

ON SOME PROJECTION METHODS FOR APPROXIMATING FIXED POINTS OF NONLINEAR EQUATIONS IN BANACH SPACE

IOANNIS K. ARGYROS

Abstract. We use a Newton-like method to approximate a fixed point of a nonlinear operator equation in a Banach space. Our iterates are computed at each step by solving a linear algebraic system of finite order.

I. Introduction

Consider the problem of approximating a fixed point x^* of the operator equation

$$x = T(x) \quad (1)$$

where $T(x)$ is a nonlinear operator defined on a subset D of a Banach space E with values in a Banach space \hat{E} .

We study the convergence of the Newton-like methods

$$x_{n+1} = T(x_n) - PT'(x_n)(x_n - x_{n+1}), \quad n \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

and

$$y_{n+1} = T(y_n) - PT'(x_0)(y_n - y_{n+1}), \quad x_0 = y_0, \quad n \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

to x^* , where $T'(x_n)$ is the Fréchet derivative of T evaluated at x_n and P is a linear projection operator projecting E on its subspace E_p . If E_p is a finite-dimensional space with $\dim(E_p) = N$, then the iterates (2) and (3) can be computed at each step by solving a system of linear algebraic equations of order at most N . The case when $P = I$, the identity operator on E , has been examined by many authors, under different assumptions [1], [3], [4], [5], [7]. The iterates, however, can rarely be computed in infinite dimensional spaces, since it may be very difficult or impossible to find the inverses of the linear operators $I - T'(x_n)$, $n \geq 0$. The case when T is a continuous linear operator has been examined in [5], [6]. We assume that T is a nonlinear operator. Our conditions are easier to verify than the ones in [5], even in the linear case.

Received Oct. 24, 1989.

Key words and phrases: Fixed point, Banach space, Neton-like method.

1980 AMS classification codes: 47D15, 47H17, 65.

In this paper, we provide sufficient conditions for the convergence of iterations (2) and (3) to a locally unique fixed point x^* of equation (1).

Finally, we illustrate our results with an example.

II. Convergence theorems.

We can now formulate our main theorem concerning iteration (2).

Theorem 1. *Let $T : D \subset E \rightarrow \hat{E}$ and assume*

(a) *the inverse of the linear operator $I - PT'(x_0)$ exists and*

$$\|(I - PT'(x_0))^{-1}(x_0 - T(x_0))\| \leq \eta; \quad (4)$$

(b) *the following inequalities are true for all*

$$x, y \in U(x_0, r) = \{x \in E \mid \|x - x_0\| < r\} :$$

$$\|(I - PT'(x_0))^{-1}(PT'(x) - PT'(y))\| \leq M\|x - y\|^\lambda \quad (5)$$

and

$$\|(I - PT'(x_0))^{-1}(QT(x) - QT(y))\| \leq q\|x - y\|^\lambda, \quad Q = I - P, \lambda \in [0, 1). \quad (6)$$

(c) *The conditions*

$$(\eta d)^\lambda < 1, \quad (7)$$

$$Mr^\lambda < 1, \quad (8)$$

$$\eta + \frac{ed^{-1}}{1-e} \leq r \quad (9)$$

are satisfied, where

$$e = (d\eta)^\lambda, \quad d^{\lambda-1} = c$$

and

$$c(r) = c = \frac{1}{1 - Mr^\lambda} \left(\frac{2Mr}{1 + \lambda} + q \right).$$

(d) *The ball $\bar{U}(x_0, r) \subset D$. Then, equation (1) has a fixed point x^* in $\bar{U}(x_0, r)$ where r is chosen to be the minimum number $r > 0$ satisfying (8)-(9). Moreover, the following estimates are true*

$$\|x_n - x^*\| \leq d^{-1} \frac{e^n}{1-e}, \quad n \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

and

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq c\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^\lambda, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, if

$$(dr)^\lambda < 1 \tag{12}$$

then x^* is the unique fixed point of equation (1) in $\bar{U}(x_0, r)$.

Proof. From (2) and (3) we get the identity

$$(I - PT'(x_n))(x_{n+1} - x_n) = T(x_n) - T(x_{n-1}) - PT'(x_{n-1})(x_n - x_{n-1}), n \geq 1. \tag{13}$$

By the Banach lemma on invertible operators, (5) and (8), it follows that $I - PT'(x)$ is invertible for all $x \in U(x_0, r)$ and

$$\|(I - PT'(x))^{-1}(I - PT'(x_0))\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - M\|x - x_0\|^\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{1 - Mr^\lambda}. \tag{14}$$

Let us assume that $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in U(x_0, r)$, then from (4)-(6), (13) and (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ \leq & \|(I - PT'(x_n))^{-1}(I - PT'(x_0))\| \left[\|(I - PT'(x_0))^{-1}(PT(x_n) - PT(x_{n-1}) \right. \\ & \left. - PT'(x_{n-1})(x_n - x_{n-1}))\| + \|(I - PT'(x_0))^{-1}(QT(x_n) - QT(x_{n-1}))\| \right] \\ \leq & \frac{1}{1 - Mr^\lambda} \left[\|(I - PT'(x_0))^{-1} \int_0^1 PT'(x_{n-1} + t(x_n - x_{n-1})) - PT'(x_{n-1})(x_n - x_{n-1}) dt\| \right. \\ & \left. + q\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^\lambda \right] \\ \leq & \frac{1}{1 - Mr^\lambda} \left[\frac{M}{1 + \lambda} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + q \right] \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^\lambda \\ \leq & c\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|^\lambda, \text{ which shows (11)}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

From (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0 - x_{n+1}\| & \leq \|x_1 - x_0\| + \|x_2 - x_1\| + \dots + \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \\ & \leq \eta + c\eta^\lambda + c^{1+\lambda}\eta^{\lambda^2} + \dots + c^{1+\lambda+\dots+\lambda^{n-1}}\eta^{\lambda^n} \\ & \leq \eta + d^{-1}[(d\eta)^\lambda + (d\eta)^{\lambda^2} + \dots + (d\eta)^{\lambda^n}] \\ & \leq \eta + d^{-1}[(d\eta)^\lambda + (d\eta)^{2\lambda} + \dots + (d\eta)^{n\lambda}] \\ & \leq \eta + d^{-1}e(1 + e + e^2 + \dots + e^{n-1}) \\ & \leq \eta + d^{-1}e \frac{1 - e^n}{1 - e} \leq \eta + d^{-1}e \frac{1}{1 - e} \leq r \quad (\text{by9}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $x_{n+1} \in U(x_0, r)$. For $p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x_{n+1p}\| & \leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_{n+2}\| + \dots + \|x_{n+p-1} - x_{n+p}\| \\ & \leq d^{-1}(d\eta)^{\lambda^n} + d^{-1}(d\eta)^{\lambda^{n+1}} + \dots + d^{-1}(d\eta)^{\lambda^{n+p}} \\ & \leq d^{-1}e^n [1 + e + \dots + e^{p-1}] = d^{-1}e^n \frac{1 - e^p}{1 - e}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

It now follows from that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in a Banach space and as such it converges to some $x^* \in \bar{U}(x_0, r)$. By letting $p \rightarrow \infty$ in (16) we obtain (10), whereas by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2) we get $x^* = T(x^*)$. To show uniqueness let us assume that z^* is any fixed point of T in $U(x_0, r)$ and use the identity

$$(I - PT'(x_n))(x_{n+1} - z^*) = T(x_n) - T(z^*) - PT'(x_n)(x_n - z^*)$$

to get

$$\|x_{n+1} - z^*\| \leq c\|x_n - z^*\|^\lambda \leq \dots \leq d^{-1}(dr)^{\lambda^n} \leq d^{-1}(dr)^{\lambda^n} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ from (12). Hence $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z^*$.

That completes the proof of the theorem.

Note that for $\lambda = 1$ the proof of the previous theorem can be repeated, but (7) becomes $c < 1$, (9) becomes $\frac{\eta}{1-c} \leq r$, $e = c$, (10) becomes $\|x_n - x^*\| \leq \frac{e^n}{1-e}\eta$ and (12) becomes $c < 1$.

the proof of the following theorem concerning iteration (3) is omitted as similar to the proof of theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let $T : D \subset E \rightarrow \hat{E}$ and assume

(a) the following inequalities are true:

$$\|(I - PT'(x_0))^{-1}(x_0 - T(x_0))\| \leq \eta,$$

$$\|(I - PT'(x_0))^{-1}(PT'(x) - PT'(y))\| \leq M\|x - y\|^\lambda$$

and

$$\|(I - PT'(x_0))^{-1}(QT(x) - QT(y))\| \leq q\|x - y\|^\lambda,$$

$$Q = I - P, \lambda \in [0, 1), \text{ for all } x, y \in U(x_0, R).$$

(b) The conditions

$$(\eta d_1)^\lambda < 1,$$

$$\eta + \frac{e_1 d_1^{-1}}{1 - e_1} \leq R$$

are satisfied, where

$$e_1 = (d_1 \eta)^\lambda, d_1^{\lambda-1} = c_1$$

and

$$c_1(r) = c_1 = 2^{1-\lambda}MR + q.$$

(c) The ball $\bar{U}(x_0, R) \subset D$.

Then equation (1) has a fixed point x^* in $\bar{U}(x_0, R)$ where R is chosen to be the minimum number $R > 0$ satisfying conditions (b). Moreover, the following estimates are true

$$\|y_n - x^*\| \leq d_1^{-1} \frac{e_1^n}{1 - e_1}, \quad n \geq 0$$

and

$$\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| \leq c_1 \|y_n - y_{n-1}\|^\lambda, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Furthermore if

$$(d_1 R)^\lambda \leq 1$$

then x^* is the unique fixed point of equation (1) in $\bar{U}(x_0, R)$.

Note that a remark similar to the one made after Theorem 1 for the case $\lambda = 1$ can now easily follow for Theorem 2.

We now complete this paper with an application.

III. Applications.

Let us consider the following system in $E = \hat{E} = R^k$,

$$v_i = f_i(v_1, \dots, v_k), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \quad (17)$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} T(v) & \{f_i(v_1, \dots, v_k)\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k; \\ T'(W)v & = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k f'_{ij}(w_1, \dots, w_k) v_j \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k; \\ PT'(w)v & = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^k f'_{ij}(w_1, \dots, w_k) v_j, & i = 1, 2, \dots, N \\ 0, & i = N + 1, \dots, k, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where the symbol f'_{ij} denotes $\partial f_i / \partial v_j$.

Iterations (2) and (3) can be written as

$$v_{i,n+1} = f_i(v_{1,n}, \dots, v_{k,n}) + \sum_{j=1}^k f'_{ij}(v_{1,n}, \dots, v_{k,n})(v_{j,n+1} - v_{j,n}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (18)$$

$$v_{i,n+1} = f_i(v_{1,n}, \dots, v_{k,n}), \quad i = N + 1, \dots, k$$

and

$$\bar{v}_{i,n+1} = f_i(\bar{v}_{1,n}, \dots, \bar{v}_{k,n}) + \sum_{j=1}^k f'_{ij}(\bar{v}_{1,0}, \dots, \bar{v}_{n,0})(\bar{v}_{j,n+1} - \bar{v}_{j,n}), \quad i = 1, \dots, N \quad (19)$$

$$\bar{v}_{i,n+1} = f_i(\bar{v}_{1,n}, \dots, \bar{v}_{k,n}), \quad i = N + 1, \dots, k,$$

respectively.

If the determinants $D(x_n)$ and D_0 of (18) and (19) respectively, are nonzero, then we have

$$v_{i,n+1} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^N D_{im}(v_n) \bar{f}_m(v_n)}{D(v_n)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

$$v_{i,n+1} = f_i(v_n), \quad i = N + 1, \dots, k$$

for system (18) and

$$\bar{v}_{i,n+1} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^N D_{im}(\bar{v}_0) \bar{f}_m(v_0)}{D_0}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

$$\bar{v}_{i,n+1} = f_i(\bar{v}_n), \quad i = N + 1, \dots, k$$

for system (19).

Here

$$\bar{f}_m(v_n) = f_m(v_n) - \sum_{i=1}^k f'_{mj}(v_n) v_{j,n} + \sum_{i=N+1}^k f'_{mj}(v_n) f_j(v_n),$$

$$\bar{f}_m(v_0) = f_m(v_0) - \sum_{j=1}^k f'_{mj}(v_0) v_{j,n} + \sum_{i=N+1}^k f'_{mj}(v_0) f_j(v_0),$$

$m = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where $D_{im}(v_n)$, $D_{im}(v_0)$ are the cofactors of the elements at the intersection of the m -th row and i -th column of the determinants $D(x_n)$ and D_0 , respectively.

We assume that the following conditions are satisfied on some region under consideration.

$$|f_i(v_i, \dots, v_k) - f_i(w_1, \dots, w_k)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^k t_{ij} |v_j - w_j|^\lambda, \quad i = N + 1, \dots, k, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1]$$

$$|f'_{ij}(v_i, \dots, v_k) - f'_{ij}(w_1, \dots, w_k)| \leq \sum_{s=1}^k b_{ijs} |v_s - w_s|^\lambda, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$|D_{im}(v)| \leq a_{im'} |D(v)| \leq a,$$

$$|f'_{ij}(v)| \leq h_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

For any $v \in E$, set $\|v\| = \sup_{1 \leq i \leq k} |v_i|$, then the constants q and M appearing in the Theorem 1-2 can be computed by

$$q \leq \sup_{i=N+1, \dots, k} \sum_{j=1}^k t_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad M \leq \sup_{i=1, 2, \dots, N} \sum_{j=1}^k c_{ijs}.$$

References

- [1] Argyros, I.K. "Newton-like methods under mild differentiability conditions with error analysis," *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 37, 2, (1987), 131-147.
- [2] ———, "Concerning the approximate solutions of operator equations in Hilbert space under mild differentiability conditions", *Tamkang J. Math.* Vol. 19, 4, (1985), 7-19.
- [3] Dennis, J.E., "Toward a unified convergence theory of Newton-like methods", In *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications* (edited by L.B. Rall), Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [4] Kantorovich, L.V., "The method of successive approximation for functional equations", *Acta Math.* 71, (1939), 63-97.
- [5] Kurnel, N.S. and Migovich, F.M., "Some Generalizations of the Newton-Kantorovich method", *Ukrainskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, Vol. 21, No. 5 (1969), 948-960.
- [6] Ortega, J.M. and Rheinboldt, W.C., *Iterative solution for nonlinear equations in several variables*. Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [7] Zabreiko, P.P. and Nguen, D.F., "The majorant method in the theory of Newton-Kantorovich approximations and the Pták error estimates", *Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz.* 9 (5 and 6), (1987), 671-684.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM 88003.