

## COMMUTATIVITY THEOREMS FOR RINGS WITH CONSTRAINTS ON COMMUTATORS

H. A. S. ABUJABAL AND MOHD. ASHRAF

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital ring and  $m$  be a positive integer. Suppose that for each  $y$  in  $R$  there exist  $f(t), g(t), h(t)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that  $x^m[x, y] = g(y)[x, y^2 f(y)]h(y)$  (resp.  $[x, y]x^m = g(y)[x, y^2 f(y)]h(y)$ ) for all  $x$  in  $R$ . Then  $R$  is commutative (and conversely). Finally, the result is extended to the case when the exponent  $m$  depends on the choice of  $x$  and  $y$ .

### 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper,  $R$  will denote an associative ring (may be without unity 1), and for any  $x, y$  in  $R$  the symbol  $[x, y]$  stands for the commutator  $xy - yx$ . A ring  $R$  is called left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital if  $x \in Rx$  (resp.  $x \in xR$ ) for all  $x$  in  $R$ , and  $R$  is called  $s$ -unital if  $x \in Rx \cap xR$  for all  $x$  in  $R$ . As usual  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$  is the totality of polynomials in  $t$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the ring of integers. We consider the following ring properties:

- $(P_1)$  For each  $y$  in  $R$  there exist  $f(t), g(t), h(t)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that  $x^m[x, y] = g(y)[x, y^2 f(y)]h(y)$  for all  $x$  in  $R$ , where  $m$  is a fixed positive integer.
- $(P_1^*)$  For each  $x, y$  in  $R$  there exist a positive integer  $m$  and  $f(t), g(t), h(t)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that  $x^m[x, y] = g(y)[x, y^2 f(y)]h(y)$ .
- $(P_2)$  For each  $y$  in  $R$  there exist  $f(t), g(t), h(t)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that  $[x, y]x^m = g(y)[x, y^2 f(y)]h(y)$  for all  $x$  in  $R$ , where  $m$  is a fixed positive integer.
- $(P_2^*)$  For each  $x, y$  in  $R$  there exist a positive integer  $m$  and  $f(t), g(t), h(t)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that  $[x, y]x^m = g(y)[x, y^2 f(y)]h(y)$ .
- $(CH)$  For each  $x, y$  in  $R$  there exist  $p(t), q(t) \in t^2\mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that  $[x - p(x), y - q(y)] = 0$ .

A well-known theorem of Bell [5] states that if  $n > 1$  is a positive integer and  $R$  is an  $n$ -torsion free ring with unity 1 such that  $[x^n, y] = [x, y^n]$  for all  $x, y$  in  $R$ ,

---

Received September 3, 1993; revised February 22, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16U80.

*Key words and phrases.*  $s$ -unital rings, factor subrings, polynomial identity, semiprime rings, commutators.

then  $R$  is commutative. Recently, Psomopoulos [11] generalized the above result and proved that if  $R$  is an  $n$ -torsion free ring with unity 1 satisfying the polynomial identity  $x^t[x^n, y] = [x, y^m]y^s$  for non-negative integers  $m \geq 1, n > 1, t \geq 0, s \geq 0$ , then  $R$  is commutative. In the present paper our objective is to further extend the later result to rings satisfying condition of the form  $(P_1)$  or  $(P_2)$ . We shall also investigate the commutativity of rings satisfying either of the properties  $(P_1^*)$  and  $(P_2^*)$  together with the Chacron's condition  $(CH)$ .

## 2. Some preliminary results

We begin by considering the following types of rings:

- (a)  $\begin{pmatrix} GF(p) & GF(p) \\ 0 & GF(p) \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $p$  a prime.
- (a)<sub>1</sub>  $\begin{pmatrix} GF(p) & GF(p) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $p$  a prime.
- (a)<sub>r</sub>  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & GF(p) \\ 0 & GF(p) \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $p$  a prime.
- (b)  $M_\sigma(K) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \sigma(\alpha) \end{pmatrix} / \alpha, \beta \in K \right\}$ , where  $K$  is a finite field with a non-trivial automorphism  $\sigma$ .
- (c) A non-commutative ring with non-zero divisors of zero.
- (d)  $S = \langle 1 \rangle + T$ ,  $T$  a non-commutative subring of  $S$  such that  $T[T, T] = [T, T]T = 0$ .

In a paper [12], Streb gave a classification for non-commutative rings, which has been used effectively to obtain a number of commutativity theorems (cf. [8], [9] & [10]). Further, from the proof of [12, Corollary (1)] one can easily observe that if  $R$  is a non-commutative left  $s$ -unital ring, then there exists a factor subring of  $R$  which is of type  $(a)_1, (b), (c)$  or  $(d)$ . This result gives the following lemma which plays the key role in our subsequent study (cf. [9, Meta Theorem]).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $P$  be a ring property which is inherited by factor subrings. If no rings of type  $(a)_1$  (resp.  $(a)_r$ ),  $(b), (c)$  or  $(d)$  satisfy  $P$ , then every left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital satisfying  $P$  is commutative.*

The proofs of the following lemmas can be found in [7], [8] and [10] respectively.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f$  be a polynomial in non-commuting indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  with relatively prime integer coefficients. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) Every ring satisfying the polynomial identity  $f = 0$  has nil commutator ideal.
- (ii) Every semi-prime ring satisfying  $f = 0$  is commutative.
- (iii) For every prime  $p, (GF(p))_2$  fail to satisfy  $f = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose that a ring  $R$  with unity 1 satisfies  $(CH)$ . If  $R$  is*



non-commutative, then there exists a factor subring of  $R$  which is of type (a) or (b).

**Lemma 4.** *If  $R$  is left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital and not right (resp. left)  $s$ -unital, then  $R$  has a factor subring of type  $(a)_1$  (resp.  $(a)_r$ ).*

### 3. Main results

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $R$  be a left  $s$ -unital ring satisfying the condition  $(P_1)$ . Then  $R$  is commutative (and conversely).*

In order to establish the above theorem, first we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with unity 1 satisfying any one of the conditions  $(P_1)$  and  $(P_2)$ . Then  $R$  has nil commutator ideal.*

**Proof.** Let  $R$  satisfy  $(P_1)$ . Replace  $x$  by  $1 + x$  in  $(P_1)$  and subtract  $(P_1)$ , to get  $(1 + x)^m[x, y] = x^m[x, y]$ . This is a polynomial identity and  $x = e_{12} - e_{22}, y = e_{12}$  in  $(GF(p))_2$  fail to satisfy this equality. Hence by Lemma 2,  $R$  has nil commutator ideal.

Using a similar arguments as above, one can establish the result if  $R$  satisfies the condition  $(P_2)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** First, consider the ring of type  $(a)_1$ . If  $R$  satisfies  $(P_1)$ , then in  $(GF(p))_2$ ,  $p$  a prime, we see that  $e_{11}^m[e_{11}, e_{12}] - g(e_{12})[e_{11}, e_{12}^2 f(e_{12})]h(e_{12}) = e_{12} \neq 0$  for any positive integer  $m$  and  $f(t), g(t), h(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ . Thus no rings of type  $(a)_1$  satisfy  $(P_1)$ .

Next, consider the ring  $M_\sigma(K)$ , a ring of type (b). If  $R$  satisfies  $(P_1)$ , then let  $x = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(a) \end{pmatrix} (\sigma(a) \neq a), y = e_{12}$ . This yields that

$$x^m[x, y] - g(y)[x, y^2 f(y)]h(y) = a^m(a - \sigma(a))e_{12} \neq 0$$

for all integer  $m$  and  $f(t), g(t), h(t)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[t]$ . Thus  $R$  cannot be of type (b).

Further, let  $R$  be the ring of type (c). If  $R$  satisfies  $(P_1)$ , then in view of the above,  $R$  cannot be of type  $(a)_1$ . Hence in view of Lemma 4,  $R$  is also right  $s$ -unital. Thus  $R$  is  $s$ -unital and by [6, Proposition 1],  $R$  has unity 1. Now in view of Lemma 2 and Lemma 5 we see that no rings of type (c) satisfy our assumption.

Finally, suppose that  $R$  is a ring of type (d). If  $R$  satisfies  $(P_1)$ , then choose  $s, t \in T$  such that  $[s, t] \neq 0$ . A simple computation shows that

$$[s, t] = (1 + s)^m[s + 1, t] = g(t)[s + 1, t^2 f(t)]h(t) = 0.$$

Hence, we find a contradiction that  $[s, t] = 0$ . This shows that no rings of type  $(a)_1, (b), (c)$  or (d) satisfy  $(P_1)$  and in view of Lemma 1,  $R$  is commutative.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $R$  be a left  $s$ -unital ring in which for every  $y$  in  $R$  there exist integers  $p = p(y) \geq 0, q = q(y) \geq 0, s = s(y) > 1$  such that  $x^m[x, y] = y^p[x, y^s]y^q$  for all  $x$  in  $R$ , where  $m$  is a fixed positive integer. Then  $R$  is commutative (and conversely).*

In case, if  $R$  satisfies  $(P_2)$ , then we have the following:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $s$ -unital ring satisfying  $(P_2)$ . Then  $R$  is commutative (and conversely).*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $R$  is a ring of type  $(a)_r$ . If  $R$  satisfies  $(P_2)$ , then in  $(GF(p))_2$ ,  $p$  a prime, we find that

$$[e_{22}, e_{12}]e_{22}^m - g(e_{12})[e_{22}, e_{12}^2 f(e_{12})]h(e_{12}) = -e_{12} \neq 0$$

for any positive integer  $m$  and  $f(t), g(t), h(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ . Accordingly,  $R$  cannot be of type  $(a)_r$ . Further, using similar arguments as used to prove Theorem 1 with necessary variations, it can be shown that no rings of type  $(b), (c)$  or  $(d)$  satisfy our hypothesis. Hence, in view of Lemma 1,  $R$  is commutative.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $R$  be a right  $s$ -unital ring in which for every  $y$  in  $R$  there exist integers  $p = p(y) \geq 0, q = q(y) \geq 0, s = s(y) > 1$  such that  $[x, y]x^m = y^p[x, y^s]y^q$  for all  $x$  in  $R$ , where  $m$  is a fixed positive integer. Then  $R$  is commutative (and conversely).*

**Remark.** The following example demonstrates that there are non-commutative left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital rings satisfying  $(P_2)$  (resp.  $(P_1)$ ).

**Example.** Let

$$R_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\left( \text{resp. } R_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \right)$$

be subring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $GF(2)$ . Then for any fixed positive integers  $m, p, q, s > 1$ ,  $R_1$  (resp.  $R_2$ ) satisfies  $[x, y]x^m - y^p[x, y^s]y^q = 0$  (resp.  $x^m[x, y] - y^p[x, y^s]y^q = 0$ ). However,  $R_1$  (resp.  $R_2$ ) is a non-commutative left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital ring.

A careful scrutiny of the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 yields that if  $R$  is a ring with unity 1 satisfying either of the property  $(P_1^*)$  or  $(P_2^*)$ , then  $R$  has no factor subrings of type  $(a)$  or  $(b)$ . Further, if  $R$  satisfies  $(CH)$ , then in view of Lemma 3, we get the following:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with unity 1 satisfying any one of the conditions  $(P_1^*)$  and  $(P_2^*)$ . Further, if  $R$  satisfies  $(CH)$ , then  $R$  is commutative (and conversely).*



**Corollary 3.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a ring with unity 1 in which for every  $x, y$  in  $R$  there exist integers  $m > 0, p \geq 0, q \geq 0, s > 1$  such that either  $x^m[x, y] = y^p[x, y^s]y$  or  $[x, y]x^m = y^p[x, y^s]y^q$ . Further, if  $R$  satisfies (CH), then  $R$  is commutative.*

The existence of ring  $R$  with  $R^3 = 0$  rules out the possible generalization of Theorem 3 for arbitrary rings. However, if  $R$  is a left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital ring satisfying  $(P_1^*)$  (resp.  $(P_2^*)$ ), then in view of the arguments given in the proofs of Theorem 1 and 2, we see that no rings of type  $(a)_1$  (resp.  $(a)_r$ ) satisfy  $(P_1^*)$  (resp.  $(P_2^*)$ ). Hence, Lemma 4 yields that  $R$  is also right (resp. left)  $s$ -unital. Accordingly,  $R$  is  $s$  unital and by [6, Proposition 1], we may assume that  $R$  has unity 1, Hence, using Theorem 3, we get the following

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $R$  be a left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital ring satisfying  $(P_1^*)$  (resp.  $(P_2^*)$ ). Further, if  $R$  satisfies (CH), then  $R$  is commutative.*

## Acknowledgement

The authors are greatly indebted to the referee for his valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] H. A. S. Abu-Jabal and V. Perić, "Commutativity of  $s$ -unital rings through a Streb result," *Rad. Mat.*, 7 (1991), 73-92.
- [2] M. Ashraf and M. A. Quadri, "On commutativity of associative rings," *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 38 (1988), 267-271.
- [3] M. Ashraf, M. A. Quadri and V. W. Jacob, "On commutativity of right  $s$ -unital rings," *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma*, (to appear).
- [4] H. E. Bell, "On some commutativity theorems of Herstein," *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 24 (1973), 34-38.
- [5] H. E. Bell, "On power maps and ring commutativity," *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 21 (1978), 399-404.
- [6] Y. Hirano, Y. Kobayashi and H. Tominaga, "Some polynomial identities and commutativity of  $s$ -unital rings," *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 24 (1982), 7-13.
- [7] T. P. Kezlan, "A note on commutativity of semi prime  $PI$ -rings," *Math. Japonica*, 27 (1982), 267-268.
- [8] H. Komatsu and H. Tominaga, "Chacron's condition and commutativity theorems," *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 31 (1989), 101-120.
- [9] H. Komatsu and H. Tominaga, "Some commutativity theorems for left  $s$ -unital rings," *Results in Math.*, 15 (1989), 335-342.
- [10] H. Komatsu, T. Nishinaka and H. Tominaga, "On commutativity of rings," *Rad. Mat.*, 6 (1990), 303-311.
- [11] E. Psomopoulos, "Commutativity theorem for rings and groups with constraints on commutators," *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, 7 (1984), 513-517.
- [12] W. Streb, "Zur Struktur nichtkommutativer Ringe," *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 31 (1989), 135-140.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdul Aziz University, P.O. Box 31464, Jeddah 21497, Saudi Arabia.

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh - 202 002 - India.