

## COINCIDENCE POINT THEOREMS FOR MULTI-VALUED AND SINGLE-VALUED MAPPINGS IN MENGER PM-SPACES

H. K. PATHAK, Y. J. CHO, S. S. CHANG AND S. M. KANG

**Abstract.** In this paper, coincidence point theorems for compatible mappings of multi-valued and single-valued mappings in Menger PM-spaces are obtained. The presented results in this paper generalize and improve the corresponding results of S. S. Chang et al., O. Hadžić and others.

Throughout this paper, let  $R = (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $R^+ = [0, \infty)$ ,  $Z^+$  be the set of all positive integers and  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of all distribution functions on  $R$ .

If  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$  is a Menger probabilistic metric space (shortly, a Menger PM-space) with the continuous  $t$ -norm  $T$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$  is a Hausdorff space [6] in the topology  $\tau$  induced by the family

$$\{U_x(\epsilon, \lambda) : x \in X, \epsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$$

of neighborhoods  $U_x(\epsilon, \lambda)$ , where

$$U_x(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{y \in X : F_{x,y}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}.$$

Refer to [1], [2] and [6]-[8] for other details on PM-spaces.

**Definition 1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be a Menger PM-space with the continuous  $t$ -norm  $T$  and  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$ .  $D_A(t) = \sup_{s < t} \inf_{x,y \in A} F_{x,y}(s)$  is called the *probabilistic diameter* of  $A$ . Specifically, the set  $A$  is said to be *probabilistically bounded* if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D_A(t) = 1.$$

---

Received March 16, 1994; revised June 7, 1994.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54H25.

*Key words and phrases.* Menger PM-spaces, probabilistic distances,  $t$ -norm of  $h$ -type, weakly compatible mappings and common fixed points.

This paper was partially supported by U.G.C., 1994, New Delhi, India, and the Young-Joong Hur Research Foundation of Gyeongsang National University, 1994.

From [2], we know that

- (1) if  $A$  is a probabilistically bounded subset of  $X$ , then  $D_A(t)$  is a distribution function,
- (2) if  $A$  and  $B$  are probabilistically bounded subsets of  $X$ , then  $A \cup B$  is also a probabilistically bounded set.

Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be a Menger PM-space with the continuous  $t$ -norm  $T$  and  $CB(X)$  be the family of nonempty probabilistically bounded and closed subset of  $X$ . We define a mapping  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} : CB(X) \times CB(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  as follows (we denote  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(A, B)$  by  $\tilde{F}_{A,B}$  and the value  $\tilde{F}(A, B)$  at  $t \in R$  by  $\tilde{F}_{A,B}(t)$ ):

$$\tilde{F}_{A,B}(t) = \sup_{s < t} T(\inf_{x \in A} \sup_{y \in B} F_{x,y}(s), \inf_{y \in B} \sup_{x \in A} F_{x,y}(s)), \quad A, B \in CB(X).$$

The mapping  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is called the *Menger-Hausdorff metric* induced by  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Proposition 1.** [2]  $(CB(X), \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, T)$  be a Menger PM-space, i.e.,  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  is a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $\tilde{F}_{A,B}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$  if and only if  $A = B$ ,
- (2)  $\tilde{F}_{A,B}(0) = 0$ ,
- (3)  $\tilde{F}_{A,B}(t) = \tilde{F}_{B,A}(t)$ ,
- (4)  $\tilde{F}_{A,B}(t_1 + t_2) \geq T(\tilde{F}_{A,C}(t_1), \tilde{F}_{C,B}(t_2))$  for all  $A, B \in CB(X)$  and  $t_1, t_2 > 0$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $A \in CB(X)$  and  $x \in X$ . The *probabilistic distance* between  $x$  and  $A$  is the function defined by

$$F_{x,A}(t) = \sup_{s < t} \sup_{y \in A} F_{x,y}(s), \quad t > 0.$$

**Proposition 2.** [2] Let  $A, B \in CB(X)$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Then we have the following:

- (1)  $F_{x,A}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$  if and only if  $x \in A$ ,
- (2)  $F_{x,A}(t_1 + t_2) \geq T(F_{x,y}(t_1), F_{y,A}(t_2))$  for all  $t_1, t_2 > 0$ ,
- (3)  $F_{x,B}(t) \geq \tilde{F}_{A,B}(t)$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**Remark 1.** If we define  $F_{x,A}(t) = \sup_{y \in A} F_{x,y}(t)$ , then, since we have

$$\sup_{s < t} \sup_{y \in A} F_{x,y}(s) = \sup_{y \in A} F_{x,y}(t),$$

$F_{x,A}(t)$  is a left-continuous at  $t$  and the properties (1), (2), (3) of Proposition 2 are also satisfied.

**Definition 3.** Let  $\phi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  be a strictly increasing function such that  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = +\infty$ . Define a function  $\psi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  by

$$(A) \quad \psi(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & t = 0, \\ \inf\{s > 0 : \phi(s) > t\}, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to prove that  $\psi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  is a continuous and nondecreasing function.

**Definition 4.** We say that a function  $\phi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  satisfies the condition  $(\Phi)$  if it is a strictly increasing and left-continuous function such that  $\phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(t) = +\infty$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi^n(t) < +\infty$  for all  $t > 0$ , where  $\phi^n(t)$  is the  $n$ -th iteration of  $\phi(t)$ .

**Lemma 3.** [3] Let  $\phi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$  satisfy the condition  $(\Phi)$  and let  $\psi$  be defined by (A). Then we have the following:

- (1)  $\phi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ ,
- (2)  $\phi(\psi(t)) \leq t$  and  $\psi(\phi(t)) = t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,
- (3)  $\psi(t) \geq t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ,
- (4)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(t) = +\infty$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**Definition 5.** A  $t$ -norm  $T$  is said to be of  $h$ -type if the family  $\{T^m(t)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  of the functions  $T^m(t) = T(t, T^{m-1}(t))$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $T^0(t) = t$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , is equicontinuous at 1.

**Lemma 4.** [3] Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be a Menger PM-space, where  $T$  is a  $t$ -norm of  $h$ -type. If a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  satisfies the following condition:

For any  $n \in Z^+$  and  $t > 0$ ,

$$(B) \quad F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t) \geq F_{x_0, x_1}(\psi^n(t)),$$

where  $\phi$  is a function satisfying the condition  $(\Phi)$  and  $\psi$  is defined by (A), then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Now we introduce the concepts of weakly compatible mappings for multi-valued and single-valued mappings in the setting of PM-spaces, which is motivated by the concept of compatible mappings for single-valued mappings in metric spaces [5].

**Definition 6.** Let  $f$  be a mapping from  $X$  into itself and  $S$  be a multi-valued mappings  $X$  into  $CB(X)$ .

(1) The mappings  $f$  and  $S$  are said to be *commuting* if  $fSx \in CB(X)$  and  $fSx = Sfx$  for all  $x \in X$ ,

(2) The mappings  $f$  and  $S$  are said to be *weakly compatible* if  $fSx \in CB(X)$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}_{fSx_{n-1}, Sfx_{n-1}}(t) = 1, \quad t > 0,$$

whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{fx_n, Sx_{n-1}}(t) = 1, \quad t > 0.$$

**Remark 2.** From Definition 6, any commuting mappings are weakly compatible but the converse is not true (cf. [5]).

Now we are in a position to give our main results:

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be a complete Menger PM-space with the continuous  $t$ -norm  $T$  of  $h$ -type. Let  $f$  be a continuous self-mapping of  $X$  and  $S$  be a continuous multi-valued mapping from  $X$  into  $CB(X)$  satisfying the following conditions:*

(C)  $S(X) \subset f(X)$ ,

(D) *For any  $x, y \in X$  and  $u \in Sx$ , there exists a point  $v \in Sy$  such that*

$$F_{u,v}(\phi(t)) \geq \min\{F_{fx,fy}(t), F_{fx,Sx}(t), F_{fy,Sy}(t)\}$$

for all  $t > 0$ , where the function  $\phi$  satisfies the condition  $(\Phi)$ .

*If  $f$  and  $S$  are weakly compatible, then there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that  $fz \in Sz$ , that is, the point  $z$  is a coincidence point of  $f$  and  $S$ .*

**Proof.** First, we shall show that we can find a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in Z^+}$  in  $X$  such that

$$F_{fx_n,fx_{n+1}}(t) \geq F_{fx_0,fx_1}(\psi^n(t))$$

for all  $t > 0$  and  $n \in Z^+$ .

From (C), for arbitrary  $x_0 \in X$ , there exists a point  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $fx_1 \in Sx_0$ . By Lemma 3 and (D), there exists a point  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $fx_2 \in Sx_1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{fx_1,fx_2}(t) &\geq F_{fx_1,fx_2}(\phi(\psi(t))) \\ \text{(E)} \quad &\geq \min\{F_{fx_0,fx_1}(\psi(t)), F_{fx_0,Sx_0}(\psi(t)), F_{fx_1,Sx_1}(\psi(t))\} \\ &\geq \min\{F_{fx_0,fx_1}(\psi(t)), F_{fx_1,fx_2}(\psi(t))\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t > 0$ , where  $\psi(t)$  is defined by (A). Using (E) repeatedly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{fx_1,fx_2}(t) &\geq \min\{F_{fx_0,fx_1}(\psi(t)), F_{fx_0,fx_1}(\psi^2(t)), F_{fx_1,fx_2}(\psi^2(t))\} \\ \text{(F)} \quad &= \min\{F_{fx_0,fx_1}(\psi(t)), F_{fx_1,fx_2}(\psi^2(t))\} \\ &\geq \dots \\ &\geq \min\{F_{fx_0,fx_1}(\psi(t)), F_{fx_1,fx_2}(\psi^n(t))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (F), we have

$$F_{fx_1,fx_2}(t) \geq F_{fx_0,fx_1}(\psi(t)), \quad t > 0.$$

By repeating the above procedure, we can define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $fx_{n+1} \in Sx_n$  and

$$F_{fx_n,fx_{n+1}}(t) \geq F_{fx_{n-1},fx_n}(\psi(t)), \quad t > 0.$$

Thus, for any  $n \in Z^+$  and  $t > 0$ , we have

$$\text{(G)} \quad F_{fx_n,fx_{n+1}}(t) \geq F_{fx_{n-1},fx_n}(\psi(t)) \geq \dots \geq F_{fx_0,fx_1}(\psi^n(t))$$

and so, by Lemma 4,  $\{fx_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$  is complete, we can assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = z \in X$ . Since  $f$  and  $S$  are weakly compatible and the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is such that  $fx_n \in Sx_{n-1}$ , by Proposition 2, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{fx_n, Sx_{n-1}}(t) = 1, \quad t > 0,$$

and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}_{fSx_{n-1}, Sfx_{n-1}}(t) = 1, \quad t > 0.$$

On the other hand, from (3) of Proposition 2, it follows that

$$(H) \quad F_{ffx_n, Sfx_{n-1}}(t) \geq \tilde{F}_{fSx_{n-1}, Sfx_{n-1}}(t), \quad t > 0.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (H), we have

$$1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{ffx_n, Sfx_{n-1}}(t) = F_{fz, Sz}(t)$$

and so, by Proposition 2,  $fz \in Sz$ , that is,  $z$  is a coincidence point of  $f$  and  $S$ . This completes the proof.

In Theorem 5, by strengthening the weak compatibility of  $f$  and  $S$  by the commutativity of  $f$  and  $S$ , we have the following:

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be a complete Menger PM-space with the continuous  $t$ -norm  $T$  of  $h$ -type. Let  $f$  and  $S$  be as in Theorem 5 satisfying the conditions (C) and (D). If  $f$  and  $S$  commute, then there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that  $fz \in Sz$ .*

**Proof.** Following the same argument as in Theorem 5, we can assume that  $fx_n \rightarrow z \in X$ .

Next, we prove that  $z$  is a coincidence point of  $f$  and  $S$ . In fact, for any  $t > 0$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, t)$ , from (G) and the commutativity of  $f$  and  $S$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{ffx_{n+1}, Sz}(t - \epsilon) \\ & \geq F_{ffx_{n+1}, Sz}(\phi(\psi(t - \epsilon))) \\ (I) \quad & = \sup_{fy \in Sz} F_{ffx_{n+1}, fy}(\phi(\psi(t - \epsilon))) \\ & \geq \min\{F_{ffx_n, fz}(\psi(t - \epsilon)), F_{ffx_n, ffx_{n+1}}(\psi(t - \epsilon)), F_{fz, Sz}(\psi(t - \epsilon))\} \\ & \geq \min\{F_{ffx_n, fz}(\psi(t - \epsilon)), F_{ffx_0, ffx_1}(\psi^{n+1}(t - \epsilon)), F_{fz, Sz}(\psi(t - \epsilon))\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $F_{fz, Sz}(\psi(t - \epsilon)) = 1$ , then we have

$$(J) \quad F_{ffx_{n+1}, Sz}(t - \epsilon) \geq \min\{F_{ffx_n, fz}(\psi(t - \epsilon)), F_{ffx_0, ffx_1}(\psi^{n+1}(t - \epsilon))\}.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (J), we have  $F_{fz, Sz_0}(t - \epsilon) \geq 1$ . Since  $\epsilon \in (0, t)$  is arbitrary, we have  $F_{fz, Sz}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ , that is,  $fz \in Sz$ .

If  $F_{fz, Sz}(\psi(t - \epsilon)) < 1$ , then, letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (I), we have

$$(K) \quad F_{fz, Sz}(t - \epsilon) \geq F_{fz, Sz}(\psi(t)).$$

By using (2) of Proposition 2, we have

$$(L) \quad F_{fz, Sz}(t) \geq F_{fz, Sz}(t - \epsilon).$$

Thus, as  $\epsilon \rightarrow t$ , from the continuity of  $\psi$  and the left-continuity of  $F_{fz, Sz}$ , it follows that

$$F_{fz, Sz}(t) \geq F_{fz, Sz}(\psi(t)).$$

Taking this procedure repeatedly, we obtain

$$F_{fz, Sz}(t) \geq F_{fz, Sz}(\psi(t)) \geq \cdots \geq F_{fz, Sz}(\psi^n(t)).$$

Therefore, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $F_{fz, Sz}(t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$ , that is,  $fz \in Sz$ . This completes the proof.

Taking  $f =$  the identity mapping on  $X$  in Theorem 6, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 7.** [3] *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be a complete Menger PM-space with the continuous  $t$ -norm  $T$  of  $h$ -type. Let  $S$  be a multi-valued mappings from  $X$  into  $CB(X)$  satisfy the condition:*

(M) *For any  $x, y \in X$  and  $u \in Sx$ , there exists a point  $v \in Sy$  such that*

$$F_{u,v}(\phi(t)) \geq \min\{F_{x,y}(t), F_{x, Sx}(t), F_{y, Sy}(t)\}$$

*for all  $t > 0$ , where the function  $\phi$  satisfies the condition  $(\Phi)$ . Then  $S$  has a fixed point in  $X$ , that is, there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that  $z \in Sz$ .*

Taking  $\phi(t) = kt$ ,  $0 < k < 1$ , in Corollary 7, we have the following:

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be a complete Menger PM-space with the continuous  $t$ -norm  $T$  of  $h$ -type. Let  $S$  be a multi-valued mapping from  $X$  into  $CB(X)$  satisfying the following condition:*

(N) *For any  $x, y \in X$  and  $u \in Sx$ , there exists a point  $v \in Sy$  such that*

$$F_{u,v}(kt) \geq \min\{F_{x,y}(t), F_{x, Sx}(t), F_{y, Sy}(t)\}$$

*for all  $t > 0$ , where  $k \in (0, 1)$  is a constant. Then  $S$  has a fixed point in  $X$ .*

Corollary 8 is a generalization of the following result of O. Hadžić ([4]):

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be a complete Menger PM-space with continuous  $t$ -norm  $T$ ,  $M$  be a nonempty probabilistically bounded subset of  $X$ . Let  $S$  be a multi-valued mapping from  $M$  into  $C(M)$ , the family of nonempty compact subsets of  $X$ , satisfying the following condition:*

(O) *For any  $x, y \in M$  and  $u \in Sx$ , there exists a point  $v \in Sy$  such that*

$$F_{u,v}(kt) \geq F_{x,y}(t)$$

*for all  $t > 0$ , where  $k \in (0, 1)$  is a constant. Then  $S$  has a fixed point in  $M$ .*

### References

- [1] S. S. Chang, *Fixed point theory with applications*, Chongqing Publishing House, Chongqing, 1984.
- [2] S. S. Chang, "On the theory of probabilistic metric spaces with applications," *Acta Math. Sinica, New Series*, 1(4), 366-377, 1985.
- [3] S. S. Chang, Y. J. Cho and S. M. Kang, *Common fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in Menger PM-spaces*, to appear in *Math. Japonica*.
- [4] O. Hadžić, "Fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in some classes of fuzzy metric spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 29, 115-125, 1989.
- [5] G. Jungck, "Compatible mappings and common fixed points," *Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci.*, 29, 115-125, 1989.
- [6] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, "Statistical metric spaces," *Pacific J. Math.*, 10, 313-334, 1960.
- [7] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North-Holland, New York, 1983.
- [8] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar and E. Thorp, "The metrization of statistical metric spaces," *Pacific J. Math.*, 10, 673-675, 1960.

Department of Mathematics, Kalayan Mahavidyalaya, Bhilai Nagar (M.P.) 490006, India.

Department of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea.

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610064, P. R. China.

Department of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea.