

TOTALLY UMBILICAL CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF A KAEHLER MANIFOLD

S. M. KHURSHEED HAIDER, V. A. KHAN AND S. I. HUSAIN

Abstract. In the present paper we study totally umbilical CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold. A classification theorem for a D^\perp -totally umbilical CR-submanifold is proved. The conditions under which a CR-submanifold becomes a CR-product are obtained, and finally a theorem for a CR-submanifold to be a proper CR-product is also established.

1. Introduction

The notion of a Cauchy-Riemann (CR)-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold was introduced by A. Bejancu [1,2]. Subsequently a number of authors studied these, and in particular the totally umbilical CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold ([3], [5], [6], [8]).

B. Y. Chen [8] classified the totally umbilical CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold and showed that they are either totally geodesic, or totally real, or $\dim(D^\perp) = 1$. Analogous to this we studied D^\perp -totally umbilical CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold in §3 and obtained a classification theorem for such submanifolds in a Kaehler setting. In §4 we have investigated the situation under which the CR-submanifolds become a CR-product. Moreover, in the context of Chen's theorem that a complex space form $\overline{M}(c)$ with $c < 0$ does not admit a proper CR-product, it was interesting to establish the existence of a proper CR-product in a complex space form $\overline{M}(c)$ when $c > 0$. Finally we have investigated

Received November 20, 1991.

AMS Subject classification (1991) 53C40.

the situation under which the CR-submanifold becomes a proper CR-product in general.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we shall give a brief summary of basic formulas and definitions which are frequently used in the sequel. Let \overline{M} be a Kähler manifold with almost complex structure J and M be a submanifold of \overline{M} . If there exists on M a holomorphic distribution D such that its orthogonal complement D^\perp is totally real in \overline{M} (i.e., $JD_x = D_x$ and $JD_x^\perp \subseteq T_x^\perp M$, $x \in M$), then M is called a CR-submanifold of \overline{M} [1]. If $D = \{0\}$, (resp. $D^\perp = \{0\}$), then M is said to be totally real (resp. holomorphic) submanifold. It follows that the normal bundle T_M^\perp splits as $T_M^\perp = JD \oplus u$, where u is the orthogonal complement of JD^\perp and is invariant subbundle of T_M^\perp under J . Let $\overline{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connection on \overline{M} , then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given respectively by

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N \quad (2.2)$$

for each vector fields X, Y tangent to M and N normal to M , where ∇ is the Riemannian connection of M , h and A are both the second fundamental forms related by

$$g(A_N X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), N), \quad (2.3)$$

and ∇^\perp the connection in the normal bundle $T(M)^\perp$ of M .

A CR-submanifold M is said to be totally umbilical if

$$h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H, \quad (2.4)$$

where $H = \frac{1}{n}$ (trace of h), called the mean curvature vector. M is said to be D^\perp -totally umbilical (resp. D -totally umbilical) if $h(Z, W) = g(Z, W)H_{D^\perp}$ (resp. $h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H_D$) holds for every Z, W in D^\perp (X, Y belongs to D)

[9]. M is called $(D^\perp - u)$ totally geodesic if $A_N Z = 0$ for each N in u and Z in D^\perp . For the vector field N normal to M , we put

$$JN = BN + CN, \quad (2.5)$$

where BN and CN are the tangential and normal components of JN .

3. D^\perp -Totally Umbilical CR-Submanifold

In the present section we shall prove a classification theorem for a D^\perp -totally umbilical CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold. In fact we prove the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a D^\perp -totally umbilical CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold \overline{M} . Then*

- (1) M is D^\perp -totally geodesic, or
- (2) M is totally real, or
- (3) M is proper, and not $(D^\perp - u)$ totally geodesic, or
- (4) the totally real distribution is one-dimensional, i.e., $\dim D^\perp = 1$.

Proof. We take Z, W in D^\perp and using D^\perp -totally umbilicalness of M together (2.1) and (2.2) with the fact the \overline{M} is Kaehler, we have

$$J \nabla_Z W + g(Z, W) J H_{D^\perp} = -A_{JW} Z + \nabla_Z^\perp JW.$$

Taking inner product with Z in D^\perp it follows that

$$g(H_{D^\perp}, JW) \|Z\|^2 = g(Z, W) g(H_{D^\perp}, JZ). \quad (3.1)$$

Interchanging Z and W in (3.1) we obtain

$$g(H_{D^\perp}, JZ) \|W\|^2 = g(Z, W) g(H_{D^\perp}, JW). \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), one can immediately get

$$g(H_{D^\perp}, JW) = \frac{g(Z, W)^2}{\|Z\|^2 \|W\|^2} g(H_{D^\perp}, JW). \quad (3.3)$$

The possible solutions of (3.3) are:

(a) $H_{D^\perp} = 0$, or (b) $H_{D^\perp} \perp JW$, or (c) $Z \parallel W$.

Suppose condition (a) holds, i.e., $H_{D^\perp} = 0$ which implies that M is D^\perp -totally geodesic. This proves part (1) of the theorem. Next, suppose that $H_{D^\perp} \neq 0$ which implies $D^\perp \neq 0$ (where D may or may not be zero) showing that M is either totally real or proper. Further since H_{D^\perp} belongs to u , there exist a N in u such that $g(h(Z, Z), N) \neq 0$ which implies that $A_N Z \neq 0$ showing that it is not $(D^\perp - u)$ totally geodesic. This proves (2) and (3). Finally if (c) satisfies (3.3), then $\dim D^\perp = 1$, which completes the proof of the classification theorem.

From the above theorem, the following is obvious:

Corollary 3.1. *Let M be D^\perp -totally umbilical generic CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold. Then one of the following holds*

- (1) M is D^\perp -totally geodesic
- (2) $\dim D^\perp = 1$.

4. CR-Product Submanifolds

In this section we study CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold, and the conditions under which these submanifolds become CR-product. We recall that a CR-submanifold M of a Kaehler manifold \overline{M} is called CR-product if it is locally Riemannian product of a holomorphic submanifold M^\top and a totally real submanifold M^\perp of \overline{M} .

B. Y. Chen [6] proved that a CR-submanifold M in a Kaehler manifold \overline{M} is a CR-product if and only if $A_{JD^\perp} D = 0$. Now since for totally umbilical CR-submanifold M of a kaehler manifold with $\dim(D^\perp) \geq 2$, H lies in u [10], as a result M turns out to be a CR-product. Consequently for D -totally umbilical CR-submanifold with $\dim(D^\perp) \geq 2$, D is parallel. In addition to this if M is mixed totally geodesic, the underlying manifold becomes a CR-product. In view of this it is easy to infer:

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a D -totally umbilical CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold \overline{M} . Then M is a CR-product if and only if the leaf of D^\perp is totally geodesic in M .*

In terms of second fundamental form, the idea of CR-product can be put as:

Proposition 4.1. *Let M be a CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold \overline{M} . Then M is a CR-product if and only if any one of the following holds.*

(1) $h(U, X) \in u$.

(2) $h(U, JX) = Jh(U, X)$.

for each X in D and U tangent to M .

Proof. The first part follows from the characterization of CR-product given by B. Y. Chen [6], that M is a CR-product if and only if $A_{JD^\perp} D = 0$. For the second part, put

$$\begin{aligned} h(U, JX) &= \overline{\nabla}_U JX - \nabla_U JX, \text{ or} \\ h(U, JX) &= J \nabla_U X + Jh(U, X) - \nabla_U JX \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Form (4.1) it follows that

$$h(U, JX) - Jh(U, X) = J \nabla_U X - \nabla_U JX. \quad (4.2)$$

If M is a CR-product, then the left hand side of (4.2) belongs to u , by part (1) whereas the right hand side belongs to D . Thus both sides are zero which proves that $h(U, JX) = Jh(U, X)$ and the converse is obvious.

Furthermore, for the CR-product submanifold to be proper we have the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be a D -totally geodesic, but not totally geodesic, CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold \overline{M} . If the leaf of D^\perp is totally geodesic in \overline{M} , then M is a proper CR-product. If in addition, M is a complex space form of constant curvature c , then $c > 0$.*

Proof. It is obvious to see that the leaves of D and D^\perp are totally geodesic in M , and hence M is a CR-product. Moreover, using (2.5) and the fact that $\|h(X, Z)\|^2 > 0$ for each $0 \neq X$ in D and $0 \neq Z$ in D^\perp we have

$$g(h(X, Z), JB(X, Z)) + g(h(X, Z), JCh(X, Z)) < 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Since M is a CR-product, therefore first term of (4.3) vanish and we are left with

$$g(A_{JCh(X, Z)}X, Z) < 0 \quad (4.4)$$

From (4.4) follows that $A_u X$ as well as $A_u Z$ are non zero for each $0 \neq X$ in D and $0 \neq Z$ in D^\perp .

On the contrary, suppose M is not proper. Then either $D = 0$ or $D^\perp = 0$.

Case 1. Suppose $D^\perp = 0$ but $D \neq 0$. Then $A_N X$ does not belong to D^\perp for each X in D and N in T_M^\perp , which contradicts the fact that M is D -totally geodesic. Similarly,

Case 2. Suppose $D = 0$ but $D^\perp \neq 0$. Then $A_N Z$ does not belong to D for each Z in D^\perp and N in T_M^\perp , which again contradicts the fact that M is D^\perp -totally geodesic. Hence neither D nor D^\perp can be zero, which completes the proof of the theorem.

References

- [1] A. Bejancu, "CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold I", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 69 (1978), 135-142.
- [2] A. Bejancu, "CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold II", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 250 (1979), 333-345.
- [3] A. Bejancu, "Umbilical CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold", *Rend. Math.*, 13 (1980), 431-446.
- [4] A. Bejancu, "Pinching theorems for sectional curvatures of a CR-submanifold", *Rend. Math.*, (1) 1983 Vol. 3, Serie VII.
- [5] A. Bejancu, M. Kon & K. Yano, "CR-submanifolds of a Complex space form", *JDG*, 16 (1981), 137-145.
- [6] B. Y. Chen, "CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold I", *JDG*, 16 (1981), 305-322.
- [7] B. Y. Chen, "CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold II", *JDG*, 16 (1981), 493-509.

- [8] B. Y. Chen, "Totally umbilical submanifolds of Kaehler manifolds", *Arch. Math.*, Vol. 36 (1981), 83-91.
- [9] Chen-Jung Hsu, "On some properties of CR-submanifolds of Kaehler manifold", *Chinese J. Math.*, 12 (1984), 7-27.
- [10] D. E. Blair and B. Y. Chen, "On CR-submanifolds of Hermitian manifolds", *Israel J. Math.*, 34 (1979), 353-363.
- [11] K. Yano and M. Kon, "Differential geometry of CR-submanifolds", *Geom, Dedicata*, 10 (1981), 369-391.

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202 002, India.