

## SOME THEOREMS ON A GENERALIZED LAPLACE TRANSFORM OF GENERALIZED FUNCTIONS

AWADHESH CHANDRA GUPTA AND ANIL KUMAR MAHATO

**Abstract.** In this paper we extend the generalized Laplace transform  $F(s) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+\eta+1)} \int_0^\infty (st)^\beta {}_1F_1(\beta+\eta+1, \alpha+\beta+\eta+1; -st)f(t)dt$  where  $f(t) \in L(0, \infty)$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\eta > 0$ ; to a class of generalized functions. We will extend the above transform to a class of generalized functions as a special case of the convolution transform and prove an inversion formula for it.

### 1. Introduction

A generalization of the Laplace transform

$$F(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) dt \quad (1.1)$$

is given by Joshi [5]:

$$F(s) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \eta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)} \int_0^\infty (st)^\beta {}_1F_1(\beta + \eta + 1, \alpha + \beta + \eta + 1; -st)f(t)dt. \quad (1.2)$$

Recently Gupta and Mahato [2] extended the transform (1.2) to a class of generalized functions and an analyticity theorem is proved for it. In Gupta and Mahato [3], a complex inversion formula for (1.2) has been extended to a class of generalized functions.

In this paper we discuss the generalized Laplace transform (1.2) as a special case of the convolution transform

$$F(x) = \langle f(t), G(x - t) \rangle. \quad (1.3)$$

We also prove an inversion formula for (1.2) in the distributional sense.

### 2. The testing function spaces $D, D(I), L_{c,d}, J_{c,d}$ and their duals

---

Received May 20, 1993; revised October 15, 1993

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 44; 46F12.

*Key words and phrases.* Generalized Laplace transform, testing function space, distribution.

A function is said to be smooth if its derivatives of all orders are continuous at all points of its domain. The space  $D$  consists of all complex-valued functions  $\phi$  that are smooth zero outside some finite interval. Let  $I$  be the interval  $0 < t < \infty$ .  $D(I)$  is the space of infinitely differentiable functions having compact supports defined over the interval  $I$ .

Let  $\lambda_{c,d}(t)$  be the function:

$$\lambda_{c,d}(t) = \begin{cases} e^{ct}, & 0 \leq t < \infty \\ e^{dt}, & -\infty < t < 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

$L_{c,d}$  denotes the space of all complex-valued smooth functions  $\phi(t)$  on  $-\infty < t < \infty$  on which the functionals defined by

$$\gamma_k(\phi) \triangleq \gamma_{c,d,k}(\phi) = \sup_{-\infty < t < \infty} |\lambda_{c,d}(t) D^k \phi(t)| \tag{2.2}$$

assume finite values. We assign to  $L_{c,d}$  the topology generated by  $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  thereby making it a countably multinormed space.

Applying the change of variable

$$T = e^{-t}, \quad t = (-\log T), \quad D_t = (-T D_T)$$

to the definition of  $L_{c,d}$  and setting  $T\psi(T) = \phi(-\log T)$  in (2.2), we have the following definition:

Given any two real numbers  $c$  and  $d$ ,  $J_{c,d}$  is the space of all smooth functions  $\psi(T)$  on  $0 < T < \infty$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} i_k \{ \psi(T) \} &\triangleq i_{c,d,k} \{ \psi(T) \} \\ &= \sup_{0 < T < \infty} \left| \lambda_{c,d}(-\log T) (-T D_T)^k \{ T\psi(T) \} \right| \\ &< \infty, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\lambda_{c,d}(-\log T) = \begin{cases} T^{-c}, & 0 < T \leq 1 \\ T^{-d}, & 1 < T < \infty. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

The topology of  $J_{c,d}$  is that generated by the multinorm  $\{i_{c,d,k}\}_{k=0}^\infty$ . As a consequence  $J_{c,d}$  is a complete countably multinormed space.

$D', D'(I), L'_{c,d}$  and  $J'_{c,d}$  are the dual spaces corresponding to the spaces  $D, D(I), L_{c,d}$  and  $J_{c,d}$  respectively.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The mapping*

$$\psi(T) \mapsto e^{-t} \psi(e^{-t}) = \phi(t) \tag{2.5}$$

is an isomorphism from  $J_{c,d}$  onto  $L_{c,d}$ .

The inverse mapping is given by

$$\phi(t) \mapsto (T^{-1})\phi(-\log T) = \psi(T) \quad (2.6)$$

**Proof.** It is obvious that the mapping (2.5) and (2.6) are linear and inverses of one another.

Let  $\psi(T) \in J_{c,d}$ . Some computations show that  $D_t^k \{e^{-t}\psi(e^{-t})\}$  is equal to a finite sum of terms, a typical term being  $a_p T^{p+1} D_T^p \psi(T)$ , where  $0 \leq p \leq k$  and  $a_p$  is a constant. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{c,d}(t) D_t^k [e^{-t}\psi(e^{-t})] &= \sum_p a_p \lambda_{c,d}(-\log T) T^{p+1} D_T^p \psi(T) \\ &= \sum_p b_p \lambda_{c,d}(-\log T) (-T D_T)^p \{T\psi(T)\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

(where  $b_p$  is another constant) so that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{c,d,k}(\phi) &= \gamma_{c,d,k} [e^{-t}\psi(e^{-t})] \\ &\leq \sum_p |b_p| i_{c,d,p} \{ \psi(T) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Consequently, (2.5) is a continuous mapping of  $J_{c,d}$  into  $L_{c,d}$ . Now, let  $\phi(t) \in L_{c,d}$ . Again a straightforward computation shows that

$$(-T D_T)^k [T T^{-1} \phi(-\log T)] = \sum_p C_p D_t^p \phi(t)$$

where  $0 \leq p \leq k$  and the  $C_p$ 's are constants.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} i_{c,d,k}(\psi) &= i_{c,d,k} [T^{-1} \phi(-\log T)] \\ &\leq \sum_p |C_p| \gamma_{c,d,p}(\phi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.6) is a continuous linear mapping of  $L_{c,d}$  into  $J_{c,d}$ . Since the mapping (2.5) and (2.6) are one to one we can now conclude that they are also onto  $L_{c,d}$  and  $J_{c,d}$  respectively. Our proof is complete.

The dual space  $L'_{c,d}$  denotes the space of continuous linear functions on  $L_{c,d}$ . If  $f \in L'_{c,d}$  we define  $f(-\log T)$  as a functional on  $J_{c,d}$  by

$$\langle f(-\log T), T^{-1} \phi(-\log T) \rangle \triangleq \langle f(t), \phi(t) \rangle, \quad \phi(t) \in L_{c,d}. \quad (2.9)$$

It can be easily proved that the mapping  $f(t) \mapsto f(-\log T)$  defined by (2.9) is an isomorphism on  $L'_{c,d}$  onto  $J'_{c,d}$ . The inverse mapping  $f(T) \mapsto f(e^{-t})$  is defined by

$$\langle f(e^{-t}), \phi(t) \rangle \triangleq \langle f(T), \psi(T) \rangle \tag{2.10}$$

### 3. The generalized one-sided Laplace transformation

Let  $G(t) = \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} e^{\beta t} {}_1F_1(A, B, e^{-t})e^t$  where  $A = \beta + \eta + 1; B = \alpha + \beta + \eta + 1$ . Setting  $y = e^x, T = e^{-t}$  and  $\phi(t) = G(x - t)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}\phi(-\log T) &= e^t G(x - t) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} y(yT)^\beta {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT). \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $c < 1$  and  $d$  as any real number, we may replace  $\phi(t)$  by  $G(x - t)$  in (2.9) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle f(-\log T), \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} y(yT)^\beta {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT) \rangle \\ &= \langle f(t), G(x - t) \rangle \\ &= F(\log y). \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $J(y) = y^{-1}G(\log y)$  and  $j(T) = f(-\log T)$  we finally obtain the new definition of the generalized one-sided Laplace transform

$$J(y) \triangleq \langle j(T), \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} (yT)^\beta {}_2F_1(A, B; -yT) \rangle, 0 < y < \infty. \tag{2.11}$$

This has a meaning as the application of  $j(T) \in J'_{c,d}$  to  $\frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} (yT)^\beta {}_2F_1(A, B; -yT) \in J_{c,d}$  where  $c < 1$  and  $d$  is arbitrary and positive.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any fixed real  $y > 0$ ,  $\frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} (yT)^\beta {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT)$  is a member of  $J_{c,d}$ .*

**Proof.** For  $\frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} (yT)^\beta {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT)$  to be in  $J_{c,d}$  we have to show that

$$\sup_{0 < T < \infty} \left| \lambda_{c,d}(-\log T) (-TD_T)^k \left\{ T\psi(T) \right\} \right|$$

is bounded, where  $\psi(T) = \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} (yT)^\beta {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT)$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 < T < \infty} \left| \lambda_{c,d}(-\log T) (-TD_T)^k \left\{ T\psi(T) \right\} \right| \tag{2.12} \\ &= \sup_{0 < T < \infty} \left| \lambda_{c,d}(-\log T) (-1)^k \sum_p T^{p+\beta+1} D_T^p \left\{ -\frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT) \right\} \right|, 0 \leq p \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

By using the result of Erdelyi [1] p.254

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} [{}_1F_1(a; c; x)] = \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} {}_1F_1(a+n, c+n; x)$$

where  $(a)_n = a(a+1)\dots(a+n-1) = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}$ .

Thus each side of (2.12) is equal to

$$\sup_{0 < T < \infty} \left| \lambda_{c,d}(-\log T) (-1)^k \sum_p (-1)^p T^{p+\beta+1} y^p \frac{\Gamma(A+p)}{\Gamma(B+p)} {}_1F_1(A+p, B+p; -yT) \right|. \quad (2.13)$$

For  $0 < T \leq 1$ , the above expression is

$$\sup_{0 < T \leq 1} \left| \sum_p T^{-c+p+\beta+1} (-1)^{k+p} y^p \frac{\Gamma(A+p)}{\Gamma(B+p)} {}_1F_1(A+p, B+p; -yT) \right|.$$

From Slater [6], p.59, we have

$${}_1F_1(a, b; -x) = \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(b-a)} x^{-a} \left\{ 1 + O|x|^{-1} \right\}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$${}_1F_1(a, b; -x) = O(1), \quad x \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus for  $0 < T \leq 1$  (2.13) is

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sup_{0 < T \leq 1} \left| \sum_p T^{-c+p+\beta+1} (-1)^{k+p} y^p \frac{\Gamma(A+p)}{\Gamma(B+p)} \right| \\ &= \text{a finite quantity as } |T| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } 1-c > 0, \text{ i.e. } c < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now for  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , (2.13) is

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sup_{0 < T < \infty} \left| \sum_p T^{-d+p+\beta+1} (-1)^{k+p} y^p \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (yT)^{-\beta-x-1-p} \right| \\ &= \sup_{0 < T < \infty} \left| \sum_p \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (-1)^{k+p} T^{-d-n} y^{-\beta-\eta-1} \right| \\ &= \text{a finite quantity for any real positive value of } d. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} (yT)^\beta {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT)$  is a member of  $J_{c,d}$  for every  $c < 1$  and  $d > 0$ .

It can be easily proved that  $J(y)$  is a smooth function on  $0 < y < \infty$ . Thus if

$$J(y) = \langle j(T), -\frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} (yT)^\beta {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT) \rangle$$

then

$$J^{(n)}(y) = \left\langle j(T), \frac{\partial^h}{\partial y^h} \left\{ -\frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} (yT)^\beta {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT) \right\} \right\rangle$$

where  $n$  is a non-negative integer.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $j(T)$  be an arbitrary element of  $J'_{c,d}$  and*

$$J(y) = \left\langle j(T), -\frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} (yT)^\beta {}_2F_1(A, B; -yT) \right\rangle.$$

Then

$$j(y) = O[y^{-p-\eta-1}] \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Proof.** Using the boundedness property of generalized functions we get

$$J(y) \leq C \max_{0 < M < \nu} \sup_{0 < T < \infty} \left| \lambda_{c,d}(-\log T) (-TD_T)^M \left\{ T\psi(T) \right\} \right|$$

where  $\psi(T) = \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} (yT)^\beta {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT)$ .

For  $1 < T < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{c,d}(-\log T) (-TD_T)^M \left\{ T \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} (yT)^\beta {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT) \right\} \\ &= T^{-d} (-TD_T)^M \left\{ T \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} (yT)^\beta {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT) \right\} \\ &= T^{-d} (-1)^M \sum_{0 \leq p < M} a_p T^{\beta+p+1} D_T^p \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} {}_1F_1(A, B; -yT) \right\} \quad (a_p \text{ being constant}) \\ &= (-1)^M T^{-d} \left[ \sum_p a_p T^{p+\beta+1} (-1)^p y^p \frac{\Gamma(A+p)}{\Gamma(B+p)} {}_1F_1(A+p, B+p; -yT) \right] \\ &= \sum_p (-1)^{M+p} a_p T^{-d+p+\beta+1} y^p \frac{\Gamma(A+p)}{\Gamma(B+p)} {}_1F_1(A+p, B+p; -yT) \\ &= \sum_p (-1)^{M+p} a_p T^{-d+p+\beta+1} y^p \frac{\Gamma(A+p)}{\Gamma(B+p)} \frac{\Gamma(B+p)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (yT)^{-\beta-\eta-1-p} \\ & \hspace{15em} \text{(Slater [6], p.59)} \\ &= \sum_p (-1)^{M+p} T^{-d-n} y^{-\beta-\eta-1} a_p \frac{\Gamma(A+p)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

For large  $T$  and  $y > 0$ , we have  $t T^{-d-\eta} y^{-\beta-\eta-1} \leq (\text{const.}) y^{-\beta-\eta-1}$ . This establishes that for large  $T$ ,

$$|J(y)| \leq (\text{const.}) y^{-\beta-\eta-1}.$$

So  $J(y) = O[y^{-\beta-\eta-1}]$  as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ .

#### 4. Inversion formula

The technique employed in finding the inversion formula is as given in Zemanian [7] p.229-226.

The conventional convolution transform is

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)G(x-t)dt \quad (4.2)$$

and the corresponding inversion function  $E(x)$ , which serves to invert the transform is defined by the equation

$$[E(x)]^{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(y)e^{-xy}dy.$$

This conventional convolution has been extended a certain class of generalized functions [Zemanian, 7, p.229-246] and their inversion formula has been proved to be still valid when the limiting operation in that formula is understood as weak convergence in the space  $D'$  of Schwartz distributions.

Setting  $s = e^x$  and  $t = e^{-t}$  in the conventional generalized Laplace transform

$$F(s) = \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} \int_0^{\infty} (st)^{\beta} {}_1F_1(A, B; -st)f(t)dt.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(e^x) &= \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(x-t)\beta} {}_1F_1(A, B; -e^{(x-t)})f(e^{-t})e^{-t}dt \\ \text{or } e^x F(e^x) &= \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(x-t)(\beta+1)} {}_1F_1(A, B; -e^{(x-t)})f(e^{-t})dt \\ \text{or } J(x) &= \frac{\Gamma(A)}{\Gamma(B)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(x-t)(\beta+1)} {}_1F_1(A, B; -e^{(x-t)})j(t)dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $J(x) = e^x F(e^x)$  and  $j(t) = f(e^{-t})$ .

As in Joshi [5] and using some results from Hirschman and Widder [4] (p.66), the inversion operator  $E(D)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E(D)\{e^x F(e^x)\} &= E(D)\{J(x)\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^n n^{\alpha-\beta+x} e^{(n+\beta)x} D_1^n e^{-(\beta+\eta+1)x} D_1^n e^{-\alpha x} D_1^{-n} e^{(\alpha+\eta)x} F(e^x) \\ &= f(e^{-t}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $D_1 = \frac{d}{de^x}$ .

Returning to the original variable, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-1)^n \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha) n^{\log x}}{\Gamma(n + \beta)(n + 1)} s^{n+\beta} D^n S^{-(\beta+\eta+1)} D^n s^{-\alpha} D^{-n} s^{\alpha+\eta} F(s) = f(t).$$

This has a sense as a limit in  $D'(I)$ . The change of variable we have used in (2.11) defines an isomorphism from  $D'$  onto  $D'(I)$ .

In summary if  $j \in J'_{c,d}$  for some  $c < 1$  and  $d > 0$  and if  $J(x)$  is defined by (2.11), (4.3) holds true in the sense of convergence in  $D'(I)$ .

As a consequence of inversion formula, we have Theorem 4.1 (The Uniqueness Theorem).

Let  $f \in L'_{c,d}$  and  $h \in L'_{c,d}$ . Also let  $F(s) = \langle f(t), G(s-t) \rangle$  and  $H(s) = \langle h(t), G(s-t) \rangle$ . If  $F(x) = H(x)$  for all  $x$ , then  $f = h$  in the sense of equality in  $D'$ .

## Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the referee for his valuable constructive suggestions.

## References

- [1] A. Erdelyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol.I, McGraw Hill, 1953.
- [2] A.C. Gupta, and A.K. Mahato, On a generalized Laplace Transform of Generalized Functions, communicated for publication in *Mathematica Balkanica*, Yugoslavia.
- [3] A.C. Gupta, and A.K. Mahato, "Complex Inversion and Uniqueness Theorems for a Generalized Laplace Transform," *Indag. Mathem.*, N.S., 2(3), 301-310, 1991.
- [4] Hirschman and Widder, *The Convolution Transform*, Princeton University Press, 1955.
- [5] J.M.C. Joshi, "On a Generalized Stieltjes Transform," *Pacific Jour. Math.*, 14(1969), 969-976.
- [6] L.J. Slater, *Confluent Hypergeometric Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1960.
- [7] A.H. Zemanian, *Generalized Integral Transformation*, Interscience Publ., New York, 1968.

Karam Chand Bhagat College, Bero, Ranchi-835202, India.

Marwari College, Ranchi-834001, India.