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#### Abstract

In this paper the Cesàro summability of the ultraspherical series has been investigated which extend and generalize the results of Wang [5, 6] of Fourier series.


1. Let $f(\theta, \phi)$ be a function defined for the range $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi$ on a sphere $S$. The ultraspherical series associated with this function is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\theta, \phi) \sim \frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+\lambda) \iint_{S} \frac{P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(\cos w) f\left(\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right) d \sigma^{\prime}}{\left[\sin ^{2} \theta^{\prime} \sin ^{2}\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right]^{1 / 2-\lambda}\right.} ; \quad \lambda>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is the spherical distance between the points $\left(\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\cos w=\cos \theta \cos \theta^{\prime}+\sin \theta \sin \theta^{\prime} \cos \left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $d \sigma^{\prime}=\sin \theta^{\prime} d \theta^{\prime} d \phi^{\prime}$
The Laplace series is a particular case of the series of (1.1) for $\lambda=1 / 2$, while it reduces to the trigonometric series in the limit as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \xrightarrow{\lim _{0}} \quad \frac{1}{\lambda} P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta)=\frac{2}{n} \cos n \theta, \quad n \geq 1 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ultraspherical polynomials $P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x)$ are defined by the following expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[1-2 x z+z^{2}\right]^{-\lambda}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n} P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(x), \quad \lambda>0 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We suppose throughout that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)\left[\sin ^{2} \theta^{\prime} \sin ^{2}\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\lambda-1 / 2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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is integrable $(L)$ over the whole surface of the unit sphere and following Kogbetliantz [2] we define the generalised mean value of $f(\theta, \phi)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(w)=\frac{1}{2 \pi(\sin w)^{2}} \int_{C_{w}} \frac{f\left(\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right) d S^{\prime}}{\left[\sin ^{2} \theta^{\prime} \sin ^{2}\left(\phi-\phi^{\prime}\right)\right]^{1 / 2-\lambda}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is taken along the small circle $C_{w}$, where $d s^{t}$ is element of the arc of $C_{w}$, whose centre is $(\theta, \phi)$ on the sphere and whose curvilinear radius is $w$.
We write,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(w) & =\left[f(w)-\frac{A \Gamma(\lambda)}{\Gamma(1 / 2) \Gamma(1 / 2+\lambda)}\right](\sin w)^{2 \lambda} \\
\Phi_{p}(x) & =\frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_{0}^{x}(x-t)^{p-1} \phi(t) d t \\
\Phi_{0}(x) & =\phi(x) \\
\phi_{p}(x) & =\Gamma(p+1) x^{-p} \Phi_{p}(x), \quad p \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Phi_{p}(x)=\frac{d}{d x} \Phi_{p+1}(x), \quad-1<p<0
$$

The authors have obtained a theorem for Cesàro summability of the series (1.1) analogous to those of Izumi and Sonouchi [1]. The object of this paper is to extend and generalize the result of Wang [5 \& 6] foFr the same series.

We prove the following:
Theorem. If $y>x>0$ and

$$
\Phi_{x}(t)=o\left(t^{y+2 \lambda+1 / \lambda}\right) \quad \text { for } o<\lambda<1
$$

then the series (1.1) is summable $(C, \alpha+\lambda)$ at the point $(\theta, \phi)$, where

$$
\alpha=\frac{m y+x-y}{m+y-x}
$$

and $m$ is a positive integer, such that $m \geq x>m-1$.
2. For the proof of the theorem we require the following Lemmas:

Lemma 1. Let $S_{n}^{k}(w)$ denote the $n^{\text {th }}$ Cesàro mean of order $k$ of the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum(n+\lambda) P_{n}^{(\lambda)}(\cos w) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have, for $\lambda>0$ and $p \geq 0$,

$$
S_{n}^{p}(w)=\frac{d^{p}\left(S_{n}^{k}(w)\right)}{d w^{p}}= \begin{cases}O\left(n^{2 \lambda+p+1}\right) & \text { for } 0 \leq w \leq \pi, k>0  \tag{2.2}\\ O\left(\frac{n^{\lambda+p-k}}{w^{\lambda+k+1}}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n w^{2 \lambda+p+2}}\right) & \text { for } 0<w \leq a<\pi \\ O\left(\frac{n^{\lambda+p-k}}{w^{k+\lambda+1}}\right) & \text { for } 0<w \leq a<\pi \text { and } \\ \lambda+1+[p] \geq k\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2. In order that the series (1.1) be summable ( $C, k$ ), it is sufficient that the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=\int_{0}^{\delta} \phi(w) S_{n}^{k}(w) d w=o(1) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<\delta<\pi$ and for each $k>\lambda$.
Lemma 3. For a non-integral

$$
\delta=m+\sigma, \quad(0<\sigma<1)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\Delta} \Phi_{\delta}(u) S_{n}^{(\delta)}(u) d u=\Phi_{m+1}(\Delta) S_{n}^{(m)}(\Delta) \times \int_{0}^{\Delta} \Phi_{m}(t) S_{n}^{(m)}(t) d t \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4. If $0 \leq u \leq \frac{1}{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(n, u)=O\left(n^{2 \lambda+m+1} u^{m-x}\right)+O\left(n^{2 \lambda+m+1} u^{m-x-1}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(n, u)=\Gamma \frac{1}{(m-x)} \int_{u}^{1 / n}(t-u)^{m-x-1} S_{n}^{(m)}(t) d t \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemmas 1, 2, 3 and 4 are due to Obrechkoff [3] and Singhai [4] respectively.

## 3. Proof of the Theorem

In order to prove the theorem, in view of (2.3) it is sufficient to show that

$$
n \xrightarrow{\lim } \infty \int_{0}^{\delta} \phi(u) S_{n}^{\alpha+\lambda}(u) d u=0
$$

under the condition of the theorem.

We have the following inequality:

$$
x>\alpha>m-1 \quad \text { (F. T. Wang) }
$$

Also we have

$$
x+1>m \geq x
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
i & =\int_{0}^{\delta} \phi(w) S_{n}^{k}(w) d w \\
& =\left[\sum_{p=1}^{m}(-1)^{p-1} \Phi_{p}(w)\left(\frac{d}{d w}\right)^{p-1} S_{n}^{\alpha+\lambda}(w)\right]_{0}^{\delta}+(-1)^{m} \int_{0}^{\delta} \Phi_{m}(t) S_{n}^{(m)}(t) d t \\
& =I_{1}+(-1)^{m} I_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha>m-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=o(1) \quad \text { as } \quad n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{2}=\int_{0}^{\delta} \Phi_{m}(t) S_{n}^{(m)}(t) d t \\
=\int_{0}^{1 / n}+\int_{1 / n}^{\delta}=I_{2.1}+I_{2.2} \\
I_{2.2}=\left[\Phi_{m}(t) S_{n}^{(m-1)}(t)\right]_{1 / n}^{\delta}-\int_{1 / n}^{\delta} \Phi_{m-1}(t) S_{n}^{(m-1)}(t) d t \\
=I_{2.2 .1}-I_{2.2 .2} \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2.2 .1} & =o(1)+o\left(\frac{1}{n^{y+2 \lambda+1 / \lambda}}\right) O\left(\frac{n^{m-1-\alpha}}{n^{-\alpha-1-2 \lambda}}\right) \\
& =o(1) \quad \text { as } \quad n \longrightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Also if we write

$$
\Phi^{*}(t)=\int_{0}^{t}\left|\Phi_{m-1}(u)\right| d u
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2.2 .2}= & O\left[n^{m-1-\alpha} \int_{1 / n}^{\delta} \frac{\left|\Phi_{m-1}(t)\right|}{t^{\alpha+2 \lambda+1}} d t\right] \\
= & O\left(n^{m-1-\alpha}\right)\left[\frac{\Phi^{*}(t)}{t^{\alpha+2 \lambda+1}}\right]_{1 / n}^{\delta}+O\left(n^{m-1-\alpha}\right) \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\delta} \frac{\Phi^{*}(t)}{t^{\alpha+2 \lambda+2}} d t \\
= & O\left(n^{m-1-\alpha}\right)+O\left(n^{m-1-\alpha}\right) \circ\left(\frac{1}{n^{y+2 \lambda+1 / \lambda}}\right) \\
& \times n^{\alpha+2 \lambda+1}+O\left(n^{m-1-\alpha}\right) \int_{1 / n}^{\delta} \frac{o\left(t^{y+2 \lambda+1 / \lambda}\right)}{t^{\alpha+2 \lambda+2}} d t \\
= & o(1) \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

When $x$ is not an integer.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2.1} & =\int_{0}^{1 / n} \Phi_{m}(t) S_{n}^{(m)}(t) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1 / n} \Phi_{x}(u) F(n, u) d u
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F(n, u)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(m-x)} \int_{u}^{1 / n}(t-u)^{m-x-1} S_{n}^{(m)}(t) d t$
Now

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2.1}= & o\left[\int_{0}^{1 / n}(y+2 \lambda+1 / \lambda) O\left(n^{2 \lambda+m+1} u^{m-x}\right) d u\right] \\
& +o\left[\int_{0}^{1 / n} u^{(y+2 \lambda+1 / \lambda)} O\left(n^{2 \lambda+m+1} u^{m-x-1}\right) d u\right] \\
= & o(1) \quad \text { as } \quad n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

When $x=m$ is an integer.

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2.1} & =\int_{0}^{1 / n} \Phi_{x}(t) S_{n}^{(x)}(t) d t \\
& =o\left[\int_{0}^{1 / n} u^{y+2 \lambda+1 / \lambda} O\left(n^{2 \lambda+x+1}\right) d u\right] \\
& =o(1) \quad \text { as } \quad n \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

combining (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) the result is proved.
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