

GLOBAL ATTRACTIVITY IN A NONAUTONOMOUS DELAY-LOGISTIC EQUATION

SHEN JIANHUA AND WANG ZHICHENG

Abstract. Consider the nonautonomous delay-Logistic equation

$$x'(t) = r(t)x(t)[1 - b_1x(t - \tau_1) - b_2x(t - \tau_2)], \quad t \geq 0$$

we obtain sufficient conditions for the positive steady state $x^* = 1/(b_1 + b_2)$ to be a global attractor. An application of our result also solves a conjecture of Gopalsamy.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the global attractivity of the nonautonomous delay-Logistic equation

$$x'(t) = r(t)x(t)[1 - b_1x(t - \tau_1) - b_2x(t - \tau_2)], \quad t \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

Throughout this paper, we let the following two hypotheses hold:

- (i). $b_1, b_2, \tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, \infty)$;
 - (ii). $r(t) \in C([-\tau, \infty), R^+)$, $r(t) > 0$ and $\int_0^\infty r(t)dt = \infty$, $\tau = \max(\tau_1, \tau_2)$.
- Suppose also that the initial conditions for (1) are of the type

$$\begin{aligned} x(s) &= \phi(s) \geq 0, \quad s \in [-\tau, 0], \\ \phi &\in C([-\tau, 0], R^+), \quad \phi(0) > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Eq.(1) with $r(t) \equiv r \in (0, \infty)$, say

$$x'(t) = x(t)[r - a_1x(t - \tau_1) - a_2x(t - \tau_2)], \quad t \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

where $a_1 = rb_1, a_2 = rb_2$.

Received January, 18, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34K15.

Key words and phrases. Logistic equation, global attractivity, conjecture.

This work is partially supported by the NNSF of China.

The scalar autonomous ordinary differential equation

$$x'(t) = rx(t) \left[1 - \frac{x(t)}{k} \right], \quad k > 0 \quad (4)$$

commonly known as the Logistic equation is most frequently employed in modelling the dynamics of populaton of single species with $x(t)$ denoting the density (or biomass) of the population at time t . An analysis of (4) indicates that its solutions are monotone functions of t and that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = k$ if $x(0) > 0$. On the other hand, it has been observed, however, that population densities usually have a tendency to fluctuate around an equilibrium, and when there is a convergence to a positive equilibrium, such a convergence is rarely monotonic (See for example Nicholson [5]). To incorporate such oscillations in population model systems. Hutchinson [6] suggested the following modification of (4)

$$x'(t) = rx(t) \left[1 - \frac{x(t - \tau)}{k} \right]. \quad (5)$$

Eq.(5) commonly known as the delay-Logistic equation and has been extensively investigated for the global attractivity (See for example Gopalsamy [3] and the references cited therein).

In an attempt to introduce a environmental negative feedback effect, (5) has been modified and generalized to Eq.(3). For some recent discussions of (3), we refer to Gopalsamy [1,3], Lenhart and Travis [2]. In [1] (also see [3]), by using Liapunov functional method Gopalsamy obtained the following theorem which provides a sufficient condition for the global attractivity of Eq.(3)-(2).

Theorem A. *Assume that $r, a_1, a_2, \tau_1, \tau_2 \in (0, \infty)$. Then*

$$r(\tau_1 + \tau_2) \exp [r(\tau_1 + \tau_2)] < 1 \quad (6)$$

implies that all positive solutions of Eq.(3) – (2) have asymptotic behavior

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = x^*, \quad x^* = r/(a_1 + a_2). \quad (7)$$

In particular, Gopalsamy put forth the following conjecture in [3, p60]:

Conjecture B. Theorem A is also true if condition (6) is relaxed as

$$r(\tau_1 + \tau_2) \exp [r(\tau_1 + \tau_2)] < 3/2. \quad (8)$$

Main aim in this paper is giving sufficient condition for the global attractivity of Eq.(1)-(2). In particular, an application of our result to Eq.(3)-(2) not only solve above Conjecture B but also further improve condition (8).

2. Main Results

The following theorem provides a sufficient condition for the global attractivity of Eq.(1)-(2).

Theorem 1. *Assume that condition (i) and (ii) hold. Then*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\tau}^t r(s)ds \leq 1, \quad \tau = \max(\tau_1, \tau_2) \tag{9}$$

implies that all positive solutions of Eq.(1) - (2) have asymptotic behavior:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = x^*, \quad x^* = 1/(b_1 + b_2).$$

Proof. Let $x(t)$ be any a positive solution of (1)-(2). There are only two cases to consider.

Case 1. $x(t)$ is nonoscillatory about x^* . We shall assume that $x(t) \geq x^*$ eventually. The case where $x(t) \leq x^*$ eventually is similar and will be omitted. Then it follows from (1) that $x(t)$ is eventually decreasing and so the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = L$ exists and is finite. Thus, from (1) we see that eventually

$$x'(t) \leq r(t)x(t)[1 - L(b_1 + b_2)],$$

which, together with $L \geq x^*$ and (ii), implies that $L = x^*$. The proof is complete for the case 1.

Case 2. $x(t)$ is oscillatory about x^* . Let $\{t_n\}$ be a sequence of zeros of the $x(t) - x^*$ such that $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that $x(t) \geq x^*, t \in [t_{2n-1}, t_{2n}]$, and $x(t) \leq x^*, t \in [t_{2n}, t_{2n+1}], n = 1, 2, \dots$. Also let $t_n^* \in (t_{2n-1}, t_{2n}), s_n^* \in (t_{2n}, t_{2n+1})$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} x(t_n^*) &= \max(x(t) : t_{2n-1} \leq t \leq t_{2n}), \\ x(s_n^*) &= \min(x(t) : t_{2n} \leq t \leq t_{2n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then for $n = 1, 2, \dots, x(t_n^*) > x^*$ and $x'(t_n^*) = 0$. While $x(s_n^*) < x^*$ and $x'(s_n^*) = 0$. Thus we obtain by (1)

$$1 - b_1x(t_n^* - \tau_1) - b_2x(t_n^* - \tau_2) = 0,$$

which shows that there exists at least one $i_0 \in \{1, 2\}$ such taht $x(t_n^* - \tau_{i_0}) \leq x^*$ and so $x(t) - x^*$ has at least one zero on $[t_n^* - \tau, t_n^*]$. Let $\delta_n \in [t_n^* - \tau, t_n^*]$ be such that $x(\delta_n) = x^*$ and $x(t) > x^*$ for $t \in (\delta_n, t_n^*]$. Similarly, there exist $r_n \in [s_n^* - \tau, s_n^*]$ such taht $x(r_n) = x^*$ and $x(t) < x^*$ for $t \in (r_n, s_n^*]$. In the following discussion, for convenience, when we write a functional (or sequential) inequality without specifying its domain of validity. We assume that it holds for all sufficiently large t (or n).

Now we rewrite Eq.(1) in the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln [x(t)] = r(t)[1 - b_1x(t - \tau_1) - b_2x(t - \tau_2)] \quad (10)$$

and by integrating the both sides of (10) from δ_n to t_n^* we find

$$\ln [x(t_n^*)/x^*] < \int_{\delta_n}^{t_n^*} r(s)ds \leq \int_{t_n^* - \tau}^{t_n^*} r(s)ds \leq 1,$$

i.e., $x(t_n^*) < x^*e := M_0$. Set $m_0 = 0$, then

$$m_0 < x(t) < m_0. \quad (11)$$

Again integrating (10) from r_n to s_n^* and by using (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln [x(s_n^*)/x^*] &> \int_{r_n}^{s_n^*} r(s)[1 - b_1M_0 - b_2M_0]ds \\ &\geq [1 - M_0(b_1 + b_2)] \int_{s_n^* - \tau}^{s_n^*} r(s)ds \geq 1 - M_0(b_1 + b_2), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $x(s_n^*) > x^* \exp [1 - M_0(b_1 + b_2)] := m_1$, and so

$$x(t) > m_1. \quad (12)$$

Similarly, integrating (10) from δ_n to t_n^* and using (12) we get

$$\ln [x(t_n^*)/x^*] < [1 - m_1(b_1 + b_2)] \int_{t_n^* - \tau}^{t_n^*} r(s)ds \leq 1 - m_1(b_1 + b_2),$$

i.e., $x(t_n^*) < x^* \exp [1 - m_1(b_1 + b_2)] := M_1$, and so

$$x(t) < M_1. \quad (13)$$

Also integrating (10) from r_n to s_n^* and applying (13) we obtain

$$x(s_n^*) > x^* \exp [1 - M_1(b_1 + b_2)] := m_2,$$

which yields,

$$x(t) > m_2. \quad (14)$$

Therefore, by the mathematical induction we can get in general that

$$m_n < x(t) < M_n, \quad (15)$$

where $\{m_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{M_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= 0, m_n = x^* \exp [1 - M_{n-1}(b_1 + b_2)], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \\ M_0 &= x^* e, M_n = x^* \exp [1 - m_n(b_1 + b_2)], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Clearly, $M_1 < M_0$, which implies $m_1 > m_0$. In general, by the induction, it is easy to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &> M_1 > \dots > M_n > M_{n+1} > \dots > x^*, \\ m_0 &< m_1 < \dots < m_n < m_{n+1} < \dots < x^*. \end{aligned}$$

Set $m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_n, M = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n$. Then $m \leq x^* \leq M$.

Next, by taking limit on (16) we get

$$m = x^* \exp [1 - M(b_1 + b_2)], \quad M = x^* \exp [1 - m(b_1 + b_2)], \tag{17}$$

which shows that the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} u = x^* \exp [1 - (b_1 + b_2)v] \\ v = x^* \exp [1 - (b_1 + b_2)u] \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

has a solution $u = m, v = M$. Clearly, $u = v = x^*$ also is a solution of (18). Now we will prove that (18) has only a unique solution $u = v = x^*$ in the region $D = \{(u, v) : u \geq x^*, v \leq x^*\}$. To this end, we rewrite (18) in the form

$$\begin{cases} v = x^* \exp [1 - (b_1 + b_2)u] \\ v = -\frac{1}{b_1 + b_2} \ln \frac{u}{x^* e} \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

and set

$$f(u) = x^* \exp [1 - (b_1 + b_2)u] + \frac{1}{b_1 + b_2} \ln \frac{u}{x^* e}.$$

Then, it suffices to prove that $f(u) = 0$ has only a unique solution $u = x^*$ on $[x^*, \infty)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} f'(u) &= \{1 - x^*(b_1 + b_2)^2 u \exp [1 - (b_1 + b_2)u]\} / (b_1 + b_2)u \\ &:= g(u) / (b_1 + b_2)u, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for $u \geq x^*$, $f'(u)$ and $g(u)$ are of uniform sign. From

$$g'(u) = x^*(b_1 + b_2)^2 [(b_1 + b_2)u - 1] \exp [1 - (b_1 + b_2)u]. \tag{20}$$

we see that $x^* = 1/(b_1 + b_2)$ is a unique root of $g'(u) = 0$, and $g'(u) > 0$ for $u > x^*$. Noting $g(x^*) = 0$, which yields $g(u) > 0$ for $u > x^*$. Therefore, we have $f'(x^*) = 0$ and $f'(u) > 0$ for $u > x^*$. This implies that $f(u) = 0$ has only a unique solution $u = x^*$ on

$[x^*, \infty)$ and so $M = m = x^*$. Now by (15) we obtain $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = x^*$. The proof is complete for the case 2.

Combining the cases 1 and 2 we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. *Theorem A is true if condition (6) is replaced by*

$$r\tau \leq 1, \quad \tau = \max(\tau_1, \tau_2). \quad (21)$$

In fact, set $r(t) \equiv r > 0, rb_1 = a_1, rb_2 = a_2$. Then Eq.(1) reduces to Eq.(3) and condition (9) reduces to (21). The conclusion of the corollary 1 follows from Theorem 1.

Remark 1. Corollary 1 not only gives a proof of Conjecture B but also further improve condition (8). In fact, from the fact that $r\tau > 1$ implies the $r(\tau_1 + \tau_2) \exp [r(\tau_1 + \tau_2)] > r\tau \exp(r\tau) > e > 3/2$, it follows that (8) implies (21). On the other hand, if we choose $r = \tau_1 = \tau_2 = 1$, then (21) holds, but(8) does not satisfy.

Remark 2. The results of this paper are extendble to the delay-Logistic equation of the form

$$x'(t) = r(t)x(t) \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x(t - \tau_i) \right].$$

References

- [1] K. Gopalsamy, "Global stability in the delay-Logistic equations with discrete delays," *Houston Journal of Mathematics*, 16 (1990), 347-356.
- [2] S. M. Lenhart, Travis C. C., "Global stability of a biological model with time delay," *Proc. of Amer. Math. Soc.*, 96 (1986), 75-78.
- [3] K. Gopalsamy, *Stability and Oscillation in Delay Differential Equations of Population Dynamics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Boston, 1992, P.60.
- [4] K. Gopalsamy, B. S. Lalli, "Oscillation and asymptotic behavior of a multiplicative delay Logistic equation," *Dynamics and Stability of Systems*, 7 (1992), 35-42.
- [5] A. J. Nicholson, "An outline of the dynamics of animal populations," *Australian Journal of Zoology*, 2 (1954), 651-663.
- [6] G. E. Hutchinson, "Circular causal systems in ecology," *Annals of the New York Academy Sciences*, 50 (1948), 221-246.

Department of Applied Mathematics, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan 410082, China.