# A NOTE ON FLAT MODULES OVER *f*-ALGEBRAS

## BORIS LAVRIČ

Abstract. Let A be an Archimedean uniformly complete unital f-algebra. It is proved that the following conditions are equivalent: (1) A is a Bezout ring; (2) A is a PF-ring; (3) Every ideal of A is flat; (4) Every submodule of a free A-module is flat. This extends a result by C. Neville on algebras of type C(X).

# Introduction

Let X be completely regular Hausdorff topological space, and let C(X) be the ring of all continuous real-valued functions on X. Using the well known topological characterization of spaces X for which C(X) is a Bezout ring [5] C. Neville has proved in [7] that the following conditions are equivalent.

(1) C(X) is a Bezout ring.

(2) C(X) is a PF-ring.

(3) Every ideal of C(X) is flat.

(4) Every submodule of a free C(X)-module is flat.

It is the aim of this note to extend this result to uniformly complete Archimedean unital f-algebras.

For the terminology and general theory of rings and modules we refer the reader to [1], [4], and for elementary *f*-algebra theory we refer to [6] and [8].

All rings considered in the paper are assumed to be commutative and with unit element. A ring R is called a *Bezout ring* whenever every finitely generated ideal of R is principal. A ring R is called a *PF-ring* if every principal ideal of R is a flat R-module. A ring R is said to be *reduced* (or *semiprime*) if it has no nonzero nilpotent elements.

A lattice ordered real algebra A is called an *f*-algebra whenever

 $a \wedge b = 0, a, b \in A$  implies  $ac \wedge b = ca \wedge b = 0$ 

Receive March 25, 1991.

Key words and phrases: Archimedean f-algebra, Bezout ring, PF-ring, flat module.

This work was supported by the Research Council of Slovenia.

<sup>1985</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 13C11, 06F25

for all  $c \in A^+$ . It is well known that an Archimedean *f*-algebra *A* with unit is commutative, reduced, and that  $a, b \in A$  satisfy ab = 0 if and only if  $|a| \wedge |b| = 0$ . An *f*-algebra *A* is said to be *normal* if

$$a, b \in A^+, a \wedge b = 0$$
 implies  $\{a\}^d + \{b\}^d = A$ ,

where  $G^d = \{h \in A : |g| \land |h| = 0 \text{ for all } g \in G\}$  for  $G \subset A$ . It follows that an Archimedean unital *f*-algebra *A* is normal if and only if

$$ab = 0, a, b \in A$$
 implies  $ann(a) + ann(b) = A$ .

### Results

First we state a criterion characterizing those principal ideals of a ring R which are flat R-modules. Since the criterion is an easy consequence of [2, Prop. 2.3] or [1, I.2.11. Cor.1], we omit its proof.

**Lemma 1.** A principal ideal (a) of a ring R is a flat R-module if and only if for each  $b \in R$  satisfying ab = 0 there exist elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in (a)$  and  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n \in R$  such that

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i$$
 and  $b_i b = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

**Proposition 1.** For a ring R the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) R is a PF-ring.
- (ii)  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , ab = 0 implies that  $ann(a) + ann(b) = \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Longrightarrow$  (ii). Let  $a, b \in R$  satisfy ab = 0. If R is a PF-ring, then (a) is flat, hence by Lemma 1 we have

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i$$
 and  $b_i b = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ 

for some  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in (a), b_1, \ldots, b_n \in R$ . Write

$$a_i = c_i a, c_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2 \cdots, n.$$

It follows that  $a = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i c_i)a$ , and therefore

$$1-\sum_{i=1}^n b_i c_i \in ann(a), \ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i c_i \in ann(b),$$

so (ii) follows.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i). We shall prove that (a) is flat for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfies ab = 0. By (ii) there exist  $c \in ann(a)$  and  $d \in ann(b)$  with c + d = 1. So

$$a = a1 = ac + ad = ad$$
 and  $db = 0$ ,

thus by Lemma 1 (a) is flat.

Corollary. Let A be an Archimedean unital f-algebra. Then A is a PF-ring if and only if A is normal.

Since by [6] C(X) is normal if and only if X is an F-space, the above corollary generalizes [7, Cor.1.7].

Lemma 2. Let R be a reduced Bezout ring. Then every ideal of R is flat.

**Proof.** Since a module is flat if and only if every finitely generated submodule is flat, and since R is Bezout, it suffices to show that R is a PF-ring.

Now for any  $a, b \in R$  with ab = 0, we shall check that ann(a) + ann(b) = R. Since (a, b) = (c) for some  $c \in R$ , we have

$$a = a_1c, \ b = b_1c, \ c = a_2a + b_2b$$

for some  $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2 \in R$ . Since R is reduced,

$$(a_1b_1c)^2 = a_1b_1(ab) = 0$$

implies  $a_1b_1c = 0$ . It follows that  $d = a_1a_2$  satisfies

$$bd = b_1 c a_1 a_2 = 0$$

and

$$a(1-d) = a - aa_1a_2 = a_1c - aa_1a_2$$
  
=  $a_1(a_2a + b_2b) - aa_1a_2 = a_1b_1cb_2 = 0.$ 

Hence

$$1 = (1-d) + d \in ann(a) + ann(b).$$

and therefore ann(a) + ann(b) = R.

We are now in a position to extend a part of theorem 3.1 from [7] on modules over reduced Bezout rings. A short proof suggested by the referee is based on [3]. For the sake of completeness we shall repeat briefly the arguments used in the proof of [3, V.Lemma 6.8].

Proposition 2. Let R be a reduced Bezout ring. Then every submodule of a free R-module is flat.

#### **BORIS LAVRIČ**

**Proof.** Let F be a free module with base X, and let M be its submodule. Assuming the axiom of choice we may suppose the elements of X are well ordered:  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{\alpha}, \ldots$ . For each ordinal  $\alpha$ , let  $M_{\alpha}$  be the submodule of those elements of M which are linear combinations of the  $x_{\beta}$  with  $\beta \leq \alpha$ , and let  $N_{\alpha}$  be the submodule of all linear combinations of the  $x_{\beta}$  with  $\beta < \alpha$ .

Each  $m \in M_{\alpha}$  is decomposed uniquely into

$$m = n + r(m)x_{\alpha}, n \in N_{\alpha}, r(m) \in R,$$

so  $M_{\alpha}$  is a direct sum of  $N_{\alpha}$  and  $K_{\alpha} = r(M_{\alpha})x_{\alpha}$ . Observe that  $I_{\alpha} = r(M_{\alpha})$  is an ideal of R, and that M is a direct sum of all  $K_{\alpha}$  [3]. Since by Lemma 2 each  $K_{\alpha}$  is flat, it follows by [1, I.2. Prop.2] that M is flat.

We are prepared to prove the main result of the present note.

**Theorem.** Let A be an Archimedean uniformly complete unital f-algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) A is a Bezout ring.
- (ii) A is a PF-ring.
- (iii) Every ideal of A is flat.
- (iv) Every submodule of a free A-module is flat.

**Proof.** The implication (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (iv) follows by Proposition 2, while (iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) and (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) are obvious. To prove (ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) suppose that A is a PF-ring. Then by Corollary A is normal, hence Bezout by [6, Theorem 6.6].

Some other characterizations of an f-algebra satisfying the conditions of the above theorem are contained in [6], where also the following example is given. It shows that the uniform completeness cannot be dropped from the Theorem.

**Example.** Let A be the f-algebra of all real functions f on the aquare  $E = [0,1] \times [0,1]$  for which there exist disjoint sets  $E_1, \ldots, E_{n(f)}$  such that  $E = E_1 \cup \ldots E_{n(f)}$ , and polynomials  $p_k \in \mathbb{R}[X, Y]$  satisfing

$$f|_{E_k} = p_k, k+1, \ldots, n(f).$$

Clearly A is an Archimedean unital f-algebra. By [6] A is normal, hence a PF-ring, although A is not a Bezout ring [6]. We claim that A does not satisfy the condition (iii) of the Theorem.

Let I be the ideal generated by elements

$$f,g \in A, f(x,y) = x, g(x,y) = y.$$

We will show that I is not flat. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow A \oplus A \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} I \longrightarrow 0,$$

374

where  $\varphi$  is defined by  $\varphi(a, b) = af + bg$ , and suppose that I is flat. Then by [2, Proposition 2.2] or by [4, 11.27] there exists a homomorphism  $\psi : A \oplus A \longrightarrow K$  such that  $\psi(g, -f) = (g, -f)$ . If

$$\psi(1,0) = (a_1b_1), \ \psi(0,1) = (a_2,b_2),$$

then

$$a_1f + b_1g = a_2f + b_2g = 0,$$

$$g = a_1g - a_2f, -f = b_1g - b_2f.$$

Using the fact that these equalities cannot hold in  $\mathbb{R}[X, Y]$  it is not difficult to see that they are contradictive also in A, so I is not flat.

#### References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, Commutative algebra, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1972.
- [2] S. U. Chase, "Direct products of modules," Trns. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1960), 457-473.
- [3] S. Eilenberg, N. Steenrod, Foundations of algebraic topology, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Yersey, 1952.
- [4] C. Faith, Algebra: rings, modules and categories I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1973.
- [5] L. Gillman, M. Henriksen, "Rings of continuous functions in which every finitely generated ideal is principal," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1956), 366-391.
- [6] C. B. Huijsmans, B. de Pagter, "Ideal theory in f-algebras," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269 (1982), 225-245.
- [7] C. W. Neville, "Flat C(X)-modules and F-spaces," Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 106 (1989), 237-244.
- [8] A. C. Zaanen, Riesz spaces II, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.

Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics, Jadranska 19, 61000 Ljubljana, Yugoslavia.