

## ON FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS WITH STATE CONSTRAINTS

NIKOLAOS S. PAPAGEORGIOU

**Abstract.** In This paper we examine differential inclusions with memory and state constrains. We prove two existence theorem. One with nonconvex valued orientor field and the other with a convex valued one. Finally we consider also the problem with no state constraints.

### 1. Introduction.

In this paper we examine functional-differential inclusions with state constraints, defined in a separable Hilbert space  $X$ . So the multivalued Cauchy problem under consideration has the following form:

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{x}(t) \in N_{K(t)}(x(t)) + F(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) \text{ a.e. on } T = [0, b] \\ x(u) = \phi(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in T_0 = [-r, 0] \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

Here  $K(t)$  is the time varying state constraint set which will be convex valued and  $N_{K(t)}(x(t))$  is the normal cone to  $K(t)$  at  $x(t)$  (see Aubin-Cellina [2]). Recall that for all  $x \in K(t)$   $N_{K(t)}(x) = \partial\delta_{K(t)}(x)$ , where  $\partial\delta_{K(t)}(\cdot)$  denotes the convex subdifferential of the indicator function  $\delta_{K(t)}(\cdot)$  ( $\delta_{K(t)}(x) = 0$  if  $x \in K(t)$ ,  $+\infty$  otherwise). Also  $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is a multivalued perturbation with values in the Hilbert space  $X$ . Given  $x : \hat{T} = [-r, b] \rightarrow X$ , by  $x_t(\cdot)$  we will denote the map describing the history from  $t - r$  up to time  $t$  of  $x(\cdot)$ . So  $x_t : [-r, 0] \rightarrow X$  is defined by  $x_t(s) = x(t + s)$   $s \in [-r, 0]$ .

We will prove two existence theorems. One with nonconvex valued perturbation and the other with convex valued  $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ . Also we consider the case where  $K(t) = X \Rightarrow N_{K(t)}(x) = \{0\}$  and so the multivalued Cauchy problem has no state constraints.

Received July 26, 1989.

Research supported by N.S.F. Grant D.M.S.-8802688.

Running head title: "Functional-differential inclusions".

1980 AMS Subject classification: 34K20.

*Key words and phrases:* Normal cone, upper semicontinuous multifunction, lower semicontinuous multifunction, selection theorem, Gronwall's inequality, graph measurability.

The results of this paper extend earlier works by Antosiewicz-Cellina [1], Fryszkowski [7], Kisielewicz [9], Moreau [10] and Papageorgiou [13], [14]. Also we extend to infinite dimensional systems with memory, the work on “differential variational inequalities” of Aubin-Cellina [2] (see chapter 5, section 6).

**2. Preliminaries.**

Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space and  $X$  a separable Banach space. We will be using the following notations:

$$P_{f(c)}(X) = \{A \subseteq X : A \text{ nonempty, closed, (convex)}\}$$

$$\text{and } P_{(w)k(c)}(X) = \{A \subseteq X : A \text{ nonempty, (w-)compact, (convex)}\}$$

For  $A \in 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , the norm  $|A|$  is defined by  $|A| = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in A\}$ . Also a multifunction  $F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is said to be *graph measurable* if and only if  $GrF = \{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X : x \in F(\omega)\} \in \Sigma \times B(X)$ , with  $B(X)$  being the Borel  $\sigma$ -field of  $X$ . A  $P_f(X)$ -valued multifunction is said to be *measurable* if and only if for every  $z \in X \omega \rightarrow d(z, F(\omega)) = \inf\{\|z - x\| : x \in F(\omega)\}$  is measurable. For a  $P_f(X)$ -valued multifunction, measurability implies graph measurability and the converse is true if there exists a complete,  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu(\cdot)$  on  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ .

Now assume  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. For any multifunction  $F : \Omega \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , let  $S_F^1 = \{f \in L^1(X) : f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \mu - a.e.\}$ . If  $F(\cdot)$  is graph measurable, then using Aumann’s selection theorem, it is easy to check that  $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\omega \rightarrow \inf\{\|x\| : x \in F(\omega)\} \in L_+^1$ . Also if  $F(\cdot)$  is  $P_f(X)$ -valued, then  $S_F^1$  is strongly closed in the Lebesgue-Bochner space  $L^1(X)$ . A multifunction  $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is said to be *integrably bounded* if and only if  $F(\cdot)$  is measurable and  $\omega \rightarrow |F(\omega)| = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in F(\omega)\} \in L_+^1$ . Clearly for such a multifunction  $S_F^1 \neq \emptyset$ .

Suppose that  $Y, Z$  are Hausdorff topological spaces and  $F : Y \rightarrow 2^Z \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . We say that  $F(\cdot)$  is *upper semicontinuous* (u.s.c.) (resp. *lower semicontinuous* (l.s.c.)) if for all  $V \subseteq Z$  open, the set  $\{y \in Y : F(y) \subseteq V\}$  (resp.  $\{y \in Y : F(y) \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ ) is open in  $Y$ . Other equivalent definitions of upper and lower semicontinuity can be found in Delahaye-Denel [4].

On  $P_f(X)$  we can define a (generalized) metric  $h(\cdot, \cdot)$  by setting

$$h(A, B) = \max\{\sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A)\}$$

where  $d(a, B) = \inf\{\|a - b\| : b \in B\}$  and  $d(b, A) = \inf\{\|b - a\| : a \in A\}$ . Recall that  $(P_f(X), h)$  is a complete metric space. If  $\Omega = [0, b]$ , a multifunction  $F : \Omega \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is said to be *h-absolutely continuous with modulus*  $r(\cdot) \in L_+^1$  if and only if  $h(F(t), F(t')) \leq \int_t^{t'} r(s) ds$  for all  $t, t' \in \Omega = [0, b]$ .

Finally if  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of nonempty subsets of  $X$ , we write  $w - \overline{\lim} A_n = \{x \in X : x = w - \lim x_{n_k}, x_{n_k} \in A_{n_k}, n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots\}$  and  $s - \underline{\lim} A_n = \{x \in X : x =$

$s - \lim x_n, x_n \in A_n, n \geq 1$ . Here  $s$ -denotes the strong topology on  $X$  and  $w$ -the weak topology.

3. Existence theorems.

Let  $T_0 = [-r, 0], T = [0, b], \hat{T} = [-r, b], r > 0$  and  $X$  is a separable Hilbert space.

We will make the following hypotheses concerning the data of problem (\*).

$H(K)$ :  $K : T \rightarrow P_{kc}(X)$  is an  $h$ -absolutely continuous multifunction with modulus  $r(\cdot) \in L^1_+$ .

$H(F)$ :  $F : Tx C(T_0, X) \times L^1(T_0, X) \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is a multifunction s.t.

- (1)  $(t, y, h) \rightarrow F(t, y, h)$  is graph measurable,
- (2)  $(y, h) \rightarrow F(t, y, h)$  is l.s.c. from  $C(T_0, X) \times L^1(T_0, X)_w$  into  $X$
- (3)  $| F(t, y, h) | \leq a(t) + b(t)\|y\|_\infty$  a.e. with  $a(\cdot), b(\cdot) \in L^1_+$ ,

$H_0$ :  $x_0 : T_0 \rightarrow X$  is absolutely continuous,  $x_0(0) \in K(0)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *If hypothesis  $H(K), H(F)$  and  $H_0$  hold, then (\*) admits a solution.*

**Proof.** First we will determine an a priori bound for the solutions of (\*). So let  $x(\cdot) \in C(T, X)$  be such a solution. From Daures [3] (see also Moreau [10]), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{x}(t)\| &\leq r(t) + | F(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) | \leq r(t) + a(t) + b(t)\|x_t\|_\infty \text{ a.e.} \\ \implies \|x(t)\| &\leq \|x_0\| + \int_0^t (r(s) + a(s) + b(s)\|x_s\|_\infty) ds \\ \implies \|x_t\|_\infty &\leq \|x_0\| + \int_0^t (r(s) + a(s) + b(s)\|x_s\|_\infty) ds \end{aligned}$$

Invoking Gronwall's inequality we get that

$$\|x_t\|_\infty \leq (\|x_0\| + \|r\|_1 + \|a\|_1) \exp \|b\|_1 = M$$

Then let  $\hat{F} : T \times C(T_0, x) \times L^1(T_0, X) \rightarrow P_f(X)$  be defined by

$$\hat{F}(t, y, h) = \begin{cases} F(t, y, h) & \text{if } \|y\|_\infty \leq M \\ F(t, \frac{My}{\|y\|}, h) & \text{if } \|y\|_\infty > M. \end{cases}$$

So we have that  $\hat{F}(t, y, h) = F(t, p_M(y), h)$ , where  $p_M(\cdot)$  is the  $M$ -radial retraction. Recall that  $p_M(\cdot)$  is Lipschitz continuous. So the map  $u : T \times C(T_0, X) \times L^1(T_0, X) \times X \rightarrow T \times C(T_0, X) \times L^1(T_0, X) \times X$  defined by  $(t, y, h, v) \xrightarrow{u} (t, p_M(y), h, v)$  is measurable. Then observe that  $Gr\hat{F} = \{(t, y, h, v) \in T \times C(T_0, X) \times X : v \in \hat{F}(t, y, h) = F(t, p_M(y), h)\} = u^{-1}(GrF)$ . But by hypothesis  $H(F)$  (1),  $GrF \in B(T) \times B(C(T_0, X)) \times B(L^1(T_0, X))$ . So  $\hat{F}$  is graph measurable. Also  $(y, h) \rightarrow \hat{F}(t, y, h)$  is clearly l.s.c. Finally note that

$|\hat{F}(t, y, h)| \leq a(t) + b(t)M = c(t)$  a.e.  $c(\cdot) \in L^1_+$ . In the sequel we will consider (\*) with the orientor field  $F(t, y, h)$  replaced by  $\hat{F}(t, y, h)$ .

Let  $W \subseteq C(\hat{T}, X)$  be defined by :

$$W = \{y \in C(\hat{T}, X) : y(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t g(s)ds, t \in T \|g(t)\| \leq r(t) + c(t) \text{ a.e.}, \\ y(u) = \phi(u), u \in T_0\}.$$

Clearly  $W$  is equicontinuous, convex and closed. Let  $V = \{y \in W : y(t) \in K(t) t \in T\}$ . Then from Daures [3] and Moreau [10], we know that  $V \neq \emptyset$  and  $V(t) = \{v(t) : v \in V\} = W(t) \cap K(t) \in P_k(X)$ . So invoking the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we deduce that  $V$  is compact in  $C(\hat{T}, X)$ . Also let  $Q = \{h \in L^1(\hat{T}, X) : \|h(t)\| \leq r(t) + c(t) \text{ a.e. and } h(u) = \dot{x}_0(u) \text{ a.e. on } T_0\}$  (note that since  $X$  is a Hilbert space and by hypothesis  $H_0$ ,  $x_0 : T_0 \rightarrow X$  is absolutely continuous,  $\dot{x}_0(\cdot)$  exists a.e. on  $T_0$ ). Clearly  $Q$  with the relative weak  $L^1(\hat{T}, X)$ -topology is compact and since  $L^1(\hat{T}, X)$  is separable,  $Q$  is metrizable too. In the sequel we will consider  $Q$  with this relative weak  $L^1(\hat{T}, X)$ -topology.

Next consider the multifunction  $G : V \times Q \rightarrow P_f(L^1(X))$  defined by

$$G(y, h) = S^1_{\hat{F}(\cdot, y, h)}$$

Since  $\hat{F}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is graph measurable,  $t \rightarrow \hat{F}(t, y_t, h_t)$  is measurable and also almost everywhere bounded by  $c(t)$ . So  $G(y, h) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $(y, h) \in V \times Q$ . Also invoking theorem 4.1 of [12] we get that  $G(\cdot, \cdot)$  is l.s.c. from  $V \times Q$  into  $L^1(X)$ . Apply Fryszkowski's selection theorem [6] to get  $g : V \times Q \rightarrow L^1(X)$  continuous s.t.  $g(y, h) \in G(y, h)$ . For each  $(y, h) \in V \times Q$  consider the equation

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{x}(t) \in N_{K(t)}(x(t)) + g(y, h)(t) \text{ a.e. on } T \\ x(u) = x_0(u) \text{ for } u \in T_0 \end{cases} \quad (*) (y, h).$$

As before from Daures [3] and Moreau [10]. we deduce that  $(*) (y, h)$  has a unique solution  $s(g(y, h))(\cdot) \in C(\hat{T}, X)$ . For any  $u \in L^1(X)$ , let  $\hat{s}(u) = (s(u), \dot{s}(u))$ . From [13] (see also the lemma below) we know  $s(\cdot)$  is continuous, while  $\dot{s}(\cdot)$  has closed graph, hence is continuous on  $L^1(X)$ . Therefore  $\hat{s}(\cdot)$  is continuous from  $L^1(X)$  into  $V \times Q$ . Let  $q = \hat{s} \circ g$ . Then  $q : V \times Q \rightarrow V \times Q$  is continuous and so from the Schauder-Tichonov fixed point theorem, we get  $(y, h) \in V \times Q$  s.t.  $q(y, h) = (y, h)$ . Then  $y = s(g(y, h))(\cdot) \in C(\hat{T}, X)$  is the solution of (\*) with orientor field  $\hat{F}(t, y, h)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{y}(t)\| &\leq r(t) + |\hat{F}(t, y_t, \dot{y}_t)| \text{ a.e.} \\ &\leq r(t) + a(t) + b(t)\|y_t\|_\infty \text{ a.e. (recall the definition of } \hat{F}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)) \\ \implies \|y\|_\infty &\leq M \\ \implies \hat{F}(t, y_t, \dot{y}_t) &= F(t, y_t, \dot{y}_t) \\ \implies y(\cdot) &\text{ solves } (*). \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

The next existence theorem involves convex valued perturbations. To prove it we will need a continuous dependence result, which is actually interesting by itself. So consider the following evolution inclusion.

$$\begin{cases} -\dot{x}(t) \in N_{K(t)}(x(t)) + f(t) \text{ a.e.} \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases} \quad (**)$$

We know that given  $x_0 \in K(0)$  and  $f \in L^1(X)$ , the multivalued Cauchy problem (\*\*) has a unique solution  $s(f)(\cdot) \in C(T, X)$ . The next proposition examines the map  $f \rightarrow s(f)(\cdot)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *If hypothesis  $H(K)$  holds,  $x_0 \in K(0)$  and  $f \in W \in P_{wk}(L^1(X))$ , then  $f \rightarrow s(f)$  is continuous from  $W_w$  into  $C(T, X)$ .*

**Proof.** Recall that  $W_w$  is compact, metrizable. Also  $W$  is uniformly integrable. Since  $\|\dot{s}(f)(t)\| \leq r(t) + \|f(t)\|$  a.e. (see Daures [3]), we deduce that  $\{s(f)(\cdot)\}_{f \in W}$  is equicontinuous. Also for all  $f \in W$  and all  $t \in T$ ,  $s(f)(t) \in K(t) \in P_{kc}(X)$ . Hence from the Arzela-Ascoli theorem we deduce that  $\{s(f)(\cdot)\}_{f \in W}$  is relatively compact in  $C(T, X)$ .

Next let  $f_n \xrightarrow{w} f$  in  $W \subseteq L^1(X)$ . Set  $x_n = s(f_n)$  and  $x = s(f)$ . Recalling that  $N_{K(t)}(\cdot) = \partial\delta_{K(t)}(\cdot)$  and exploiting the monotonicity of the convex subdifferential, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\dot{x}_n(t) + \dot{x}(t), -x_n(t) + x(t)) \leq (f_n(t) - f(t), -x_n(t) + x(t)) \text{ a.e.} \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|x_n(t) - x(t)\|^2 \leq (f_n(t) - f(t), -x_n(t) + x(t)) \text{ a.e.} \\ \Rightarrow & \|x_n(t) - x(t)\|^2 \leq 2 \int_0^t (f_n(s) - f(s), -x_n(s) + x(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

From the first part of the proof, we know that we may assume without any loss of generality, that  $x_n \rightarrow \hat{x}$  in  $C(T, X)$ . Then we have:

$$\|x_n(t) - x(t)\| \leq 2 \int_0^t (f_n(s) - f(s), -x_n(s) + \hat{x}(s)) ds + 2 \int_0^t (f_n(s) - f(s), -\hat{x}(s) + x(s)) ds$$

Since  $x_n \rightarrow \hat{x}$  in  $C(T, X)$  and  $f_n \xrightarrow{w} f$  in  $W \subseteq L^1(X)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t (f_n(s) - f(s), -x_n(s) + \hat{x}(s)) ds \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{and} & \int_0^t (f_n(s) - f(s), -\hat{x}(s) + x(s)) ds \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\|x_n(t) - x(t)\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \implies x = \hat{x} \implies x_n = s(f_n) \rightarrow x = s(f)$  in  $C(T, X)$ .

*Q.E.D.*

Now we are ready for the existence result, when the set valued perturbation is convex valued.

We will need the following hypotheses on the orientor field  $F(t, y, h)$ .

$H(F)_1$ :  $F : T \times C(T_0, X) \times L^1(T_0, X) \rightarrow P_{fc}(X)$  is a multifunction s.t.

- (1)  $(t, y, h) \rightarrow F(t, y, h)$  is graph measurable,
- (2)  $(y, h) \rightarrow F(t, y, h)$  is u.s.c. from  $C(T_0, X) \times L^1(T_0, X)_w$  into  $X_w$ ,
- (3)  $|F(t, y, h)| \leq a(t) + b(t)\|y\|_\infty$  a.e. with  $a(\cdot), b(\cdot) \in L^1_+$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *If hypotheses  $H(K), H(F)_1$  and  $H_0$  hold, then (\*) admits a solution.*

**Proof.** As in the proof of theorem 3.1 we can check that every solution  $x(\cdot) \in C(\hat{T}, X)$  satisfies  $\|x_t\|_\infty \leq M$ . Then we define  $\hat{F}(t, y, h) = F(t, p_M(y), h)$ . Clearly  $\hat{F}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  has the same measurability and continuity properties as  $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ . In addition observe that  $|\hat{F}(t, y, h)| \leq a(t) + b(t)M = c(t)$  a.e.  $c(\cdot) \in L^1_+$ . In what follows we will consider the multivalued Cauchy problem (\*) with  $\hat{F}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  being the set valued perturbation.

Let  $V = \{g \in L^1(X) : \|g(t)\| \leq a(t) + r(t) \text{ a.e.}\}$  From Dunford's compactness theorem (see Diestel-Dhl [5], theorem 1, p.101), we have that  $V$  is weakly compact in  $L^1(X)$ . Let  $R : V \rightarrow 2^{L^1(X)}$  be defined by  $R(g) = S^1_{\hat{F}(\cdot, s(g), \dot{s}(g))}$ . It is easy to see that  $R(g) \in P_{fc}(V)$ . We claim that  $R(\cdot)$  is u.s.c. on  $V$  with the relative weak  $L^1(X)$ -topology. Because of the weak compactness of  $V$  in  $L^1(X)$  and since the weak  $L^1(X)$ -topology on  $V$  is metrizable ( $L^1(X)$  being separable), we know that it is enough to check that  $R(\cdot)$  has closed graph. So let  $\{(g_n, f_n)\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq GrR$  and assume  $(g_n, f_n) \xrightarrow{w \times w} (g, f)$  in  $V \times V$ . From proposition 3.1, we know that  $s(g)_n \rightarrow s(g)$  in  $C(\hat{T}, X)$ . Also by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $\dot{s}(g)_n \xrightarrow{w} \dot{s}(g)$  in  $L^1(X)$ . Invoking theorem 3.1 of [12], we have:

$$f(t) \in \overline{conv} w - \overline{\lim} \hat{F}(t, s(g_n)_t, \dot{s}(g_n)_t) \text{ a.e.}$$

Observe that  $s(g_n)_t \rightarrow s(g)_t$  in  $C(T_0, X)$  and  $\dot{s}(g_n)_t \xrightarrow{w} \dot{s}(g)_t$  in  $L^1(T_0, X)$ . So because of  $H(F)_1$  and the definition of  $\hat{F}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  we have,  $w - \overline{\lim} \hat{F}(t, s(g_n)_t, \dot{s}(g_n)_t) \subseteq \hat{F}(t, s(g)_t, \dot{s}(g)_t)$  a.e. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f &\in S^1_{\hat{F}(\cdot, s(g), \dot{s}(g))} \\ \implies (g, f) &\in GrR \\ \implies R(\cdot) &\text{ is u.s.c. on } V_w. \end{aligned}$$

Apply the Kakutani-KyFan fixed point theorem, to get  $f \in V$  s.t.  $f \in R(f)$ . Clearly then  $s(f)(\cdot)$  is the desired solution of (\*) with  $\hat{F}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  being the orientor field. Then using the definition of  $\hat{F}(t, y, h)$  and Gronwall's inequality, we can show that  $\|s(f)_t\|_\infty \leq M$   $t \in T \Rightarrow \hat{F}(t, s(f)_t, \dot{s}(f)_t) = F(t, s(f)_t, \dot{s}(f)_t) \Rightarrow s(f)(\cdot)$  is the desired solution of (\*)

*Q.E.D.*

Finally we will consider the case where no state constraints are present i.e.  $K(t) = X$  for all  $t \in T$  and so  $N_{K(t)}(x) = X$ . Our theorem extends theorem 3.1' of [14] as well as the finite dimensional results of Kisielewicz [9] (theorems 1 and 2).

So the multivalued Cauchy problem under consideration is now the following

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) \in F(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) \text{ a.e. on } T \\ x(u) = x_0(u) \text{ } u \in T_0 \end{cases} \quad (**).$$

Here  $X$  is any separable Banach space. We will need the following hypotheses on the data of (\*\*).

$H(F)_2$ :  $F : T \times C(T_0, X) \times L^1(T_0, X) \rightarrow P_f(X)$  is a multifunction s.t.

- (1)  $(t, y, h) \rightarrow F(t, y, h)$  is graph measurable,
- (2)  $(y, h) \rightarrow F(t, y, h)$  is l.s.c. from  $C(T_0, X) \times L^1(T_0, X)_w$  into  $X$
- (3)  $|F(t, y, h)| \leq (1 + \|y\|_\infty)G(t)$  a.e., with  $G : T \rightarrow P_{kc}(X)$  integrably bounded.

$H_{01}$ :  $x_0(\cdot) \in C(T_0, X)$

**Theorem 3.3.** *If hypotheses  $H(F)_2$  and  $H_{01}$  hold, then (\*\*) admits a solution.*

**Proof.** Again, exploiting the growth hypothesis  $H(F)_2(3)$  and using Gronwall's inequality, we get that  $\|x_t\|_\infty \leq (\|x_0\|_\infty + \| |G(\cdot)| \|_1) \exp(\| |G(\cdot)| \|_1) = M$ . Define  $\hat{F}(t, y, h) = F(t, p_M(y), h)$ . We know (see the proof of theorem 3.1) that  $\hat{F}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  has the same measurability and continuity properties as  $F(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ . Also  $|\hat{F}(t, y, h)| \leq (1 + M)G(t) = \hat{G}(t)$  a.e. with  $\hat{G} : T \rightarrow P_{kc}(X)$  integrably bounded.

Next let  $W \subseteq C(\hat{T}, X)$  be defined by:

$$W = \{y \in C(\hat{T}, X) : y(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t g(s)ds, t \in T, g \in S_{\hat{G}}^1, y(u) = x_0(u) \text{ } u \in T_0\}.$$

It is clear that  $W$  is an equicontinuous subset of  $C(\hat{T}, X)$ . Also for every  $y \in W$  and every  $t \in T$ , we have  $y(t) \in x_0 + \int_0^t \hat{G}(s)ds$ . But from Radström's embedding theorem (see for example Hiai-Umegaki [8], section 3), we have that  $\int_0^t \hat{G}(s)ds \in P_{kc}(X)$ . So  $\overline{W(t)} = cl\{y(t) : y(\cdot) \in W\} \in P_k(X)$ . Hence invoking the Arzela-Ascoli theorem we deduce that  $W$  is relatively compact in  $C(\hat{T}, X)$ . We claim that it is compact. So we need to show that it is closed in  $C(\hat{T}, X)$ . To this end let  $y_n \rightarrow y$  in  $C(\hat{T}, X)$ ,  $y_n \in W$ . Then by definition we have:

$$y_n(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t g_n(s)ds, t \in T, g_n \in S_{\hat{G}}^1$$

and  $y_n(u) = x_0(u), u \in T_0$ .

But note (see proposition 3.1 of [11]) that  $S_{\hat{G}}^1$  is  $w$ -compact in  $L^1(X)$ . So by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that  $g_n \xrightarrow{w} g \in S_{\hat{G}}^1$  in  $L^1(X)$ . Then  $y_n(t) =$

$x_0 + \int_0^t g_n(s)ds \xrightarrow{w} x_0 + \int_0^t g(s)ds = y(t) \quad t \in T, \quad y(u) = x_0(u) \quad u \in T_0 \Rightarrow y \in W \Rightarrow W$  is compact in  $C(T, X)$ .

Let  $R : W \times (S_{\hat{G}}^1, w) \rightarrow P_{fc}(L^1(X))$  be defined by  $R(y, h) = S_{\hat{F}(\cdot, y, h)}^1$ . As in the proof of theorem 3.1 we can check that  $R(\cdot, \cdot)$  is l.s.c.. Apply Fryszkowski's selection theorem [6] to get  $r : W \times (S_{\hat{G}}^1, w) \rightarrow L^1(X)$  continuous s.t.  $r(y, h) = S_{\hat{F}(\cdot, y, h)}^1$ . Then let  $W \times (S_{\hat{G}}^1, w) \rightarrow W \times (S_{\hat{G}, w}^1)$  be defined by

$$k(y, h)(\cdot) = (k_1(y, h)(\cdot), r(y, h)(\cdot))$$

where  $k_1(y, h)(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t r(y, h)(s)ds \quad t \in T$  and  $k_1(y, h)(u) = x_0(u) \quad u \in T_0$ . Since by construction  $r(\cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous,  $k(\cdot, \cdot)$  is too. So apply the Schauder-Tichonov fixed point theorem to get  $(x, h) \in W \times S_{\hat{G}}^1$  s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} k(x, h) &= (x, h) \\ \Rightarrow h(t) &= g(x, h)(t) \text{ a.e. and } x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t h(s)ds \quad t \in T. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly then  $h(\cdot) = \dot{x}(\cdot) \in S_{\hat{F}(\cdot, x, \dot{x})}^1$ . As before using the definition of  $\hat{F}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  and Gronwall's inequality, we get that  $\hat{F}(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) = F(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) \Rightarrow x(\cdot) \in C(\hat{T}, X)$  solves (\*\*).

Q.E.D.

**Remark.** Theorem 3.3 can not be derived from theorem 3.1, since in that theorem  $K(\cdot)$  was  $P_{kc}(X)$ -valued, while for problem (\*\*), we need to take  $K(t) = X, t \in T$ .

### References

- [1] H.A. Antosiewicz and A. Cellina, "Continuous selections and differential relations" *J. Diff. Equations* 19 (1975), pp. 386-398.
- [2] J.P. Aubin and A. Cellina, *Differential Inclusions* Springer, Berlin (1984).
- [3] J.-P. Daures, "Un probleme d'existence de commandes optimales avec liaisons sur l'etat" *Sem. Anal. Convexe*, Exp. no. 8, pp. 8-1, 8-24, Montpellier (1974).
- [4] J. Delahaye and J. Denel, "The continuities of the point to set maps, definitions and equivalences" *Math. Programming Study* 10 (1979), pp. 8-12.
- [5] J. Diestel and J. Uhl, *Vector Measures* Math. Survey, Vol 15, A.M.S. Providence, R.I. (1977).
- [6] A. Fryszkowski, "Continuous selections for a class of nonconvex multivalued maps" *Studia Math* 78 (1983), pp. 163-174.
- [7] A. Fryszkowski, "Existence of solutions of functional-differential inclusions in nonconvex case" *Annales Polon. Math* XLV (1985), pp. 121-124.
- [8] F. Hiai and H. Umegaki, "Integrals, conditional expectations and martingales of multivalued functions" *J. Multiv. Anal.* 7 (1977), pp. 149-182.
- [9] M. Kisielewicz, "Existence theorems for generalized functional-differential equations of neutral type" *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 78 (1980), pp. 173-182.
- [10] J.-J. Moreau, "Evolution problem associated with a moving convex set in a Hilbert space" *J. Diff. Eq.* 26 (1977), pp. 347-374.



- [11] N.S. Papageorgiou, "On the theory of Banach space valued multifunctions; Part 1: Integration and conditional expectation" *J. Multiv. Anal.* 17 (1985), pp. 185-206.
- [12] N.S. Papageorgiou, "Convergence theorems for Banach space valued integrable multifunctions" *Intern. J. Math. and Math. Sci.* 10 (1987), pp. 433-442.
- [13] N.S. Papageorgiou, "Differential inclusions with state constraints" *Proc. of the Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 32 (1989), pp. 81-98.
- [14] N.S. Papageorgiou, "On the theory of functional-differential inclusions of neutral type in Banach spaces" *Funkc. Ekvac.* 31 (1988), pp. 103-120.

University of California, 1015 Department of Mathematics, Davis, California 95616, U.S.A.

and

Florida Institute of Technology, Department of Applied Mathematics 150 W. University Blvd, Melbourne, Florida 32901-6988, U.S.A.