TAMKANG JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Volume 24, Number 2, Summer 1993

MULTIPLIERS FOR THE $\varphi - |C, \alpha|_k$ SUMMABILITY OF INFINITE SERIES

W. T. SULAIMAN

Abstract. In this note we improve and generalize a theorem proved in 1988 by Bor concerning $\varphi - |C, 1|_k$ summability factors of infinite series. This theorem includes several known results.

1. Introduction

Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of complex numbers $a_{nk}(n, k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ and let (φ_n) be a sequence of complex numbers. Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with sequence of partial sums (s_n) . We denote by $A_n(s)$ the A-transform of the sequence $s = (s_r)$,

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_{nr} s_r. \tag{1.1}$$

We said that the series $\sum a_n$ is summable |A|, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s)| < \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

and it is said to be summable $\varphi - |A|_k, k \ge 1$, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_n[A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s)]|^k < \infty.$$
(1.3)

Received April 15, 1992.

When $\varphi_n = n^{1-1/k}$ (resp. $\varphi_n = n^{\delta+1-1/k}$, $\delta \ge 0$), then $\varphi - |A|_k$ summability is the same as $|A|_k$ (resp. $|A, \delta|_k$) summability. We said that the series $\sum a_n$ is bounded $[R, \log n, 1]_k, k \ge 1$, if

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu^{-1} |s_{\nu}|^{k} = 0(\log n) \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (1.4)

A sequence (λ_n) is convex if $\Delta^2 \lambda_n \ge 0$, where $\Delta \lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. The following results are know:

Theorem A. (Mishra [4], see also Mazhar [3])

Let (λ_n) be a convex sequence such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n < \infty$. If $\sum a_n$ is bounded $[R, \log n, 1]_k$, then $\sum a_n\lambda_n$ is summable $|C, 1|_k$, $k \ge 1$.

Theorem B. (Balci [1])

Let (λ_n) be a convex sequence such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n < \infty$. If there exists an $\in > 0$ such that the sequence $(n^{\in -k}|\varphi_n|^k)$ is non-increasing and

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n} v^{-k} |\varphi_v s_v|^k = 0 \ (\log n), \quad n \to \infty,$$
(1.5)

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $\varphi - |C, 1|_k, k \ge 1$.

Theorem C. (Mishra & Srivastava [5])

Let (χ_n) be a positive non-decreasing sequence and there be sequences (β_n) and (λ_n) such that

$$|\Delta\lambda_n| \le \beta_n,\tag{1.6}$$

$$\beta_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$
 (1.7)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Delta\beta_n| \chi_n < \infty, \tag{1.8}$$

$$|\lambda_n|\chi_n = O(1). \tag{1.9}$$

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \nu^{-1} |s_{\nu}|^{k} = O(\chi_{n}), \qquad (1.10)$$

mutipliers for the $arphi - |\mathbb{C}, lpha|_{\mathbf{k}}$ summability

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|C, 1|_k, k \ge 1$.

Theorem D. (Bor [2])

Let (χ_n) be a positive non-decreasing sequence and the sequences (β_n) and (λ_n) are such that the conditions (1.6) - (1.9) of Theorem C are satisfied. If there exists an $\in > 0$ such that the sequence $(n^{\epsilon-k}|\varphi_n|^k)$ is non-increasing and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} v^{-k} |\varphi_{\nu} s_{\nu}|^{k} = O(\chi_{n}), \quad n \to \infty,$$
(1.11)

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $\varphi - |C, 1|_k, k \ge 1$.

Theorem A could be obtained from Theorem B by taking $\varphi_n = n^{1-1/k}$ and $\in = 1$. Theorem C generalizes Theorem A with conditions weaker as well. Theorems A, B and C are all special cases of Theorem D.

2. Main Result

We prove the following

Theorem E. Let (χ_n) be a positive non-decreasing sequence and the sequences (β_n) and (λ_n) are such that the conditions (1.6) - (1.9) of Theorem C are satisfied. If there exists an $\in > 0$ such that the sequence $(n^{\epsilon-k}|\varphi_n|^k)$ is non-increasing and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} v^{-k\alpha} |\varphi_{\nu} t_{\nu}'|^{k} = O(\chi_{n}), \quad n \to \infty,$$
(2.1)

where t'_n is the (C,1)-mean of the sequence (na_n) , then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $\varphi - |C, \alpha|_k, k \ge 1, 1 - 1/k < \alpha \le 1$.

3. Lemmas

Lemma 1. [5] If the conditions (1.6) - (1.9) are satisfied, then

$$n\beta_n\chi_n = O(1), \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \chi_n < \infty.$$
 (3.2)

Lemma 2. If $\sigma > \delta > 0$, then

$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m} \frac{(n-\nu)^{\delta-1}}{n^{\sigma}} = O(\nu^{\delta-\sigma}), \quad as \ m \to \infty.$$
(3.3)

Proof.

$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m} \frac{(n-\nu)^{\delta-1}}{n^{\sigma}} = O(1) \int_{\nu+1}^{m} x^{-\sigma} (x-\nu)^{\delta-1} dx$$
$$= O(\nu^{\delta-\sigma}) \int_{\nu/m}^{\nu/(\nu+1)} u^{\sigma-\delta-1} (1-u)^{\delta-1} du$$
$$= O(\nu^{\delta-\sigma}),$$

as

$$\int_{v/m}^{v/(v+1)} u^{\sigma-\delta-1} (1-u)^{\delta-1} du$$

$$\leq \int_{v/m}^{1} u^{\sigma-\delta-1} (1-u)^{\delta-1} du \to \beta(\sigma-\delta,\delta) \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

4. Proof of Theorem E

Let T_n^{α} be the *n*-th (C, α) -mean, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, of the sequence $(na_n\lambda_n)$. Then in order to prove the Theorem, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k} |\varphi_n T_n^{\alpha}|^k < \infty, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$T_n^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{A_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=1}^n A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v \lambda_v,$$

$$A_n^{\alpha} = \binom{n+\alpha}{n} = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\cdots(\alpha+n)}{n!} \simeq \frac{n^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$$

198

Abel's transformation gives

$$\begin{split} T_{n}^{\alpha} &= \frac{1}{A_{n}^{\alpha}} \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \left\{ (v+1) \triangle_{v} A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} \lambda_{v} t_{v}' + (v+1) A_{n-v-1}^{\alpha-1} \triangle \lambda_{v} t_{v}' \right\} + (n+1) \lambda_{n} t_{n} \right] \\ &= T_{n,1}^{\alpha} + T_{n,2}^{\alpha} + T_{n,3}^{\alpha}, \text{ say.} \end{split}$$

To complete the proof, it is sufficient, by Minkowski's inequality to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k} |\varphi_n T_{n,j}^{\alpha}|^k < \infty, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^k} |\varphi_n T_{n,1}^{\alpha}|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{|\varphi_n|^k}{n^k (A_n^{\alpha})^k} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (v+1)^k |\lambda_v|^k |t_v'|^k |\Delta A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1}| \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1}| \right\}^{k-1} \\ &\quad (\text{when } \alpha = 1, \ T_{n,2}^{\alpha} = 0 \text{ as } \Delta A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} = 0) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^k |\lambda_v|^k |t_v'|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{|\varphi_n|^k |\Delta A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1}|}{n^k (A_n^{\alpha})^k}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ &\quad (\text{as } \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta A_{n-v}^{\alpha-1}| = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (n-v)^{\alpha-2} = O(1)) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^k |\lambda_v|^k |t_v'|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{|\varphi_n|^k (n-v)^{\alpha-2}}{n^{k+k\alpha}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{-k\alpha} |\varphi_v|^k \ |\lambda_v|^k \ |t_v'|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} (n-v)^{\alpha-2} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{-k\alpha} |\lambda_v|^k |\varphi_v t_v'|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v|_v^{-k\alpha} |\varphi_v t_v'|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v|_v^{-k\alpha} |\varphi_v t_v'|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| \chi_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| \chi_m \end{split}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \beta_v \chi_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| \chi_m$$
$$= O(1),$$

in view of (1.6), (1.9), (2.1), (3.2), and the boundedness of λ_n (see [2]).

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{1}{n^k} |\varphi_n T_{n,2}^{\alpha}|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{|\varphi_n|^k}{n(A_n^{\alpha})^k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} (\nu+1) |\Delta\lambda_\nu| |t_{\nu}'|^k (A_{n-\nu-1}^{\alpha-1})^k \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} (\nu+1) |\Delta\lambda_\nu| \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu |\Delta\lambda_\nu| |t_{\nu}'|^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \frac{|\varphi_n|^k (A_{n-\nu-1}^{\alpha-1})^k}{n(A_n^{\alpha})^k} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu |\Delta\lambda_\nu| |t_{\nu}'|^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \frac{n^{\epsilon-k} |\varphi_n|^k (n-\nu)^{k\alpha-k}}{n^{1+\epsilon+k\alpha-k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu^{1+\epsilon-k} |\varphi_\nu|^k |\Delta\lambda_\nu| |t_{\nu}'|^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \frac{(n-\nu)^{k\alpha-k}}{n^{1+\epsilon+k\alpha-k}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu^{1-k} |\Delta\lambda_\nu| |\varphi_\nu t_{\nu}'|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu\beta_\nu \nu^{-k\alpha} |\varphi_\nu t_{\nu}'|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \Delta(\nu\beta_\nu) \chi_\nu + O(1)m\beta_m \chi_m \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \beta_\nu \chi_\nu + \sum_{\nu=1}^m (\nu+1) \Delta\beta_\nu \chi_\nu + O(1)m\beta_m \chi_m \\ &= O(1), \end{split}$$

in view of (1.8), (2.1), (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3).

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{1}{n^{k}} |\varphi_{n} T_{n,3}^{\alpha}|^{k} = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{|\varphi_{n}|^{k}}{(A_{n}^{\alpha})^{k}} |\lambda_{n}|^{k} |t_{n}'|^{k}$$

200

$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} n^{-k\alpha} |\lambda_n|^k |\varphi_n t'_n|^k$$
$$= O(1), \text{ as in the case of } T^{\alpha}_{n,1}.$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

5. Applications

- a) If we are taking (λ_n) as a convex sequence such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n < \infty$, $\chi_n = \log n, \in = 1, \varphi_n = n^{1-1/k}$ and $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem E, we obtain an improvement to Theorem A.
- b) If we are taking (λ_n) as a convex sequence such that $\sum n^{-1}\lambda_n < \infty$, $\chi_n = \log n$ and $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem E, we obtain an improvement to Theorem B.
- c) If we are taking $\in = 1$, $\varphi_n = n^{1-1/k}$ and $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem E, we obtain an improvement to Theorem C.
- d) If we are taking α = 1 in Theorem E, we obtain an improvement to Theorem D.

References

- M. Balci, "Absolute φ-summability factors," Comm. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ankara, Ser. A₁, 29 (1980), 63-68.
- [2] H. Bor, "On the absolute φ-summability factors of infinite series," Portugaliae Math., 45 (1988), 131-137.
- [3] S. M. Mazhar, "On |C, 1|k summability factors of infinite series," Acta Sci. Math. Szeged, 27 (1966), 67-70.
- [4] B. P. Mishra, "On absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series," Rend. Circl. Mat. Palermo, 14 (1965), 189-193.
- [5] K. N. Mishra, and R. S. L. Srivastava, "On absolute Cesàro summability factors of infinite series," *Portugaliae Math.*, 42 (1983-1984), 53-61.

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Yarmonk University, Irbid, Jordan.