



## On a class of Kirchhoff type problems with singular exponential nonlinearity

Mebarka Sattaf and Brahim Khaldi

**Abstract.** We study the following singular Kirchhoff type problem

$$(P) \begin{cases} -m \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u = h(u) \frac{e^{\alpha u^2}}{|x|^{\beta}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary and  $0 \in \Omega$ ,  $\beta \in [0, 2)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $m$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Here,  $h$  is a suitable perturbation of  $e^{\alpha u^2}$  as  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . In this paper, we prove the existence of solutions of  $(P)$ . Our tools are Trudinger-Moser inequality with a singular weight and the mountain pass theorem.

**Keywords.** Trudinger-Moser inequality, exponential critical growth, mountain pass theorem

### 1 Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a smooth bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  containing the origin. In this article, we study the existence of solutions to the following singular Kirchhoff problems with exponential nonlinearities

$$\begin{cases} -m \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u = h(u) \frac{e^{\alpha u^2}}{|x|^{\beta}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\beta \in [0, 2)$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $m : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , is a continuous function that satisfies some conditions which will be stated later on, and  $h$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (H1)  $h \in C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $h(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h(t) = 0$  if  $t < 0$ ;
- (H2)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{h(t)}{t} = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h(t) = 0$ .

---

Received date: March 27, 2023; Published online: April 26, 2023.  
 2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35N05, 35R10, 35A01, 35A15, 35J88.  
 Corresponding author: Brahim Khaldi.

(H3) The map  $t \mapsto \frac{h(t)e^{\alpha t^2}}{t^3}$  is increasing for  $t > 0$ .

(H4) There exists  $\gamma > \frac{(2-\beta)^2}{2\alpha d^{2-\beta}} m(\frac{4\pi}{\alpha}(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}))$  such that  $0 < \gamma = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} h(t) < \infty$ ,

where  $d$  is the radius of the largest open ball contained in  $\Omega$ . As examples of a function satisfying the above assumptions, we have

**Example 1.** For  $\alpha \geq 1$ ;

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma t^3}{1+t^4} & \text{if } t \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

**Example 2.** For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ;

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\gamma t^3}{1+t^4} & \text{if } t \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}}, \\ \frac{\gamma \alpha^2}{\alpha^2+4} t^3 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

The hypotheses on the function  $m : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are the following.

(M<sub>1</sub>) There exist real numbers  $m_0, m_1, m_2 > 0$  such that for some  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$

$$m_0 \leq m(t) \leq m_1 t^\kappa + m_2, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0$$

(M<sub>2</sub>)  $M(s) + M(t) \leq M(s+t) \quad \forall s, t \geq 0$  where  $M(t) = \int_0^t m(x) dx$

(M<sub>3</sub>)  $\frac{m(t)}{t}$  is nonincreasing for  $t > 0$ .

A typical example of a function satisfying the conditions (M<sub>1</sub>) – (M<sub>3</sub>) is given by  $m(t) = m_0 + bt$  with  $b > 0$  and for all  $t \geq 0$ . As a consequence of (M<sub>3</sub>), a straightforward computation shows that  $\frac{1}{2}M(t) - \frac{1}{4}m(t)t$  is nondecreasing for  $t \geq 0$ , which implies that

$$\frac{1}{2}M(t) - \frac{1}{4}m(t)t \geq 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Problem (1.1) is related to the stationary version of a model established by Kirchhoff [10]. More precisely, Kirchhoff proposed the following model

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left( \frac{P_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0$$

which extends D'Alembert's wave equation with free vibrations of elastic strings, where  $\rho$  denotes the mass density,  $P_0$  denotes the initial tension,  $h$  denotes the area of the cross section,  $E$  denotes the Young modulus of the material, and  $L$  denotes the length of the string.

Many interesting results for the problem of Kirchhoff type were obtained, see for example [5], [6], [9], [16], [8] and the references therein. The authors have used the variational method and

the topological method to get the existence of solutions. In [8], by a direct variational approach, the authors establish the existence of a positive ground state solution for a nonlocal Kirchhoff of the type

$$\begin{cases} -m \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function that satisfies some appropriate conditions. Our paper is closely related to the works of de Figueiredo et al. [8]. Indeed, we extend the results in [8] from  $\beta = 0$  to  $\beta \in [0, 2)$ . This limitation on  $\beta$  is due to Lemma 2.1.

Now, we are ready to state our main result

**Theorem 1.1.** *Under assumptions  $(M_1) - (M_3)$  and  $(H_1) - (H_4)$ , problem (1.1) admits a non-trivial solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .*

This work is organised as follows: In Section 2, we present the variational setting in which our problem will be treated, and some preliminary results. Section 3 is devoted to show that the energy functional has the mountain pass geometry and in section 4 we obtain an estimate for the minimax level associated to our functional. Finally, we prove our main result in section 5.

## 2 Preliminary results

It is natural to find solution of our problem by looking for critical points of the corresponding functional of problem (1.1) which we define next.

Let  $g(u) = h(u) e^{\alpha u^2}$  and  $G(u) = \int_0^u g(s) ds$ , the functional associated to (1.1) is given by

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}M(\|u\|^2) - \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(u)}{|x|^{\beta}} dx,$$

where  $\|u\| = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Under our assumptions this functional is well defined on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Moreover, by standard arguments,  $I \in C^1(H_0^1(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$  with

$$\langle I'(u), \varphi \rangle = m(\|u\|^2) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{g(u)}{|x|^{\beta}} \varphi dx, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Let consider the following eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda \frac{u}{|x|^{\beta}} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

From classical theory of Hilbert Spaces we get the next classical result (see [7])

**Proposition 2.1.** *There exists an eigenvalue sequence  $\{\lambda_k(\beta)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ , with  $\lambda_k(\beta) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for which problem (2.1) has nontrivial solution. Furthermore, the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(\beta)$*

is simple and isolated and the corresponding eigenfunctions don't change sign in  $\Omega$ . The first eigenvalue is variationally characterized as

$$\lambda_1(\beta) = \inf_{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{u^2}{|x|^\beta} dx}. \quad (2.2)$$

The exponential nature of the nonlinearity  $g(u)$  is motivated by the following version of Trudinger-Moser inequality with a singular weight due to Adimurthi-Sandeep [3].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  containing 0 and  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Then for every  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\beta \in [0, 2)$*

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{\alpha u^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx < \infty$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{\|u\| \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{\alpha u^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx < \infty \quad (2.3)$$

if and only if  $\frac{\alpha}{4\pi} + \frac{\beta}{2} \leq 1$ .

We end this section with a singular version of the following theorem of P. L. Lions [3]

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\|u_n\| = 1$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  for some  $u \neq 0$ . Then, for  $p < 4\pi \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \left(1 - \|u\|^2\right)^{-1}$ ,*

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{p u_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx < \infty.$$

### 3 The Mountain Pass Geometry

In the sequel, we prove that the functional  $I$  has the Mountain Pass Geometry. This fact is proved in the next lemmas:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Assume  $(M_1)$ ,  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$ , then there exist positive constants  $\tau$  and  $\rho$  such that*

$$I(u) \geq \tau > 0, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \|u\| = \rho.$$

*Proof.* It follows from  $(H_2)$  that, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$|G(u)| \leq \varepsilon u^2 + C u^3 e^{\alpha u^2},$$

Let  $2 < q < \frac{4}{\beta}$ . By (2.2) and generalized Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|G(u)|}{|x|^\beta} dx &= \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^\beta} dx + C \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^3 e^{\alpha u^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(\beta)} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx + C \int_{\Omega} |u|^3 \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}} \frac{e^{\alpha u^2}}{|x|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(\beta)} \|u\|^2 + C \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{3p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{q\beta}{2}}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{2\alpha u^2}}{|x|^{\beta}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}$ . So, Using the Sobolev embedding theorem, there is a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|G(u)|}{|x|^{\beta}} dx &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(\beta)} \|u\|^2 + C \|u\|^3 \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{q\beta}{2}}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{2\alpha u^2}}{|x|^{\beta}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(\beta)} \|u\|^2 + C \|u\|^3 \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{q\beta}{2}}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{2\alpha \rho^2 \left(\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right)^2}}{|x|^{\beta}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The first integral on the right-hand side is finite since  $q\beta < 4$ . If  $\rho \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\pi(1-\frac{\beta}{2})}{\alpha}}$ , the second integral is bounded by lemma 2.1. Thus, using the condition  $(M_1)$  one has

$$I(u) \geq \left( \frac{m_0}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(\beta)} \right) \|u\|^2 - C_1 \|u\|^3.$$

Consequently

$$I(u) \geq \left( \frac{m_0}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(\beta)} \right) \rho^2 - C_1 \rho^3.$$

Now, we may fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\frac{m_0}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(\beta)} > 0$ . Thus, for  $\rho > 0$  sufficiently small there exists  $\tau := \left( \frac{m_0}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(\beta)} \right) \rho^2 - C_1 \rho^3 > 0$  such that

$$I(u) \geq \tau > 0, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ with } \|u\| = \rho$$

The proof of Lemma is complete. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume that conditions  $(M_1)$ ,  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_4)$  hold. Then, there exists  $e_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $\|e_1\| > \rho$  such that  $I(e_1) < 0$ .*

*Proof.* First, by assumption  $(M_1)$ , we obtain

$$M(t) \leq \frac{m_1}{\kappa + 1} t^{\kappa+1} + m_2 t. \tag{3.1}$$

On the other hand, fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and by  $(H_4)$ , we get

$$th(t) e^{\alpha t^2} \geq (\gamma - \varepsilon) e^{\alpha t^2}, \quad \text{for } t > A_{\varepsilon} \text{ with } A_{\varepsilon} > 0.$$

Since  $e^{\alpha t^2} \geq \frac{1}{\theta!} \alpha^{\theta} t^{2\theta}$  for all  $t$  and  $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists a constant  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$th(t) e^{\alpha t^2} \geq \frac{1}{\theta!} (\gamma - \varepsilon) \alpha^{\theta} t^{2\theta} - C_{\varepsilon} t, \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

and consequently

$$G(u) \geq \frac{1}{2\theta\theta!} (\gamma - \varepsilon) \alpha^{\theta} t^{2\theta} - C_{\varepsilon} t \text{ for } t > 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Let  $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $u_0 > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\|u_0\| = 1$ . Thus, from (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$I(tu_0) \leq \frac{m_2}{2}t^2 + \frac{m_1}{2\kappa+2}t^{2(\kappa+1)} - \frac{1}{2\theta\theta!}(\gamma - \varepsilon)\alpha^\theta t^{2\theta} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_0^{2\theta}}{|x|^\beta} dx - C_\varepsilon t \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_0}{|x|^\beta} dx$$

for all  $t > 0$ , which yields  $I(tu_0) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , provided that  $\theta > \max\{2, 2\kappa + 2\}$ . Setting  $e_1 = \bar{t}u_0$  with  $\bar{t} > 0$  large enough, the proof is complete.  $\square$

## 4 On the mini-max level

In view of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we may apply a version of the Mountain Pass theorem without Palais-Smale condition to obtain a sequence  $u_n \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c_* \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$c_* = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(t)) \quad (4.1)$$

with

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \gamma \in C([0,1], H_0^1(\Omega)) : \gamma(0) = 0, \quad \gamma(1) < 0 \right\}.$$

Let  $B_d(x_0) \subset \Omega$  be an open ball where  $d$  was given in  $(H_4)$ . We may assume that  $x_0 = 0$ . In order to get more information about the minimax level, it was crucial in our argument to consider the following concentrating functions  $\psi_n(x) = \tilde{\psi}_n(\frac{x}{d})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where

$$\tilde{\psi}_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(\log n)^{1/2} & \text{for } 0 \leq |x| \leq \frac{1}{n}, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{\log \frac{1}{|x|}}{(\log n)^{1/2}} & \text{for } \frac{1}{n} \leq |x| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\psi_n$  has support in  $B_d(0)$  and  $\|\psi_n\| = 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

To show that the desired estimate for the level  $c_*$ , we will use the following inequality

**Lemma 4.1.** *The following inequality holds:*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_d(0)} \frac{\exp\left(4\pi\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\psi_n^2\right)}{|x|^\beta} dx \geq \frac{6\pi d^{(2-\beta)}}{(2-\beta)}.$$

*Proof.* Using the definition of  $\tilde{\psi}_n$  and by change of variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_d(0)} \frac{\exp\left(4\pi\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\psi_n^2\right)}{|x|^\beta} dx &= d^{(2-\beta)} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{n}}(0)} \frac{\exp\left(4\pi\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\tilde{\psi}_n^2\right)}{|x|^\beta} dx \\ &\quad + d^{(2-\beta)} \int_{\frac{1}{n} \leq |x| \leq 1} \frac{\exp\left(4\pi\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\tilde{\psi}_n^2\right)}{|x|^\beta} dx \\ &= \frac{2\pi d^{(2-\beta)}}{(2-\beta)} + 2\pi d^{(2-\beta)} \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^1 r^{1-\beta} \exp\left(\frac{(2-\beta)\left(\log\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)\right)^2}{\log(n)}\right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by using the change of variable  $t = \frac{\log(\frac{1}{r})}{\log(n)}$ , we obtain

$$\int_{B_d(0)} \frac{\exp\left(4\pi\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\psi_n^2\right)}{|x|^\beta} dx = \frac{2\pi d^{(2-\beta)}}{(2-\beta)} + 2\pi d^{(2-\beta)} \log(n) \int_0^1 n^{(2-\beta)(t^2-t)} dt.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{cases} t^2 - t \geq -t & \text{for } t \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ t^2 - t \geq t - 1 & \text{for } t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1], \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_d(0)} \frac{\exp\left(4\pi\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\psi_n^2\right)}{|x|^\beta} dx &\geq \frac{2\pi d^{(2-\beta)}}{(2-\beta)} + 2\pi d^{(2-\beta)} \log(n) \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-(2-\beta)t} dt \\ &\quad + 2\pi d^{(2-\beta)} \log(n) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 n^{(2-\beta)(t-1)} dt \\ &\geq \frac{2\pi d^{(2-\beta)}}{(2-\beta)} + \frac{2\pi d^{(2-\beta)}}{(2-\beta)} \left(2 - \frac{2}{n^{\frac{2-\beta}{2}}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Passing to limit in (4.2), then the proof of lemma 4.1 is complete.  $\square$

We can now prove the following upper bounded for  $c_*$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *With  $c_*$  defined as in (4.1), we have  $c_* < \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{4\pi}{\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\psi_n \geq 0$  and  $\|\psi_n\| = 1$ , we can deduce that  $I(t\psi_n) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . From (4.1), we have

$$c_* \leq \max_{t>0} I(t\psi_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For the sake of contradiction, Suppose that for all  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\max_{t>0} I(t\psi_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{4\pi}{\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right).$$

Since  $I$  possesses the mountain pass geometry, for each  $n$ ,  $\max_{t>0} I(t\psi_n)$  is attained at some  $t_n > 0$ , that is  $I(t_n\psi_n) = \max_{t>0} I(t\psi_n)$ . Thus,

$$I(t_n\psi_n) = \frac{1}{2}M(t_n^2) - \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(t_n\psi_n)}{|x|^\beta} dx.$$

Using  $G(t) \geq 0$  for all  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ , one can deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2}M(t_n^2) \geq \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{4\pi}{\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right).$$

Since  $M : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a nondecreasing bijection function by  $(M_1)$  so

$$t_n^2 \geq \frac{4\pi}{\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right).$$

On the other hand, by using  $\frac{d}{dt}I(t_n\psi_n)|_{t=t_n} = 0$ , we reach

$$\begin{aligned} m(t_n^2)t_n^2 &= \int_{\Omega} t_n\psi_n h(t_n\psi_n) \frac{e^{\alpha t_n^2 \psi_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx \\ &\geq \int_{B_d(0)} t_n\psi_n h(t_n\psi_n) \frac{e^{\alpha t_n^2 \psi_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx \\ &\geq \int_{B_{\frac{d}{n}}(0)} t_n\psi_n h(t_n\psi_n) \frac{e^{t_n^2 \psi_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

From  $(H_4)$ , we get

$$t_n\psi_n h(t_n\psi_n) \frac{e^{t_n^2 \psi_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} \geq (\gamma - \varepsilon) \frac{e^{\alpha t_n^2 \log n / 2\pi}}{|x|^\beta}, \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\int_{B_{\frac{d}{n}}(0)} \frac{e^{\alpha t_n^2 \log n / 2\pi}}{|x|^\beta} dx = \frac{2\pi d^{2-\beta}}{2-\beta} e^{\frac{\alpha t_n^2 \log n}{2\pi} - (2-\beta) \log n} \geq \frac{2\pi d^{2-\beta}}{2-\beta} e^{2 \log n \left( \frac{\alpha t_n^2}{4\pi} - (1 - \frac{\beta}{2}) \right)},$$

Hence

$$m(t_n^2)t_n^2 \geq \frac{2\pi d^{2-\beta}}{2-\beta} (\gamma - \varepsilon) e^{2 \log n \left( \frac{\alpha t_n^2}{4\pi} - (1 - \frac{\beta}{2}) \right)}. \quad (4.5)$$

Note that, by  $(M_1)$ , we can see that

$$\frac{m(t_n^2)t_n^2}{e^{2 \log n \left( \frac{\alpha t_n^2}{4\pi} - (1 - \frac{\beta}{2}) \right)}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } t_n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

It follows from this and (4.5), we infer that

$$t_n^2 \rightarrow \frac{4\pi}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right). \quad (4.6)$$

Now, we are going to estimate (4.3) more exactly. For  $0 < \varepsilon < \gamma$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we set

$$U_{n,\varepsilon} = \{x \in B_d(0) : t_n\psi_n > A_\varepsilon\} \text{ and } V_{n,\varepsilon} = B_d(0) \setminus U_{n,\varepsilon}.$$

So, by using (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m(t_n^2)t_n^2 &\geq (\gamma - \varepsilon) \int_{B_d(0)} \frac{e^{\alpha t_n^2 \psi_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx - (\gamma - \varepsilon) \int_{V_{n,\varepsilon}} \frac{e^{\alpha t_n^2 \psi_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{V_{n,\varepsilon}} t_n\psi_n h(t_n\psi_n) \frac{e^{\alpha t_n^2 \psi_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Since  $th(t)e^{\alpha t^2} \geq -C_\varepsilon t$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $\psi_n \rightarrow 0$  almost everywhere in  $B_d(0)$ , by using the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{V_{n,\varepsilon}} t_n\psi_n h(t_n\psi_n) \frac{e^{\alpha t_n^2 \psi_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx \geq -C_\varepsilon t_n \int_{V_{n,\varepsilon}} \frac{\psi_n}{|x|^\beta} dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$



$$\int_{V_{n,\varepsilon}} \frac{e^{\alpha t_n^2 \psi_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx \rightarrow \int_{|x| \leq d} \frac{1}{|x|^\beta} dx = \frac{2\pi d^{2-\beta}}{(2-\beta)}.$$

Then, from (4.6) and lemma 4.1, passing to the limit in (4.7) we reach

$$m \left( \frac{4\pi}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \right) \frac{4\pi}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) \geq (\gamma - \varepsilon) \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_d(0)} \frac{\exp(4\pi(1 - \frac{\beta}{2})\psi_n^2)}{|x|^\beta} dx - (\gamma - \varepsilon) \frac{2\pi d^{2-\beta}}{(2-\beta)},$$

and taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we get  $\frac{(2-\beta)^2}{2\alpha d^{2-\beta}} m(\frac{4\pi}{\alpha}(1 - \frac{\beta}{2})) \geq \gamma$ , which contradicts  $(H_4)$ . Thus, the lemma is proved.  $\square$

Now, we consider the Nehari manifold associated to the functional  $I$ , namely

$$\mathcal{N} = \{u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \langle I'(u), u \rangle = 0 \text{ and } u \neq 0\}$$

and let  $b = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} I(u)$ . From the fact that  $\frac{g(t)}{t^3}$  increasing, we deduce the following result (see [8])

**Lemma 4.3.** *If condition  $(H_3)$  holds, then for each  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $sg(s) - 4G(s)$  is increasing for  $s > 0$ . In particular*

$$sg(s) - 4G(s) \geq 0. \text{ for all } s \in [0, +\infty).$$

The next result gives a comparison between the minimax level  $c_*$  and  $b$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** *Assume that  $(M_3)$  and  $(H_3)$  are satisfied. Then  $c_* \leq b$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $u \in \mathcal{N}$ , let us define  $h(t) := I(tu)$  with  $t \in (0, +\infty)$ . The function  $h$  is differentiable and

$$h'(t) = \langle I'(tu), u \rangle = m(t^2 \|u\|^2) t \|u\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} g(tu) u dx, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Since  $\langle I'(u), u \rangle = 0$ , for all  $u \in \mathcal{N}$ , we get

$$h'(t) = t^3 \|u\|^4 \left( \frac{m(t^2 \|u\|_{1,2}^2)}{t^2 \|u\|^2} - \frac{m(\|u\|^2)}{\|u\|^2} \right) + t^3 \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{g(u)}{|x|^\beta u^3} - \frac{g(tu)}{|x|^\beta (tu)^3} \right) u^4 dx.$$

Then  $h'(1) = 0$  and from  $(M_3)$  and  $(H_3)$ , we conclude that  $h'(t) \geq 0$  for  $0 < t < 1$  and  $h'(t) \leq 0$  for  $t > 1$ . Hence

$$I(u) = \max_{t \geq 0} I(tu).$$

Now, defining  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $\gamma(t) = tt_0u$  we have  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and therefore

$$c_* \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(t)) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I(tu) = I(u),$$

which implies  $c_* \leq b$ .  $\square$

## 5 Proof of main result

In this section we will give the proof of theorem 1.1. Thus we assume that the conditions  $(M_1) - (M_3)$  and  $(H_1) - (H_4)$  hold. First, we prove that  $I$  satisfies Palais-Smale condition. For this purpose, we will use the following convergence result due to M. de Souza and J. Marcos do  $\text{\O}$  [17].

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a bounded domain,  $a \in [0, 2)$  and  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Then for any sequence  $(u_n)$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  such that*

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1(\Omega), \quad \frac{f(x, u_n)}{|x|^a} \in L^1(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} \frac{|f(x, u_n) u_n|}{|x|^a} dx \leq C$$

up to a subsequence we have

$$\frac{f(x, u_n)}{|x|^a} \rightarrow \frac{f(x, u)}{|x|^a} \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega).$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *Assume that  $\alpha > 0$  and  $0 \leq \beta < 2$  satisfy  $\frac{\alpha}{4\pi} + \frac{\beta}{2} \leq 1$ . Then the functional  $E$  satisfies Palais-Smale condition for all  $c_* < \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{4\pi}{\alpha}\right)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(u_n) \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a sequence such that  $I(u_n) \rightarrow c_*$  and  $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ , that is, for any  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\frac{1}{2}M\left(\|u_n\|^2\right) - \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(u_n)}{|x|^{\beta}} dx = c_* + o(1), \quad (5.1)$$

$$m\left(\|u_n\|^2\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} h(u_n) \frac{e^{\alpha u_n^2}}{|x|^{\beta}} \varphi dx = o(\|\varphi\|). \quad (5.2)$$

It follows from  $(M_1)$  and (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C + \|u_n\| &\geq 8I(u_n) - \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\geq m_0 \|u_n\|^2 + \int_{\Omega} \left( u_n h(u_n) e^{\alpha u_n^2} - 8G(u_n) \right) \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta}} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

So it suffices to prove that  $th(t) e^{\alpha t^2} - 8G(t)$  is bounded from below. Here let us consider  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \frac{\gamma}{9}$ . From  $(H_4)$ , for some constants  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  and for all  $t > 0$  we get

$$th(t) e^{\alpha t^2} \geq (\gamma - \varepsilon) e^{\alpha t^2} - C_{\varepsilon},$$

and

$$G(t) \leq \varepsilon e^{\alpha t^2} + C_{\varepsilon}. \quad (5.4)$$

Then, there exists a constant  $C_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( u_n h(u_n) e^{\alpha u_n^2} - 8G(u_n) \right) \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta}} \geq -C_{\varepsilon}(\Omega).$$

and therefore using (5.3), we obtain

$$C + \|u_n\| \geq m_0 \|u_n\|^2 - C_{\varepsilon}(\Omega). \quad (5.5)$$

Hence  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Now we take a subsequence denoted again by  $u_n$  such that, for some  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^q(\Omega) \text{ for } 1 \leq q < +\infty, \\ u_n(x) &\rightarrow u(x) \text{ for almost every } x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^1(\Omega)$  and by (5.2),  $(H_2)$  and the Trudinger–Moser inequality, it also follows that  $\int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n h(u_n) e^{\alpha u_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx$  is bounded and  $\frac{h(u) e^{\alpha u^2}}{|x|^\beta} \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Then, we can apply Lemma 5.1 to conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{h(u_n) e^{\alpha u_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{h(u) e^{\alpha u^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx \in L^1(\Omega).$$

It follows from (5.4) and (2.3), using the generalized Lebesgue dominated convergence, that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{G(u_n)}{|x|^\beta} dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(u)}{|x|^\beta} dx, \tag{5.6}$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{2}M(\|u_n\|^2) \rightarrow c_* + \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(u)}{|x|^\beta} dx. \tag{5.7}$$

Next, we will make some assertions

**Assertion 1.**  $m(\|u\|^2) \|u\|^2 \geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{g(u)u}{|x|^\beta} dx$

*Proof:* Suppose by contradiction  $m(\|u\|^2) \|u\|^2 < \int_{\Omega} \frac{g(u)u}{|x|^\beta} dx$ , so  $\langle I'(u), u \rangle < 0$ . Using  $(H_2)$  and (2.2), we can see that  $\langle I'(tu), u \rangle > 0$  for  $t$  sufficiently small. Thus, there exists  $\sigma \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\langle I'(\sigma u), u \rangle = 0$ . That is,  $\sigma u \in \mathcal{N}$ .

Thus, according to lemma 4.3

$$\begin{aligned} c_* &\leq b \leq I(\sigma u) = I(\sigma u) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'(\sigma u), u \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}M(\|\sigma u\|^2) - \frac{1}{4}m(\|\sigma u\|^2) \|\sigma u\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{g(\sigma u)\sigma u - 4G(\sigma u)}{|x|^\beta} dx \\ &< \frac{1}{2}M(\|u\|^2) - \frac{1}{4}m(\|u\|^2) \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{g(u)u - 4G(u)}{|x|^\beta} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By semicontinuity of norm and Fatou Lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_* &< \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{2}M(\|u\|^2) - \frac{1}{4}m(\|u\|^2) \|u\|^2 \right) + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{g(u)u - 4G(u)}{|x|^\beta} dx. \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( I(u_n) - \frac{1}{4}I' \langle u_n, u_n \rangle \right) = c_*, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction and the assertion is proved.

**Assertion 2.**  $I(u) \geq 0$ .

*Proof :* By Assertion 1, we have  $I(u) \geq I(u) - \frac{1}{4} \langle I'(u), u \rangle$  which implies that

$$I(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}M \left( \|u\|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{4}m \left( \|u\|^2 \right) \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \frac{g(u)u - 4G(u)}{|x|^{\beta}} dx.$$

Hence, using (1.2) and Lemma 4.3, we obtain

$$I(u) \geq 0.$$

Now we separate the proof into three cases.

**Case 1.**  $c_* = 0$ . If this is the case, we use (5.6) and (5.7)

$$0 \leq I(u) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} I(u_n) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(u)}{|x|^{\beta}} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(u)}{|x|^{\beta}} dx = 0.$$

So  $M \left( \|u_n\|^2 \right) \rightarrow M \left( \|u\|^2 \right)$  and then  $\|u_n\| \rightarrow \|u\|$  which implies that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

**Case 2.**  $c_* \neq 0, u = 0$ . We show that this cannot happen for a Palais-Smale sequence. First we claim that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n h(u_n) e^{\alpha u_n^2}|}{|x|^{\beta}} dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $u = 0$ , we have  $\int_{\Omega} \frac{G(u_n)}{|x|^{\beta}} dx \rightarrow 0$  and so

$$\frac{1}{2}M \left( \|u_n\|^2 \right) \rightarrow c_* < \frac{1}{2}M \left( \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_0} \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \right).$$

Let  $M^{-1}(2\rho_0) < \eta < \frac{4\pi}{\alpha_0} \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right)$ . Then,  $\|u_n\| < \sqrt{\eta}$  for all  $n \geq n_0$  and for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, choose  $q = \frac{4\pi}{n\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) > 1$  and  $\frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{q}} < r < \frac{2}{\beta(1-\frac{1}{q})}$ . By the Hölder inequality,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n h(u_n) e^{\alpha u_n^2}|}{|x|^{\beta}} dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n h(u_n)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{q\alpha u_n^2}}{|x|^{\beta}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x|^{\beta r(1-\frac{1}{q})}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$ . Since the function  $th(t)$  is bounded and  $u = 0$ , the first integral on the right-hand side converges to zero, the second integral is bounded for  $n \geq n_0$  by lemma 2.1 since  $q\alpha u_n^2 = 4\pi \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right) U_n^2$ , where  $U_n = \frac{u_n}{\sqrt{\eta}}$  satisfies  $\|U_n\| \leq 1$ , and the last integral is finite because  $\beta r \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) < 2$ . So

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_n h(u_n) e^{\alpha u_n^2}|}{|x|^{\beta}} dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Then  $m \left( \|u_n\|^2 \right) \|u_n\|^2 \rightarrow 0$  by (5.2) and consequently by  $(M_1)$ ,  $\|u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . This contradicts (5.7), which says in this case that  $\|u_n\|^2 \rightarrow 2c_* \neq 0$ .

**Case 3.**  $c_* \neq 0, u \neq 0$ . In this case we claim that

$$I(u) = c_*. \tag{5.8}$$

As  $u_n$  is bounded, up to a subsequence,  $\|u_n\| \rightarrow r > 0$ . By using (5.6) and semicontinuity of norm, we have  $I(u) \leq c_*$ . So it remains to prove (5.8), we assume by contradiction that  $I(u) < c_*$ . Then,

$$\|u\| < r.$$

Next, defining  $w_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|}$  and  $w = \frac{u}{r}$ , we have

$$w_n \rightharpoonup w \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ and } \|w\| < 1.$$

Thus, by lemma 2.2

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{pw_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx < \infty, \quad \forall p < \frac{4\pi \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)}{1 - \|w\|^2}. \tag{5.9}$$

On the other hand,

$$2c_* - 2I(u) = M(r^2) - M(\|u\|^2). \tag{5.10}$$

Using this equality, lemma 4.2 and the fact that  $I(u) \geq 0$ , we get

$$M(r^2) < M\left(\frac{4\pi}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right) + M(\|u\|^2).$$

From  $(M_1)$  and  $(M_2)$ , it follows that

$$r^2 < M^{-1}\left(M\left(\frac{4\pi}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right) + M(\|u\|^2)\right) \leq \frac{4\pi}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right) + \|u\|^2. \tag{5.11}$$

Now, we observe that

$$r^2 = \frac{r^2 - \|u\|^2}{1 - \|w\|^2},$$

and from (5.11), it follows that

$$r^2 < \frac{\frac{4\pi}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)}{1 - \|w\|^2}.$$

Then, there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\alpha\|u_n\|^2 < \rho < \frac{4\pi(1-\frac{\beta}{2})}{1-\|w\|^2}$  for  $n$  sufficiently large. Now, taking  $q > 1$  close to 1 such that

$$q\alpha\|u_n\|^2 < \rho < \frac{4\pi \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)}{1 - \|w\|^2}, \text{ for } n \text{ large enough}$$

and invoking (5.9), for some  $C > 0$ , we conclude that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{q\alpha u_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{\rho w_n^2}}{|x|^\beta} dx \leq C.$$

Hence, using  $(H2)$  and Hölder inequality, for some  $p > 1$ , we reach

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{h(u_n) e^{\alpha u_n^2} (u_n - u)}{|x|^\beta} dx \right| \leq C_1 \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{\alpha u_n^2} |u_n - u|}{|x|^\beta} dx$$

$$\leq C_2 \|u_n - u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

Since  $\langle I(u_n), (u_n - u) \rangle = o(1)$ , we get

$$m\left(\|u_n\|^2\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u) \, dx \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} m\left(\|u_n\|^2\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n (\nabla u_n - \nabla u_0) \, dx &= m\left(\|u_n\|^2\right) \|u_n\|^2 - m\left(\|u_n\|^2\right) \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_n \nabla u \, dx \\ &\rightarrow m\left(r^2\right) r^2 - m\left(r^2\right) \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\|u\| = r$  and so  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . In view of the continuity of  $I$ , we must have  $I(u) = c_*$  that is an absurde. Thus, the proof of Proposition 1 is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* It follows the assumptions that the functiona  $I$  satisfies the Plais-Smale condition at any level  $c_* < \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{4\pi}{\alpha_0}\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2}\right)\right)$ , see Proposition 5.1. To finish the proof of theorem 1.1, we use Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 and apply the mountain Pass Theorem.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Adimurthi, Existence of Positive Solutions of the Semilinear Dirichlet Problem with Critical Growth for the  $n$ -Laplacian, Ann. Della. Scuola. Norm. Sup. di Pisa, Serie IV, Vol.XVII, Fasc. **3** (1990), 393-413 .
- [2] C. O. Alves, F. Correa and G. M. Figueiredo, On a class of nonlocal elliptic problmes with critical growth, Differential equations and applications, **2** (2010), 409-417.
- [3] Adimurthi and K. Sandeep, A singular Moser-Trudinger embedding and its applications, Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications, **13** (2007), 585-603.
- [4] A. Benaissa and B. Khaldi, On a Singular Class of Hamiltonian Systems in Dimension Two, J. of Analysis and its Applications, **33** (2014), 199-215.
- [5] N. T. Chung, Multiplicity results for a class of  $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type equations with combined nonlinearities, E. J. Qualit. Theory Diff. Equ., **42** (2012), 1-13.
- [6] N. T. Chung, An existence result for a class of Kirchhoff type systems via sub and super-solutions method, Appl. Math. Lett., **35** (2014), 95-101.
- [7] E. Colorado and I Peral, Eigenvalues and bifurcation for elliptic equations with mixed Dirichlet-Neumann boundary conditions related to Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., **23** (2004) 239-273.
- [8] G. M. Figueiredo, U. B. Severo, Ground state solution for a Kirchhoff problem with exponential critical growth, Milan J. Math, **84** (2015), 23-39.
- [9] X. Han and G. Dai, On the sub-supersolution method for  $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff type equations, J. Inequal. Appl., **283** (2012), 1-11.
- [10] G. Kirchhoff; Mechanik, Teubner, Leipzig, Germany, 1883.

- 
- [11] P. L. Lions, The concentration compactness principle in the calculus of variations part-I, *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, **1** (1985), 145–201.
- [12] C. S. Lin and J. V. Prajapat, Vortex Condensates for Relativistic Abelian Chern-Simons Model with Two Higgs Scalar Fields and Two Gauge Fields on a Torus, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **288** (2009), 311-347.
- [13] C. S. Lin and S. Yan, Bubbling Solutions for Relativistic Abelian Chern-Simons Model on a Torus, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **297** (2010), 733-758.
- [14] J. Moser, A sharp form of an inequality by N.Trudinger, *Indiana Univ. Math. Jour.*, **20** (1971), 1077-1092.
- [15] N. Megrez, K. Sreenadh, B. Khaldi, Multiplicity of positive solutions for a gradient system with an exponential nonlinearity, *EJDE*, **236** (2012), 1-16.
- [16] O. H. Miyagaki and R. S. Rodrigues, On positive solutions for a class of singular quasilinear elliptic systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **334** (2007), 818-833.
- [17] M. de Souza, J. M. do Ó, On a singular and nonhomogeneous N-Laplacian equation involving critical growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **280** (2011), 241-263.
- [18] N.S. Trudinger, On embedding into Orlicz spaces and some applications, *Journal of Math. Mech.*, **17** (1967), 473-484.
- [19] Y.T. Tang, J.F. Liao, C.L. Tang, Two positive solutions for Kirchhoff type problems with Hardy-Sobolev critical exponent and singular nonlinearities, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics* **23** (2019), 231-253.

**Mebarka Sattaf** Department of mathematics, Faculty of Exact Sciences, Djillali Liabes University, O. Box 89 Sidi Bel Abbas 22000, Algeria

E-mail: [sattafsattaf@gmail.com](mailto:sattafsattaf@gmail.com)

**Brahim Khaldi** Department of Mathematics and Informatic, University Center of Naama, O. Box 66, Naama 45000, Algeria

E-mail: [khaldi@cuniv-naama.dz](mailto:khaldi@cuniv-naama.dz)