

## SOME PROPERTIES OF THE FLAG MANIFOLDS

GR. F. TSAGAS AND A. J. LEDGER

**Abstract.** The aim of the present paper is to prove that the real, complex and quaternionic flag manifolds are  $\Sigma$ -space.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold and  $\Sigma$  a Lie group which is not required to be connected. If  $M$  and  $\Sigma$  have some properties, then  $M$  is called  $\Sigma$ -space or  $\Sigma$ -manifold. One of the problems of  $\Sigma$ -manifolds is to determine, if a given manifold can carry  $\Sigma$ -structure. ([2]-[7])

The aim of the present paper is to prove that the flag manifolds:  $SO(n)/SO(K_1) \times \cdots \times SO(K_v)$ ,  $SU(n)/SU(K_1) \times \cdots \times SU(K_v)$  and  $Sp(n)/Sp(K_1) \times \cdots \times Sp(K_v)$  can carry  $\Sigma$ -structures.

The whole paper contains five paragraphs.

The second paragraph deals with the general theory of  $\Sigma$ -spaces. We also consider special categories of  $\Sigma$ -manifolds.

The affine and Riemannian  $\Sigma$ -spaces and their different categories are studied in the third paragraph.

In the fourth paragraph we consider the real flag manifold  $M = SO(n)/SO(K_1) \times \cdots \times SO(K_v)$ , where  $K_1 + \cdots + K_v = n$ , and prove that  $M$  is a reduced  $\Sigma$ -space. This manifold, with the Riemannian metric, which comes by the restriction on its tangent space  $m$  at the origin of the negative of Killing-Cartan form on the Lie algebra  $o(n)$ , is a reduced Riemannian  $\Sigma$ -space.

Finally, in the last paragraph we study the complex and the quaternionic flag manifolds  $M_1 = SU(n)/SU(K_1) \times \cdots \times SU(K_v)$  and  $M_2 = Sp(n)/Sp(K_1) \times \cdots \times Sp(K_v)$ , where  $K_1 + \cdots + K_v = n$ , and prove that they are reduced  $\Sigma$ -spaces. The manifolds  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  with the Riemannian metrics, which come by the restriction on  $m$  the negative of the Killing-Cartan form on the Lie algebras  $u(n)$  and  $sp(n)$  respectively become reduced Riemannian  $\Sigma$ -spaces.

---

Received September 17, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C30.

*Key words and phrases.* Lie algebra, Lie group, Killing-cartan form,  $\Sigma$ -space and flag manifold.

\* This Research is supported by UGC grant No. F:8-13/87(SR-III).

2. Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold,  $\Sigma$  a Lie group and

$$\mu : M \times \Sigma \times M \rightarrow M, \quad \mu : (x, \sigma, y) \rightarrow \sigma_x(y)$$

a smooth map. Then the triplet  $(M, \Sigma, \mu)$  is called a  $\Sigma$ -space or a  $\Sigma$ -manifold, if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\mu(x, \sigma, x) = x \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mu(x, e, y) = y \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mu(x, \sigma, \mu(x, \tau, y)) = \mu(x, \sigma\tau, y) \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mu(x, \sigma, \mu(y, \tau, z)) = \mu(\mu(x, \sigma, y), \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}, \mu(x, \sigma, z)) \tag{2.4}$$

where  $x, y, z \in M$ ,  $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma$  and  $e$  is the identity element in  $\Sigma$ .

From the above we conclude that for each  $x \in M$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  a diffeomorphism  $\sigma_x$  on  $M$  is defined.

$$\sigma_x : M \rightarrow M, \quad \sigma_x : y \rightarrow \sigma_x(y) = \mu(x, \sigma, y).$$

and another smooth map  $\sigma^x$  on  $M$  is also defined as follow

$$\sigma^x : M \rightarrow M, \quad \sigma^x : y \rightarrow \sigma^x(y) = \sigma_y(x).$$

The map  $\sigma_x$  satisfies the following conditions

$$\sigma_x(x) = x \tag{2.5}$$

$$e_x = id_M, \text{ where } e \text{ is the identity element of } \Sigma \text{ and } \forall x \in M \tag{2.6}$$

$$\sigma_x \tau_x = (\sigma\tau)_x \tag{2.7}$$

$$\sigma_x \tau_y \sigma_x^{-1} = (\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1})_x \tag{2.8}$$

For each  $x$  we write  $\Sigma_x$  for the image of  $\Sigma$  under the map:  $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_x, : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma_x$ , then from (2.6) and (2.7) we conclude that  $\Sigma_x$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Diff}(M)$  and this map is a homomorphism.

For each  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  we define a tensor field  $S^\sigma$  of type (1.1) on the  $\Sigma$ -space  $M$  as follows

$$S_x^\sigma(X_x) = (\sigma_x)_* (X_x) \quad \text{for all } x \in M \text{ and } X_x \in T_x M$$

Then we have the following properties

$$S^\sigma \text{ is smooth} \tag{2.9}$$

$$(\tau_x)_* (S^\sigma X) = S^{\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}}((\tau_x)_* X), \quad \forall \sigma, \tau \in \Sigma, X \in D^1(M), x \in M \tag{2.10}$$

$$S^\sigma \text{ is Aut}(M)\text{-invariant} \tag{2.11}$$

$$(\sigma^x) * X_x = (1 - (\sigma_x)*)X_x = (1 - S^\sigma)X_x \tag{2.12}$$

A  $\Sigma$ -space  $M$  is called a reduced  $\Sigma$ -space, if for each  $x \in M$ , then  $T_x M$  is generated by the set of all  $(\sigma^x) * (X_x)$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} T_x M &= \text{gen}\{(\sigma^x) * (X_x) : X_x \in T_x M \text{ and } \sigma \in \Sigma\} \\ &= \text{gen}\{(\tau - S^\sigma)X_x : X \in T_x M \text{ and } \sigma \in \Sigma\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

If  $X_x \in T_x M$  and  $(\sigma^x) * (X_x) = 0$  for all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , then  $X_x = 0$  and thus no non-zero vector in  $T_x M$  is fixed by all  $(S^\sigma)_x \forall \sigma \in \Sigma$  ([3], [4])

3. Now, we consider special structures on  $\Sigma$ -spaces.

An affine  $\Sigma$ -space is a  $\Sigma$ -space  $M$  together with an affine connection  $\nabla$  with the following property. ([3])

$\nabla$  is  $\Sigma_M$ -invariant, that means, each  $\sigma_x$  is an affine transformation.

$\nabla$  is called canonical, if it also has the property

$$\nabla S^\sigma = 0 \text{ for all } \sigma \in \Sigma$$

A reduced affine  $\Sigma$ -space is a reduced  $\Sigma$ -space having such connection.

A Riemannian  $\Sigma$ -space is a  $\Sigma$ -space  $M$  together with a  $\Sigma_M$ -invariant Riemannian metric  $g$ , that means this metric  $g$  has the property that each  $\sigma_x$  is an isometry with respect to the metric  $g$ .

A reduced Riemannian  $\Sigma$ -space is a reduced  $\Sigma$ -space which admits such metric. We shall study only Riemannian reduced  $\Sigma$ -spaces. ([3], [4])

4. Now, we consider the real flag manifold ([1])

$$M = SO(n)/SO(K_1) \times \dots \times SO(K_v), \quad K_1 + \dots + K_v = n$$

where  $v \geq 3$ . If  $v = 2$ , then the real flag manifold becomes

$$M = SO(n)/SO(K_1) \times SO(K_2), \quad K_1 + K_2 = n$$

which is called real Grassmann manifold. This is a symmetric space which is a special case of reduced  $\Sigma$ -space.

This flag manifold can be written

$$M = G/H$$

where  $G = SO(n) = \{A \in GL(n\mathbb{R})/A^t A = I_n\}$  and  $H = SO(K_1) \times \dots \times SO(K_v)$  which consists of matrices of the form

$$H = \left\{ A \in SO(n)/A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & & & \\ & A_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & A_v \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_i \in SO(K_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, v \right\}.$$

Let  $g$  and  $h$  be the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $H$  respectively. Then we have

$$g = o(n) = \{ \alpha \in gl(n, \mathbb{R}) / \alpha + {}^t \alpha = 0 \}$$

and  $h$  consists of matrices of the form.

$$h = \left\{ \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_v \end{pmatrix} / \alpha_i \in o(K_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, v \right\}.$$

Let  $m$  be the tangent space of the flag manifold at its origin  $0$ . Then we have

$$g = h + m.$$

Then  $m$  can be represented by matrices as follows

$$m \left\{ \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -{}^t X_{12} & -{}^t X_{13} & \cdots & -{}^t X_{1v-1} & -{}^t X_{1v} \\ X_{12} & 0 & -{}^t X_{23} & \cdots & -{}^t X_{2v-1} & -{}^t X_{2v} \\ X_{13} & X_{23} & 0 & \cdots & -{}^t X_{3v-1} & -{}^t X_{3v} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ X_{1v} & X_{2v} & X_{3v} & \cdots & X_{v-1v} & 0 \end{pmatrix} / \begin{array}{l} X_{ij} \in M(K_j \times K_i, \mathbb{R}) \\ \text{the set of matrices} \\ K_j \times K_i \\ 1 \leq i < j \leq v \end{array} \right\}$$

The vector space  $m$  can be decomposed as follows

$$m = \oplus m_{ij}$$

$$1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

$i$  - column     $j$  - column

$$m_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} | & \cdots & i & \cdots & j & \cdots & 0 \\ | & & & & & & \\ | & & & & -{}^t X_{ij} & & \\ | & & & & & & \\ | & & X_{ij} & & & & \\ | & & & & & & \\ | & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.1}$$

$i$  - row  
 $j$  - row

Each vector  $\beta \in m$  can be written

$$\beta = \beta_{12} + \cdots + \beta_{1v} + \beta_{23} + \cdots + \beta_{2v} + \cdots + \beta_{v-1v}, \quad \text{where } \beta_{ij} \in m_{ij} \tag{4.2}$$

We consider the matrices

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_v} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} \varepsilon_1 I_{K_1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & & \\ \hline 0 & \varepsilon_2 I_{K_2} & 0 & \dots & & \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_3 I_{K_3} & \dots & & \\ \hline \dots & \dots & \dots & \ddots & \dots & \dots \\ \hline & & & & \varepsilon_{v-1} I_{K_{v-1}} & 0 \\ \hline & & & & 0 & \varepsilon_v I_{K_v} \end{array} \right)$$

where  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, v - 1, v$ . When we have  $\varepsilon_i = 1$  we write  $\varepsilon_i = i$  and when  $\varepsilon_j = -1$ , then we write  $\varepsilon_j = \bar{j}$  and  $I_{K_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, v$  is the  $K_i$  unit matrix. For example:

$$\lambda_{123\dots v} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} & & & & & \\ \hline I_{K_1} & & & & & \\ \hline & I_{K_2} & & & & \\ \hline & & I_{K_3} & & & \\ \hline & & & \ddots & & \\ \hline & & & & I_{K_{v-1}} & \\ \hline & & & & & I_{K_v} \end{array} \right),$$

$$\lambda_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\dots v} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} & & & & & \\ \hline -I_{K_1} & & & & & \\ \hline & -I_{K_2} & & & & \\ \hline & & -I_{K_3} & & & \\ \hline & & & \ddots & & \\ \hline & & & & I_{K_{v-1}} & \\ \hline & & & & & I_{K_v} \end{array} \right),$$

$$\lambda_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\dots \overline{v-1}, v} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} & & & & & \\ \hline -I_{K_1} & & & & & \\ \hline & -I_{K_2} & & & & \\ \hline & & -I_{K_3} & & & \\ \hline & & & \ddots & & \\ \hline & & & & -I_{K_{v-1}} & \\ \hline & & & & & I_{K_v} \end{array} \right),$$

$$\lambda_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\dots \bar{v}} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c} & & & & & \\ \hline -I_{K_1} & & & & & \\ \hline & -I_{K_2} & & & & \\ \hline & & -I_{K_3} & & & \\ \hline & & & \ddots & & \\ \hline & & & & -I_{K_{v-1}} & \\ \hline & & & & & -I_{K_v} \end{array} \right).$$

The number of such matrices can be found as follows. We consider the following sets

$$J = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, v\}, \quad \bar{J} = \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \dots, \bar{v}\}$$

We form the matrices by taking  $K$  elements from  $J$  and  $v - K$  elements from  $\bar{J}$ , where  $K = 0, 1, \dots, v$ . The number of such matrices is

$$\binom{v}{0} + \binom{v}{1} + \binom{v}{2} + \dots + \binom{v}{v-1} + \binom{v}{v} = 2^v.$$

From each of these matrices we obtain an automorphism on  $g$  as follows.

We consider the matrix

$$\lambda_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v}$$

and the associated automorphism  $\theta_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v}$ , which is constructed as follows

$$\theta_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v} = \lambda_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v} \cdot \lambda_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v}^{-1} : g \rightarrow g$$

$$\theta_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v} = \lambda_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v} \cdot \lambda_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v}^{-1} : \alpha \rightarrow \theta_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v}(\alpha) = \lambda_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v} \cdot \alpha \lambda_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v}^{-1}.$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *For every matrix  $\lambda_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}$  we can correspond another matrix  $\lambda_{\varepsilon'_1 \dots \varepsilon'_v}$  such that they give the same automorphism on  $g$ .*

**Proof.** Each matrix  $\lambda_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}$  has the property

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}^2 = I_n \tag{4.3}$$

which implies

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v} = \lambda_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}^{-1}. \tag{4.4}$$

There exists another matrix  $\lambda_{\varepsilon'_1 \varepsilon'_2 \dots \varepsilon'_v}$  with the property

$$\varepsilon'_1 = (-1)\varepsilon_1, \quad \varepsilon'_2 = (-1)\varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon'_v = (-1)\varepsilon_v \tag{4.5}$$

and simultaneously satisfies the relation

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_v} = -\lambda_{\varepsilon'_1 \varepsilon'_2 \dots \varepsilon'_v}. \tag{4.6}$$

The associated automorphisms  $\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}$  and  $\theta_{\varepsilon'_1 \dots \varepsilon'_v}$  to  $\lambda_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}$  and  $\lambda_{\varepsilon'_1 \dots \varepsilon'_v}$  respectively have the form

$$\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v} = \lambda_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v} \cdot \lambda_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}^{-1} \tag{4.7}$$

$$\theta_{\varepsilon'_1 \dots \varepsilon'_v} = \lambda_{\varepsilon'_1 \dots \varepsilon'_v} \cdot \lambda_{\varepsilon'_1 \dots \varepsilon'_v}^{-1} \tag{4.8}$$

From (4.7) and (4.8) we obtain

$$\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v} = \theta_{\varepsilon'_1 \dots \varepsilon'_v} \tag{4.9}$$

For example

$$\theta_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v} = \theta_{12\bar{3}\dots\bar{v}}, \quad \theta_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\dots\bar{v}} = \theta_{123\dots v} \tag{4.10}$$

We denote by  $T$  the set of all these automorphisms, that is

$$T = \{\theta_{\varepsilon_1\dots\varepsilon_v} / \varepsilon_1 = \pm 1, \dots, \varepsilon_v = \pm 1\} \tag{4.11}$$

**Proposition 4.2.** *There is an operation on  $T$  which makes it a group.*

**Proof.** We denote by  $\cdot$  this operation defined by

$$\cdot : T \times T \rightarrow T \tag{4.12}$$

$$\cdot : (\theta_{\varepsilon_1\dots\varepsilon_v}, \theta_{\varepsilon'_1\dots\varepsilon'_v}) \rightarrow \theta_{\varepsilon_1\dots\varepsilon_v} \cdot \theta_{\varepsilon'_1\dots\varepsilon'_v} = \theta_{\varepsilon''_1\dots\varepsilon''_v} \tag{4.13}$$

where  $\theta_{\varepsilon''_1\dots\varepsilon''_v}$  defined by

$$\varepsilon''_1 = \varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon'_1, \dots, \varepsilon''_v = \varepsilon_v \cdot \varepsilon'_v \tag{4.14}$$

This operation  $\cdot$  turns out the set  $T$  onto a finite group with  $2^{v-1}$  elements. The identity element of this group is the automorphism  $\theta_{12\dots v}$ . Each element  $\theta_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\dots\varepsilon_v}$  of this group has the property

$$\theta_{\varepsilon_1\dots\varepsilon_v}^2 = \theta_{1\dots v}$$

which implies

$$\theta_{\varepsilon_1\dots\varepsilon_v} = \theta_{\varepsilon_1\dots\varepsilon_v}^{-1}$$

which means each element of the group  $T$  has its inverse the same element.

This group has the following generators

$$\theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v-1, v}, \theta_{1\bar{2}3\dots v-1}, \dots, \theta_{123\dots, \overline{v-1}, v}, \theta_{123\dots v-1, \bar{v}}$$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Each element of the group  $T$  acts on the Lie algebra  $g = h + m$  as follows. It leaves  $h$  as fixed pointwise and reverses some of the vectors on  $m$  and leaves other fixed. This depends on the form of the automorphism.*

**Proof.** We take for example the automorphism  $\theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v}$ . Therefore we have

$$\theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v} = \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v} \cdot \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v}^{-1} : h \rightarrow h$$

$$\theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v} = \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v} \cdot \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v}^{-1} : \alpha \rightarrow \theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v}(\alpha) = \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v} \alpha \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v}^{-1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v} \alpha \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v}^{-1} \\
 &= \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} -I_{K_1} & & & \\ \hline & I_{K_2} & & \\ \hline & & I_{K_3} & \\ \hline & & & \ddots \\ \hline & & & I_{K_v} \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} \alpha_1 & & & \\ \hline & \alpha_2 & & \\ \hline & & \alpha_3 & \\ \hline & & & \ddots \\ \hline & & & \alpha_v \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} -I_{K_1} & & & \\ \hline & I_{K_2} & & \\ \hline & & I_{K_3} & \\ \hline & & & \ddots \\ \hline & & & I_{K_v} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} I_{K_1} \alpha_1 I_{K_1} & & & \\ \hline & I_{K_2} \alpha_2 I_{K_2} & & \\ \hline & & I_{K_3} \alpha_3 I_{K_3} & \\ \hline & & & \ddots \\ \hline & & & I_{K_v} \alpha_v I_{K_v} \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} \alpha_1 & & & \\ \hline & \alpha_2 & & \\ \hline & & \alpha_3 & \\ \hline & & & \ddots \\ \hline & & & \alpha_v \end{array} \right) = \alpha
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the automorphism  $\theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v}$  preserves pointwise the subalgebra  $h$  of  $g$ . The same is true for every other automorphism of  $T$ .

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v} &= \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v} \cdot \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v}^{-1} : m \rightarrow m \\
 \theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v} &= \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v} \cdot \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v}^{-1} : \beta \rightarrow \theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v}(\beta) = \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v} \beta \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v} \quad (4.15)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v} \cdot \beta \cdot \lambda_{\bar{1}23\dots v} \\
 &= \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} -I_{K_1} & & & \\ \hline & I_{K_2} & & \\ \hline & & I_{K_3} & \\ \hline \dots & \dots & \dots & \ddots \\ \hline & & & I_{K_v} \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} 0 & -{}^t X_{12} & -{}^t X_{13} & \dots & -{}^t X_{1v} \\ \hline X_{12} & 0 & -{}^t X_{23} & \dots & -{}^t X_{2v} \\ \hline X_{13} & X_{23} & 0 & \dots & -{}^t X_{3v} \\ \hline \dots & \dots & \ddots & \dots & \dots \\ \hline X_{1v} & X_{2v} & X_{3v} & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} -I_{K_1} & & & \\ \hline & I_{K_2} & & \\ \hline & & I_{K_3} & \\ \hline \dots & \dots & \dots & \ddots \\ \hline & & & I_{K_v} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} 0 & I_{K_1} {}^t X_{12} & I_{K_1} {}^t X_{13} & \dots & I_{K_1} {}^t X_{1v} \\ \hline I_{K_2} X_{12} & 0 & -I_{K_2} {}^t X_{23} & \dots & -I_{K_2} {}^t X_{2v} \\ \hline I_{K_3} X_{13} & I_{K_3} X_{23} & 0 & \dots & -I_{K_3} {}^t X_{3v} \\ \hline \dots & \dots & \ddots & \dots & \dots \\ \hline I_{K_v} X_{1v} & I_{K_v} X_{2v} & I_{K_v} X_{3v} & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right) \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} -I_{K_1} & & & \\ \hline & I_{K_2} & & \\ \hline & & I_{K_3} & \\ \hline \dots & \dots & \dots & \ddots \\ \hline & & & I_{K_v} \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \left( \begin{array}{c|ccc|c} 0 & I_{K_1} {}^t X_{12} I_{K_2} & I_{K_2} {}^t X_{13} I_{K_3} & \dots & I_{K_1} {}^t X_{1v} I_{K_v} \\ \hline -I_{K_2} X_{12} I_{K_1} & 0 & -I_{K_2} {}^t X_{23} I_{K_3} & \dots & -I_{K_2} {}^t X_{2v} I_{K_v} \\ \hline -I_{K_3} X_{13} I_{K_1} & I_{K_3} X_{23} I_{K_2} & 0 & \dots & -I_{K_3} {}^t X_{3v} I_{K_v} \\ \hline \dots & \dots & \ddots & \dots & \dots \\ \hline -I_{K_v} X_{1v} I_{K_1} & I_{K_v} X_{2v} I_{K_2} & I_{K_v} X_{3v} I_{K_3} & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$



$$= \left( \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} 0 & {}^t X_{12} & {}^t X_{13} & \cdots & {}^t X_{1v} \\ \hline -X_{12} & & -{}^t X_{23} & \cdots & -{}^t X_{2v} \\ \hline -X_{13} & X_{23} & 0 & & -{}^t X_{2v} \\ \hline \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \hline -X_{1v} & X_{2v} & X_{3v} & \cdots & \end{array} \right) = \theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v}(\beta) \tag{4.17}$$

From (4.1), (4.2) and (4.17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v}(\beta_{12}) &= -\beta_{12}, \theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v}(\beta_{13}) = -\beta_{13}, \dots, \theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v}(\beta_{1v}) = -\beta_{1v}, \\ \theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v}(\beta_{23}) &= \beta_{23}, \theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v}(\beta_{24}) = \beta_{24}, \dots, \theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v}(\beta_{2v}) = \beta_{2v} \cdots \\ \theta_{\bar{1}23\dots v}(\beta_{v-1,v}) &= \beta_{v-1,v} \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Each automorphism  $\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_v}$  maps the vector  $\beta_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq v$  as follows. The automorphism  $\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_v}$  can be written

$$\theta_{123\dots, \mu-1, \bar{\mu}, \overline{\mu+1}, \dots, \overline{v-1}, \bar{v}}$$

where  $1 \leq \mu \leq v$ . If  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu - 1$  and  $j = \mu, \mu + 1, \dots, v - 1, v$  or the other way, then we have

$$\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_v}(\beta_{ij}) = -\beta_{ij} \quad i < j$$

If  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu - 1$  and  $j = 2, 3, \dots, \mu - 1$   $i < j$  or  $i = \mu, \mu + 1 \dots v - 1, v$  and  $j = \mu + 1, \dots, v - 1, v$ , then we obtain

$$\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_v}(\beta_{ij}) = \beta_{ij} \quad i < j$$

**Theorem 4.4.** *The action of the group  $T$  on the tangent space  $m$  of the flag manifold  $M = SO(n)/SO(K_1) \times \cdots \times SO(K_v)$  leaves no vector fixed.*

**Proof.** Each vector  $\beta \in m$  by virtue of (4.2) can be written

$$\beta = \beta_{12} + \beta_{13} + \cdots + \beta_{1v} + \beta_{23} + \beta_{24} + \cdots + \beta_{2v} + \beta_{34} + \cdots + \beta_{v-1v} \tag{4.19}$$

The automorphism  $\theta_{\bar{1}2\dots v}/m$  on  $m$  reverses all the vectors

$$\beta_{12}, \beta_{23}, \dots, \beta_{2v}$$

The automorphism  $\beta_{12, \dots, v-1, \bar{v}}/m$  on  $m$  on reverses the vectors

$$\beta_{1v}, \beta_{2v}, \dots, \beta_{v-1v}$$

From the above we conclude that the automorphisms

$$T'_1 = \{\theta_{\bar{1}\bar{2}3\dots v}/m, \theta_{1\bar{2}3\dots v}/m, \theta_{123\dots, v-1, \bar{v}}/m\}$$

on  $m$  do not leave vector fixed.

Let  $T_1$  be the set which is defined by  $T_1 = T/m \cdot T_1$  is a group with  $2^{v-1}$  elements which have the same properties as the elements of  $T$ .  $T_1$  is obtained by the restriction of  $T$  on  $m$ . Obviously  $T_1'CT_1$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $M = SO(n)/SO(K_1) \times \dots \times SO(K_v)$  be the real flag manifold. Then  $M$  admits a reduced  $\Sigma$ -structure, that is, it is a reduced  $\Sigma$ -space.*

**Proof.** We have proved that there is the group  $T_1$  whose each element is a linear transformation on the tangent space  $m$  of the homogeneous space  $M$  at its origin  $0$ . These linear transformations leave no vector fixed on  $m$ .

It is known that every linear transformation

$$\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v} : g \rightarrow g$$

gives another automorphism on the Lie group  $G$ , that is

$$\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v} : G \rightarrow G$$

which leaves the subgroup  $H$  fixed pointwise. This automorphism  $\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}$  gives a diffeomorphism  $f_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}$  on the manifold  $M = G/H$ , which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v} : M = G/H &\rightarrow M = G/H \\ f_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v} : cH &\rightarrow f_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(cH) = \theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(c) \cdot H, \quad c \in G \end{aligned}$$

From the construction of  $f_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}$  we obtain that

$$f_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v} : 0 = H \rightarrow f_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(H) = H = 0$$

that means it fixes  $0$  and has the property

$$((f_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v})_*)_0 = \theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}$$

The set of automorphisms  $\Sigma_0 = \{f_{12 \dots v}^0, f_{12 \dots v}^0, \dots\}$ , which is obtained by the group  $T_1$ , forms a finite group of  $2^{v-1}$  elements which have the same properties as the elements of  $T_1$ .

It is known that the Lie group  $G$  acts transitively on the manifold  $M$ . This action determines, to every point  $x \in M$ , a finite group of diffeomorphism on  $M$ ,  $\Sigma_x = \{f_{12 \dots v}^x, f_{12 \dots v}^x \dots\}$  with  $2^{v-1}$  elements which have the same properties as the elements of  $T$ . Each element of  $\Sigma_x$  is determined as follows. Firstly, we consider the follows mapping:

$$f_{12 \dots v}^0 : M \rightarrow M, \quad f_{12 \dots v}^0 : 0 \rightarrow 0$$

It is known that each element of  $G$  can be considered as a diffeomorphism on the manifold  $M$ . There is one element  $\lambda \in G$  with the property

$$\lambda : M \rightarrow M, \quad \lambda : 0 \rightarrow x \tag{4.20}$$

The element  $f_{i_2 \dots i_v}^x$  of the group  $S_x$  which is a diffeomorphism on  $M$ , is defined by

$$f_{i_2 \dots i_v}^x = \lambda \circ f_{i_2 \dots i_v}^o \circ \lambda^{-1} : M \rightarrow M$$

This diffeomorphism  $f_{i_2 \dots i_v}^x$  has the property

$$f_{i_2 \dots i_v}^x : x \rightarrow x$$

that means  $f_{i_2 \dots i_v}^x$  leaves  $x$  fixed. Therefore the group  $S_x$  has the form

$$\sum_x = \{f_{i_2 \dots i_v}^x = \lambda \circ f_{i_2 \dots i_v}^o \circ \lambda^{-1}, f_{i_2 \dots i_v}^x = \lambda \circ f_{i_2 \dots i_v}^o \circ \lambda^{-1}, \dots\}$$

Hence to each point  $x \in M$  we can associate a finite group of diffeomorphisms  $\sum_x$ . Each diffeomorphism  $f \in \sum_x$  leaves  $x$  fixed and  $(f_x)_*$  for all  $f \in \sum_x$  do not leave vector fixed on  $T_*(M)$ . q.e.d.

On the tangent space  $m$  of  $M = G/H$  at its origin 0 we consider an inner product  $\langle \rangle$  defined by

$$\langle \rangle : m \times m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \langle \rangle : (\beta, \beta') \rightarrow \langle \beta, \beta' \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} Tr(\beta' \beta') \tag{4.21}$$

If  $K$  is the Killing-Cartan form on  $o(n)$ , then we have

$$K : g \times g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, K : (\alpha, \alpha') \rightarrow K(\alpha, \alpha') = -(n-1) Tr(\alpha \alpha') \tag{4.22}$$

Since  $o(n)$  is a simple Lie algebra, it is known that  $K(\alpha, \alpha')$  is negative definite. From (4.21) and (4.22) we concluded that

$$\langle \beta, \beta \rangle = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)} K(\beta, \beta) \tag{4.23}$$

From (4.23) and the above we conclude that the inner product  $\langle \rangle$  on  $m$  is positive definite which gives a Riemannian metric  $d$  on  $M$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $M = SO(n)/SO(K_1) \times \dots \times SO(K_v)$  be the real flag manifold, where  $K_1 + \dots + K_v = n$ . Then the inner product (4.23) on the tangent space  $m$  of  $M$  at its origin, which comes by the restriction on  $m$  of the negative of the Killing-Cartan form on  $o(n)$  induces a Riemannian metric  $d$  on  $M$ . Then  $(M, d)$  is a reduced Riemannian  $\sum$ -space.*

**Proof.** We assume that the vectors  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  have the form

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -{}^t X_{12} & -{}^t X_{13} & \dots & -{}^t X_{1,v-1} & -{}^t X_{1v} \\ X_{12} & 0 & -{}^t X_{23} & \dots & -{}^t X_{2,v-1} & -{}^t X_{2v} \\ X_{13} & X_{23} & 0 & \dots & -{}^t X_{3,v-1} & -{}^t X_{3v} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ X_{1,v-1} & X_{2,v-1} & X_{3,v-1} & \dots & 0 & -{}^t X_{v-1v} \\ X_{1v} & X_{2v} & X_{3v} & \dots & X_{v-1v} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\beta' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -{}^t X'_{12} & -{}^t X'_{13} & \cdots & -{}^t X'_{1v-1} & -{}^t X'_{1v} \\ X'_{12} & 0 & -{}^t X'_{23} & \cdots & -{}^t X'_{2v-1} & -{}^t X'_{2v} \\ X'_{13} & X'_{23} & 0 & \cdots & -{}^t X'_{3v-1} & -{}^t X'_{3v} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ X'_{1v-1} & X'_{2v-1} & X'_{3v-1} & \cdots & 0 & -{}^t X'_{v-1v} \\ X'_{1v} & X'_{2v} & X'_{3v} & \cdots & X'_{v-1v} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.24}$$

then we obtain

$$\beta\beta' =$$

|     |   |     |                   |     |
|-----|---|-----|-------------------|-----|
| $($ | ${}^t X_{12} X'_{12} - \cdots - {}^t X_{1v} X'_{1v}$                              | $ $ | $0$               | $)$ |
| $($ | $-X_{12} - {}^t X'_{12} - {}^t X_{23} X'_{23} - \cdots - {}^t X_{2v} X'_{2v}$     | $ $ | $X'_{12}$         | $)$ |
| $($ | $-X_{13} X'_{13} - X_{23} X'_{23} - {}^t X_{34} X'_{34} - \cdots - {}^t X_3 X'_3$ | $ $ | $X'_{13}$         | $)$ |
| $($ | $\dots\dots\dots$   | $ $ | $\dots\dots\dots$ | $)$ |
| $($ | $\dots\dots\dots$   | $ $ | $\dots\dots\dots$ | $)$ |
| $($ | $\dots\dots\dots -X_{1v-1} X'_{1v-1} - \cdots - X_{v-2v-1}$                       | $ $ | $X'_{1v-1}$       | $)$ |
| $($ | $\dots\dots\dots -X_{1v} - {}^t X'_{1v} - \cdots - X_{v-1v} X_{v-1v}$             | $ $ | $X'_{1v}$         | $)$ |

(4.25)

The relation (4.21) by means of (4.25) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta, \beta' \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} Tr [ ({}^t X_{12} X'_{12} + \cdots + {}^t X_{1v} X'_{1v}) + (X_{12} {}^t X'_{12} + {}^t X_{23} X'_{23} + \cdots + {}^t X_{2v} X'_{2v}) \\ &\quad + (X_{13} {}^t X'_{13} + X_{23} {}^t X'_{23} + {}^t X_{34} X'_{34} + \cdots + {}^t X_{3v} X'_{3v}) + \cdots + (X_{1v-1} {}^t X'_{1v-1} + \cdots \\ &\quad + X_{v-2,v-1} {}^t X'_{v-2,v-1} + {}^t X_{v-1v} X'_{v-1v}) + (X_{1v} {}^t X'_{1v} + \cdots + X_{v-1v} {}^t X'_{v-1v}) ] \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

If  $\theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v}$  is one automorphism of the group  $T_1$ , then by the properties of  $\theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v}(\beta), \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v}(\beta') \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} Tr [ \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v} ({}^t X_{12}) \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v} (X'_{12}) + \cdots + \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v} ({}^t X_{1v}) \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v} (X'_{1v}) ] \\ &\quad + [ \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v} (X_{12}) \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v} ({}^t X'_{12}) + \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v} ({}^t X_{23}) \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v} (X'_{23}) + \cdots \\ &\quad + \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v} ({}^t X_{2v}) \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v} (X'_{2v}) ] + [ \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v} (X_{12}) \theta_{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_v} (X'_{13}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & +\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X_{2v})\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X'_{2v}) + [\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X_{12})\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X'_{13}) \\
 & +\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X_{23})\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X'_{23}) + \theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X_{34})\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X'_{34}) + \dots \\
 & +\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X_{3v})\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X'_{3v})] + \dots + [\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X_{1,v-1})\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X'_{1,v-1}) + \dots \\
 & +\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X_{v-2,v-1})\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X'_{v-2,v-1}) + \theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X_{v-1v})\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X'_{v-1v})] \\
 & +[\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X_{1v})\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X'_{1v}) + \dots + \theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X_{v-1v})\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X'_{v-1v})] \tag{4.27}
 \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X_{ij}) = {}^t X_{ij} \text{ and } \theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X'_{ij}) = X'_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq v \tag{4.28}$$

or

$$\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X_{ij}) = -{}^t X_{ij} \text{ and } \theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X'_{ij}) = -X'_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq v \tag{4.29}$$

and

$$\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X'_{ij}) = {}^t X'_{ij}, \theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X_{ij}) = X_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq v \tag{4.30}$$

or

$$\theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}({}^t X'_{ij}) = -{}^t X'_{ij}, \theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(X_{ij}) = -X_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq v \tag{4.31}$$

From (4.26), (4.27), (4.28), (4.29), (4.30), and (4.31) we obtain

$$\langle \beta, \beta' \rangle = \langle \theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(\beta), \theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v}(\beta') \rangle, \quad \forall \theta_{\varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_v} \in T_1 \tag{4.32}$$

This relation (4.32) completes the proof.

5. We consider the complex flag manifold

$$M_1 = SU(n)/SU(K_1) \times \dots \times SU(K_v),$$

where  $K_1 + \dots + K_v = n, v \geq 3$ . If  $v = 2$ , then the complex flag manifold becomes

$$M_1 = SU(n)/SU(K_1) \times SU(K_2), \quad K_1 + K_2 = n$$

which is called complex Grassmann manifold. This is a symmetric space, which is a special case of a reduced  $\Sigma$ -space.

This flag manifold can be written

$$M_1 = G/H$$

where  $G = SU(n) = \{A \in GL(n, C)/A * A = I_n\}$  and  $H = SU(K_1) \times \dots \times SU(K_v)$ , which consists of matrices of the form

$$H = \left\{ A \in SU(n)/A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A_v \end{pmatrix}, A_i \in SU(K_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, v \right\}.$$

We consider the Lie algebras  $g$  and  $h$  of  $G$  and  $H$  respectively, which are defined by

$$g = u(n) = \{\alpha \in gl(n, C) / \alpha + *\alpha = 0\}$$

$$h = \left\{ \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & & \\ & \alpha_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \alpha_v \end{pmatrix} / \alpha_i \in u(K_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, v \right\}$$

Let  $m$  be the tangent space of the complex flag manifold  $M_1 = SU(n)/SU(K_1) \times \dots \times SU(K_v), K_1 + \dots + K_v = n$ , at its origin 0. Then we have

$$g = h + m.$$

Then  $m$  can be represented by the matrices as follows

$$m = \left\{ \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -{}^t\bar{X}_{12} & -{}^t\bar{X}_{13} & & -{}^t\bar{X}_{1,v-1} & -{}^t\bar{X}_{1v} \\ X_{12} & 0 & -{}^t\bar{X}_{23} & & -{}^t\bar{X}_{2,v-1} & -{}^t\bar{X}_{2v} \\ X_{13} & X_{23} & 0 & \dots & -{}^t\bar{X}_{3,v-1} & -{}^t\bar{X}_{3v} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ X_{1v} & X_{2v} & X_{3v} & \dots & X_{v-1v} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left. \begin{array}{l} / X_{ij} \in M(K_j \times K_i, \mathbb{C}) \\ \text{the set of matrices} \\ K_j \times K_i / 1 \leq i < j \leq v \end{array} \right\}$$

We can construct a group  $\sum_1$  which has the same properties as  $\sum$ , defined in §4. Now, we can state the theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $M_1 = SU(n)/SU(K_1) \times \dots \times SU(K_v), K_1 + \dots + K_v = n$  be the complex flag manifold. Then  $M_1$  admits a reduced  $\sum$ -structure, hence it is a reduced  $\sum$ -space.*

On the tangent space  $m$  of  $M_1 = SU(n)/SU(K_1) \times \dots \times SU(K_v)$  at its origin 0 we define the following inner product

$$\langle, \rangle: m \times m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \langle, \rangle: (\beta, \beta') \rightarrow \langle \beta, \beta' \rangle = \frac{1}{2} Tr(\beta\beta') \tag{5.1}$$

If  $K$  is the Killing-Cartan form on  $u(n)$ , then we obtain

$$\langle, \rangle: g \times g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad K : (\alpha, \alpha') \rightarrow K(\alpha, \alpha') = -2nTr(\beta\beta'), \beta = \alpha/m, \beta' = \alpha'/m \tag{5.2}$$

From (5.1) and (5.2) we conclude that

$$\langle \beta, \beta' \rangle = -\frac{1}{4n} K(\beta, \beta') \tag{5.3}$$

It is known that  $K(\beta, \beta') = K(\alpha/m, \alpha'/m)$ . Since  $K(\alpha, \alpha')$  is negative definite on the simple Lie algebra  $u(n)$ , we conclude from (5.3) that the inner product  $\langle, \rangle$ , defined by (5.1), is positive definite. This inner product defines a metric  $d_1$  on  $M_1 = SU(n)/SU(K_1) \times \dots \times SU(K_v)$ , where  $K_1 + \dots + K_v = n$ .

Therefore we can state the theorem.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $M_1 = SU(n)/SU(K_1) \times \dots \times SU(K_v)$  be the complex flag manifold, where  $K_1 + \dots + K_v = n$ . Then the inner product (5.1) on the tangent space  $m$  of  $M_1$  at its origin, which comes by the restriction on  $m$  of the negative of the Killing-Cartan form on  $u(n)$  induces a Riemannian metric  $d_1$  on  $M_1$ . Then  $(M_1, d_1)$  is a reduced Riemannian  $\Sigma$ -space.*

Now, we consider the quaternionic flag manifold

$$M_2 = Sp(n)/Sp(K_1) \times \dots \times Sp(K_v)$$

where  $K_1 + \dots + K_v = n$   $v \geq 3$ . If  $v = 2$ , then quaternionic flag manifold takes the form

$$M_2 = Sp(n)/Sp(K_1) \times Sp(K_2), \quad K_1 + K_2 = n$$

which is called a quaternionic Grassmann manifold. This is also a symmetric space, which is a special case of a reduced  $\Sigma$ -space.

This flag manifold can be written

$$M_2 = G/H$$

where  $G = Sp(n) = \{A \in GL(n, \mathbb{H})/A * A = I_n\}$  and  $H = Sp(K_1) \times \dots \times Sp(K_v)$ .

It contains matrices of the following form

$$H = \left\{ A \in Sp(n)/A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & A_v \end{pmatrix}, A_i \in Sp(K_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, v \right\}.$$

Let  $g$  and  $h$  be the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $H$  respectively, which are defined by

$$g = sp(n) = \{\alpha \in gl(n, \mathbb{H})/\alpha^t J + J\alpha = 0\}, \text{ where}$$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & J_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$h = \left\{ \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & & \\ & \alpha_2 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \alpha_v \end{pmatrix} / \alpha_i \in sp(K_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, v \right\}$$

We consider the tangent space  $m$  of the quaternionic flag manifold  $M_2 = Sp(n)/Sp(K_1) \times \dots \times Sp(K_v)$ ,  $K_1 + \dots + K_v = n$ , at its origin 0. Then we have

$$g = h + m$$

The vector space  $m$  can be written by form of matrices as follows

$$m = \left\{ \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -{}^*\bar{X}_{12} & -{}^*\bar{X}_{13} & & -{}^t\bar{X}_{1,v-1} & -{}^t\bar{X}_{1v} \\ X_{12} & 0 & -{}^t\bar{X}_{23} & & -{}^t\bar{X}_{2,v-1} & -{}^t\bar{X}_{2v} \\ X_{13} & X_{23} & 0 & \cdots & -{}^t\bar{X}_{3,v-1} & -{}^t\bar{X}_{3v} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ X_{1v} & X_{2v} & X_{3v} & \cdots & X_{v-1,v} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right. \left. \begin{array}{l} / X_{ij} \in M(K_j \times K_i, \mathbb{H}) \\ \text{the set of matrices} \\ K_j \times K_i / 1 \leq i < j \leq v \\ \text{and } H \text{ is the field of} \\ \text{quaternionic numbers.} \end{array} \right\}$$

We also can construct a group  $\Sigma_2$  which has the same properties as  $\Sigma$ , defined in §4. Now, we have the theorem.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $M_2 = Sp(n)/Sp(K_1) \times \cdots \times Sp(K_v)$ ,  $K_1 + \cdots + K_v = n$ , be the quaternionic flag manifold. Then  $M_2$  admits a reduced  $\Sigma$ -structure, hence it is a reduced  $\Sigma$ -space.*

On the tangent space  $m$  of  $M_2 = Sp(n)/Sp(K_1) \times \cdots \times Sp(K_v)$  at its origin 0 we define the following inner product

$$\langle, \rangle : m \times m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \langle, \rangle : (\beta, \beta') \rightarrow \langle \beta, \beta' \rangle = \frac{1}{2} Tr(\beta\beta') \tag{5.4}$$

Let  $K$  be the Killing-Cartan form on  $Sp(n)$ , then we have

$$K : g \times g \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, K : (\alpha, \alpha') \rightarrow K(\alpha, \alpha) = -2(n + 1)Tr(\beta\beta'), \beta = \alpha/m, \beta' = \alpha'/m \tag{5.5}$$

The relations (5.4) and (5.5) imply

$$\langle \beta, \beta' \rangle = -\frac{1}{4(n + 1)} K(\beta, \beta') \tag{5.6}$$

where  $K(\beta, \beta') = K(\alpha/m, \alpha'/m)$ . Since  $K(\alpha, \alpha')$  is negative definite on the simple Lie algebra  $Sp(n)$ , we obtain from (5.6) that the inner product  $\langle, \rangle$ , defined by (5.4), is positive definite. This inner product defines a metric  $d_2$  on  $M_2 = Sp(n)/Sp(K_1) \times \cdots \times Sp(K_v)$ , where  $K_1 + \cdots + K_v = n$ .

From the above we have the theorem.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $M_2 = Sp(n)/Sp(K_1) \times \cdots \times Sp(K_v)$  be the quaternionic flag manifold, where  $K_1 + \cdots + K_v = n$ . Then the inner product (5.4) on the tangent space  $m$  of  $M_2$  at its origin, which comes by the restriction on  $m$  of the negative of the Killing-Cartan form on  $Sp(n)$  induces a Riemannian metric  $d_2$  on  $M_2$ . Then  $(M_2, d_2)$  is a Riemannian  $\Sigma$ -space.*

### References

[1] F. Hirzbruch, *Topological methods in algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.



- [2] P. J. Graham and A. J. Ledger, " $s$ -Regular manifolds, Differential Geometry in honour of K. Yano," *Kinokuniya*, Tokyo, (1972), 133-144.
- [3] A. J. Ledger and A. R. Razani, "Reduced  $\Sigma$ -space," *Illinois Jour. Math.* 26(1982), 272-299.
- [4] A. J. Ledger, *Affine and Riemannian  $\Sigma$ -spaces*, Seminar on Mathematical Science, No 5, Keio University, 1982.
- [5] O. Loos, "Spiegelungräume and homogene symmetrische," *Räume Math. Z.*, 99 (1967), 67-72.
- [6] O. Loos, "Reflexion spaces of minimal and maximal torsion," *Math. Z.*, 106 (1968), 67-72.
- [7] O. Loos, "An intrinsic characterisation of fibre bundles associated with homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms," *Abhandl. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, 37 (1972), 160-179.
- [8] Gr. Tsagas, "Special connections on the real flag manifolds," *Proc. of the 2rd Congress of Geometry. Thessaloniki*, 1987, 221-221.

Division of Mathematics, Department of Mathematical and Physics, School of Technology, University of Thessaloniki Thessaloniki, 54006- GREECE.

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Liverpool, P. O. Box 147, Liverpool LG9 3BX, United Kingdom.