

RINGS WITH ASSOCIATORS IN THE LEFT AND MIDDLE NUCLEUS

CHEN-TE YEN

Abstract. Let R be a nonassociative ring, N , M and L the left, middle and right nucleus respectively. It is shown that if R a semiprime ring satisfying $(R, R, R) \subset N \cap M$ (resp. $(R, R, R) \subset M \cap L$), then $L \subset M \subset N$ (resp. $N \subset M \subset L$); moreover, R is associative if $((R, R, M), (R, R, R)) = 0$ (resp. $((M, R, R), (R, R, R)) = 0$) or $(M, R) \subset M$; and the Abelian group $(R, +)$ has no elements of order 2. We also prove that if R is a simple ring satisfying $\text{char } R \neq 2$, and $(R, R, R) \subset N \cap M$ or $(R, R, R) \subset M \cap L$ then R is associative.

1. Introduction

Let R be a nonassociative ring. We adopt the usual notation for associators and commutators: $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$, $(x, y) = xy - yx$. We shall denote the left nucleus, middle nucleus, right nucleus and nucleus by N , M , L and G respectively. Thus N , M , L and G consists of all elements n such that $(n, R, R) = 0$, $(R, n, R) = 0$, $(R, R, n) = 0$ and $(n, R, R) = (R, n, R) = (R, R, n) = 0$ respectively. A ring R is called prime if the product of any two nonzero ideals is nonzero. R is called semiprime if the only ideal of R which squares to zero is the zero ideal. Kleinfeld [2] weakened Thedy's hypotheses [3] to obtain the following result: If R is a prime ring which satisfies $(x, R, x) \subset N$ and $(R, R) \subset N$, then

Received November 1, 1991; revised May 9, 1992.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision).

Primary 17A30.

Key words and phrases: Nonassociative ring, semiprime ring, prime ring, simple ring.

R is either associative or commutative. In the notes [4,5,6,7], we weaken Kleinfeld's hypotheses to obtain the same result. In [1], Kleinfeld proved that if R is a semiprime ring satisfying $(R, R, R) \subset G$ and the Abelian group $(R, +)$ has no elements of order 2, then R is associative. In [8], using Kleinfeld's result we improve his result as follows: If R is a semiprime ring satisfying $(R, R, R) \subset N \cap L$, and the Abelian group $(R, +)$ has no elements of order 2, then R is associative. In [7], using his result we prove that if R is a semiprime ring which satisfies $(R, R, R) \subset N$ and the Abelian group $(R, +)$ has no elements of order 2 and $(N, R) \subset N$ then R is associative. In this note, using his result we show the following: If R is a semiprime ring satisfying $(R, R, R) \subset N \cap M$ (resp. $(R, R, R) \subset M \cap L$), then $L \subset M \subset N$ (resp. $N \subset M \subset L$); moreover, R is associative if $((R, R, M), (R, R, R)) = 0$ (resp. $((M, R, R), (R, R, R)) = 0$) or $(M, R) \subset M$; and the Abelian group $(R, +)$ has no elements of order 2. We also prove that if R is a simple ring satisfying $(R, R, R) \subset N \cap M$ or $(R, R, R) \subset M \cap L$; and $\text{char } R \neq 2$ then R is associative.

2. Results

Let R be a nonassociative ring. In every ring one may verify the identity

$$(wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z. \quad (1)$$

Suppose that $n \in N$. Then with $w = n$ in (1) we obtain

$$(nx, y, z) = n(x, y, z) \quad \text{for all } n \text{ in } N. \quad (2)$$

Assume that $m \in L$. Then with $z = m$ in (1) we get

$$(w, x, ym) = (w, x, y)m \quad \text{for all } m \text{ in } L. \quad (3)$$

As consequences of (1), (2) and (3), we have that N , M and L are associative subrings of R .

We assume that R satisfies

$$(R, R, R) \subset N \cap M. \quad (*)$$

Using (1) and (*) we have

$$w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z \in N \cap M. \quad (4)$$

Then with $y \in M$ in (4), we get

$$(R, R, M)R \subset N \cap M. \quad (5)$$

Combining (5) with (*), and using (2) we obtain

$$(R, R, M)(R, R, R) = 0. \quad (6)$$

And with $x \in (R, R, M)$ in (1), and applying (*) and (5) we get

$$R(R, R, M) \subset N. \quad (7)$$

Thus with $z \in M$ in (4), and using (7) we obtain $(R, R, R)M \subset N$. Applying this, (*) and (2) we have

$$(R, R, R)(M, R, R) = 0. \quad (8)$$

Then with $z \in L$ in (4), we get

$$(R, R, R)L \subset N \cap M. \quad (9)$$

Definition 1. Let I be the associator ideal of R . I consists of the smallest ideal which contains all associators.

Note that I may be characterized as all finite sums of associators and right (or left) multiples of associators, as a consequence of (1). Hence we have

$$I = (R, R, R) + (R, R, R)R = (R, R, R) + R(R, R, R). \quad (10)$$

Then with $x \in (R, R, R)$ and $y \in L$ in (1), and using (*) and (9) we obtain $(R(R, R, R), L, R) = 0$. By (*) and (10), this implies $(I, L, R) = 0$. Thus it follows from this, (*), (2) and (10) that

$$(R, R, R)(R, L, R) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Definition 2. Let $B = \{b \in I : (R, R, R)b = 0\}$.

Lemma 1. *If R is a ring satisfying $(R, R, R) \subset N \cap M$, then B is an ideal of R and $I \cdot B = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $b \in B, x, y, z, w \in R$. Then using $(*)$, we have $(x, y, z)(bw) = ((x, y, z)b)w = 0$. Thus $bR \subset B$. On the other hand, applying $(*)$ twice and (1) we obtain $(w, x, y)(zb) = ((w, x, y)z)b = -(w(x, y, z))b = -w((x, y, z)b) = 0$. Hence $Rb \subset B$. At this point we have verified that B is an ideal of R . Obviously, we get $(R, R, R)B = 0$ and $((R, R, R)R)B = 0$. Thus by (10) we obtain $I \cdot B = 0$, as desired.

Lemma 2. *If R is a ring satisfying $(R, R, R) \subset N \cap M$ and $(R, R, R)N \subset M$, then $(R, R, R)(R, N, R) = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $(R, R, R)N \subset M$. Then with $x \in (R, R, R)$ and $y \in N$ in (1), and using $(*)$ we obtain $(R(R, R, R), N, R) = 0$. Applying this, $(*)$ and (10), we have $(I, N, R) = 0$. Thus this implies $(R, R, R)(R, N, R) = 0$, as desired.

Then with $w \in N$ in (4), we have

$$N(R, R, R) \subset N \cap M. \tag{12}$$

Also with $x \in N$ in (4), we get $(R, N, R)R \subset N \cap M$. Using this, $(*)$ and (2), we obtain

$$(R, N, R)(R, R, R) = 0 \tag{13}$$

Then with $x \in N$ and $y \in (R, R, R)$ in (1), and applying $(*)$ and (12), we have

$$(R, N, (R, R, R)R) = (R, N, (R, R, R))R. \tag{14}$$

Theorem 1. *If R is a semiprime ring satisfying $(R, R, R) \subset N \cap M$, then $G = L \subset M \subset N$; moreover, R is associative if $((R, R, M), (R, R, R)) = 0$ or $(M, R) \subset M$; and the Abelian group $(R, +)$ has no elements of order 2.*

Proof. Using Lemma 1, we have $B^2 = 0$. Thus by semiprimeness of R , we obtain $B = 0$. Applying (8) and (11), we get $(M, R, R) \subset B$ and $(R, L, R) \subset B$. Hence we have $(M, R, R) = (R, L, R) = 0$, and so $G = L \subset M \subset N$.

Assume that $((R, R, M), (R, R, R)) = 0$. Then using this and (6) we obtain $(R, R, R)(R, R, M) = 0$. Thus we get $(R, R, M) \subset B$ and so $(R, R, M) = 0$. Hence $M = L = G$. Thus $(R, R, R) \subset G$. By Kleinfeld's result [1], R is associative.

Assume that M is a Lie ideal of R . Combining this with (12) yields $(R, R, R)N \subset M$. Applying Lemma 2, we have $(R, N, R) \subset B$ and so $(R, N, R) = 0$. Thus $N = M$. Hence $(R, R, R) \subset N$ and $(N, R) \subset N$. By Theorem 1 of [7], R is associative.

Definition 3. Let $A = \{a \in I : a(R, R, R) = 0\}$.

Recall that a ring R is called simple if R is the only nonzero ideal of R . Thus, a simple ring is prime if $R^2 \neq 0$, and a prime ring is semiprime.

Theorem 2. *If R is a simple ring satisfying $(R, R, R) \subset N \cap M$, and $\text{char } R \neq 2$, then R is associative.*

Proof. By simplicity of R , we have $R^2 = 0$ or $R^2 = R$; and $I = 0$ or $I = R$. If $R^2 = 0$ or $I = 0$, then R is associative. Assume that $R^2 = R$ and $I = R$.

Thus by (10) and (*), we have $R = R^2 = ((R, R, R) + (R, R, R)R)R = (R, R, R)R + (R, R, R)(R^2) = (R, R, R)R$. Hence using this, (14) and (13), we obtain $(R, N, R) = (R, N, (R, R, R)R) = (R, N, (R, R, R))R = (R, N, (R, R, R))((R, R, R)R) = ((R, N, (R, R, R))(R, R, R))R = 0$. Thus we have $N \subset M$. Combining this with Theorem 1 yields $N = M$. Then with $y \in N$ in (4), we obtain $(R, R, N)R \subset N$. Combining this with (*) yields $(R, R, N)(R, R, R) = 0$. Hence $(R, R, N) \subset A$. Assume that $a \in A$ and $x \in R$. Then $a(R, R, R) = 0$, and using (*) we get $aR = a((R, R, R)R) = (a(R, R, R))R = 0$. Thus $A = \{a \in R : aR = 0\}$. Obviously, $A \subset N$. Because of $N = M$, we obtain $(ax)R = 0$ and $(xa)R = x(aR) = 0$. Hence A is an ideal of R . By simplicity of R and $R^2 = R$, we have $A = 0$. Thus, $(R, R, N) \subset A$ implies

$(R, R, N) = 0$. Hence $N = L = G$ by Theorem 1. Thus $(R, R, R) \subset G$. By Kleinfeld's result [1], R is associative. This contradicts to $I = R$. Hence $I = 0$. Therefore R is associative.

By symmetry, we can prove the following:

Lemma 3. *If R is a ring satisfying $(R, R, R) \subset M \cap L$, then A is an ideal of R and $A \cdot I = 0$.*

Theorem 3. *If R is a semiprime ring satisfying $(R, R, R) \subset M \cap L$, then $G = N \subset M \subset L$; moreover, R is associative if $((M, R, R), (R, R, R)) = 0$ or $(M, R) \subset M$; and the Abelian group $(R, +)$ has no elements of order 2.*

Theorem 4. *If R is a simple ring satisfying $(R, R, R) \subset M \cap L$, and $\text{char } R \neq 2$, then R is associative.*

Using the result of [4], we can improve the result of [5] as follows:

Theorem 5[5]. *If R is a prime ring with $N \neq 0$ satisfying $(x, y, z) + (z, y, x) \in N$ and $(N + NR, R) \subset N$, then R is either associative or commutative.*

Added in proof. In [7], we have proved that if R is a prime ring which satisfies $(x, y, z) + (z, y, x) \in N$, $(N, R) \subset N$ and $((R, R), R, R) \subset N$ then either $(N, R) = 0$ or $\text{char } R = 2$ or R is associative. By adding the hypothesis " $(R, R), R, R) \subset N$ " in the Main Theorem of [5], we obtain Thedy's and Kleinfeld's results for the semiprime ring case. This result is in [7]: If R is a semiprime ring which satisfies $(x, y, z) + (z, y, x) \in N$, $(N, R) \subset N$, $(NR, R) \subset N$ and $((R, R), R, R) \subset N$, then R is a subdirect sum of a semiprime associative ring and a semiprime commutative ring.

References

- [1] E. Kleinfeld, "A class of rings which are very nearly associative", *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 93 (1986), 720-722.
- [2] E. Kleinfeld, "Rings with (x, y, x) and commutators in the left nucleus", *Comm. in Algebra*, 16 (1988), 2023-2029.
- [3] A. Thedy, "On rings with commutators in the nuclei", *Math. Z.*, 119 (1971), 213-218.

- [4] C. T. Yen, "Rings with $(x, y, z) + (z, y, x)$ and $(N + R^2, R)$ in the left nucleus", unpublished manuscript.
- [5] C. T. Yen, "Rings with (x, R, x) and $(N + NR, R)$ in the left nucleus", *Tamkang J. Math.*, 23 (1992), 247-251.
- [6] C. T. Yen, "Rings with $(x, y, z) + (z, y, x)$ and (N, R) in the left nucleus", submitted.
- [7] C. T. Yen, "Rings with $(x, y, z) + (z, y, x)$, (N, R) and $((R, R), R, R)$ in the left nucleus", submitted.
- [8] C. T. Yen, "Rings with associators in the left and right nucleus", submitted.

Department of Mathematics, Chung Yuan Christian University, Chung Li, Taiwan, 320, Republic of China.