M_{2}(\alpha, \beta) \subset S
$$

since in this case $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{1-\beta}(z) g^{\beta}(z)} \in P, g \in S^{*}$ implies $f$ is Bazilevic̆ and hence univalent.
Corollary 3.2. For $\alpha \geq 0, M_{k}(\alpha, 0) \subset M_{k}(0,0)$. That is a function with bounded Mocanu variation is of bounded radius rotation. With $k=2$ we also deduce that $\alpha$-starlike functions are starlike.

Corollary 3.3. Let $g \in S^{*}$ and $k=2, \beta=1$. Then $M_{2}(\alpha, 1) \subset M_{2}(0,1)=K \subset S$. That is $f \in M_{2}(\alpha, 1)$ is a close-to-convex univalent function.

In the opposite direction we prove the following.
Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in M_{k}(0, \beta)$. Then $f \in M_{k}(\alpha, \beta)$ for $|z|<r_{\alpha}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha+\sqrt{4 \alpha^{2}-2 \alpha+1}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. With $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f^{1-\beta}(z) g^{\beta}(z)}=H(z) \in P_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\alpha, \beta ; f, g)=H(z)+\alpha \frac{z H^{\prime}(z)}{H(z)} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $H \in P_{k}$, we use (1.3) to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(z) & =\left(\frac{k}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right) h_{1}(z)-\left(\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right) h_{2}(z), \quad h_{1}, h_{2} \in P . \\
\text { Let } \phi_{\alpha}(z) & =(1-\alpha) \frac{z}{1-z}+\alpha \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left[1+(n-1) \alpha z^{n}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\phi_{\alpha}$ is convex for $|z|<r_{\alpha}$ and this is sharp and so, for $|z|<r_{\alpha}, \operatorname{Re} \frac{\phi_{\alpha}(z)}{z}>\frac{1}{2}$. Thus

$$
\left(H * \frac{\phi_{\alpha}}{z}\right)=H+\alpha \frac{z H^{\prime}}{H}=\left(\frac{k}{4}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(h_{1} * \frac{\phi_{\alpha}}{z}\right)-\left(\frac{k}{4}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(h_{2} * \frac{\phi_{\alpha}}{z}\right)
$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we see that $\left(h_{i} * \frac{\phi_{\alpha}}{z}\right) \in P$ for $|z|<r_{\alpha}, i=1,2$ and hence $\left(H+\alpha \frac{z H^{\prime}}{H}\right) \in P$ for $|z|<r_{\alpha}$. Consequently, from (3.3), it follows that $f \in M_{k}(\alpha, \beta)$ for $|z|<r_{\alpha}$ where $r_{\alpha}$ is given by (3.2).

Corollary 3.4. Let $f \in M_{k}(0,0)$. Then $f \in M_{k}(\alpha, 0)$ for $|z|<r_{\alpha}$, where $r_{\alpha}$ is given by (3.2). That is $f \in R_{k}$ implies $f$ is of bounded Mocanu variation for $|z|<r_{\alpha}$.

Corollary 3.5. In Corollary 3.4 we take $\alpha=1$. Then it follows that $f \in R_{k}$ implies $f \in V_{k}$ for $|z|<\frac{1}{2+\sqrt{3}}=2-\sqrt{3}$ and $k=2$ gives us the radius of convexity for starlike functions.
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