

## PRIMARY ELEMENTS AND PRIME POWER ELEMENTS IN MULTIPLICATIVE LATTICES

C. JAYARAM AND E. W. JOHNSON

Throughout, we assume that  $L$  is a  $C$ -lattice. For any prime element  $p$  of  $L$ ,  $L_p$  denotes the localization at  $\{x \in C \mid x \not\leq p\}$ , where  $C$  is a multiplicative closed subset of compact elements of  $L$  which generates  $L$  under joint. For details see [7].

We shall begin with the following definitions.

**Definition 1.**  $L$  is said to satisfy the condition  $(\alpha)$  if every primary element is a power of its radical.

**Definition 2.**  $L$  is said to satisfy the condition  $(\delta)$  if every element is a finite meet of prime power elements.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity. If every primary ideal of  $R$  is a power of its radical, then  $L(R)$  (the lattice of ideals of  $R$ ) is an  $r$ -lattice satisfying the condition  $(\alpha)$ . If every ideal of  $R$  is a finite intersection of prime power ideals, then  $L(R)$  is an  $r$ -lattice which satisfies the condition  $(\delta)$ . If  $L$  is a principally generated  $M$ -lattice (for definition see [6]), then  $L$  satisfies the condition  $(\alpha)$  (see Lemma 4.4 of [2]). If  $L$  is a principal element lattice, then  $L$  satisfies the condition  $(\delta)$  (see Theorem 5 of [8]).

Craig A. Wood, H. S. Butts and R. W. Gilmer have studied these conditions in the case of commutative rings (see [3] and [4]).

We need some more definitions to prove the main results.

**Definition 3.** A prime element  $p$  of  $L$  is said to be an  $\alpha$ -prime if every primary element  $q \leq p$ , is a power of its radical.

**Definition 4.** A prime element  $p$  of  $L$  is called a weak  $\delta$ -prime if every element  $a \leq p$  is a finite meet of prime power elements.

**Definition 5.** A prime element  $p$  of  $L$  is called a  $\delta$ -prime if every element  $a \leq p$  is a finite meet of powers of  $\alpha$ -prime elements.

---

Received September 20, 1994; revised October 3, 1995.

Note that  $L$  satisfies the condition  $(\alpha)$  if and only if every prime is an  $\alpha$ -prime. Obviously, every  $\delta$ -prime element is a weak  $\delta$ -prime element.

We prove some lemmas that we need.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $p$  is a weak  $\delta$ -prime element, then  $p$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime element.*

**Proof.** The proof of the lemma is similar to the proof of Theorem 8 of [3].

**Lemma 2.** *The following statements on  $L$  are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$L$  satisfies the condition  $(\delta)$ .*
- (ii) *Every prime element of  $L$  is a weak  $\delta$ -prime element.*
- (iii) *Every prime element is a  $\delta$ -prime element*

**Proof.** (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) directly follows from the definitions and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is obvious. (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follows from Lemma 1.

If  $\{p_\alpha\}$  is the collection of prime elements minimal over  $a$ , then by the isolated primary component of  $a$  belonging to  $p_\alpha$  (or the isolated  $p_\alpha$ -primary component of  $a$ ) we mean the meet  $\bigwedge q_\alpha$  of all  $p_\alpha$ -primary elements which contain  $a$ .

Note that in  $L$ , every finite product of compact elements is compact. Therefore if  $a \leq p$  and  $p$  is a prime element, then  $p$  is a minimal prime over  $a$  if and only if for any compact element  $x \in L$ ,  $x \leq p$  implies there exists a compact element  $y \not\leq p$  such that  $x^n y \leq a$  for some positive integer  $n$  (see Lemma 3.5 of [2]). Further if  $p$  is a minimal prime over  $a$ , then the isolated  $p$ -primary component of  $a$  is a  $p$ -primary element (see Lemma 3.8 of [2]).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $p$  be a minimal prime over  $a$  ( $a, p \in L$ ) and let  $q$  be the isolated  $p$ -primary component of  $a$ . Then*

$$q = \bigvee \{x \in C \mid xy \leq a, y \not\leq p \text{ for some } y \in C\} = a_p$$

**Proof.** The proof of the lemma is straightforward and hence is omitted.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $p$  be a prime element of  $L$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let  $p^{(n)} = \bigvee \{x \in C \mid xy \leq p^n, y \not\leq p \text{ for some } y \in C\}$ . Then  $p^{(n)}$  is the isolated primary component of  $p^n$ .*

**Proof.** The proof of the lemma follows from Lemma 3.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $p^{(n)}$  is called the  $n$ th symbolic power of  $p$ . Note that  $p^{(n)} = p_p^n$  and  $p^{(n)} \circ_p p^{(k)} = p_p^n \circ p_p^k = (p^n p^k)_p = p_p^{n+k} = p^{(n+k)}$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $p$  be a prime element of  $L$  and let every  $p$ -primary element be a power of  $p$ . If the symbolic powers of  $p$  properly descend, then for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $p^{(n)} = p^n$ . Hence each  $p^n$  is  $p$ -primary.*

**Proof.** The proof follows by induction on  $n$ .

For each prime element  $p \in L$ , Let  $p^w = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} p^n$  and  $p^{(w)} = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{(n)}$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $p$  be a prime element of  $L$ . If  $p^{(w)}$  is a prime element and if  $p^{(w)} < p$ , then the symbolic powers of  $p$  properly descend.*

**Proof.** Observe that  $p^{(w)} = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} p^{(n)} = \bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} p_p^n$ , so  $p^{(w)} = p_p^{(w)} = (\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} p_p^n)_p = \bigwedge_p p_p^n = \bigwedge_p p^{(n)}$ . If  $p^{(n)} = p^{(n+1)} = p^{(n)} \circ_p p^{(1)}$ , then  $p^{(n)} = p^{(k)}$  for all  $k \geq n$ , so  $p^{(w)} = p^{(n)}$ . Since  $p^n \leq p^{(n)} = p^{(w)}$  and  $p^{(w)}$  is a prime element, it follows that  $p \leq p^{(w)}$  which is a contradiction. Therefore the symbolic powers of  $p$  properly descend. This completes the proof the lemma.

An element  $a \in L$  is said to be a strong join principal element if  $a$  is join principal and compact. An element  $b \in L$  is called prime to  $a$  ( $a < 1$ ) if whenever  $bc \leq a$ , then  $c \leq a$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $d$  be a strong join principal element of  $L$  and let  $d$  be prime to an element  $b \in L$ . Suppose  $p$  is a prime element minimal over  $d \vee b$  and let  $q_i$  be the isolated  $p$ -primary component of  $d^i \vee b$ . Then  $q_1 > q_2 > q_3 > \dots$ .*

**Proof.** Clearly  $q_1 \geq q_2 \geq \dots$ . We show that for each  $i$ ,  $d^i \leq q_i$  and  $d^i \not\leq q_{i+1}$ . Obviously  $d^i \leq q_i$ . If  $d^i \leq q_{i+1}$ , then by Lemma 3,  $d^i y \leq d^{i+1} \vee b = d^i d \vee b$  for some compact element  $y \not\leq p$ . Since  $d^i$  is prime to  $b$ , it follows that  $(b : d^i) \leq b$ . As  $y \leq (d^i d \vee b : d^i)$  and  $d^i$  is join principal, we get  $y \leq d \vee (b : d^i) \leq d \vee b \leq p$  which is a contradiction. Therefore  $d^i \not\leq q_{i+1}$  and hence  $q_1 > q_2 > q_3 > \dots$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime element of  $L$  and let every  $p$ -primary element be a power of its radical. Let  $d$  be a strong join principal element and let  $d$  be prime to an element  $b \in L$ . If  $p$  is minimal prime over  $d \vee b$ , then the powers of  $p$ , properly descend,  $b \leq p^w$  and  $p^w$  is the meet of all  $p$ -primary elements of  $L$ .*

**Proof.** The proof of the theorem is simsilar to the proof of Theorem 1 of [3].

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose  $L$  is generated by strong join principal elements. Let  $m$  be an  $\alpha$ -prime element and let  $p_0$  be a prime element such that  $p_0 < m$ . Then  $p_0 \leq m^w$ .*

**Proof.** Choose any strong join principal element  $d$  such that  $d \leq m$  and  $d \not\leq p_0$ . Then  $d$  is prime to  $p_0$ . Let  $p \leq m$  be a minimal prime over  $d \vee p_0$ . Then by Theorem 1,  $p_0 \leq p^w \leq m^w$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Lemma 8.** *Suppose  $L$  is generated by strong join principal elements. Let  $p$  and  $m$  be prime elements such that  $p < m$  and there are no prime elements strictly between  $p$  and  $m$ . If every  $m$ -primary element is a power of  $m$ , then*

$$p = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} m^{(k)} = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} m^k.$$

**Proof.** We show that  $\bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} m^{(k)} \leq p$ . Let  $x$  be any strong join principal element such that  $x \leq \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} m^{(k)}$  and  $x \not\leq p$ . Then  $x^2 \not\leq p$ , so  $m$  is a minimal prime over  $p \vee x^2$ . Let  $q = \bigvee \{y \in C \mid yz \leq x^2 \vee p, z \not\leq m, \text{ for some } z \in C\}$ . The  $q$  is  $m$ -primary, so by hypothesis,  $q = m^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . As  $m^k$  is  $m$ -primary, it follows that  $m^k = m^{(k)}$  and hence  $x \leq q$ . As  $x$  is compact,  $xa \leq x^2 \vee p$  for some  $a \not\leq m$ . As  $x$  is join principal,  $a \leq (x^2 \vee p : x) = x \vee (p : x) \leq x \vee p$  since  $(p : x) \leq p$ . Therefore  $a \leq p \vee x \leq m$ , a contradiction and hence  $\bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} m^{(k)} \leq p$ . The remaining part follows from Theorem 1.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $L$  be generated by strong join principal elements. Let  $m$  be a nonminimal prime element. If  $m$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime, then  $m^w = \bigwedge_{k=1}^{\infty} m^k$  is a prime element containing each prime element properly contained in  $m$ . Further each  $m^k$  is primary.*

**Proof.** If  $m = m^2$ , then we are through. So assume that  $m^2 < m$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y} = \{p \in L \mid p \text{ is prime and } p < m\}$ . By hypothesis  $\mathcal{Y} \neq \emptyset$ . By Theorem 2 and by Zorn's lemma,  $\mathcal{Y}$  contains a maximal element  $p$  such that  $p$  is prime,  $p < m$  and there are no prime elements properly between  $p$  and  $m$ . By Lemma 8,  $p = m^w = m^{(w)}$ . By Theorem 2,  $p$  contains each prime element properly contained in  $m$ . Further by Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, each  $m^k$  is  $m$ -primary. This completes the proof of the theorem.

As consequences, we have the following results.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $L$  be generated by strong join principal elements. If  $L$  satisfies the condition  $(\alpha)$ , then  $p^n (n \in \mathbb{Z}^+)$  is  $p$ -primary for every nonminimal prime element  $p$  of  $L$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $L$  be generated by strong join principal elements. If  $L$  is a domain and if  $p$  is an  $\alpha$ -prime, then  $p^n$  is  $p$ -primary for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .*

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $L$  be generated by strong join principal elements. If  $L$  is a domain and if  $L$  satisfied the condition  $(\alpha)$ , then prime power elements are primary.*

**Lemma 9.** *Suppose  $L$  is generated by strong join principal elements. Let  $\sqrt{a} = p$  and  $p$  be a  $\delta$ -prime element. Then  $a = p^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .*

**Proof.** By using Theorem 2 and by imitating the proof of Theorem 9 of [3], we can get the result.

**Lemma 10.** *Suppose  $L$  is generated by strong join principal elements. If  $p$  is a nonminimal  $\delta$ -prime element, then  $p$  is maximal.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $p$  is a nonminimal  $\delta$ -prime element. Then  $p_1 < p$  for some prime

element  $p_1 \leq L$ . Choose any strong join principal element  $d \leq p$  such that  $d \not\leq p$ . Let  $p_0 \leq p$  be a minimal prime over  $d \vee p_1$ . Note that  $p_0$  is a  $\delta$ -prime and hence it is an  $\alpha$ -prime. Again by Lemma 7,  $p_0 \neq p_0^2$ . Choose any strong join principal  $y \leq p_0$  such that  $y \not\leq p_0^2$ . Suppose  $p$  is nonmaximal. Then  $p < m$  for some maximal element  $m$  of  $L$ . Since by Theorem 3,  $p_0^2$  is  $p_0$ -primary,  $my \not\leq p_0^2$ , so  $p_0^2 < p_0^2 \vee my \leq p_0$  and therefore  $\sqrt{p_0^2 \vee my} = p_0$ . By Lemma 9,  $p_0^2 \vee my = p_0$ , so  $y \leq my \vee p_0^2$  and hence  $1 = (my \vee p_0^2 : y)$ . Again since  $y$  is join principal, we have  $1 = m \vee (p_0^2 : y) \leq m \vee p_0$  (since  $(p_0^2 : y) \leq p_0$ ) =  $m$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $p$  is maximal and this completes the proof of the lemma.

For the definitons of discrete valuation lattices and special principa element lattices, the reader is referred to [10].

**Lemma 11.** *Suppose  $L$  is principally generated. If  $m$  is a nonminimal  $\delta$ -prime element, then  $L_m$  is a one -dimensional discrete valuation lattice.*

**Proof.** Note that by Lemma 10,  $\dim L_m = 1$ , so every element has a prime radical. Again as  $m$  is a  $\delta$ -prime, by Lemma 9, every element of  $L_m$  is a prime power. Consequently  $L_m$  is totally ordered. Again by Lemma 4.8 of [1],  $L_m$  is a one-dimensional discrete valuation lattice.

**Lemma 12.** *Suppose  $L$  is principally generated. If  $m$  is a  $\delta$ -prime element whcih is both maximal and minimal, then  $L_m$  is a special principal element lattice.*

**Proof.** The proof of the lemma follows from Lemma 9.

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose  $L$  is principally generated. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L$  satisfies the condition  $(\delta)$ .
- (ii) Every prime element is a weak  $\delta$ -prime element.
- (iii) Every prime element is a  $\delta$ -prime element.
- (iv) Every element is principal.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2, (i), (ii), and (iii) are equivalent, (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Suppose (iii) holds. By Lemma 10,  $\dim L \leq 1$ . Next we show that every prime element is weak meet principal. Let  $m$  be a prime element. Suppose  $a \leq m$ . By hypothesis,  $a = p_1^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge p_n^{\alpha_n}$  for some prime elements  $p_i \in L (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $p_i$ 's are distinct.

We can also assume that  $p_i \not\leq p_j$  for  $i \neq j (1 \leq i, j \leq n)$ . By Lemma 1, every prime element is an  $\alpha$ -prime. As  $\dim L \leq 1$ , by Theorem 3,  $p_i$ 's are comaximal and hence  $a = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdot p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_n^{\alpha_n}$ . As  $a \leq m$ ,  $p_i \leq m$  for some  $i$ . If  $p_i = m$ , then we are through. Suppose  $p_i < m$ . By Lemma 11,  $p_{i,m} = 0_m$  and so  $ab = 0$  for some  $b \not\leq m$ . Since  $m$  is maximal, it follows that  $a = am$ . This shows that every prime element is weak meet principal. Again note that  $L$  contains only a finite number of minimal primes and hence

by Theorem 1.5 of [9],  $L$  is a principal element lattice. (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follows from Theorem 5 of [8]. This completes the proof of the theorem.

### References

- [1] D. D. Anderson, "Abstract commutative ideal theory without chain condition," *Algebra Universalis*, 6(1976), 131-145.
- [2] D. D. Anderson, C. Jayaram and F. Alarcon, "Some results on abstract commutative ideal theory," *Stu. Sci. Math. Hung.* (to appear).
- [3] H. S. Butts and R. W. Gilmer, "Primary ideals and prime power ideals," *Canad. J. Math.*, (1966), 1183-1195.
- [4] Craig A. Wood, "On general ZPI-rings," *Pacific J. Math.*, 30(1969), 837-846.
- [5] R. P. Dilworth, "Abstract commutative ideal theory," *Pacific J. Math.*, 12(1962), 481-498.
- [6] M. F. Janowitz, "Principal multiplicative lattices," *Pacific J. Math.*, 33(1970), 653-656.
- [7] C. Jayaram and E. W. Johnson, "Almost principal element lattices," *Inter. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* (to appear).
- [8] E. W. Johnson and J. P. Lediaev, "Representable distributive Noether lattices," *Pacific J. Math.*, 28(1969), 561-564.
- [9] E. W. Johnson and J. A. Johnson, "P-lattices as ideal lattices and submodule lattices," *Commen. Math. Univ. San. Pauli*, 38(1989), 21-27.
- [10] E. W. Johnson, "Selfduality and comultiplication lattices," *Algebra Universalis*, 26(1989), 196-201.

The University of Iowa, Department of Mathematics, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, U.S.A.