ON A CLASS OF CERTAIN ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS OF COMPLEX ORDER

VINOD KUMAR, S. L. SHUKLA AND A. M. CHAUDHARY

Abstract. We introduce a class, namely, $F_n(b, M)$ of certain analytic functions. For this class we determine coefficient estimate, sufficient condition in terms of coefficients, maximization theorem concerning the coefficients, radius problem and a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of convolution. Our results generalize and correct some results of Nasr and Aouf ([2],[3]).

1. Introduction.

Let A denote the class of functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ which are analytic in the unit disc $U = \{z : | z | < 1\}$. We use B to denote the class of analytic functions $\omega(z)$ in U satisfying the conditions $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for $z \in U$. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k$ belong to A, then the convolution or Hadamard product of f(z) and g(z), denoted by f(z) * g(z), is defined by

(1.1)
$$f(z) * g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k, \ z \in U.$$

Let $n \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, ...\}$. The n^{th} order Ruscheweyh derivative (see, [1]) of f(z), denoted by $D^n f(z)$, is defined by

(1.2)
$$D^n f(z) = \frac{z(z^{n-1}f(z))^{(n)}}{n!}, \ n \in N_0.$$

Ruscheweyh [9] determined that

(1.3)
$$D^n f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}} * f(z).$$

We now introduce a class, namely, $F_n(b, M)$ of analytic functions.

1980 Mathematics subject classification 30C45.

This work is supported by the University Grants Commission under grant number F.8-6/85 (SR III).

Received July 27, 1987.

A function f(z) of A belongs to the class $F_n(b, M)$ if and only if $\frac{D^n f(z)}{z} \neq 0$ in U and

(1.4)
$$|\frac{(b-1) + z \frac{(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)}}{b} - M| < M, \ z \in U,$$

where $M > \frac{1}{2}$ and b is any non-zero complex number.

It follows by [6] that $g(z) \in F_{0,M}$ if and only if for $z \in U$

(1.5)
$$z\frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-m\omega(z)},$$

where $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}$ and $\omega(z) \in B$.

One can easily show that $f(z) \in F_n(b, M)$ if and only if there is a function $g(z) \in F_{0,M}$ such that

(1.6)
$$D^n f(z) = z \left[\frac{g(z)}{z}\right]^b.$$

Thus from (1.5) and (1.6) it follows that $f(z) \in F_n(b, M)$ if and only if for $z \in U$

(1.7)
$$z \frac{(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} = \frac{1 + \{b(1+m) - m\}\omega(z)}{1 - m\omega(z)},$$

where $\omega(z) \in B$ and $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}(M > \frac{1}{2})$.

By giving specific values to n, b and M, we obtain the following important subclasses studied by various researchers in earlier works:

(i) Taking n = 0, the class $F_n(b, M)$ coincides with the class F(b, M) studied by Nasr and Aouf [2].

(ii) Taking n = 1, the class $F_n(b, M)$ coincides with the class G(b, M) investigated by Nasr and Aouf [3].

(iii) Taking $n = 0, b = \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} (|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $M = \infty$, the class $F_n(b, M)$ coincides with the class $S^{\lambda}, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ introduced by Špacek [14].

(iv) Taking n = 0 and $M = \infty$, the class $F_n(b, M)$ coincides with the class S(1-b) investigated by Nasr and Aouf [4].

(v) Taking n = 0 and $b = \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}$, the class $F_n(b, M)$ coincides with the class $F_{\lambda,M'} \mid \lambda \mid < \frac{\pi}{2}$ studied by Kulshrestha [6].

(vi) Taking n = 1 and $b = \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda}$, the class $F_n(b, M)$ coincides with the class $G_{\lambda,M'} \mid \lambda \mid < \frac{\pi}{2}$ investigated by Kulshrestha [7].

(vii) Taking $n = 1, b = \cos \lambda e^{-i\lambda} (|\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $M = \infty$, the class $F_n(b, M)$ coincides with the class $C^{\lambda}, |\lambda| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ introduced by Robertson [11].

(viii) Taking n = 1 and $M = \infty$, the class $F_n(b, M)$ coincides with the class C(b) introduced by Wiatrowski [15] and studied by Nasr and Aouf [5].

102

(ix) Taking b = 1 and $M = \infty$, the class $F_n(b, M)$ coincides with the class R_n introduced and studied by Singh and Singh [12].

(x) Taking $b = 1 - \beta(0 \le \beta < 1), n = 1 - 2\alpha(0 \le \alpha < 1)$ and $M = \infty$, the class $F_n(b, M)$ coincides with the class $R(\alpha, \beta)$ introduced and studied by -Sheil-Small, Silverman and Silvia [13].

(xi) Taking $b = 1 - \alpha$, $n = 1 - 2\alpha (0 \le \alpha < 1)$ and $M = \infty$, the class $F_n(b, M)$ coincides with the class R_α introduced and studied by Ruscheweyh [10].

From the definitions of the classes F(b, M) and $F_n(b, M)$, we observe that

(1.8)
$$f(z) \in F_n(b, M) \iff D^n f(z) \in F(b, M).$$

The purpose of the present paper is to determine coefficient estimate, sufficient condition in terms of coefficients for a function to belong to $F_n(b, M)$ and maximization of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ over the class $F_n(b, M)$ for complex value of μ . Further we obtain the radius of disc in which $\operatorname{Re}\{z\frac{(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)}\} > 0$, whenever f(z) belongs to $F_n(b, M)$ and a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of convolution for a function to be in $F_n(b, M)$. We also obtain the correct form of Maximization theorems concerning the coefficients for the classes F(b, M) and G(b, M), by taking n = 0 and n = 1, in our maximization theorem for the class $F_n(b, M)$ respectively.

Our results generalize the some results of Nasr and Aouf ([2], [3], [4], [5]), Kulshrestha ([6], [7]), Ruscheweyh [10], Robertson [11], Singh and Singh [12], Sheil-Small, Silverman and Silvia [13], Špacek [14] and Wiatrowski [15].

2. Preliminary lemma

In our investigation we require the following Lemma due to Keogh and Merkes [8]:

Lemma 2.1. Let $\omega(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ belongs to B. If μ is any complex number then (2.1) $|c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \le \max\{1, |\mu|\}.$

Equality may be attained with the functions $\omega(z) = z^2$ and $\omega(z) = z$.

3. Coefficient estimate

Theorem 3.1. If
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$
 belongs to $F(b, M)$ and
 $L = |b|^2 (1+m) + 2m(j-1)Re(b) + (m-1)(j-1)^2$,

then

(3.1)
$$|a_k| \leq \frac{1}{|k-1|\delta(n-1,k)|} \prod_{\ell=0}^{k-2} |b(1+m) + m\ell|, \text{ when } L > 0$$

and

(3.2)
$$|a_k| \leq \frac{1}{(k-1)\delta(n-1,k)} |b(1+m)|, \text{ when } L \leq 0,$$

where $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}(M > \frac{1}{2})$ and $\delta(n, k) = \binom{n+k}{n+1}$.

The inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) are sharp.

Proof. Since $f(z) \in F_n(b, M)$, so from (1.7) we have that

$$z\frac{(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} = \frac{1 + \{b(1+m) - m\}\omega(z)}{1 - m\omega(z)}$$

or

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (j-1)\delta(n-1,j)a_j z^j = [b(1+m)z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \{b(1+m) + m(j-1)\}\delta(n-1,j)a_j z^j]\omega(z)$$

or

$$\sum_{j=2}^{k} (j-1)\delta(n-1,j)a_j z^j + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} d_j z^j = [b(1+m)z + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \{b(1+m) + m(j-1)\}\delta(n-1,j)a_j z^j]\omega(z),$$

where d_j 's are some complex numbers. Then since $|\omega(z)| < 1$, we have

(3.3)
$$|b(1+m)z + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} \{b(1+m) + m(j-1)\}\delta(n-1,j)a_j z^j |$$
$$\geq |\sum_{j=2}^k (j-1)\delta(n-1,j)a_j z^j + \sum_{j=k+1}^\infty d_j z^j |.$$

Squaring both sides of (3.3) and integrating round |z| = r < 1, we get, after taking the limit when $r \rightarrow 1$

$$(3.4) \quad (k-1)^2 \{\delta(n-1,k)\}^2 \mid a_k \mid^2 \le |b(1+m)|^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} [|b(1+m) + m(j-1)|^2 - (j-1)^2] \{\delta(n-1,j)\}^2 \mid a_j \mid^2.$$

On solving, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(k-1)^2 \{\delta(n-1,k)\}^2 \mid a_k \mid^2 \\ &\leq \mid b(1+m) \mid^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} [(1+m)\{\mid b \mid^2 (1+m) \\ &+ 2m(j-1)\operatorname{Re}(b) + (m-1)(j-1)^2\}] \{\delta(n-1,j)\}^2 \mid a_j \mid^2 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(k-1)^{2} \{\delta(n-1,k)\}^{2} |a_{k}|^{2} \leq |b(1+m)|^{2} + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} (1+m)L\{\delta(n-1,j)\}^{2} |a_{j}|^{2},$$

where

$$L = \{ |b|^2 (1+m) + 2m(j-1)\operatorname{Re}(b) + (m-1)(j-1)^2 \}.$$

Now we consider the following two cases:

Cases I: When L > 0. In this case (3.4) gives successively for k = 2, 3, 4, ...

$$|a_2| \le \frac{|b(1+m)|}{\delta(n-1,2)}, |a_3| \le \frac{|b(1+m)||b(1+m)+m|}{2\delta(n-1,3)},$$

and hence by induction

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{1}{|\underline{k-1}\delta(n-1,k)} \prod_{l=0}^{k-2} |b(1+m)+ml|.$$

The above inequality is sharp for the function f(z) defined by

$$D^n f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{(1-mz)^{b(1+m)/m}}, & \text{for } m \neq 0\\ z \exp(bz), & \text{for } m = 0. \end{cases}$$

Case II: When $L \leq 0$. In this case (3.4) gives

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{1}{(k-1)\delta(n-1,k)} |b(1+m)|.$$

This inequality is sharp.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$. If for some $n \ge 0$ and A, B with $-1 \le B < A \le 1$,

(3.5)
$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(k-1)+|b(1+m)+m(k-1)|]\delta(n-1,k) |a_k| \le |b(1+m)|,$$

holds, then f(z) belongs to $F_n(b,m)$, where $\delta(n,k) = \binom{n+k}{n+1}$ and $m = 1 - \frac{1}{M}(M > \frac{1}{2})$.

Proof. Suppose that the inequality (3.5) holds. Since $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta(n-1,k)a_k z^k$, we have for $z \in U$

$$|z(D^{n}f(z))' - D^{n}f(z)| - |b(1+m)D^{n}f(z) + m\{z(D^{n}f(z))' - D^{n}f(z)\}|$$

= $|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)\delta(n-1,k)a_{k}z^{k}| - |b(1+m)\{z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \delta(n-1,k)a_{k}z^{k}\}$
+ $m\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)\delta(n-1,k)a_{k}z^{k}|$
 $\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)\delta(n-1,k)|a_{k}|r^{k}$

$$-\{|b(1+m)|r - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |b(1+m) + m(k-1)| \delta(n-1,k) |a_k| r^k\}$$

= $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(k-1)+|b(1+m) + m(k-1)|]\delta(n-1,k) |a_k| r^k - |b(1+m)| r$
 $\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [(k-1)+|b(1+m) + m(k-1)|]\delta(n-1,k) |a_k| - |b(1+m)|$
 $\leq 0, \text{ by } (3.5)$

Hence it follows that

$$\left|\frac{\{z\frac{(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} - 1\}}{b(1+m) + m\{z\frac{(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} - 1\}}\right| < 1, \ z \in U.$$

Letting

$$\omega(z) = \frac{\{z \frac{(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} - 1\}}{b(1+m) + m\{\frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} - 1\}},$$

then $\omega(0) = 0, \omega(z)$ is analytic in |z| < 1 and $|\omega(z)| < 1$. Hence we have

$$\frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} = \frac{1 + \{b(1+m) - m\}\omega(z)}{1 - m\omega(z)}$$

which shows that f(z) belongs to $F_n(b, M)$.

4. Maximization of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$

Theorem 4.1. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ belongs to $F_n(b, M)$ and μ is any complex number then

(4.1)
$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{|b(1+m)|}{2\delta(n-1,3)} \max\{1, |d|\},$$

where

$$d = \frac{2\mu b(1+m)\delta(n-1,3) - \{b(1+m)+m\}\{\delta(n-1,2)\}^2}{\{\delta(n-1,2)\}^2}.$$

This inequality is sharp for each μ .

Proof. Since f(z) belongs to $F_n(b, M)$, so that from (1.7) we have

$$z\frac{(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} = \frac{1 + \{b(1+m) - m\}\omega(z)}{1 - m\omega(z)}$$

or

2

$$\begin{split} \omega(z) &= \frac{\{z(D^n f(z))' - D^n f(z)\}}{[\{b(1+m) - m\}D^n f(z) + mz(D^n f(z))']} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)\delta(n-1,k)a_k z^{k-1}}{[b(1+m) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{b(1+m) + m(k-1)\}\delta(n-1,k)a_k z^{k-1}]} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k-1)\delta(n-1,k)a_k z^{k-1}}{b(1+m)} \\ &= [1 + \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \{b(1+m) + m(k-1)\}\delta(n-1,k)a_k z^{k-1}}{b(1+m)}]^{-1}. \end{split}$$

Equating the coefficients of z and z^2 on both sides, we get

(4.2)
$$a_2 = \frac{b(1+m)c_1}{\delta(n-1,2)}$$

and

(4.3)
$$a_3 = \frac{b(1+m)}{2\delta(n-1,3)} [c_2 + \{b(1+m) + m\}c_1^2].$$

Hence

(4.4)
$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{b(1+m)}{2\delta(n-1,3)} [c_2 - dc_1^2],$$

where

$$d = \frac{2\mu b(1+m)\delta(n-1,3) - \{b(1+m)+m\}\{\delta(n-1,2)\}^2}{\{\delta(n-1,2)\}^2}.$$

Taking modulus both sides in (4.4), we have

(4.5)
$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| = \frac{|b(1+m)|}{2\delta(n-1,3)} |c_2 - dc_1^2|.$$

Using Lemma 2.1 in (4.5), we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \le \frac{|b(1+m)|}{2\delta(n-1,3)} \max\{1, |d|\}.$$

Since the inequality (2.1) is sharp, so that the inequality (4.1) must also be sharp. Corollary. If f(z) belongs to $F_n(b, M)$, then

(4.6)
$$|a_2| \le \frac{|b|(1+m)}{\delta(n-1,2)}$$

and

(4,7)
$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|b|(1+m)}{2\delta(n-1,3)} \max\{1, |b(1+m)+m|\}.$$

The inequalities (4.6) and (4.7) follow directly from (4.2) and (4.3) respectively.

Remarks.

(i) We obtain the maximization of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ over the class F(b, M) which is studied by Nasr and Aouf [2], in correct form, by taking n = 0 in our maximization of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ over the class $F_n(b, M)$.

(ii) We also obtain the maximization of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ over the class G(b, M) which is studied by Nasr and Aouf [3], in correct form, by taking n = 1 in our maximization of $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ over the class $F_n(b, M)$.

5. Radius theorem

The following theorem may by obtained with the help of (1.8) and Lemma 3 of Nasr and Aouf [2].

Theorem 5.1. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ belongs to $F_n(b, M)$. Then

$$Re\{\frac{z(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)}\} > 0 \text{ for } |z| < r_n,$$

where

$$r_n = 2\{|b| (1+m) + [|b|^2 (1+m)^2 - 4\{Re(b)(\frac{1+m}{m}) - 1\}]^{\frac{1}{2}}\}^{-1}.$$

Remarks. In the above mentioned result

(i) Putting n = 0, we get the sharp radius of starlikeness of the class F(b, M) which is studied by Nasr and Aouf [2].

(ii) Putting n = 1, we get the sharp radius of convexity of the class G(b, M) which is investigated by Nasr and Aouf [3].

6. Necessary and sufficient condition

Theorem 6.1. A function f(z) belongs to the class $F_n(b, M)$ if and only if

(6.1)
$$f(z) * \left[\frac{z + \left[\frac{(n+1) + x\{b(1+m) - m(n+1)\}}{-b(1+m)x}\right]z^2}{(1-z)^{n+2}}\right] \neq 0$$

in 0 < |z| < 1, where x = 1 and $x \neq 1$.

Proof. Let f(z) belongs to the class $F_n(b, M)$, then

$$z\frac{(D^n f(z))'}{D^n f(z)} \neq \frac{1 + \{b(1+m) - m\}x}{1 - mx},$$

|x| = 1 and $x \neq 1$ in 0 < |z| < 1. Equivalently

(6.2)
$$(1-mx)z(D^nf(z))' - [1 + \{b(1+m) - m\}x]D^nf(z) \neq 0 \text{ in } 0 < |z| < 1.$$

We know that, by the definition of $D^n f(z)$

(6.3)
$$z(D^n f(z))' = (n+1)D^{n+1}f(z) - nD^n f(z).$$

Using (6.3) in (6.2), we have

$$(1 - mx)[(n+1)D^{n+1}f(z) - nD^n f(z)] - [1 + \{b(1+m) - m\}x]D^n f(z) \neq 0 \text{ in } 0 < |z| < 1$$

or

$$f(z) * \left[\frac{-b(1+m)xz + [(n+1) + x\{b(1+m) - m(n+1)\}]z^2}{(1-z)^{n+2}}\right] \neq 0.$$

Since $x \neq 1$ and b is any non-zero complex number, we have

$$f(z) * \left[\frac{z + \left[\frac{(n+1) + x\{b(1+m) - m(n+1)\}}{-b(1+m)x}\right]z^2}{(1-z)^{n+2}}\right] \neq 0$$

which is the required condition.

The converse part follows easily since all the steps can be retraced back.

References

- [1] H. S. Al-Amiri, "On Ruscheweyh derivatives," Ann. Polon. Math., 38(1980), 87-94.
- [2] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, "Bounded starlike functions of complex order," Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) 92(1983).
- [3] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, "Bounded convex functions of complex order," Bull. Fac. Sci. 10(1983).
- [4] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, "Starlike functions of complex order," J. Naturai Sci. Math. 25(1985).
- [5] M. A. Nasr and M. K. Aouf, "On convex functions of complex order," mansoura Sci. Bull. (1982), 565-582.
- [6] P. K. Kulshrestha, "Distortion of spiral-like mappings," Proc. Royal Irish Acad., 73A(1973), 1-5.
- [7] P. K. Kulshrestha, "Bounded Robertson function," Rend. Math., (6)9(1976), 137-150.
- [8] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, "A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20(1969).
- [9] S. Ruscheweyh, "New criteria for univalent functions," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49(1975), 109-115.
- [10] S. Ruscheweyh, "Linear operators between classes of prestarlike functions, comment," Math. Helve., 52(1977), 497-509.
- [11] M. S. Robertson, "Univalent functions for which zf'(z) is spiral-like," Michigan Math. J., 16(1969), 97-101.
- [12] Ram Singh and Sunder Singh, "Integrals of certain univalent functions," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 77(1979), 336-340.
- [13] T. Sheil-Small, H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, "Convolution multipliers and star-like functions," J. Analyse Math. 41(1982), 181-192.
- [14] L. Špacek, "Prispeekk teorii funki, Prostych," casopis Pest Math. Fys., 62(1933), 12-19.
- [15] P. Wiatrowski, "The coefficients of a certain family of holomorphic functions," Zeszyty Nauk. Univ.

todzk, Nauki. Math. Przyrod. ser II, zeszyt (39) Math. (1971), 75-85.

Department of Mathematics, Christ Church College, Kanpur-208001 (U.P.), India.

Department of Mathematics, Janta College, Bakewar-206124, Etawah (U.P.), India.