



Some inequalities for the numerical radius and spectral norm for operators in Hilbert C^* -modules space

Mohammad H.M. Rashid

Abstract. This paper introduces a new method for studying the numerical radius of bounded operators on Hilbert C^* -modules. Our approach leads to unique discoveries and expands existing theorems for bounded adjointable operators in Hilbert C^* -module spaces. Moreover, we find an upper bound for power of the numerical radius of $t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha}$ under assumption $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. In fact, we prove

$$w_c(t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha}) \leq \| \|y\| \|^r \| \alpha t^r + (1-\alpha) s^r \|$$

for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $r \geq 2$.

Keywords. Numerical radius, inner product space, C^* -algebra, A -module

1 Introduction

The notion of a Hilbert C^* -module initiated by Kaplansky [4] as a generalization of a Hilbert space in which the inner product takes its values in a C^* -algebra (see also [7, 8, 10, 11]).

Let \mathfrak{A} be a C^* -algebra. A pre-Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module or an inner product \mathfrak{A} -module is a complex linear space \mathfrak{E} which is a right \mathfrak{A} -module with compatible scalar multiplication $\lambda(xa) = x(\lambda a) = x(\lambda a)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{E}, a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, together with an \mathfrak{A} -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{E} \times \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ that satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\langle x, \alpha y + \beta z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle + \beta \langle x, z \rangle$;
- (ii) $\langle x, ya \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle a$;
- (ii) $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^*$;
- (iv) $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$; if $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$, then $x = 0$

for each $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{E}, a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

The notion of a left Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module can be defined similarly. Note that the condition (i) is understood as a statement in the C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} , where an element a is called positive if it

can be represented as bb^* for some $b \in \mathfrak{A}$. The conditions (ii) and (iv) imply the inner product to be conjugate-linear in its first variable. Validity of a useful version of the classical Cauchy-Schwartz inequality follows that $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ defines a norm on \mathfrak{E} making it into a normed right A -module. An inner product \mathfrak{A} -module \mathfrak{E} which is complete with respect to the norm $\|x\|$ is called a Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module or a Hilbert C^* -module over the C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} . Every C^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} is a Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module under the \mathfrak{A} -valued inner product $\langle a, b \rangle = a^*b$ ($a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$). Every complex Hilbert space is a left Hilbert \mathbb{C} -module.

Suppose that \mathfrak{E} and \mathfrak{F} are Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -modules. We define $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{F})$ to be the set of all maps $t : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ for which there is a map $t^* : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ such that $\langle tx, y \rangle = \langle x, t^*y \rangle$, for all $x \in \mathfrak{E}$, $y \in \mathfrak{F}$. It is known that t must be a bounded \mathfrak{A} -linear map (that is, t is bounded linear map and $t(xa) = t(x)a$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{E}, a \in \mathfrak{A}$). If $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{F}$, then $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ is a C^* -algebra together with the operator norm.

Suppose that \mathfrak{A} is an abelian C^* -algebra. Recall that a character ψ on \mathfrak{A} is a non-zero $*$ -homomorphism $\psi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\|\psi\| = 1$. We denote the set of all characters on \mathfrak{A} by $\varpi(\mathfrak{A})$.

Throughout this paper assume that \mathfrak{A} is abelian C^* -algebra.

2 Definitions and Complementary results

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{E} be a Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module. Then for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$ and $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$, we have*

- (i) (Cauchy-Schwartz inequality) $|\psi(\langle x, y \rangle)| \leq \psi(|x|) \psi(|y|)$.
- (ii) (triangle inequality) $\psi(|x + y|) \leq \psi(|x|) + \psi(|y|)$.
- (iii) (Parallelogram Law) $\psi(|x + y|^2) + \psi(|x - y|^2) = 2(\psi(|x|^2) + \psi(|y|^2))$.

Proof. (i) For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \psi(\langle x - \lambda y, x - \lambda y \rangle) &= \psi(\langle x, x \rangle) - \psi(\langle x, \lambda y \rangle) - \psi(\langle \lambda y, x \rangle) + \psi(\langle \lambda y, \lambda y \rangle) \\ &= \psi(|x|^2) - \bar{\lambda}\psi(\langle x, y \rangle) - \lambda\psi(y, x) + |\lambda|^2\psi(|y|^2) \\ &= \psi(|x|^2) - 2\operatorname{Re}(\lambda\psi(\langle y, x \rangle)) + |\lambda|^2\psi(|y|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

If $\psi(\langle x, y \rangle) = 0$, then the inequality is trivial. Suppose that $\psi(\langle x, y \rangle) \neq 0$, letting $\lambda = \frac{\psi(|x|^2)}{\psi(\langle y, x \rangle)}$ in (2.1) gives

$$0 \leq -\psi(|x|^2) + \frac{\psi(|x|^4) \psi(|y|^2)}{|\psi(\langle x, y \rangle)|^2}.$$

Hence

$$\psi(|x|^2) \leq \frac{\psi(|x|^4) \psi(|y|^2)}{|\psi(\langle x, y \rangle)|^2}$$

and this implies that $|\psi(\langle x, y \rangle)|^2 \leq \psi(|x|^2) \psi(|y|^2)$ and so

$$|\psi(\langle x, y \rangle)| \leq \psi(|x|) \psi(|y|).$$

(ii) By (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(|x+y|^2) &= \psi(\langle x+y, x+y \rangle) = \psi(|x|^2) + 2\operatorname{Re}\psi(\langle x, y \rangle) + \psi(|y|^2) \\ &\leq \psi(|x|^2) + 2\psi(|x|)\psi(|y|) + \psi(|y|^2) \\ &= (\psi(|x|) + \psi(|y|))^2\end{aligned}$$

and so the result.

(iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(|x+y|^2) + \psi(|x-y|^2) &= \psi(|x|^2) + 2\operatorname{Re}\psi(\langle x, y \rangle) + \psi(|y|^2) \\ &\quad + \psi(|x|^2) - 2\operatorname{Re}\psi(\langle x, y \rangle) + \psi(|y|^2) \\ &= 2(\psi(|x|^2) + \psi(|y|^2)).\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 1. Let $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$. Then

$$\|t\| := \sup \{ \psi(|tx|) : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $|x| = \langle x, x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

It is known from [10] that $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. And if \mathfrak{E} is a Hilbert space, then $\|t\| = \|\|t\|\|$. The following result was investigated in [10].

Lemma 2.2. Let $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. Then

$$\|t\| = \sup \{ |\psi(\langle x, ty \rangle)| : x, y \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = \psi(|y|) = 1 \}.$$

Definition 2. Let $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. Then the spectrum of t , denoted by $\sigma(t)$, is defined by

$$\sigma(t) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : t - \lambda 1 \text{ is not invertible} \}.$$

And $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an eigenvalue of t if there is a non-zero vector $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ such that $tx = \lambda x$. Equivalently, λ is an eigenvalue if there is a vector $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = 1$ such that $\|\|(t - \lambda 1)x\| = 0$.

Definition 3. $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an approximate point spectrum of $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ if there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of vectors in \mathfrak{E} with $\psi(|x_n|) = 1$ such that $\|\|(t - \lambda 1)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$, the set of approximate point spectrum is denoted by $\sigma_a(t)$.

Definition 4. If $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$, then the spectral radius of t is the number defined by

$$r(t) = \sup \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(t) \}.$$

Clearly, $0 \leq r(t) \leq \|t\|$ and it follows from spectral theorem that $r(t^n) = (r(t))^n$. Moreover, it is well-known that $r(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\|t^n\|\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ (see [8]). Recall that a function f which maps A Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module \mathfrak{E} into \mathbb{C} is called a functional. If f is in $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E}, \mathbb{C})$, then f is called a linear functional on \mathfrak{E} .

Lemma 2.3. If f is a bounded linear functional on a Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module \mathfrak{E} , then there exists a unique $y \in \mathfrak{E}$ such that for all $x \in \mathfrak{E}$, $f(x) = \psi(\langle y, x \rangle)$. Moreover, $\|f\| = \psi(|y|)$.

Proof. If $f = 0$, take $y = 0$. Suppose that $f \neq 0$. Then (f) is a proper closed subspace of \mathfrak{E} . Hence there exists a $v \neq 0$ in $(f)^\perp$.

Let $y = \alpha v$, where $\alpha = \frac{\overline{f(v)}}{\psi(|v|^2)}$. Then $y \perp (f)$ (because $v \perp (f)$) and $f(y) = \psi(\langle y, y \rangle)$ since

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &= \alpha f(v) = \frac{|f(v)|^2}{\psi(|v|^2)} \text{ and} \\ \psi(\langle y, y \rangle) &= |\alpha|^2 \psi(|v|^2) = \frac{|f(v)|^2}{\psi(|v|^4)} \psi(|v|^2) = \frac{|f(v)|^2}{\psi(|v|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, given $x \in \mathfrak{E}$, then x can be represented as $x = \beta y + z$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in (f)$. From the previous arguments, we have

$$f(x) = f(\beta y) = \beta f(y) = \beta \psi(\langle y, y \rangle) = \psi(\langle y, \beta y + z \rangle) = \psi(\langle y, x \rangle).$$

To show that y is unique, suppose there is $w \in \mathfrak{E}$ such that $f(x) = \psi(\langle w, x \rangle)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{E}$. Then

$$0 = f(x) - f(x) = \psi(\langle y - w, x \rangle) \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

In particular, $\psi(\langle y - w, y - w \rangle) = 0$ and so $y = w$.

Finally, for each $y \in \mathfrak{E}$ the functional f defined on \mathfrak{E} is linear. Moreover

$$|f(x)| = |\psi(y, x)| \leq \psi(|x|) \psi(|y|) \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

Thus f is bounded and $\|f\| \leq \psi(|y|)$. Since

$$\|f\| \psi(|y|) \geq |f(y)| = \psi(\langle y, y \rangle) = \psi(|y|^2)$$

and so $\|f\| \geq \psi(|y|)$ and consequently $\|f\| = \psi(|y|)$. □

Lemma 2.4. [10] *If $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$, then*

$$\|t\| = \sup \{ |\psi(x, tx)| : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \}.$$

The following results are very useful in the sequel.

Proposition 2.1. [11] *Let $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = 1$;
- (b) $\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathfrak{E}$.

Proposition 2.2. [11] *For every $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$, the following assertions hold.*

- (i) $t = 0$ if and only if $\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathfrak{E}$.
- (ii) t is positive if and only if $\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)$ is positive for every $x \in \mathfrak{E}$.
- (iii) t is self-adjoint if and only if $\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)$ is self-adjoint for every $x \in \mathfrak{E}$.
- (iv) $t = 0$ if and only if $\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ and $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$.
- (v) $\text{Re}\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) = \psi(\langle x, \text{Re}(t)x \rangle)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{E}$.

Lemma 2.5. [10] *If $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ is self-adjoint, then*

$$\|t\| = \sup \{ |\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)| : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ is self-adjoint.*

(i) *Let*

$$\lambda = \inf \{ \psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \}.$$

If there exists an $x_0 \in \mathfrak{E}$ such that $\psi(|x_0|) = 1$ and $\lambda = \psi(\langle x_0, tx_0 \rangle)$, then λ is an eigenvalue of t with corresponding eigenvector x_0 .

(ii) *Let*

$$\mu = \sup \{ \psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \}.$$

If there exists an $x_1 \in \mathfrak{E}$ such that $\psi(|x_1|) = 1$ and $\mu = \psi(\langle x_1, tx_1 \rangle)$, then μ is an eigenvalue of t with corresponding eigenvector x_1 .

Proof. (i) For every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and every $y \in \mathfrak{E}$, it follows from the definition of λ that

$$\psi(\langle x_0 + \alpha y, t(x_0 + \alpha y) \rangle) \geq \lambda \psi(\langle x_0 + \alpha y, x_0 + \alpha y \rangle).$$

Expanding the inner product and setting $\lambda = \psi(\langle x_0, tx_0 \rangle)$, we get the inequality

$$2\operatorname{Re}\alpha\psi(\langle (t - \lambda 1)x_0, y \rangle) + |\alpha|^2\psi(\langle y, (t - \lambda 1)y \rangle) \geq 0.$$

Taking $\alpha = \overline{r\psi(\langle (t - \lambda 1)x_0, y \rangle)}$, where $r \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$2r|\psi(\langle (t - \lambda 1)x_0, y \rangle)|^2 + r^2|\psi(\langle (t - \lambda 1)x_0, y \rangle)|^2\psi(\langle y, (t - \lambda 1)y \rangle) \geq 0.$$

Since r is arbitrary, it follows that $\psi(\langle (t - \lambda 1)x_0, y \rangle) = 0$ and since y is arbitrary, we have $tx_0 = \lambda x_0$ as required.

(ii) The second statement of the theorem follows from part(i) applied to the self-adjoint $-A$. \square

Definition 5. An operator $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{F})$ is said to be compact if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathfrak{E} with $\psi(|x_n|) = 1$ and $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$, the sequence $\{tx_n\}$ has a subsequence which converges in \mathfrak{F} .

Theorem 2.2. *If $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ is compact and self-adjoint, then at least one the numbers $\|t\|$ or $-\|t\|$ is an eigenvalue of t .*

Proof. The result is trivial if $t = 0$. Assume that $t \neq 0$, since

$$\|t\| = \sup \{ |\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)| : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \}$$

then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathfrak{E} with $\psi(|x_n|) = 1$ and a real number λ such that $|\lambda| = \|t\| \neq 0$ and $\psi(\langle x_n, tx_n \rangle) \rightarrow \lambda$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \psi(|tx_n - \lambda x_n|^2) &= \psi(|tx_n|^2) - 2\lambda\psi(x_n, tx_n) + \lambda^2 \\ &\leq 2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda\psi(x_n, tx_n) \rightarrow 2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$tx_n - \lambda x_n \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Since t is compact, there exists a subsequence $\{tx_{n'}\}$ of $\{tx_n\}$ which converges to some $y \in \mathfrak{E}$. Thus (2.3) implies that $x_{n'} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda}y$ and by the continuity of t , $y = \lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} tx_{n'} = \frac{1}{\lambda}ty$. Hence $ty = \lambda y$ and $y \neq 0$. Since

$$\psi(|y|) = \lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} \psi(|\lambda x_{n'}|) = |\lambda| = \|t\|$$

and so λ is an eigenvalue of t , as required. \square

Definition 6. Let $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. Then the numerical range of t is defined by

$$W_c(t) = \{\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \text{ and } \psi(|x|) = 1\}.$$

The next result represent some of the basic properties for the numerical range (see [10]).

Lemma 2.6. Let $t, s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. Then the following assertions hold.

- (i) $W_c(t^*) = \overline{W_c(T)}$, where $\overline{W_c(T)}$ is the conjugate of $W_c(t)$.
- (ii) $W_c(T) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ if and only if t is a self-adjoint.
- (iii) If u is unitary, then $W_c(u^*tu) = W_c(t)$.
- (iv) If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, then $W_c(\alpha t + \beta 1) = \alpha W_c(t) + \beta$.
- (v) $W_c(t + s) \subset W_c(t) + W_c(s)$.

Definition 7. Let $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. Then the numerical radius of t is defined by

$$w_c(t) = \sup \{|\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)| : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \text{ and } \psi(|x|) = 1\}.$$

It is easy to show that $w_c(\cdot)$ is a norm on $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$.

The following is useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.7. If \mathfrak{E} is a Hilbert \mathfrak{A} -module, then for every $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$, $x \in \mathfrak{E}$,

$$\psi(|\langle x, tx \rangle|) \leq \psi(|x|^2) w_c(t)$$

Theorem 2.3. If $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ is normal, then

$$\|t\| = r(t) = w_c(t).$$

Proof. First we want to show $\|t^n\| = \|t\|^n$. by induction, for $n = 1$ the equality is trivial. Assume that its true for k such that $1 \leq k \leq n$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|t^n x\|^2 &= \psi(\langle t^n x, t^n x \rangle) = \psi(\langle t^* t^n x, t^{n-1} x \rangle) \\ &\leq \|t^* t^n x\| \|t^{n-1} x\| \leq \|t^{n+1} x\| \|t^{n-1} x\| \psi(|x|^2) \quad (t \text{ is normal}). \end{aligned}$$

and so, $\|t^n\|^2 \leq \|t^{n+1}\| \|t^{n-1}\|$. But $\|t^n\| = \|t\|^n$ for all k such that $1 \leq k \leq n$ and this implies that $\|t\|^{2n} \leq \|t^{n+1}\| \|t\|^{n-1}$ and hence $\|t^n\| = \|t\|^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, $r(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \|t\|$. But its known that $r(t) \leq w_c(t) \leq \|t\|$ and so we have the desired equality. \square

Lemma 2.8. *If $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ is normal and $\lambda \notin \sigma(t)$, then*

$$\| (t - \lambda 1)^{-1} \| = \frac{1}{d(\lambda, \sigma(t))},$$

where $d(\lambda, \sigma(t))$ is the distance from λ to $\sigma(t)$.

Proof. we have

$$r((t - \lambda 1)^{-1}) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{|\mu - \lambda|} : \mu \in \sigma(t) \right\} = \frac{1}{\inf \{ |\mu - \lambda| : \mu \in \sigma(t) \}} = \frac{1}{d(\lambda, \sigma(t))}.$$

So, if t is normal, then $(t - \lambda 1)^{-1}$ is normal for $\lambda \notin \sigma(t)$ and hence

$$\| (t - \lambda 1)^{-1} \| = r((t - \lambda 1)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{d(\lambda, \sigma(t))}.$$

□

Theorem 2.4. *If $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ is normal, then $\overline{W_c(t)} = \text{Conv} \sigma(t)$, where $\text{Conv} \sigma(t)$ is the convex hull of the spectrum of t .*

Proof. We need only to show $\overline{W_c(t)} \subset \text{Conv} \sigma(t)$. To see this, it sufficient to show that any closed half-plane which contains $\sigma(t)$ also contain $\overline{W_c(t)}$. By translation and rotation this reduces to shown that $\text{Re} \sigma(t) \leq 0$ implies $\text{Re} \overline{W_c(t)} \leq 0$.

Let $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ such that $\psi(|x|) = 1$ and $tx = (a + ib)x + y$ with a, b are real and x orthogonal to y . Now from Lemma 2.8, we have $\| (t - c)x \| \geq \text{dist}(c, \sigma(t)) \geq c$ for all $c > 0$. Indeed, if $c \notin \sigma(t)$, then $\| (t - c)^{-1}x \| \| (t - c)x \| \geq \| (t - c)^{-1}(t - c)x \| = \psi(|x|) = 1$ and so $\| (t - c)x \| \geq \frac{1}{\| (t - c)^{-1} \|} = d(c, \sigma(t)) \geq c$. So that

$$\begin{aligned} c^2 &\leq \| (t - c)x \|^2 = \| (a - c)x + ibx + y \|^2 = \| (a - c)x + ibx \|^2 + \psi(|y|^2) \\ &= (a - c)^2 + b^2 + \psi(|y|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$2ac \leq a^2 + b^2 + \psi(|y|^2).$$

Since this hold for all $c > 0$. This implies that $\text{Re} \psi(x, tx) = a \leq 0$ as required. □

3 A numerical radius inequality

In order to prove our desired numerical radius inequality, we need the following lemmas. The first lemma, which is a generalized Schwartz inequality, can be found in [11, Corollary 3.11]

Lemma 3.1. (*Generalized-Cauchy Schwartz*) *For $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$, $\psi(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a semi-inner product. Suppose that $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$|\psi(\langle x, ty \rangle)|^2 \leq \psi(\langle x, |t|^{2\alpha} x \rangle) \psi(\langle y, |t^*|^{2(1-\alpha)} y \rangle), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

If $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$|\psi(\langle x, ty \rangle)|^2 \leq \psi(\langle x, |t|x \rangle) \psi(\langle y, |t^*|y \rangle), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

Here $|t|$ stands for the positive (semi-definite) operator $(t^*t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The second lemma contains a special case of a more general norm inequality that is equivalent to some Löwner–Heinz type inequalities. See [6].

Lemma 3.2. *If $t, s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ are positive, then*

$$\left\| \left\| t^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \right\| \leq \|ts\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The third lemma contains a recent norm inequality for sums of positive operators that is sharper than the triangle inequality.

Lemma 3.3. *If $t, s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ are positive, then*

$$\|t + s\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|t\| + \|s\| + \sqrt{(\|t\| - \|s\|)^2 + 4 \left\| \left\| t^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \right\|^2} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Now we are in a position to present our refined numerical radius inequality.

Theorem 3.1. *If $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$, then*

$$w_c(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|t\| + \left\| \left\| t^2 \right\| \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have for every $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ and $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)| &\leq \psi(\langle x, |t|x \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(\langle x, |t^*|x \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\psi(\langle x, |t|x \rangle) + \psi(\langle x, |t^*|x \rangle)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\psi(\langle x, (|t| + |t^*|)x \rangle)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} w_c(t) &= \sup \{ |\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)| : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup \{ (\psi(\langle x, (|t| + |t^*|)x \rangle)) : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \| |t| + |t^*| \|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Applying Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 to the positive operators $|t|$ and $|t^*|$, and using the facts that $\| |t| \| = \| |t^*| \| = \|t\|$ and $\| |t| |t^*| \| = \|t^2\|$, we have

$$\| |t| + |t^*| \| \leq \|t\| + \left\| \left\| t^2 \right\| \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.4)$$

The desired inequality (3.2) now follows from (3.3) and (3.4). \square

To see that (3.2) is a refinement of the second inequality in [11, Theorem 2.13], one has to recall that $\left\| \left\| t^2 \right\| \right\| \leq \|t\|^2$ for every $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$.

It has been mentioned in [11, Theorem 2.17] that if $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ is such that $t^2 = 0$, then $w_c(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|t\|$. This can be easily seen as an immediate consequence of the first inequality in [11, Theorem 2.13] and the inequality (3.2).

Corollary 3.2. *If $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ is such that $t^2 = 0$, then $w_c(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|t\|$.*

Proof. Combining the first inequality [11, Theorem 2.13] and the inequality (3.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\|t\| \leq w_c(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|t\| + \|t^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \quad (3.5)$$

for every $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. Thus, if $t^2 = 0$, then $w_c(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|t\|$ as required. \square

The following result is another consequence of the inequality (3.2).

Corollary 3.3. *If $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ is such that $w_c(t) = \|t\|$, then $\|t^2\| = \|t\|^2$.*

Proof. It follows from the inequality (3.2) that

$$2w_c(t) \leq \|t\| + \|t^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for every $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. Thus, if $w_c(t) = \|t\|$, then $\|t\| \leq \|t^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and hence $\|t\|^2 \leq \|t^2\|$. But the reverse inequality is always true. Thus $\|t^2\| = \|t\|^2$ as required. \square

4 Power Inequalities For The Numerical Radius

To prove our generalized numerical radius, we need several well-known lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. [9] *Let $a, b \geq 0$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $p, q > 1$ satisfy $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then*

$$(i) \quad a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha} \leq \alpha a + (1-\alpha)b \leq (\alpha a^r + (1-\alpha)b^r)^{\frac{1}{r}};$$

$$(ii) \quad ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q} \leq \left(\frac{a^{pr}}{p} + \frac{b^{qr}}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}};$$

for all $r \geq 1$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $t, s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$, and let f and g be non-negative functions on $[0, \infty)$ which are continuous such that $f(\tau)g(\tau) = \tau$ for all $\tau \in [0, \infty)$. Then*

$$|\psi(y, tx)| \leq \|f(|t|x)\| \|g(|t^*|)y\|,$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$ and $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$.

Lemma 4.3. [11, Hölder-McCarthy inequality in Hilbert C^* -Modules] *Let $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$, $t > 0$, then for every $\psi \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$*

$$(i) \quad (\psi \langle x, tx \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}})^r \leq \|x\|^{2(1-r)} \psi \langle x, t^r x \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} \text{ for } r > 1 \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad (\psi \langle x, tx \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}})^r \geq \|x\|^{2(1-r)} \psi \langle x, t^r x \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} \text{ for } 0 < r \leq 1$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ be self-adjoint. Then*

$$w_c^2(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(w_c(t^2) + \|t\|^2 \right).$$

Proof. We recall the following refinement of the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality obtained by Dragomir in [1] with slight modification. It says that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(|u|)\psi(|v|) &\geq |\psi(\langle u, v \rangle) - \psi(\langle u, z \rangle)\psi(\langle z, v \rangle)| + |\psi(\langle u, z \rangle)\psi(\langle z, v \rangle)| \\ &\geq |\psi(\langle u, v \rangle)|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

for all $u, v, z \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|z|) = 1$. From inequality (4.1), we deduce that

$$|\psi(\langle u, z \rangle)\psi(\langle z, v \rangle)| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\psi(|u|)\psi(|v|) + |\psi(\langle u, v \rangle)|). \quad (4.2)$$

In the inequality (4.2), put $z = x$ with $\psi(|x|) = 1$, $u = t^*x$ and $v = tx$, we get

$$|\psi(\langle t^*x, x \rangle)\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\psi(|t^*x|)\psi(|tx|) + |\psi(\langle t^*x, tx \rangle)|).$$

Hence

$$|\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} (\psi(|tx|)^2 + \psi(\langle x, t^2x \rangle)). \quad (4.3)$$

Taking the supremum over all vectors $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = 1$, we get the desired result. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ and let f and g be as in Lemma 4.2. Then we have*

$$w_c^2(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\| \|t\|^2 + \left\| \left\| \frac{1}{p} f^p(|t|^2) + \frac{1}{q} g^q(|t|^2) \right\| \right\| \right) \quad (4.4)$$

for all $p \geq q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof. Let $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ such that $\psi(|x|) = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(x, t^2x)| &\leq \| \|f(|t^2|x)\| \| \|g(|(t^*)^2|)\| \| \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.2}) \\ &= \psi(x, f^2(|t^2|x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(x, g^2(|(t^*)^2|x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \psi(x, f^2(|t^2|x))^{\frac{p}{2}} + \frac{1}{q} \psi(x, g^2(|(t^*)^2|x))^{\frac{q}{2}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.1(ii)}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \psi(x, f^p(|t^2|x)) + \frac{1}{q} \psi(x, g^q(|(t^*)^2|x)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.3}) \\ &= \psi \left(\left\langle x, \left(\frac{1}{p} f^p(|t^2|) + \frac{1}{q} g^q(|(t^*)^2|) \right) x \right\rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the inequality (4.3) that

$$|\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\psi(|tx|)^2 + \psi \left(\left\langle x, \left(\frac{1}{p} f^p(|t^2|) + \frac{1}{q} g^q(|(t^*)^2|) \right) x \right\rangle \right) \right).$$

Taking the supremum over all vectors $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = 1$, we get the desired result. \square

The following lemma is useful in the sequel.

Lemma 4.4. [11] *Let $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ then for every $x \in \mathfrak{E}$*

$$Re\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) = \psi(\langle x, Re(t)x \rangle),$$

where $Re(t)$ denotes the real part of the operator $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $t, s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. Then*

$$w_c(s^*t) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\| |t^*|^2 + |s^*|^2 \| + \frac{1}{2} w_c(ts^*) \right).$$

Proof. First of all, we note that

$$w_c(t) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \| \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}t) \|. \quad (4.5)$$

For every vector $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ and $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ with $\psi(|x|) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \psi(\langle x, e^{i\theta} s^* t x \rangle) &= \operatorname{Re} \psi(sx, e^{i\theta} tx) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\| (e^{i\theta}t + s)x \|^2 - \| (e^{i\theta}t - s)x \|^2 \right) \quad (\text{by Polarization identity}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\| (e^{i\theta}t + s)x \|^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \| e^{i\theta}t + s \|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \| (e^{-i\theta}t^* + s^*) \|^2 \quad (\text{since } \|y\| = \|y^*\|) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| (e^{-i\theta}t^* + s^*)^* (e^{-i\theta}t^* + s^*) \right\| \quad (\text{since } \|y\|^2 = \|y^*y\|) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \| tt^* + ss^* + e^{i\theta}ts^* + e^{-i\theta}st^* \| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \| tt^* + ss^* \| + \frac{1}{2} \| \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}ts^*) \| \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all vectors $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = 1$, we obtain

$$w_c(s^*t) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\| |t^*|^2 + |s^*|^2 \| + \frac{1}{2} w_c(ts^*) \right)$$

as required. \square

The following theorem gives us a new bound for powers of the numerical radius.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose $t, s, y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ such that t, s are positive. Then*

$$w_c(t^\alpha y s^\alpha) \leq \|y\|^r \left\| \frac{1}{p} t^{pr} + \frac{1}{q} s^{qr} \right\|^\alpha$$

for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $r \geq 1$ and $p, q > 1$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $pr, qr \geq 2$.

Proof. For every vector $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = 1$, $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(\langle x, t^\alpha y s^\alpha x \rangle)|^r &= |\psi(\langle t^\alpha x, y s^\alpha x \rangle)|^r \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \|t^\alpha x\|^r \|s^\alpha x\|^r \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \psi\left(\langle x, t^{2\alpha} x \rangle^{\frac{r}{2}}\right) \psi\left(\langle x, s^{2\alpha} x \rangle^{\frac{r}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \left(\frac{1}{p} \psi(\langle x, t^{2\alpha} x \rangle)^{\frac{rp}{2}} + \frac{1}{q} \psi(\langle x, s^{2\alpha} x \rangle)^{\frac{rq}{2}} \right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.1(ii)}) \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \left(\frac{1}{p} \psi(\langle x, t^{pr} x \rangle)^\alpha + \frac{1}{q} \psi(\langle x, s^{qr} x \rangle)^\alpha \right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|y\|^r \left(\frac{1}{p} \psi(\langle x, t^{pr} x \rangle) + \frac{1}{q} \psi(\langle x, s^{qr} x \rangle) \right)^\alpha \quad (\text{by the concavity of } f(\tau) = \tau^\alpha) \\ &= \|y\|^r \psi \left(\left\langle x, \left(\frac{1}{p} t^{pr} + \frac{1}{q} t^{qr} \right) x \right\rangle \right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all vectors $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = 1$, we obtain the desired result. \square

Our next result is to find an upper bound for power of the numerical radius of $t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha}$ under assumption $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose $t, s, y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ such that t, s are positive. Then*

$$w_c(t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha}) \leq \|y\|^r \|\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r\|$$

for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $r \geq 2$.

Proof. For every vector $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = 1$, $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(\langle x, t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha} x \rangle)|^r &= |\psi(\langle t^\alpha x, y s^{1-\alpha} x \rangle)|^r \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \|t^\alpha x\|^r \|s^{1-\alpha} x\|^r \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \psi(\langle x, t^{2\alpha} x \rangle)^{\frac{r}{2}} \psi(\langle x, s^{2(1-\alpha)} x \rangle)^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \psi(\langle x, t^r x \rangle)^\alpha \psi(\langle x, s^r x \rangle)^{1-\alpha} \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.3}) \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \psi(\langle x, (\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r) x \rangle) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.1(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\psi(\langle x, t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha} x \rangle)|^r \leq \|y\|^r \psi(\langle x, (\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r) x \rangle). \quad (4.6)$$

Taking the supremum over all vectors $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = 1$, we obtain the desired result. \square

Remark 1. Note that our inequality in the previous theorem is a generalization of the second inequality in Theorem 2.13 of [11] when we set $s = t = 1$.

Now assume that $t, s, y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. The Heinz mean for matrices are defined by

$$H_\alpha(t, s) = \frac{t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha} + t^{1-\alpha} y s^\alpha}{2}$$

in which $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $t, s \geq 0$, see [7].

The goal of the following result is to find a numerical radius inequality for Heinz means. For this purpose, we use Theorem 4.5 and the convexity of function $f(\tau) = \tau^r$ ($r \geq 1$).

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose $t, s, y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ such that t, s are positive. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} w_c^r \left(t^{\frac{1}{2}} y s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) &\leq w_c^r \left(\frac{t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha} + t^{1-\alpha} y s^\alpha}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \|y\|^r w_c \left(\frac{t^r + s^r}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\|y\|^r}{2} (\|\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r\| + \|\alpha s^r + (1-\alpha)t^r\|) \end{aligned}$$

for all $r \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

To prove Theorem 4.6, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $t, s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ be invertible self-adjoint operators and $y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. Then*

$$w_c(y) \leq w_c\left(\frac{tys^{-1} + t^{-1}ys}{2}\right). \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. First of all, we shall show the case $t = s$ and y is self-adjoint. Let $\lambda \in \sigma(y)$. Then

$$\lambda \in \sigma(y) = \sigma(tyt^{-1}) \subseteq \overline{W(tyt^{-1})}.$$

Since $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\lambda = Re(\lambda) \in Re\overline{W(tyt^{-1})} = \overline{W(Re(tyt^{-1}))}.$$

So we obtain

$$w_c(y) = r(y) \leq w_c(Re(tyt^{-1})) = w_c\left(\frac{tys^{-1} + t^{-1}ys}{2}\right).$$

Next we shall show this lemma for arbitrary $y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ and invertible self-adjoint operators t and s . Let $\tilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ y^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tilde{t} = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$. Then \tilde{y} and \tilde{t} are self-adjoint. Hence we have

$$w_c(\tilde{y}) \leq w_c\left(\frac{\tilde{t}\tilde{y}\tilde{t}^{-1} + \tilde{t}^{-1}\tilde{y}\tilde{t}}{2}\right).$$

Here $w_c(\tilde{y}) = w_c(y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} w_c\left(\frac{\tilde{t}\tilde{y}\tilde{t}^{-1} + \tilde{t}^{-1}\tilde{y}\tilde{t}}{2}\right) &= \frac{1}{2}w_c\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & tys^{-1} + t^{-1}ys \\ s^{-1}y^*t + sy^*t^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}w_c(tys^{-1} + t^{-1}ys). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the desired inequality. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.6. We may assume that t and s are invertible. By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_c^r\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}ys^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) &\leq w_c^r\left(\frac{t^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}ys^{\frac{1}{2}}s^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} + t^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}t^{\frac{1}{2}}ys^{\frac{1}{2}}s^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{2}\right) \\ &= w_c^r\left(\frac{t^\alpha ys^{1-\alpha} + t^{1-\alpha}ys^\alpha}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by inequality (4.6), for $r \geq 2$ we have

$$|\psi(\langle x, t^\alpha ys^{1-\alpha}x \rangle)|^r \leq \|y\|^r |\psi(\langle x, (\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r)x \rangle)|^r.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \psi\left(\left\langle x, \left(\frac{t^\alpha ys^{1-\alpha} + t^{1-\alpha}ys^\alpha}{2}\right)x \right\rangle\right) \right|^r &\leq \left(\frac{|\psi(\langle x, t^\alpha ys^{1-\alpha}x \rangle)| + |\psi(\langle x, t^{1-\alpha}ys^\alpha x \rangle)|}{2} \right)^r \\ &\leq \frac{|\psi(\langle x, t^\alpha ys^{1-\alpha}x \rangle)|^r + |\psi(\langle x, t^{1-\alpha}ys^\alpha x \rangle)|^r}{2} \\ &\quad (\text{by the convexity of } f(\tau) = \tau^r) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\|y\|^r}{2} [\psi(\langle x, (\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r)x \rangle) + \psi(\langle x, ((1-\alpha)t^r + \alpha s^r) \rangle)] \\
&= \|y\| \psi\left(\left\langle x, \frac{t^r + s^r}{2}x \right\rangle\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
w_c^r\left(\frac{t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha} + t^{1-\alpha} y s^\alpha}{2}\right) &\leq \|y\| w_c\left(\frac{t^r + s^r}{2}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{\|y\|}{2} (w_c(\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r) + w_c((1-\alpha)t^r + \alpha s^r)) \\
&= \frac{\|y\|}{2} (\|\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r\| + \|(1-\alpha)t^r + \alpha s^r\|).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.7. *Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $\mu, \nu \geq 1$. Then*

$$\|b^*a + d^*c\|^2 \leq 2^{2-(\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\nu})} \|||a|^{2\mu} + |b|^{2\mu}\|^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \|||c|^{2\nu} + |d|^{2\nu}\|^{\frac{1}{\nu}}. \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi(\langle y, (b^*a + d^*c)x \rangle)|^2 &= |\psi(\langle y, b^*ax \rangle) + \psi(\langle y, d^*cx \rangle)|^2 \\
&\leq [|\psi(\langle y, b^*ax \rangle)| + |\psi(\langle y, d^*cx \rangle)|]^2 \\
&\leq \left[\psi(\langle x, a^*ax \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(\langle y, b^*by \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \psi(\langle x, c^*cx \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(\langle y, d^*dy \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2
\end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$.

Now, on utilizing the elementary inequality

$$(\kappa_1\kappa_2 + \kappa_3\kappa_4)^2 \leq (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_3^2)(\kappa_2^2 + \kappa_4^2), \quad \kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}(i = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

we then conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\psi(\langle x, a^*ax \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(\langle y, b^*by \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \psi(\langle x, c^*cx \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(\langle y, d^*dy \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 \\
&= (\psi(\langle x, a^*ax \rangle) + \psi(\langle x, c^*cx \rangle)) (\psi(\langle y, b^*by \rangle) + \psi(\langle y, d^*dy \rangle))
\end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$.

Utilizing the arithmetic mean - geometric mean inequality and then the convexity of the function $f(\tau) = \tau^\delta, \delta \geq 1$, we have successively,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\psi(\langle x, a^*ax \rangle) + \psi(\langle x, c^*cx \rangle)) (\psi(\langle y, b^*by \rangle) + \psi(\langle y, d^*dy \rangle)) \\
&\leq 4 \left(\frac{\psi(\langle x, ((a^*a)^\mu + (c^*c)^\mu)x \rangle)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \left(\frac{\psi(\langle y, ((b^*b)^\nu + (d^*d)^\nu)y \rangle)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}
\end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = \psi(|y|) = 1$ and for all $\mu \geq 1$ and $\nu \geq 1$. Consequently, by (4.9)-(4.11) we have

$$|\psi(\langle y, (b^*a + d^*c)x \rangle)|^2$$

$$\leq 2^{2-\left(\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\nu}\right)} (\psi(\langle x, ((a^*a)^\mu + (c^*c)^\mu)x \rangle))^{\frac{1}{\mu}} (\psi(\langle y, ((b^*b)^\nu + (d^*d)^\nu)y \rangle))^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = \psi(|y|) = 1$. Taking the supremum over $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = \psi(|y|) = 1$ we deduce the desired inequality (4.8). \square

Remark 2. (i) If $\mu = \nu$, then the inequality (4.8) is equivalent to

$$\| \|b^*a + d^*c\|^2 \leq 2^{2\mu-2} \| \| (a^*a)^\mu + (c^*c)^\mu \| \| \| (b^*b)^\mu + (d^*d)^\mu \| \| \| \quad (4.12)$$

(ii) If $b = d = 1$, then inequality (4.8) is equivalent to

$$\| \|a + c\|^2 \leq 2^{2\mu-1} \| \| (a^*a)^\mu + (c^*c)^\mu \| \| \quad (4.13)$$

for all $\mu \geq 1$.

(iii) If $b = a^*$ and $d = c^*$, then inequality (4.8) is equivalent to

$$\| \|a^2 + c^2\|^2 \leq 2^{2-\left(\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\nu}\right)} \| \| (a^*a)^\mu + (c^*c)^\mu \| \|^\frac{1}{\mu} \| \| (b^*b)^\nu + (d^*d)^\nu \| \|^\frac{1}{\nu} \quad (4.14)$$

for all $\mu, \nu \geq 1$.

If we put $d = a$ and $c = b$ in the equality (4.8), we get the following result.

Corollary 4.8. *If $a, b \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. Then*

$$\| \|b^*a + a^*b\|^2 \leq 2^{2-\left(\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\nu}\right)} \| \| |a|^{2\mu} + |b|^{2\mu} \| \|^\frac{1}{\mu} \| \| |a|^{2\nu} + |b|^{2\nu} \| \|^\frac{1}{\nu}, \quad (4.15)$$

for $\mu, \nu \geq 1$. In particular

$$\| \|b^*a + a^*b\|^\mu \leq 2^{\mu-1} \| \| |a|^{2\mu} + |b|^{2\mu} \| \| \quad (4.16)$$

for all $\mu \geq 1$.

Another particular case that might be of interest is the following one.

Corollary 4.9. *For $a, d \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$, we have*

$$\| \|a + d\|^2 \leq 2^{2-\left(\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\nu}\right)} \| \| |a|^{2\mu} + 1 \| \|^\frac{1}{\mu} \| \| |d|^{2\nu} + 1 \| \|^\frac{1}{\nu}, \quad (4.17)$$

for all $\mu, \nu \geq 1$. In particular

$$\| \|a\|^{2\mu} \leq \frac{1}{4} \| \| |a|^{2\mu} + 1 \| \| ^2. \quad (4.18)$$

for all $\mu \geq 1$.

Proof. The proof of the inequality (4.17) is obvious by the inequality (4.8) on choosing $b = 1, c = 1$ and writing the inequality for d^* instead of d . \square

Remark 3. If $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $t = a + ic$, i.e., a and c are its Cartesian decomposition, then we get from (4.13) that

$$\|t\|^{2\mu} \leq 2^{2\mu-1} \|a^{2\mu} + c^{2\mu}\|,$$

for all $\mu \geq 1$. Also, since $a = \operatorname{Re}(t) = \frac{t+t^*}{2}$ and $c = \operatorname{Im}(t) = \frac{t-t^*}{2i}$, then from (4.13) we get the following inequalities as well

$$\|\operatorname{Re}(t)\|^{2\mu} \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |t|^{2\mu} + |t^*|^{2\mu} \|$$

and

$$\|\operatorname{Im}(t)\|^{2\mu} \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |t|^{2\mu} + |t^*|^{2\mu} \|$$

for any $\mu \geq 1$.

Theorem 4.10. Let $t = a + ib$ be the Cartesian decomposition of $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$. Then for $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sup_{\mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1} \|\mu a + \nu b\| = w_c(t). \quad (4.19)$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|t + t^*\| \leq w_c(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|t - t^*\| \leq w_c(t). \quad (4.20)$$

Proof. First of all, we note that

$$w(t) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} t)\|. \quad (4.21)$$

In fact, $\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} \psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)) = |\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)|$ yields that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} t)\| = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} w_c(\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} t)) = w_c(t).$$

On the other hand, let $t = a + ib$ be the Cartesian decomposition of t . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} t) &= \frac{e^{i\theta} t + e^{-i\theta} t^*}{2} = \frac{1}{2} [(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta) t + (\cos \theta - i \sin \theta) t^*] \\ &= \cos \theta \left(\frac{t + t^*}{2} \right) - \sin \theta \left(\frac{t - t^*}{2i} \right) = (\cos \theta) a - (\sin \theta) b \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Therefore, by putting $\mu = \cos \theta$ and $\nu = -\sin \theta$ in (4.22), we obtain (4.19). Especially, by setting $(\mu, \nu) = (1, 0)$ and $(\mu, \nu) = (0, 1)$, we reach (4.20). \square

Remark 4. By using (4.20), we get some known inequalities:

- (i) $\|t\| = \|a + ib\| \leq \|a\| + \|b\| \leq 2w_c(t)$.
- (ii) If t is self adjoint, then $t = a$. Hence we have $\|t\| = \|a\| \leq w_c(t) \leq \|t\|$ and so $w_c(t) = \|t\|$.
- (iii) By an easy calculation, we have $\frac{t^*t + tt^*}{2} = a^2 + b^2$. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{4} \|t^*t + tt^*\| = \frac{1}{2} \|a^2 + b^2\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|a\|^2 + \|b\|^2) \leq w_c^2(t). \quad (4.23)$$

- (iv) Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$. Then for any vector $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ with $\psi(|x|) = 1$, $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\!(\mu a + \nu b)x\|\! &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu x \\ \nu x \end{bmatrix} \right\| \leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|\! \|a^2 + b^2\|\!^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\! \|t^*t + tt^*\|\!^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$w_c^2(t) = \sup_{\mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1} \|\! \mu a + \nu b\|\!^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\! \|t^*t + tt^*\|\!. \quad (4.24)$$

- (v) Combining the inequalities (4.23) and (4.24), we obtain Theorem 3.2 of [11].

Acknowledgement

The author extends sincere gratitude to the referees for their invaluable feedback and corrections, greatly enhancing the quality of the research paper.

References

- [1] S.S. Dragomir, Some refinements of Schwarz inequality, *Simposional de Math. Si Appl. Polytechnical Inst. Timisoara, Romania*, **1-2** (1985), 13–16.
- [2] P.R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [3] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [4] I. Kaplansky, *Modules Over Operator Algebras*, *Amer. J. Math.* **75** (1953), 839–858.
- [5] F. Kittaneh, *Notes on some inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **24** (1988), 283–293.
- [6] F. Kittaneh, *Norm inequalities for certain operator sums*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **143** (1997), 337–348.
- [7] R. Kaur, M. S. Moslehian, M. Singh and C. Conde, *Further refinements of the Heinz inequality*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **447** (2014), 26–37.
- [8] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -module: A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 210. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [9] J. Pemčarić, T. Furuta, J. Mišićić Hot, and Y. Seo, *Mondpencarić method in operator inequalities*, *Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [10] M. Mehrazin, M. Amyari and M. E. Omidvar, *A new type of numerical radius of operators on Hilbert C^* -module*, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2* **69** (2020), 29–37.

- [11] S. F. Moghaddam, Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert C^* -modules, *Mathematica Bohemica* **147** (4) (2022), 547–566.
- [12] W. Reid, Symmetrizable completely continuous linear transformations in Hilbert space, *Duke Math. J.* **18** (1951), 41–56.

Mohammad H.M. Rashid Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics-Faculty of Science P.O. Box(7)-Mutah University, Alkark-Jordan

E-mail: [Email:malik_okasha@yahoo.com](mailto:malik_okasha@yahoo.com); mrash@mutah.edu.jo