

ON NONLINEAR INTEGRODIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH DEVIATING ARGUMENTS

K. BALACHANDRAN AND S. ILAMARAN

Abstract. We prove an existence theorem for nonlinear integrodifferential equations with deviating arguments using the Schauder fixed theorem.

1. Introduction

Several authors have studied the problem of existence of solutions of nonlinear integrodifferential equations with deviating arguments [5, 7, 8]. Banaś and Stopka [3] have proved an existence theorem for a differential equation with deviating argument using the measure of noncompactness. Balachandran and Ilamaran [1, 2] have proved existence theorems for nonlinear integral equations with deviating arguments. In this paper we shall derive a set of sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution of integrodifferential equations with deviating arguments. The technique used in this paper is similar to that used by Banaś and Stopka [3] and Balachandran and Ilamaran [1, 2].

2. Basic Assumptions

Let $p(t)$ be a given continuous function defined on the interval $[0, \infty)$ and taking real positive values. Denote by $C_p = C([0, \infty), p(t) : R)$ the set of all continuous functions from $[0, \infty)$ into R such that

$$\sup\{|x(t)|p(t) : t \geq 0\} < \infty.$$

It has been proved [6] that C_p forms a real Banach space with regard to the norm

$$\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)|p(t) : t \geq 0\}.$$

Received January 7, 1994; revised March, 28, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 45J05, 47H09.

Key words and phrases. Existence of solutions, nonlinear equations, deviating arguments, Schauder fixed theorem.

If $x \in C_p$ then we will denote $w^T(x, \varepsilon)$ the usual modulus of continuity of x on the interval $[0, T]$ i.e.,

$$w^T(x, \varepsilon) = \sup\{|x(t) - x(s)| : |t - s| \leq \varepsilon, t, s \in [0, T]\}.$$

Our existence theorem is based on the following lemma.

Lemma [4] *Let E be a bounded set in the space C_p . If all functions belonging to E are equicontinuous on each interval $[0, T]$ and $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{|x(t)|p(t) : t \geq T\} = 0$ uniformly with respect to E , then E is relatively compact in C_p .*

Consider the integrodifferential equation of the form

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(H(t)), \int_0^t K(t, s, x'(h(s)))ds) \quad (1)$$

with the initial condition

$$x(0) = 0. \quad (2)$$

If we define $x'(t) = y(t)$ then the equation (1) with condition (2) will be transformed into the following functional-integral equation

$$y(t) = f(t, \int_0^{H(t)} y(s)ds, \int_0^t K(t, s, y(h(s)))ds). \quad (3)$$

Assume the following conditions:

- (i). The function $f : [0, \infty) \times R^2 \rightarrow R$ is continuous and there exist positive constants A_1 and A_2 such that

$$|f(t, x_1, y_1) - f(t, x_2, y_2)| \leq A_1|x_1 - x_2| + A_2|y_1 - y_2|.$$

- (ii). Let $\Delta = \{(t, s) : 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty\}$.

The function $K : \Delta \times R \rightarrow R$ is continuous and there exist continuous functions $m : \Delta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$, $b : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ such that

$$|K(t, s, y)| \leq m(t, s) + a(t)b(s)|y|$$

for all $(t, s) \in \Delta$ and $y \in R$.

In order to formulate other assumptions let us define

$$L(t) = \int_0^t a(s)b(s)ds, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Take an arbitrary number $M > 0$ and consider the space C_p with $p(t) = [a(t)e^{ML(t)+t}]^{-1}$.

- (iii). The function $H : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and $H(t) \geq t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and the number

$$m = \sup\{e^{-ML(t)} \int_t^{H(t)} a(s)e^{ML(s)} ds : t \geq 0\} < \infty.$$

- (iv). The function $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and $h(t) \leq t$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and there exists a constant $Q > 0$ such that

$$a(h(t)) \leq Qa(t).$$

- (v). There exist constants $B_1 > 0, B_2 > 0$ such that for any $t \in [0, \infty)$ the following inequalities holds

$$\int_0^t m(t, s) ds \leq B_1 a(t) e^{ML(t)}$$

and

$$|f(t, 0, 0)| \leq B_2 a(t) e^{ML(t)}.$$

- (vi). $[A_1\{(1/M) + m\} + A_2\{B_1 + (Q/M)\} + B_2] < 1.$

3. Existence Theorem

Theorem: Assume that the hypotheses (i) to (vi) hold. Then the equatoion (2) has at least one solution y in the space C_p such that $|y(t)| \leq a(t)e^{ML(t)}$ for any $t \geq 0.$

Proof. Define a transformation F in the space C_p by

$$(Fy)(t) = f(t, \int_0^{H(t)} y(s) ds, \int_0^t K(t, s, y(h(s))) ds).$$

From our assumptions we observe that $(Fy)(t)$ is continuous on the interval $[0, \infty).$ Define the set E in C_p by

$$E = \{y \in C_p : |y(t)| \leq a(t)e^{ML(t)}\}.$$

Clearly E is nonempty, bounded, convex and closed in $C_p.$ Now we prove that F maps

the set E into itself. Take $y \in E$. Then from our assumptions we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Fy)(t)| &\leq A_1 \int_0^{H(t)} |y(s)| ds + A_2 \int_0^t |K(t, s, y(h(s)))| ds + |f(t, 0, 0)| \\
 &\leq A_1 \int_0^t a(s) e^{ML(s)} ds + A_1 \int_t^{H(t)} a(s) e^{ML(s)} ds + A_2 \int_0^t m(t, s) ds \\
 &\quad + A_2 \int_0^t a(t) b(s) |y(h(s))| ds + B_2 a(t) e^{ML(t)} \\
 &\leq (A_1/M) \int_0^t Mb(s) a(s) e^{ML(s)} ds + A_1 m e^{ML(t)} + A_2 B_1 a(t) e^{ML(t)} \\
 &\quad + A_2 a(t) \int_0^t b(s) a(h(s)) e^{ML(h(s))} ds + B_2 a(t) e^{ML(t)} \\
 &\leq (A_1/M) a(t) e^{ML(t)} + A_1 m a(t) e^{ML(t)} + A_2 B_1 a(t) e^{ML(t)} \\
 &\quad + (A_2 Q/M) a(t) \int_0^t Mb(s) a(s) e^{ML(s)} ds + B_2 a(t) e^{ML(t)} \\
 &\leq [A_1 \{(1/M) + m\} + A_2 \{B_1 + (Q/M)\} + B_2] a(t) e^{ML(t)} \\
 &\leq a(t) e^{ML(t)}
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves that $FE \subset E$.

Now we want to prove that F is continuous on the set E . For this let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and take $y, z \in E$ such that $\|y - z\| \leq \varepsilon$. Further take an arbitrary fixed $T > 0$. In view of (ii) the function $K(t, s, y)$ is uniformly continuous on

$$[0, T] \times [0, T] \times [-r(h(T)), r(h(T))]$$

where $r(h(T)) = \max\{a(h(s))e^{ML(h(s))} : s \in [0, T]\}$. Thus, we have for $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|(Fy)(t) - (Fz)(t)| \\
 &= \left| f(t, \int_0^{H(t)} y(s) ds, \int_0^t K(t, s, y(h(s))) ds) - f(t, \int_0^{H(t)} z(s) ds, \int_0^t K(t, s, z(h(s))) ds) \right| \\
 &\leq A_1 \int_0^{H(t)} |y(s) - z(s)| ds + A_2 \int_0^t |K(t, s, y(h(s))) - K(t, s, z(h(s)))| ds \\
 &\leq A_1 A H(t) e^{ML(H(t))+H(t)} \|y - z\| + A_2 \int_0^t |K(t, s, y(h(s))) - K(t, s, z(h(s)))| ds \\
 &\leq A_1 A B e^{ML(B)+B} \varepsilon + \beta(\varepsilon) \tag{4}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $B = \max\{H(t) : t \in [0, T]\}$, $A = \max\{a(t) : t \in [0, B]\}$ and β is some continuous function having the property $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \beta(\varepsilon) = 0$. Further, let us take $t \geq T$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(Fy)(t) - (Fz)(t)| &\leq |(Fy)(t)| + |(Fz)(t)| \\
 &\leq 2a(t) e^{ML(t)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$|(Fy)(t) - (Fz)(t)|p(t) \leq 2e^{-t}.$$

Hence for T sufficiently large we have

$$|(Fy)(t) - (Fz)(t)|p(t) \leq \varepsilon. \tag{5}$$

By (4) and (5) we get that F is continuous on the set E .

Now we prove that FE is relatively compact. For every $y \in E$ we have $Fy \in E$ which gives $|(Fy)(t)|p(t) \leq e^{-t}$. Hence

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{|(Fy)(t)|p(t) : t \geq T\} = 0$$

uniformly with respect to $y \in E$.

Furthermore, let us fix $\varepsilon > 0, T > 0$ and $t, s \in [0, T]$ such that $|t - s| \leq \varepsilon$ and $s \leq t$. Then for $y \in E$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Fy)(t) - (Fy)(s)| \\ & \leq |f(t, \int_0^{H(t)} y(u)du, \int_0^t K(t, u, y(h(u)))du) \\ & \quad - f(t, \int_0^{H(s)} y(u)du, \int_0^s K(s, u, y(h(u)))du)| \\ & \quad + |f(t, \int_0^{H(s)} y(u)du, \int_0^s K(s, u, y(h(u)))du) \\ & \quad - f(s, \int_0^{H(s)} y(u)du, \int_0^s K(s, u, y(h(u)))du)| \\ & \leq A_1 \int_{H(s)}^{H(t)} |y(u)|du + A_2 \left| \int_0^t K(t, u, y(h(u)))du - \int_0^s K(s, u, y(h(u)))du \right| \\ & \quad + w^T(f, \varepsilon). \\ & \leq A_1 A e^{ML(B)} w^T(H, \varepsilon) + A_2 \left| \int_0^t K(t, u, y(h(u)))du - \int_0^s K(t, u, y(h(u)))du \right| \\ & \quad + A_2 \left| \int_0^s K(t, u, y(h(u)))du - \int_0^s K(s, u, y(h(u)))du \right| + w^T(f, \varepsilon) \\ & \leq A_1 A e^{ML(B)} w^T(H, \varepsilon) + A_2 \int_s^t |K(t, u, y(h(u)))|du \\ & \quad + A_2 \int_0^s |K(t, u, y(h(u))) - K(s, u, y(h(u)))|du + w^T(f, \varepsilon) \\ & \leq A_1 A e^{ML(B)} w^T(H, \varepsilon) + A_2 \varepsilon \max\{m(t, u) + a(t)b(u)[p(h(u))]^{-1} : 0 \leq u \leq t \leq T\} \\ & \quad + A_2 T w^T(K, \varepsilon) + w^T(f, \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus FE is equicontinuous on $[0, T]$.

Therefore from the lemma FE is relatively compact. Thus the Schauder fixed point theorem guarantees that F has a fixed point $y \in E$ such that $(Fy)(t) = y(t)$. Hence the theorem is proved.

References

- [1] K. Balachandran and S. Ilamaran, "An existence theorem for Volterra integral equation with deviating arguments," *J. Appl. Math. and Stoc. Anal.*, 3 (1990), 155-162.
- [2] K. Balachandran and S. Ilamaran, "Existence of solution for nonlinear Volterra integral equations," *Proc. Indian Acad. of Sci.(Math. Sci.)*, 100 (1990), 179-184.
- [3] J. Banaś and Stopka, "The existence and some properties of solutions of a differential equation with deviating argument," *Comm. Math. Uni. Carolinae*, 22(1981), 525-535.
- [4] J. Banaś and K. Goebel, *Measure of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces*, Marel Dekkar Inc., New York, 1980.
- [5] T. A. Burton, *Volterra Integral and Differential Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [6] C. Corduneanu, *Integral Equations and Stability of Feedback Systems*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [7] C. Corduneanu, *Integral Equations and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [8] G. Gripenberg, S. O. Londen and O. Staffens, *Volterra Integral and Functional Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

Department of Mathematics, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore 641 046, Tamil Nadu, India.