

## ON COMMUTATIVITY OF ONE-SIDED $s$ -UNITAL RINGS

H. A. S. ABUJABAL, M. A. KHAN AND M. S. SAMMAN

**Abstract.** In the present paper, we study the commutativity of one sided  $s$ -unital rings satisfying conditions of the form  $[x^r y \pm x^n y^m x^s, x] = 0 = [x^r y^m \pm x^n y^{m^2} x^s, x]$ , or  $[yx^r \pm x^n y^m x^s, x] = 0 = [y^m x^r \pm x^n y^{m^2} x^s, x]$  for each  $x, y \in R$ , where  $m = m(y) > 1$  is an integer depending on  $y$  and  $n, r$  and  $s$  are fixed non-negative integers. Other commutativity theorems are also obtained. Our results generalize some of the well-known commutativity theorems for rings.

Throughout the present paper,  $R$  will represent an associative ring (not necessarily with unity 1). Let  $Z(R)$  denotes the center of  $R$ ,  $N(R)$  the set of all nilpotent elements of  $R$ ,  $N'(R)$  the set of all zero-divisors of  $R$  and  $C(R)$  the commutator ideal of  $R$ . By  $(GF(q))_2$  we mean the ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over the Galois field  $GF(q)$  with  $q$  elements. As usual  $\mathbf{Z}[t]$  is the totality of polynomials in  $t$  with coefficients in  $\mathbf{Z}$ , the ring of integers, and for each  $x, y \in R$ ,  $[x, y] = xy - yx$ .

A ring  $R$  is called left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital if  $x \in Rx$  (resp.  $x \in xR$ ) for every  $x \in R$ . Further,  $R$  is called  $s$ -unital if  $x \in Rx \cap xR$  for all  $x \in R$ . If  $R$  is  $s$ -unital (resp. left  $s$ -unital or right  $s$ -unital), then for any finite subset  $F$  of  $R$  there exists an element  $e \in R$  such that  $ex = xe = x$  (resp.  $ex = x$  or  $xe = x$ ) for all  $x \in F$ . Such an element  $e$  will be called a pseudo (resp. pseudo left or pseudo right) identity of  $F$  in  $R$  (see [16, 20, 21]).

In [9] it was studied the following ring properties:

---

Received October 5, 1991; revised November 6, 1991.

*Key words and phrases.* Commutativity,  $s$ -unital rings, semi-prime rings.

1990 AMS Subject classification. 16U80.

- $(P_1)$  : For each  $x, y$  in  $R$ ,  $[x, x^r y - x^n y^m x^s] = 0$  where  $r \geq 1, n \geq 1, m > 1, s \geq 1$  are fixed non-negative integers.
- $(P_1)^*$  : For each  $x, y$  in  $R$ ,  $[x, y x^r - x^n y^m x^s] = 0$  where  $r \geq 1, n \geq 1, m > 1, s \geq 1$  are fixed non-negative integers.
- $(P_2)$  : For each  $y$  in  $R$ , there exists integer  $m = m(y) > 1$  such that  $[x, x y - x^n y^m x^s] = 0 = [x, x y^m - x^n y^{m^2} x^s]$  for all  $x$  in  $R$ , where  $n, s$  are fixed integers.
- $(P_2)^*$  : For each  $y$  in  $R$ , there exists integer  $m = m(y) > 1$  such that  $[x, y x - x^n y^m x^s] = 0 = [x, y^m x - x^n y^{m^2} x^s]$  for all  $x$  in  $R$ , where  $n, s$  are fixed integers.

Indeed it was proved the following results:

**Theorem  $A_1$ .** *If  $R$  is a ring with unity 1 satisfies either of the properties  $(P_1)$  or  $(P_1)^*$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Theorem  $A_2$ .** *Let  $R$  be a ring with unity 1 satisfying either of the properties  $(P_2)$  or  $(P_2)^*$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

Further, in [9] the above results were extended to a class of rings called one-sided  $s$ -unital rings. Actually it was proved the following:

**Theorem  $A_3$ .** *Let  $R$  be a left  $s$ -unital ring satisfying  $(P_2)$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Theorem  $A_4$ .** *Let  $R$  be a right  $s$ -unital ring satisfying  $(P_2)^*$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

The aim of the present paper is to generalize the above mentioned results and the results proved in [1]-[10]. Also, correct some of the results in [5]. In fact we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $m = m(y) > 1$  be an integer depending on  $y$  and  $n, r$  and  $s$  be fixed non-negative integers. If  $R$  is a left  $s$ -unital ring which satisfies the*

*polynomial identity*

$$[x^r y \pm x^n y^m x^s, x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R, \tag{1}$$

$$[x^r y^m \pm x^n y^{m^2} x^s, x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R, \tag{1'}$$

then  $R$  is commutative.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $m = m(y) > 1$  be an integer depending on  $y$  and  $n, r$  and  $s$  be fixed non-negative integers. If  $R$  is a right  $s$ -unital ring which satisfies the polynomial identity*

$$[y x^r \pm x^n y^m x^s, x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R, \tag{2}$$

$$[y^m x^r \pm x^n y^{m^2} x^s, x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R, \tag{2'}$$

then  $R$  is commutative.

In preparation for the proof of our results, we need the following well-known results:

**Lemma 1** ([15, Lemma 3]). *Let  $R$  be a ring such that  $[x, [x, y]] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $[x^m, y] = m x^{m-1} [x, y]$  for any positive integer  $m$ .*

**Lemma 2** [18, Lemma 1]. *Let  $R$  be a ring with unity 1. If for each  $x, y \in R$ , there exists an integer  $k = k(x, y) \geq 1$  such that  $x^k [x, y] = 0$  or  $[x, y] x^k = 0$ , then  $[x, y] = 0$ .*

**Lemma 3** ([17, Lemma 3]). *Let  $R$  be a ring with unity 1. If  $(1 - y^n)x = 0$ , then  $(1 - y^{n^m})x = 0$  for any positive integer  $m$ .*

**Lemma 4** ([13, Theorem]). *Let  $f$  be a polynomial in  $n$  non-commuting indeterminates  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  with integer coefficients. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *For any ring  $R$  satisfying  $f = 0$ ,  $C(R)$  is a nil ideal.*
- (2) *For every prime  $p$ ,  $(GF(p))_2$  fails to satisfy  $f = 0$ .*



(3) Every semi-prime ring satisfying  $f = 0$  is commutative.

**Lemma 5** ([23, Lemma 1]). Let  $R$  be a ring with unity 1, and let  $I_0^r(x) = x^r$  for all  $x \in R$ . Define  $I_k^r(x)$  inductively by  $I_k^r(x) = I_{k-1}^r(x+1) - I_{k-1}^r(x)$  for all positive integers  $k$ . Then for all  $x \in R$ , we have  $I_{r-1}^r(x) = (r-1)r!/2 + r!x$ ,  $I_r^r(x) = r!$  and  $I_j^r(x) = 0$  for all  $j > r$ .

**Lemma 6** ([22, Lemma]). Let  $R$  be a left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital ring. If for each pair of elements  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$ , there exists a positive integer  $k = k(x, y)$  and an element  $e = e(x, y)$  of  $R$  such that  $x^k e = x^k$  and  $y^k e = y^k$  (resp.  $ex^k = x^k$  and  $ey^k = y^k$ ), then  $R$  is  $s$ -unital.

Next, we consider the following ring property:

(H) For each  $x, y$  in  $R$  there exists  $f(t) \in t^2\mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that  $[x - f(x), y] = 0$ .

**Theorem H** ([11, Theorem]). Every ring satisfying (H) is commutative.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we establish two lemmas.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $m = m(x, y) > 1$ ,  $n = n(x, y)$ ,  $r = r(x, y)$  and  $s = s(x, y)$  be non-negative integers and let  $R$  be a left  $s$ -unital ring satisfying  $[x^r y \pm x^n y^m x^s, x] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $R$  is an  $s$ -unital ring.

**Proof.** If  $x, y \in R$ , then there exists  $e = e(x, y) \in R$  such that  $ex = x$  and  $ey = y$ . Further, there exist integers  $m = m(x, e) > 1$ ,  $n = n(x, e)$ ,  $r = r(x, e)$ , and  $s = s(x, e) > 0$  such that  $x^r[x, e] = \pm x^n[x, e^m]x^s$ . So  $x^{r+1}e - x^r ex = \pm(x^{n+1}ex^s - x^n ex^{s+1})$ . Thus  $x^{r+1}e = x^{r+1}$ . Also, if  $m_1 = m(y, e) > 1$ ,  $n_1 = n(y, e)$ ,  $r_1 = r(y, e)$  and  $s_1 = s(y, e) > 0$ , then we get  $y^{r_1+1}e = y^{r_1+1}$ . Thus  $x^{r+r_1+2}e = x^{r+1}(x^{r_1+1}e) = x^{r+r_1+2}$  and  $y^{r+r_1+2}e = y^{r+r_1+2}$ . Therefore,  $R$  is  $s$ -unital by Lemma 6. If  $s = 0$ , then  $x^{r+1}y - x^r yx = \pm(x^{n+1}y^m - x^n y^m x)$ . So  $e^{r+1}y - e^r ye = \pm(e^{n+1}y^m - e^n y^m e)$  and thus  $y = ye \pm (y^m - y^m e) = y(e \pm (y^{m-1} - y^{m-1}e)) \in yR$ . Therefore,  $R$  is  $s$ -unital.

**Lemma 8.** Let  $m = m(x, y) > 1$ ,  $n = n(x, y)$ ,  $r = r(x, y)$  and  $s = s(x, y)$  be non-negative integers. If  $R$  satisfies  $[x^r y \pm x^n y^m x^s, x] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ ,



then  $C(R) \subseteq N(R)$ . Further, if  $R$  has unity 1, then  $C(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ .

**Proof.** By the hypothesis, we have

$$x^r[x, y] = \pm x^n[x, y^m]x^s \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (3)$$

Let  $x = e_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $y = e_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $(GF(p))_2$  for any prime  $p$ . Then  $x$  and  $y$  fail to satisfy (3). By Lemma 4,  $C(R) \subseteq N(R)$ .

If  $m_1 = m(x, y) > 1$ ,  $n_1 = n(x, y)$ ,  $r_1 = r(x, y)$ , and  $s_1 = s(x, y)$ , then

$$x^{r_1}[x, y] = x^{n_1}[x, y^{m_1}]x^{s_1} \text{ for all } x, y \in R,$$

or

$$x^{r_1}[x, y] = x^{n_1}[y^{m_1}, x]x^{s_1} \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Now, let  $m_2 = m(x, y^{m_1}) > 1$ ,  $n_2 = n(x, y^{m_1})$ ,  $r_2 = r(x, y^{m_1})$ , and  $s_2 = s(x, y^{m_1})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x^{r_1+r_2}[x, y] &= x^{r_2}(x^{n_1}[x, y^{m_1}]x^{s_1}) \\ &= x^{n_1+n_2}[x, y^{m_1 m_2}]x^{s_1+s_2}, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} x^{r_1+r_2}[x, y] &= x^{r_2}(x^{n_1}[y^{m_1}, x]x^{s_1}) \\ &= x^{n_1+n_2}[y^{m_1 m_2}, x]x^{s_1+s_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $t$  be any positive integer. By repeated use of the above process, we obtain

$$x^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_t}[x, y] = x^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_t}[x, y^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}]x^{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_t} \text{ for all } x, y \in R, \quad (4)$$

or

$$x^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_t}[x, y] = x^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_t}[y^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}, x]x^{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_t} \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (4)'$$

If  $u \in N(R)$ , then by (4) and (4)', for any  $x \in R$  and any positive integer  $t$ , we have

$$x^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_t}[x, u] = x^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_t}[x, u^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_t}]x^{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_t},$$

or

$$x^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_t}[x, u] = x^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_t}[u^{m_1m_2\dots m_t}, x]x^{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_t}.$$

But  $u^{m_1m_2\dots m_t} = 0$  for sufficiently large  $t$ . Therefore,  $x^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_t}[x, u] = 0$  and by Lemma 2,  $[x, u] = 0$ . Hence  $N(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ . So

$$C(R) \subseteq N(R) \subseteq Z(R). \tag{5}$$

**Remark 1.** Since we know that  $C(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ , if  $R$  has a unity 1. Thus  $[x, [x, y]] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , and hence we shall apply the conclusion of Lemma 1 without explicit mention for any ring  $R$  satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 8.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $m = m(y) > 1$  be an integer depending on  $y$  and  $n, r$  and  $s$  be fixed non-negative integers. If  $R$  is a ring with unity 1 satisfies  $[x^r y \pm x^n y^m x^s, x] = 0 = [x^r y^m \pm x^n y^{m^2} x^s, x]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Proof.** If  $r = n + s$ , then  $x^r[x, y] = \pm x^{n+s}[x, y^m] = \pm x^r[x, y^m]$ . Thus  $x^r([x, y] \mp [x, y^m]) = 0$  and by Lemma 2  $[x, y \mp y^m] = 0$ . Therefore,  $R$  is commutative by Theorem H.

Let  $r > n + s$ . Suppose that  $q_1 = p^{r+1} - p^{n+s+1}$  for a prime  $p$ . Then by (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 x^r[x, y] &= p^{r+1} x^r[x, y] - p^{n+s+1} x^r[x, y] \\ &= (px)^r[(px), y] \mp (px)^n[(px), y^m](px)^s = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $n + s > r$ , then for  $q_2 = p^{n+s+1} - p^{r+1}$ , we get  $q_2 x^r[x, y] = 0$ . Suppose  $q = q_1$  or  $q_2$ . Then  $q[x, y] = 0$  by Lemma 2. So  $[x, y^q] = qy^{q-1}[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Therefore,

$$y^q \in Z(R) \text{ for all } y \in R. \tag{6}$$

Further using (1) and (1'), together with Lemma 1, and Lemma 8 several



times, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 - y^{(m-1)^2})[x, y^m]x^r &= x^r[x, y^m] - y^{(m-1)^2}[x, y^m]x^r \\
 &= x^r[x, y^m] - my^{m-1}y^{(m-1)^2}[x, y]x^r \\
 &= x^r[x, y^m] - my^{m(m-1)}x^r[x, y] \\
 &= x^r[x, y^m] \mp my^{m(m-1)}x^n[x, y^m]x^s \\
 &= x^r[x, y^m] \mp [x, y^{m^2}]x^{n+s} \\
 &= x^r[x, y^m] \mp x^n[x, y^{m^2}]x^s \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $(1 - y^{(m-1)^2})[x, y^m]x^r = 0$ , that is,  $(1 - y^{(m-1)^2})[x, y^m]x^{r+n+s} = 0$ . So  $(1 - y^{(m-1)^2})[x, y]x^{2r} = 0$ . Using Lemma 2, we get  $(1 - y^{(m-1)^2})[x, y] = 0$ . But since  $y^q \in Z(R)$ , for all  $y \in R$ , that gives  $[x, y - y^{q(m-1)^2+1}] = (1 - y^{q(m-1)^2})[x, y] = 0$  and therefore,  $R$  is commutative by Theorem H.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** If  $R$  is left  $s$ -unital satisfies (1), then  $R$  is  $s$ -unital by Lemma 7. In view of Proposition 1 of [12], we may assume  $R$  has unity 1. Therefore,  $R$  is commutative by Lemma 9.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $m > 1$ ,  $n$ ,  $r$  and  $s$  be fixed non-negative integers. If  $R$  is a left  $s$ -unital ring satisfies  $[x^r y \pm x^n y^m x^s, x] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

In preparation for proving Theorem 2, we prove the following lemmas:

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $m = m(x, y) > 1$ ,  $n = n(x, y)$ ,  $r = r(x, y)$  and  $s = s(x, y)$  be non-negative integers, and let  $R$  be a right  $s$ -unital ring. If  $R$  satisfies  $[yx^r \pm x^n y^m y^s, x] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $R$  is  $s$ -unital.*

**Proof.** If  $x, y \in R$ , then there exists  $e = e(x, y) \in R$  such that  $xe = x$  and  $ye = y$ . Further, there exist non-negative integers  $m = m(x, e) > 1$ ,  $n = n(x, e) > 0$ ,  $r = r(x, e)$ , and  $s = s(x, e)$  such that  $x^{r+1} = ex^{r+1}$ . Also, if  $m_1 = m(y, e) > 1$ ,  $n_1 = n(y, e) > 0$ ,  $r_1 = r(y, e)$  and  $s_1 = s(y, e)$ , then we get  $y^{r_1+1} = ey^{r_1+1}$ . Thus

$ex^{r+r_1+2} = x^{r+r_1+2}$  and  $ey^{r+r_1+2} = y^{r+r_1+2}$ . Therefore,  $R$  is  $s$ -unital by Lemma 6. If  $n = n(x, y) = 0$ , then  $y = (e \mp (ey^{m-1} - y^{m-1}))y \in Ry$ , for  $m = m(e, y) > 1$ . Thus  $R$  is  $s$ -unital.

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $m = m(x, y) > 1$ ,  $n = n(x, y)$ ,  $r = r(x, y)$  and  $s = s(x, y)$  be non-negative integers. If  $R$  satisfies  $[yx^r \pm x^n y^m x^s, x] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $C(R) \subseteq N(R)$ . Further, if  $R$  has unity 1, then  $C(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ .*

**Proof.** By our hypothesis, we obtain

$$[x, y]x^r = \pm x^n [x, y^m]x^s \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (11)$$

If  $x = e_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $y = e_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $(GF(p))_2$  for any prime  $p$ , then  $x$  and  $y$  fail to satisfy (11). Therefore,  $C(R) \subseteq N(R)$  by Lemma 4.

Following the proof of Lemma 8, we notice that for any positive integer  $k$ , (11) implies that

$$[x, y]x^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k} = x^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k} [x, y^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}]x^{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k} \text{ for all } x, y \in R, \quad (12)$$

or

$$[x, y]x^{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_k} = x^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_k} [y^{m_1 m_2 \dots m_k}, x]x^{s_1+s_2+\dots+s_k} \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (12)'$$

Also we can prove that  $N(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ . Therefore,

$$C(R) \subseteq N(R) \subseteq Z(R). \quad (13)$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** In view of Lemma 10,  $R$  is  $s$ -unital. Hence we can assume that  $R$  has unity 1 as suggested by Proposition 1 of [12]. By (13), (2) and (2') are equivalent to

$$x^r [x, y] = \pm x^n [x, y^m]x^s \text{ for all } x, y \in R,$$

$$x^r [x, y^m] = \pm x^n [x, y^{m^2}]x^s \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$



Therefore,  $R$  is commutative by Lemma 9.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $m > 1$ ,  $n$ ,  $r$  and  $s$  be fixed non-negative integers. If  $R$  is a right  $s$ -unital ring which satisfies the polynomial identity  $[yx^r \pm x^n y^m x^s, x] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Remark 2.** Let  $r = n = 0$  (resp.  $r = s = 0$ ) in (1) (resp. (2)). Then

$$[x, y] = \pm [y^m, x] x^s \text{ for all } x, y \in R \tag{14}$$

(resp.

$$[x, y] = \pm x^n [y^m, x] \text{ for all } x, y \in R \tag{15}).$$

If  $m > 1$  or  $s \geq 1$  in (14) (resp.  $m > 1$  or  $n \geq 1$  in (15)), then  $R$  is a  $(\mathbb{Z}, \bar{\beta})$ -ring in the sense of Streb ([19]), hence  $R$  is commutative even if  $R$  is not assumed to be a left (resp. right)  $s$ -unital ring (ring with unity 1 (cf. Lemma 9)).

**Example 1.** Let  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  be a subring of  $(GF(2))_2$ . It is easy to check that  $R$  is a right  $s$ -unital ring satisfying the polynomial identity  $[x^r y \pm x^n y^m x^s, x] = 0$  for each  $x, y \in R$ , where  $r > 1$ ,  $n > 1$ ,  $m = m(y) > 1$ , and  $s > 1$  are integers. Also,  $R$  is not a left  $s$ -unital ring. However,  $R$  is a non-commutative ring.

**Example 2.** Let  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  be a subring of  $(GF(2))_2$ . It is easy to check that  $R$  is a left  $s$ -unital ring satisfying the polynomial identity  $[yx^r \pm x^n y^m x^s, x] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , where  $r > 1$ ,  $n > 1$ ,  $m = m(y) > 1$ , and  $t > 1$  are integers. Also,  $R$  is not a right  $s$ -unital ring. However,  $R$  is a non-commutative ring.

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $m = m(x, y) > 1$ ,  $r = r(x, y)$  and  $s = (x, y)$  be non-negative integers. If  $R$  is a right  $s$ -unital ring satisfies  $[x^r y \pm y^m x^s, x]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $R$  is  $s$ -unital.*

**Proof.** Since  $R$  is right  $s$ -unital, then for any  $x, y \in R$  there exists an element  $e = e(x, y) \in R$  such that  $xe = x$  and  $ye = y$ . Let  $m = m(x, e) > 1$ ,  $r = r(x, e) \geq 1$ ,  $s = s(x, e) \geq 0$ ,  $m' = m(y, e) > 1$ ,  $r' = r(y, e) \geq 1$ , and  $s' = s(y, e) \geq 0$ . Then  $e^{mm'}x^{s+s'+2} = x^{s+s'+2}$ , and  $e^{mm'}y^{s+s'+2} = y^{s+s'+2}$ . By Lemma 6,  $R$  is  $s$ -unital. If  $r = r(x, e) = 0$ , then  $[e, y] = [e, y^m]e^s$  for  $s = s(e, y) \geq 0$ , and  $m = m(e, y) > 1$ . So  $y = (e \mp (ey^{m-1} - y^{m-1}))y \in Ry$ . Therefore,  $R$  is an  $s$ -unital ring.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $m = m(y) > 1$  and  $r, s$  be non-negative integers. If  $R$  is a right  $s$ -unital ring satisfies  $[x^r y \pm y^m x^s, x] = 0 = [x^r y^m \pm y^{m^2} x^s, x]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Proof of Theorem 3.** By Lemma 12,  $R$  is an  $s$ -unital ring. Hence, we can assume that  $R$  has unity 1 (see [12, Proposition 1]). Therefore,  $R$  is commutative by Lemma 9.

**Lemma 13.** *Let  $m = m(x, y) > 1$ ,  $r = r(x, y)$  and  $n = n(x, y)$  be non-negative integers. If  $R$  is a left  $s$ -unital ring satisfies  $[yx^r \pm x^n y^m, x]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $R$  is  $s$ -unital.*

**Proof.** Let  $R$  be a left  $s$ -unital ring. Then for any  $x, y \in R$  there exists an element  $e = e(x, y) \in R$  such that  $ex = x$  and  $ey = y$ . Let  $m = m(x, e) > 1$ ,  $r = r(x, e) \geq 1$ ,  $n = n(x, e) \geq 0$ ,  $m' = m(y, e) > 1$ ,  $r' = r(y, e) \geq 1$ , and  $n' = n(y, e) \geq 0$ . Then  $x^{n+n'+2} = x^{n+n'+2}e^{mm'}$ , and  $y^{n+n'+2} = y^{n+n'+2}e^{mm'}$ . By Lemma 6,  $R$  is  $s$ -unital. If  $r = r(x, e) = 0$ , then  $[e, y] = \pm e^n[e, y^m]$ , for  $n = n(e, y) \geq 0$ , and  $m = m(e, y) > 1$ . Thus  $y = y(e \pm (y^{m-1} - y^{m-1}e)) \in yR$ . Therefore,  $R$  is an  $s$ -unital ring.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $m = m(y) > 1$  and  $r, s$  be non-negative integers. If  $R$  is a left  $s$ -unital ring satisfies  $[yx^r \pm x^n y^m, x] = 0 = [y^m x^r \pm x^n y^{m^2}, x]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Proof of Theorem 4.** By Lemma 13,  $R$  is an  $s$ -unital ring. Hence, we can assume that  $R$  has unity 1 by Proposition 1 of [12]. Therefore,  $R$  is commutative



by Lemma 9.

**Corollary 3** ([10, Theorem 4]. *Let  $R$  be a ring with unity 1, and let  $n \geq 1$  be a fixed integer, and suppose that for each  $y \in R$ , there exists an integer  $m = m(y) > 1$  such that  $[x, xy - x^n y^m] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

**Remark 3.** The example of Grassman algebra rules out the possibility that  $m = 1$  in Lemma 9 and therefore, Theorems 1-4.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $r$  be a fixed non-negative integer. If  $R$  is a left (resp. right) *s*-unital ring satisfies*

$$x^r[x, y] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R \tag{16}$$

(resp.

$$[x, y]x^r = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R, \tag{17)}$$

then  $R$  is commutative.

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in R$ . Then there exists  $e = e(x, y) \in R$  (resp.  $f = f(x, y) \in R$ ) such that  $ex = x$  and  $ey = y$  (resp.  $xf = x$  and  $fy = y$ ). Thus  $y = ye$  (resp.  $y = fy$ ). Similarly,  $x = xe$  (resp.  $x = fx$ ). Therefore,  $R$  is *s*-unital. By Proposition 1 of [12], we may assume that  $R$  has unity 1. Then  $x^r[x, y] = 0 = (x + 1)^r[x, y]$  (resp.  $[x, y]x^r = 0 = (x + 1)^r[x, y]$ ) for all  $x, y \in R$ . By Lemma 2,  $[x, y] = 0$  and thus  $R$  is commutative.

**Remark 4.** In case  $r > 0$  Theorem 3 need not be true for right (resp. left) *s*-unital ring. Indeed, we have the following:

**Example 3.** Let  $K$  be any field. Then the non-commutative ring  $R = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ K & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  (resp.  $R^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & K \end{pmatrix}$ ) has a right (resp. left) identity element and satisfies  $x[x, y] = 0$  (resp.  $[x, y]x = 0$ ) for all  $x, y \in R$ . Also  $R$  is not *s*-unital ring.

**Example 4.** If we drop the restriction that  $R$  with unity 1 in Lemma 9, then the ring  $R$  may be badly non-commutative. Indeed, we let  $D_k$  be the ring

of all  $k \times k$  matrices over a division ring  $D$ , and let

$$A_k = \{ (a_{ij}) \in D_k \mid a_{ij} = 0, j \geq i \}.$$

Then  $A_k$  is a non-commutative nilpotent ring of index  $k$ , for any positive integer  $k > 2$ . Clearly,  $A_3$  satisfies (1) and (2).

**Example 5.** Let  $F$  be a field. Define an algebra  $R = A$  over  $F$  with a basis  $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$  where  $f_1 f_2 = f_2$  and all other products are zero. Then  $A$  is nilpotent of index 3 satisfies the identities (1) and (2).  $R$  is not commutative.

In the remaining case we suppose that  $m = 1$  in (1) and (2).

**Theorem 6.** Let  $n, r$  and  $s$  be fixed non-negative integers, and let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital ring satisfying

$$x^r[x, y] = \pm x^n[x, y]x^s \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (18)$$

Then  $R$  is commutative in any of the following:

- (i)  $R$  satisfies  $[x, y] = -[x, y]$ , and  $R$  is 2-torsion free.
- (ii)  $0 = s = n < r$ .
- (iii)  $0 < s < r, n = 0$  and  $R$  is  $r!$ -torsion free.
- (iv)  $0 < n < r, s = 0$  and  $R$  is  $r!$ -torsion free.
- (v)  $r = 0$  and  $n > 0$  or  $s > 0$ .

**Proof.** According to [12, Proposition 1], we may assume that  $R$  has unity 1.

(i) By hypothesis,  $2[x, y] = 0$ . Therefore,  $R$  is commutative, since  $R$  is 2-torsion free.

(ii) The identity (18) becomes  $x^r[x, y] = \pm[x, y]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Therefore  $R$  is commutative by [6, Theorem].

(iii) Let  $I_0^r(x) = x^r$  and  $I_0^s(x) = x^s$ . Then the polynomial identity (18) gives

$$x^r[x, y] = \pm[x, y]x^s,$$



and hence

$$I_0^r(x)[x, y] = \pm[x, y]I_0^s(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Replace  $x$  by  $x + 1$  in the last identity to get  $I_0^r(x + 1)[x, y] = \pm[x, y]I_0^s(x + 1)$ . By Lemma 5, we have  $I_1^r(x)[x, y] = \pm[y, x]I_1^s(x)$ . Again, replace  $x$  by  $x + 1$  and apply Lemma 5 to obtain  $I_2^r(x)[x, y] = \pm[x, y]I_2^s(x)$ . Now iterating the last identity  $r$  times, we finally get

$$I_r^r(x)[x, y] = \pm[x, y]I_r^s(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \tag{19}$$

Since by Lemma 5,  $I_r^r(x) = r!$  and  $I_r^s(x) = 0$  for  $r > s$ , the identity (19) reduces to  $r![x, y] = 0$ . As every commutator in  $R$  is  $r!$ -torsion free, we get  $[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Therefore  $R$  is commutative.

(iv) Similar to the proof of case (iii).

(v) Without loss of generality suppose that  $n > 0$ . Then we have

$$[x, y] = \pm x^n [x, y] x^s \text{ for all } x, y \in R, \tag{20}$$

and thus,  $R$  is commutative by [19, Hauptsatz].

**Remark 5.** In Theorem 4 (i), (ii) and (v),  $R$  is not necessarily to be an  $s$ -unital ring (ring with unity 1).

**Theorem 7.** Let  $r, n$  and  $s$  be fixed non-negative integers, and let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital ring satisfying

$$[x, y]x^r = \pm x^n [x, y]x^s \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \tag{21}$$

Then  $R$  is commutative in any of the following:

- (i)  $0 = s = n < r$ .
- (ii)  $0 < s < r, n = 0$  and  $R$  is  $r!$ -torsion free.
- (iii)  $0 < n < r, s = 0$  and  $R$  is  $r!$ -torsion free.
- (iv)  $r = 0$  and  $n > 0$  or  $s > 0$ .

**Theorem 8.** Let  $r, n$  and  $s$  be fixed non-negative integers such that  $r \neq n + s$ . Suppose that  $R$  is an  $s$ -unital ring satisfying the polynomial identity (18).

Further, if every commutator in  $R$  is  $|p^{r+1} - p^{n+s+1}|$ -torsion free for an integer  $p > 1$ , then  $R$  is commutative.

**Proof.** According to Proposition 1 of [12], we can assume that  $R$  has unity 1. Thus

$$(px)^r[(px), y] = \pm(px)^n[(px), y](px)^s \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (22)$$

By using (18) and (22), we obtain

$$|p^{r+1} - p^{n+s+1}| x^r[x, y] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (23)$$

By Lemma 2 and the hypothesis, (23) yields  $[x, y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Therefore  $R$  is commutative.

**Theorem 9.** Let  $r, n$  and  $s$  be fixed non-negative integers such that  $r \neq n + s$ . Suppose that  $R$  is an  $s$ -unital ring satisfying the polynomial identity (21). Further, if every commutator in  $R$  is  $|p^{r+1} - p^{n+s+1}|$ -torsion free for an integer  $p > 1$ , then  $R$  is commutative.

Next, we suppose that  $r > 0, n > 0$  and  $s > 0$  in (18) and (21). Indeed we prove the following:

**Theorem 10.** Let  $r, n$  and  $s$  be fixed positive integers and let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital ring satisfying the polynomial identity (18). If, further,  $N(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ , then  $R$  is commutative provided that  $r \neq n + s$  and every commutator in  $R$  is  $r!$  resp.  $(n + s)!$ -torsion free for  $r > n + s$ , resp.  $r < n + s$ .

**Proof.** It is easy to see that  $C(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ . Thus  $x^r[x, y] = \pm[x, y]x^{n+s}$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Therefore,  $R$  is commutative by Theorem 4 (iii).

**Theorem 11.** Let  $r, n$  and  $s$  be fixed positive integers and let  $R$  be an  $s$ -unital ring satisfying the polynomial identity (21). If, further,  $N(R) \subseteq Z(R)$ , then  $R$  is commutative provided that  $r \neq n + s$  and every commutator in  $R$  is  $r!$  (resp.  $(n + s)!$ )-torsion free for  $r > n + s$  (resp.  $r < n + s$ ).



**Acknowledgment.** The authors are indebted to the referee for the improvement of the final form of the paper.

### References

- [1] H. A. S. Abujabal, "Commutativity of one-sided  $s$ -unital rings," *Resultate Math.*, 18 (1990), 189-196.
- [2] H. A. S. Abujabal, "A commutativity theorem for left  $s$ -unital rings," *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, 13 (1990), 769-774.
- [3] H. A. S. Abujabal, "Some commutativity results for rings," *Beiträge Algebra Geom.*, 32 (1991), 141-151.
- [4] H. A. S. Abujabal, "Some commutativity properties for rings," *Univ u. Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.*, 21/22 (to appear).
- [5] H. A. S. Abujabal, "Some commutativity results for one-sided  $s$ -unital rings," *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. B*, 43 (1991), 123-133.
- [6] H. A. S. Abujabal and M. S. Khan, "On commutativity theorems for rings," *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, 13 (1990), 87-92.
- [7] H. A. S. Abujabal and M. S. Khan, "A commutativity result for rings," *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, 18 (1990), 333-337.
- [8] H. A. S. Abujabal and M. A. Khan, "Commutativity of one-sided  $s$ -unital rings," *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, (to appear).
- [9] M. Ashraf, M. A. Quadri and A. Ali, "On commutativity of one-sided  $s$ -unital rings," *Rad. Mat.*, 6 (1990), 111-117.
- [10] H. E. Bell, M. A. Quadri and M. Ashraf, "Commutativity of rings with some commutator constraints," *Rad. Mat.*, 5 (1989), 223-230.
- [11] I. N. Herstein, "Two remarks on commutativity of rings," *Canad. J. Math.*, 75 (1955), 411-412.
- [12] Y. Hirano, Y. Kobayashi and H. Tominaga, "Some polynomial identities and commutativity of  $s$ -unital rings," *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 24 (1982), 7-13.
- [13] T. P. Kezlan, "A note on commutativity of semiprime PI-rings," *Math. Japon.*, 27 (1982), 267-268.
- [14] T. P. Kezlan, "On identities which are equivalent with commutativity," *Math. Japon.*, 29 (1984), 135-139.
- [15] W. K. Nicholson and A. Yaqub, "A commutativity theorem for rings and groups," *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 22 (1979), 419-423.
- [16] I. Mogami and M. Hongan, "Note on commutativity of rings," *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 20 (1) (1978/79), 21-24.
- [17] M. A. Quadri and M. A. Khan, "A commutativity theorem for left  $s$ -unital rings," *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica*, 15 (1987), 301-305.
- [18] M. A. Quadri, M. Ashraf, and A. Ali, "On a commutativity Theorem of Herstein," *Rad. Mat.*, 5 (1989), 207-211.
- [19] W. Streb, "Über einen Satz von Herstein und Nakayama," *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 64 (1981), 159-171.
- [20] H. Tominaga, "On  $s$ -unital rings," *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 18 (2) (1975/76), 117-134.



- [21] H. Tominaga, "On  $s$ -unital rings II," *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 19 (2) (1976/77), 171-182.
- [22] H. Tominaga and A. Yaqub, "A commutativity theorem for one-sided  $s$ -unital rings," *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 26 (1984), 125-128.
- [23] J. Tong, "On the commutativity of a ring with identity," *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 27 (1984), 456-460.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Abdul Aziz University, P. O. Box 31464, Jeddah 21497, Saudi Arabia.