## A NOTE ON HUA'S INEQUALITY FOR COMPLEX NUMBERS

GOU-SHENG YANG AND BAE-KEWI HAN

Abstract. In this paper we give a general Hua's inequality involving a finite sequence of complex numbers.

## 1. Introduction

The following inequality of Lo-Keng Hua [1, P.149] is important in Number Theory.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\delta$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $x_i \in R(i = 1, \dots, n)$ . Then

$$(\delta - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i)^2 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \ge \frac{\alpha}{n+\alpha} \delta^2 \tag{1}$$

with equality if and only if

$$x_i = \frac{\delta}{n+\alpha}, \ i = 1, \cdots, n.$$
 (2)

The following generalization of Theorem 1 is due to Chung-Lie Wang [2]. See also [5].

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\delta$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and

$$F_n(x) = (\delta - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i)^p + \alpha^{p-1} (\sum_{i=1}^n x^p).$$
(3)

Then for p > 1, the inequality

$$F_n \ge \left(\frac{\alpha}{n+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} \delta^p \tag{4}$$

Received August 23, 1995.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 26D15. Key words and phrases. Hua's inequality. holds for all nonnegative  $x_i \in \mathbf{R}(i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \delta$ .

The sign of enequality in (4) is reversed for 0 . In either case, the sign of equality holds if and only if (2) holds.

Recently, Dragomir [3] established an analogue inequality of (1) for complex numbers as follow.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\delta, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following inequality

$$|\delta - \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i|^2 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} |z_i|^2 \ge \frac{\alpha}{n+\alpha} |\delta|^2 \tag{5}$$

holds. The equality is valid in (5) if and only if

$$z_i = \frac{\delta}{n+\alpha}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$
(6)

The main purpose of this note is to give a generalization of Theorem 3.

## **Gneralization of theorem 3**

**Theorem 4.** If  $\alpha > 0$ , let  $\delta, z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$  and

$$F_{n}(z) = \left|\delta - \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}\right|^{p} + \alpha^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |z_{i}|^{p}$$

The for p > 1, we have

$$F_n(z) \ge \left(\frac{\alpha}{n+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} |\delta|^p,\tag{7}$$

and the sign of equality holds in (16) if and only if

$$z_i = \frac{\delta}{n+lpha}, \qquad \qquad i = 1, \cdots, n.$$

**Proof.** we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** [4, P.26]: If k > 1, and k' is the conjugate of k, then

$$\left|\sum ab\right| \le \left(\sum |a|^{k'}\right)^{\frac{1}{k'}} \left(\sum |b|^k\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \tag{8}$$

holds for any finite complex sequences (a), (b). There is equality if and only if  $(|a_v|^{k'})$  and  $(|b_v|^k)$  are proportional and  $arga_v b_v$  is independent of v.

Now, let  $\lambda = \delta - \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i$ . Then  $z_1 = \delta - \lambda - \sum_{i=2}^{n} z_i$ . Applying (8) with k = p > 1 to the following two sequences (a), (b):

$$a_1 = 1, b_1 = \lambda, a_2 = \alpha^{\frac{1-p}{p}}, b_2 = (\delta - \lambda - \sum_{i=2}^n z_i) \alpha^{\frac{p-1}{p}},$$

100

and

$$a_i = \alpha^{\frac{1-p}{p}}, b_i = z_{i-1} \alpha^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$$
 for  $3 \le i \le n+1$ .

where  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i b_i = \delta$ , we have

$$\begin{split} |\delta \leq & (1+n\alpha^{-1})^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (|\lambda|^p + \left|\delta - \lambda - \sum_{i=2}^n \right|^p \alpha^{p-1} + \sum_{i=2}^n |z_i|^p \alpha^{p-1})^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ = & (\frac{n+\alpha}{\alpha})^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (F_n(z))^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{split}$$

so that

$$|\delta|^p \le (\frac{n+\alpha}{\alpha})^{p-1} F_n(z),$$

which is equivalent to

$$F_n(z) \ge \left(\frac{\alpha}{n+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} |\delta|^P.$$
(9)

If the equality holds in (9), then

$$\frac{|\lambda|}{1} = \frac{|\delta - \lambda - \sum_{i=2}^{n} z_i|}{\alpha^{-1}} = \frac{|z_2|}{\alpha^{-1}} = \dots = \frac{|z_n|}{\alpha^{-1}} \text{ with } z_1 = \delta - \lambda - \sum_{i=2}^{n} z_i, \quad (10)$$

and

$$\delta - \lambda - \sum_{i=2}^{n} z_i = t_1 \lambda \; ; \; z_i = t_i \lambda, \; t_i \in \mathbf{R}_+, i = 2 \cdots, n.$$
(11)

It follows from (10) and (11) that

$$z_i = \frac{\delta}{n+lpha}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, n$$

Converserly, if

$$z_i = \frac{\delta}{n+lpha}, \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, n,$$

it is easily seen that

$$F_n(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{n+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} |\delta|^p.$$

This completes the proof.

**Corollary.** If  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\delta \in C$  and  $(w_i)$  is a sequence of complex numbers such that  $\sum w_i$  is convergent, then for p > 1, we have

$$\left|\delta - \sum w_i\right|^p + \alpha^{p-1} \left|\sum w_i\right|^p \ge \left(\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}\right)^{p-1} |\delta|^p.$$
(12)

The equality holds in (12) if and only if

$$\sum w_i = \frac{\delta}{1+\alpha}$$

**Proof.** Let  $z_1 = \sum w_i$ . Then applying Theorem 4 to the case n = 1.

**Remark.** It is not necessarily true that the sign of the inequality in (7) is reversed for 0 .

For example, let

$$p = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha = \delta = 1, z_1 = i \text{ and } z_3 = -1.$$

Then we have

$$F_3(z) = \left| 1 - \sum_{k=1}^3 z_k \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} = 3$$
$$> 2 = \left(\frac{1}{3+1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

## References

- L. K. Hua, "Additive Theory of Prime Numbers(Translated by N.B. Ng) in Translations of Math. Monographs," Vol.13 Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1965.
- [2] C. L. Wang, "Lo-Keng Hua inequality and dynamic programming," J. Math. Anal., 166(1992), 345-350.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, "Hua's Inequality For Complex Numbers," Tamkang J. Math., Vol 26, No 3.(1995), 257-260.
- [4] Hardy, Littlewood, and Polya, Inequalities, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1964.
- [5] C. E. M. Pearce, and J. E. Pečarič, "A remark on the Lo-keng Hua Inequality," J. Math. Anal., 188(1994), 700-702.

Department of Mathematics, Tamkang University, Tamsui, Taiwan, 25137.

102