

## TOTALLY UMBILICAL CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF A NEARLY KAEHLER MANIFOLD

S. H. KON AND SIN-LENG TAN

**Abstract.** The geometry of a CR-submanifold in a Kaehler manifold has been extensively studied. B.Y. Chen has classified the totally umbilical CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold and showed that they are either totally geodesic, or totally real or  $\dim(D^\perp) = 1$ . In this paper we show that such a result is also true in a nearly Kaehler manifold.

### 1. Introduction

A. Bejancu [1] introduced the notion of a CR-submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold. The geometry of a CR-submanifold in a Kaehler manifold has been extensively studied, a number of these results also hold for a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold, see [2], [4], [6] and [7].

B. Y. Chen [4] classified the totally umbilical CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold and showed that they are either totally geodesic, or totally real or  $\dim(D^\perp) = 1$ . In this paper we shall generalize this result to nearly Kaehler manifolds and also show that the anti-holomorphic distribution of a totally umbilical CR-submanifold in a nearly Kaehler manifold is integrable and its leaves are totally geodesic.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $N$  be an almost Hermitian manifold with almost complex structure  $J$  and Hermitian metric  $g$ . A submanifold  $M$  of  $N$  is said to be a CR-submanifold of  $N$  if there exists a differentiable distribution.

$$D : x \rightarrow D_x \subset T_x M$$

---

Received October 29, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53B35, 53C15, 53C40.

*Key words and phrases.* CR -submanifolds, nearly Kaehler, totally umbilical.

on  $M$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $D$  is holomorphic, i.e.,  $J(D_x) = D_x$  for each  $x \in M$ .
- (ii) the complementary orthogonal distribution

$$D^\perp : x \rightarrow D_x^\perp \subset T_x M.$$

is anti-invariant, i.e.,  $J(D_x^\perp) \subset T_x M^\perp$  for each  $x \in M$ .

If  $D = \{0\}$ , (resp.  $D^\perp = \{0\}$ ), then  $M$  is said to be a totally real (resp. holomorphic) submanifold. The normal bundle  $TM^\perp$  splits as  $TM^\perp = JD^\perp \oplus \mu$ , where  $\mu$  is the orthogonal complement of  $JD^\perp$  and is an invariant subbundle of  $TM^\perp$  under  $J$ .

Let  $\tilde{\nabla}$  be the Riemannian connection on  $N$ , then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given respectively by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y),$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X U = -A_U X + \nabla_X^\perp U.$$

for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $U \in \Gamma(TM^\perp)$ , where  $\nabla$  is the induced Riemannian connection on  $M$ ,  $h$  the second fundamental form of  $M$ ,  $A_U$  the second fundamental tensor related by

$$g(A_U X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), U)$$

and  $\nabla^\perp$  is the induced normal connection in the normal bundle  $TM^\perp$ .

A submanifold  $M$  is said to be totally umbilical if

$$h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in \Gamma(TM),$$

where  $H = \frac{1}{n}$  (trace of  $h$ ), called the mean curvature vector of  $M$  in  $N$ . The submanifold  $M$  is a totally geodesic submanifold of  $N$  if  $h(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ . It is a minimal submanifold if  $H = 0$ . Obviously a minimal, totally umbilical submanifold is totally geodesic.

A Hermitian manifold  $N$  is called a Kaehler manifold if its fundamental 2-form  $\Omega$ , where  $\Omega(X, Y) = g(X, JY)$  for  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TN)$ , is closed. It is not difficult to show that an almost Hermitian manifold  $N$  is a Kaehler manifold if and only if the almost complex structure  $J$  is parallel with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$ , i.e.,  $\tilde{\nabla}_X J = 0$  for all  $X \in \Gamma(TN)$ . An almost Hermitian manifold is called a nearly Kaehler manifold if we have

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)X = 0 \quad \text{for all } X \in \Gamma(TN).$$

Thus, an almost Hermitian manifold  $N$  is nearly Kaehler if and only if

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X J)Y + (\tilde{\nabla}_Y J)X = 0 \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in \Gamma(TN).$$

### 3. Integrability of the anti-holomorphic distribution of totally umbilical CR-submanifolds

We will prove in this section an integrability theorem on the anti-holomorphic distribution  $D^\perp$ . We first recall two results on the distribution  $D^\perp$ , see [2, page 28].

**Lemma 3.1.** (Sato). *Let  $M$  be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $N$ . The distribution  $D^\perp$  is integrable if and only if*

$$g(h(U, X), JW) = g(h(W, X), JU) \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $U, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(D)$ .

**Lemma 3.2** (Bejancu). *Let  $M$  be a CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $N$ . If  $D^\perp$  is integrable, then each leaf of  $D^\perp$  is immersed in  $M$  as a totally geodesic submanifold if and only if  $g(h(U, X), JW) = 0$  for all  $U, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and  $X \in \Gamma(D)$ .*

From the above two lemmas, we are able to obtain the following proposition, which generalizes [3, Lemma 8.2].

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a totally umbilical CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $N$ . Then  $D^\perp$  is integrable and its leaves are totally geodesic in  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $M$  is totally umbilical in  $N$ , both sides of (3.1) vanish. It follows from the above two lemmas that  $D^\perp$  is integrable and its leaves are totally geodesic in  $M$ .

### 4. The geometry of totally umbilical CR-submanifolds

In this section, we will generalize a classification theorem of Chen [4] to nearly Kaehler manifolds. The following generalizes [3; Lemma 7.1].

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a totally umbilical CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $N$ . If  $\dim D^\perp > 1$ , then we have*

- (i)  $H \perp JD^\perp$ ,
- (ii)  $A_{JX}Y = 0$  for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Since  $M$  is nearly Kaehler, for  $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ , we have  $(\tilde{\nabla}_Z J)W = -(\tilde{\nabla}_W J)Z$  or  $\tilde{\nabla}_Z JW - J\tilde{\nabla}_Z W = -\tilde{\nabla}_W JZ + J\tilde{\nabla}_W Z$  or  $-A_{JW}Z + \nabla_Z^\perp JW - J\nabla_Z W - Jh(Z, W) = A_{JZ}W - \nabla_W^\perp JZ + J\nabla_W Z + Jh(Z, W)$ . Since  $M$  is totally umbilical, we have

$$J(\nabla_W Z + \nabla_Z W) + 2g(Z, W)JH = -A_{JW}Z - A_{JZ}W + \nabla_Z^\perp JW + \nabla_W^\perp JZ.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & g(J(\nabla_W Z + \nabla_Z W), Z) + 2g(Z, W)g(Z, JH) \\ &= -g(A_{JW}Z, Z) - g(A_{JZ}W, Z) + g(\nabla^\perp JW, Z) + g(\nabla_W^\perp JZ, Z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2g(Z, W)g(Z, JH) \\ &= -g(h(Z, Z), JW) - g(h(W, Z), JZ) \\ &= \|Z\|^2 g(W, JH) + g(Z, W)g(Z, JH), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$g(Z, W)g(Z, JH) = \|Z\|^2 g(W, JH).$$

Interchanging  $Z, W$  in the above equation, we obtain

$$g(Z, W)g(W, JH) = \|W\|^2 g(Z, JH).$$

Hence

$$g(W, JH) = \frac{g(Z, W)^2}{\|Z\|^2 \|W\|^2} g(W, JH).$$

Now, if  $\dim D^\perp > 1$ , then for  $Z$  not parallel with  $W$ ,

$$g(Z, W)^2 < \|Z\|^2 \|W\|^2$$

and so  $g(W, JH) = 0$ , hence  $H \perp JD^\perp$ . (ii) Let  $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} g(A_{JX}Y, Z) &= g(h(Y, Z), JX) = g(Y, Z)g(H, JX) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{from (i)}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** A totally umbilical anti-holomorphic submanifold of a Kaehler manifold is either totally geodesic or a hypersurface [3, Theorem 7.2]. Similarly, if  $M$  is a submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $N$  such that  $J(T_x M^\perp) \subset T_x M$  for all  $x \in M$ , then  $M$  can be regarded as a CR-submanifold with  $D^\perp = J(T_x M^\perp)$ . For such a manifold, which is also totally umbilical, Proposition 4.1 show that either  $M$  is totally geodesic or  $M$  is a hypersurface in  $N$ , generalizing the above mentioned result of Blair and Chen for a totally umbilical anti-holomorphic submanifold of a Kaehler manifold.

The following theorem generalizes Chen's classification theorem [4] to nearly Kaehler manifolds.

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $M$  be a totally umbilical CR-submanifold of a nearly Kaehler manifold  $N$ . Then either*

- (i)  $M$  is totally geodesic, or
- (ii)  $M$  is totally real, or
- (iii)  $D^\perp$  is of dimension 1.

**Proof.** If  $\dim D^\perp > 1$ , we have  $JH \in \Gamma(\mu)$  from Proposition 4.1. Now if  $M$  is not totally real, then  $\dim D \geq 2$ . Consider  $Z \neq 0$  in  $\Gamma(D)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g(JZ, Z)g(JH, H) \\ &= g(A_{JH}JZ, Z) \\ &= g(-\tilde{\nabla}_{JZ}JH + \nabla_{JZ}^\perp JH, Z) \\ &= g(-\tilde{\nabla}_{JZ}JH, Z) = g(JH, \tilde{\nabla}_{JZ}Z), \quad \text{since } JH \perp Z \\ &= g(JH, -\tilde{\nabla}_{JZ}J(JZ)) \\ &= g(JH, -(\tilde{\nabla}_{JZ}J)JZ - J\tilde{\nabla}_{JZ}JZ) \\ &= g(JH, -J\tilde{\nabla}_{JZ}JZ), \quad \text{since } (\tilde{\nabla}_{JZ}J)JZ = 0 \\ &= g(JH, -J\nabla_{JZ}JZ - Jh(JZ, JZ)) \\ &= g(JH, -Jh(JZ, JZ)) \\ &= -g(JH, JH)g(JZ, JZ) = -\|H\|^2\|Z\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $H = 0$ . Thus  $M$  is minimal and hence totally geodesic in  $N$ . Finally, for the case  $D^\perp = \{0\}$ ,  $M$  is minimal, see [7], and so is totally geodesic.

**Remark.** A CR-submanifold  $M$  with  $D^\perp$  integrable is said to be  $D^\perp$ -totally umbilical if

$$h(U, W) = g(U, W)H_{D^\perp}$$

for all  $U, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$  and some vector field  $H_{D^\perp}$ . A classification theorem, similar to Chen's result [4] has been obtained in [5] for  $D^\perp$ -totally umbilical CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold. It is easy to show that when  $D^\perp$  is integrable, such a result is also true in a nearly Kaehler manifold.

### References

- [1] A. Bejancu, "CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold I," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 69(1978), 135-142.
- [2] A. Bejancu, *Geometry of CR-submanifolds*, Reidel Holland, 1986.
- [3] D. E. Blair and B. Y. Chen, "On CR-submanifolds of Hermitian manifolds," *Israel J. Math.*, 34(1979), 352-363.
- [4] B. Y. Chen, "Totally umbilical submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds," *Arch. Math.*, 36(1981), 83-91.
- [5] S. M. Khursheed Haider, V. A. Khan and S. I. Husain, "Totally umbilical CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold," *Tamkang J. Math.*, 24(1993), 43-49.
- [6] S. H. Kon and Sin-Leng Tan, "CR-submanifolds of a nearly Kaehlerian manifold," *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc.*, (Second Series), 14(1991), 31-38.
- [7] S. H. Kon and Sin-Leng Tan, "CR-submanifolds of a quasi-Kaehler manifold," *Tamkang J. Math.*, 26(1995), 261-266.