



# Some inequalities for the numerical radius and spectral norm for operators in Hilbert $C^*$ -modules space

Mohammad H.M. Rashid

**Abstract.** This paper introduces a new method for studying the numerical radius of bounded operators on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. Our approach leads to unique discoveries and expands existing theorems for bounded adjointable operators in Hilbert  $C^*$ -module spaces. Moreover, we find an upper bound for power of the numerical radius of  $t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha}$  under assumption  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ . In fact, we prove

$$w_c(t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha}) \leq \| \|y\| \|^r \| \alpha t^r + (1 - \alpha) s^r \|$$

for all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $r \geq 2$ .

**Keywords.** Numerical radius, inner product space,  $C^*$ -algebra,  $A$ -module

## 1 Introduction

The notion of a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module initiated by Kaplansky [4] as a generalization of a Hilbert space in which the inner product takes its values in a  $C^*$ -algebra (see also [7, 8, 10, 11]).

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A pre-Hilbert  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module or an inner product  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module is a complex linear space  $\mathfrak{E}$  which is a right  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module with compatible scalar multiplication  $\lambda(xa) = (\lambda x)a = x(\lambda a)$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{E}, a \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , together with an  $\mathfrak{A}$ -valued inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{E} \times \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  that satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $\langle x, \alpha y + \beta z \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y \rangle + \beta \langle x, z \rangle$ ;
- (ii)  $\langle x, ya \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle a$ ;
- (ii)  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^*$ ;
- (iv)  $\langle x, x \rangle \geq 0$ ; if  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ , then  $x = 0$

for each  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{E}, a \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

The notion of a left Hilbert  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module can be defined similarly. Note that the condition (i) is understood as a statement in the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , where an element  $a$  is called positive if it

can be represented as  $bb^*$  for some  $b \in \mathfrak{A}$ . The conditions (ii) and (iv) imply the inner product to be conjugate-linear in its first variable. Validity of a useful version of the classical Cauchy-Schwartz inequality follows that  $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  defines a norm on  $\mathfrak{E}$  making it into a normed right  $A$ -module. An inner product  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module  $\mathfrak{E}$  which is complete with respect to the norm  $\|x\|$  is called a Hilbert  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module or a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module over the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Every  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Hilbert  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module under the  $\mathfrak{A}$ -valued inner product  $\langle a, b \rangle = a^*b$  ( $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ ). Every complex Hilbert space is a left Hilbert  $\mathbb{C}$ -module.

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{E}$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  are Hilbert  $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules. We define  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{F})$  to be the set of all maps  $t : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$  for which there is a map  $t^* : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$  such that  $\langle tx, y \rangle = \langle x, t^*y \rangle$ , for all  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ ,  $y \in \mathfrak{F}$ . It is known that  $t$  must be a bounded  $\mathfrak{A}$ -linear map (that is,  $t$  is bounded linear map and  $t(xa) = t(x)a$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{E}, a \in \mathfrak{A}$ ). If  $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra together with the operator norm.

Suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra. Recall that a character  $\psi$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a non-zero  $*$ -homomorphism  $\psi : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\|\psi\| = 1$ . We denote the set of all characters on  $\mathfrak{A}$  by  $\varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ .

Throughout this paper assume that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is abelian  $C^*$ -algebra.

## 2 Definitions and Complementary results

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{E}$  be a Hilbert  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module. Then for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$  and  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ , we have*

- (i) (Cauchy-Schwartz inequality)  $|\psi(\langle x, y \rangle)| \leq \psi(|x|) \psi(|y|)$ .
- (ii) (triangle inequality)  $\psi(|x + y|) \leq \psi(|x|) + \psi(|y|)$ .
- (iii) (Parallelogram Law)  $\psi(|x + y|^2) + \psi(|x - y|^2) = 2(\psi(|x|^2) + \psi(|y|^2))$ .

*Proof.* (i) For every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \psi(\langle x - \lambda y, x - \lambda y \rangle) &= \psi(\langle x, x \rangle) - \psi(\langle x, \lambda y \rangle) - \psi(\langle \lambda y, x \rangle) + \psi(\langle \lambda y, \lambda y \rangle) \\ &= \psi(|x|^2) - \bar{\lambda}\psi(\langle x, y \rangle) - \lambda\psi(y, x) + |\lambda|^2\psi(|y|^2) \\ &= \psi(|x|^2) - 2\text{Re}(\lambda\psi(\langle y, x \rangle)) + |\lambda|^2\psi(|y|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

If  $\psi(\langle x, y \rangle) = 0$ , then the inequality is trivial. Suppose that  $\psi(\langle x, y \rangle) \neq 0$ , letting  $\lambda = \frac{\psi(|x|^2)}{\psi(\langle y, x \rangle)}$  in (2.1) gives

$$0 \leq -\psi(|x|^2) + \frac{\psi(|x|^4) \psi(|y|^2)}{|\psi(\langle x, y \rangle)|^2}.$$

Hence

$$\psi(|x|^2) \leq \frac{\psi(|x|^4) \psi(|y|^2)}{|\psi(\langle x, y \rangle)|^2}$$

and this implies that  $|\psi(\langle x, y \rangle)|^2 \leq \psi(|x|^2) \psi(|y|^2)$  and so

$$|\psi(\langle x, y \rangle)| \leq \psi(|x|) \psi(|y|).$$

(ii) By (i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(|x+y|^2) &= \psi(\langle x+y, x+y \rangle) = \psi(|x|^2) + 2\operatorname{Re}\psi(\langle x, y \rangle) + \psi(|y|^2) \\ &\leq \psi(|x|^2) + 2\psi(|x|)\psi(|y|) + \psi(|y|^2) \\ &= (\psi(|x|) + \psi(|y|))^2\end{aligned}$$

and so the result.

(iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(|x+y|^2) + \psi(|x-y|^2) &= \psi(|x|^2) + 2\operatorname{Re}\psi(\langle x, y \rangle) + \psi(|y|^2) \\ &\quad + \psi(|x|^2) - 2\operatorname{Re}\psi(\langle x, y \rangle) + \psi(|y|^2) \\ &= 2(\psi(|x|^2) + \psi(|y|^2)).\end{aligned}$$

□

**Definition 1.** Let  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  and  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then

$$\|t\| := \sup \{ \psi(|tx|) : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $|x| = \langle x, x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

It is known from [10] that  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . And if  $\mathfrak{E}$  is a Hilbert space, then  $\|t\| = \|\|t\|\|$ . The following result was investigated in [10].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Then

$$\|t\| = \sup \{ |\psi(\langle x, ty \rangle)| : x, y \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = \psi(|y|) = 1 \}.$$

**Definition 2.** Let  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Then the spectrum of  $t$ , denoted by  $\sigma(t)$ , is defined by

$$\sigma(t) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : t - \lambda 1 \text{ is not invertible} \}.$$

And  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is called an eigenvalue of  $t$  if there is a non-zero vector  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  such that  $tx = \lambda x$ . Equivalently,  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue if there is a vector  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = 1$  such that  $\|(t - \lambda 1)x\| = 0$ .

**Definition 3.**  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is called an approximate point spectrum of  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  if there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of vectors in  $\mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x_n|) = 1$  such that  $\|(t - \lambda 1)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ , the set of approximate point spectrum is denoted by  $\sigma_a(t)$ .

**Definition 4.** If  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ , then the spectral radius of  $t$  is the number defined by

$$r(t) = \sup \{ |\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(t) \}.$$

Clearly,  $0 \leq r(t) \leq \|t\|$  and it follows from spectral theorem that  $r(t^n) = (r(t))^n$ . Moreover, it is well-known that  $r(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$  (see [8]). Recall that a function  $f$  which maps A Hilbert  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module  $\mathfrak{E}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  is called a functional. If  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E}, \mathbb{C})$ , then  $f$  is called a linear functional on  $\mathfrak{E}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** If  $f$  is a bounded linear functional on a Hilbert  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module  $\mathfrak{E}$ , then there exists a unique  $y \in \mathfrak{E}$  such that for all  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ ,  $f(x) = \psi(\langle y, x \rangle)$ . Moreover,  $\|f\| = \psi(|y|)$ .

*Proof.* If  $f = 0$ , take  $y = 0$ . Suppose that  $f \neq 0$ . Then  $(f)$  is a proper closed subspace of  $\mathfrak{E}$ . Hence there exists a  $v \neq 0$  in  $(f)^\perp$ .

Let  $y = \alpha v$ , where  $\alpha = \frac{\overline{f(v)}}{\psi(|v|^2)}$ . Then  $y \perp (f)$  (because  $v \perp (f)$ ) and  $f(y) = \psi(\langle y, y \rangle)$  since

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &= \alpha f(v) = \frac{|f(v)|^2}{\psi(|v|^2)} \text{ and} \\ \psi(\langle y, y \rangle) &= |\alpha|^2 \psi(|v|^2) = \frac{|f(v)|^2}{\psi(|v|^4)} \psi(|v|^2) = \frac{|f(v)|^2}{\psi(|v|^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, given  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ , then  $x$  can be represented as  $x = \beta y + z$ , where  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $z \in (f)$ . From the previous arguments, we have

$$f(x) = f(\beta y) = \beta f(y) = \beta \psi(\langle y, y \rangle) = \psi(\langle y, \beta y + z \rangle) = \psi(\langle y, x \rangle).$$

To show that  $y$  is unique, suppose there is  $w \in \mathfrak{E}$  such that  $f(x) = \psi(\langle w, x \rangle)$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ . Then

$$0 = f(x) - f(x) = \psi(\langle y - w, x \rangle) \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

In particular,  $\psi(\langle y - w, y - w \rangle) = 0$  and so  $y = w$ .

Finally, for each  $y \in \mathfrak{E}$  the functional  $f$  defined on  $\mathfrak{E}$  is linear. Moreover

$$|f(x)| = |\psi(y, x)| \leq \psi(|x|) \psi(|y|) \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

Thus  $f$  is bounded and  $\|f\| \leq \psi(|y|)$ . Since

$$\|f\| \psi(|y|) \geq |f(y)| = \psi(\langle y, y \rangle) = \psi(|y|^2)$$

and so  $\|f\| \geq \psi(|y|)$  and consequently  $\|f\| = \psi(|y|)$ . □

**Lemma 2.4.** [10] *If  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ , then*

$$\|t\| = \sup \{ |\psi(x, tx)| : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \}.$$

The following results are very useful in the sequel.

**Proposition 2.1.** [11] *Let  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  and  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = 1$ ;
- (b)  $\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** [11] *For every  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ , the following assertions hold.*

- (i)  $t = 0$  if and only if  $\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ .
- (ii)  $t$  is positive if and only if  $\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)$  is positive for every  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ .
- (iii)  $t$  is self-adjoint if and only if  $\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)$  is self-adjoint for every  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ .
- (iv)  $t = 0$  if and only if  $\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  and  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ .
- (v)  $\text{Re}\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) = \psi(\langle x, \text{Re}(t)x \rangle)$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** [10] *If  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  is self-adjoint, then*

$$\|t\| = \sup \{ |\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)| : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \}.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  is self-adjoint.*

(i) *Let*

$$\lambda = \inf \{ \psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \}.$$

*If there exists an  $x_0 \in \mathfrak{E}$  such that  $\psi(|x_0|) = 1$  and  $\lambda = \psi(\langle x_0, tx_0 \rangle)$ , then  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $t$  with corresponding eigenvector  $x_0$ .*

(ii) *Let*

$$\mu = \sup \{ \psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \}.$$

*If there exists an  $x_1 \in \mathfrak{E}$  such that  $\psi(|x_1|) = 1$  and  $\mu = \psi(\langle x_1, tx_1 \rangle)$ , then  $\mu$  is an eigenvalue of  $t$  with corresponding eigenvector  $x_1$ .*

*Proof.* (i) For every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  and every  $y \in \mathfrak{E}$ , it follows from the definition of  $\lambda$  that

$$\psi(\langle x_0 + \alpha y, t(x_0 + \alpha y) \rangle) \geq \lambda \psi(\langle x_0 + \alpha y, x_0 + \alpha y \rangle).$$

Expanding the inner product and setting  $\lambda = \psi(\langle x_0, tx_0 \rangle)$ , we get the inequality

$$2\operatorname{Re}\alpha\psi(\langle (t - \lambda 1)x_0, y \rangle) + |\alpha|^2\psi(\langle y, (t - \lambda 1)y \rangle) \geq 0.$$

Taking  $\alpha = \overline{r\psi(\langle (t - \lambda 1)x_0, y \rangle)}$ , where  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , it follows that

$$2r|\psi(\langle (t - \lambda 1)x_0, y \rangle)|^2 + r^2|\psi(\langle (t - \lambda 1)x_0, y \rangle)|^2\psi(\langle y, (t - \lambda 1)y \rangle) \geq 0.$$

Since  $r$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $\psi(\langle (t - \lambda 1)x_0, y \rangle) = 0$  and since  $y$  is arbitrary, we have  $tx_0 = \lambda x_0$  as required.

(ii) The second statement of the theorem follows from part(i) applied to the self-adjoint  $-A$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.** An operator  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{F})$  is said to be compact if for each sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x_n|) = 1$  and  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ , the sequence  $\{tx_n\}$  has a subsequence which converges in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  is compact and self-adjoint, then at least one the numbers  $\|t\|$  or  $-\|t\|$  is an eigenvalue of  $t$ .*

*Proof.* The result is trivial if  $t = 0$ . Assume that  $t \neq 0$ , since

$$\|t\| = \sup \{ |\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)| : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \}$$

then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x_n|) = 1$  and a real number  $\lambda$  such that  $|\lambda| = \|t\| \neq 0$  and  $\psi(\langle x_n, tx_n \rangle) \rightarrow \lambda$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \psi(|tx_n - \lambda x_n|^2) &= \psi(|tx_n|^2) - 2\lambda\psi(x_n, tx_n) + \lambda^2 \\ &\leq 2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda\psi(x_n, tx_n) \rightarrow 2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$tx_n - \lambda x_n \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Since  $t$  is compact, there exists a subsequence  $\{tx_{n'}\}$  of  $\{tx_n\}$  which converges to some  $y \in \mathfrak{E}$ . Thus (2.3) implies that  $x_{n'} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda}y$  and by the continuity of  $t$ ,  $y = \lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} tx_{n'} = \frac{1}{\lambda}ty$ . Hence  $ty = \lambda y$  and  $y \neq 0$ . Since

$$\psi(|y|) = \lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} \psi(|\lambda x_{n'}|) = |\lambda| = \|t\|$$

and so  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $t$ , as required.  $\square$

**Definition 6.** Let  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Then the numerical range of  $t$  is defined by

$$W_c(t) = \{\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \text{ and } \psi(|x|) = 1\}.$$

The next result represent some of the basic properties for the numerical range (see [10]).

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $t, s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Then the following assertions hold.

- (i)  $W_c(t^*) = \overline{W_c(t)}$ , where  $\overline{W_c(t)}$  is the conjugate of  $W_c(t)$ .
- (ii)  $W_c(t) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $t$  is a self-adjoint.
- (iii) If  $u$  is unitary, then  $W_c(u^*tu) = W_c(t)$ .
- (iv) If  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $W_c(\alpha t + \beta 1) = \alpha W_c(t) + \beta$ .
- (v)  $W_c(t + s) \subset W_c(t) + W_c(s)$ .

**Definition 7.** Let  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Then the numerical radius of  $t$  is defined by

$$w_c(t) = \sup \{|\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)| : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \text{ and } \psi(|x|) = 1\}.$$

It is easy to show that  $w_c(\cdot)$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ .

The following is useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.7.** If  $\mathfrak{E}$  is a Hilbert  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module, then for every  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ ,  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$ ,

$$\psi(|\langle x, tx \rangle|) \leq \psi(|x|^2) w_c(t)$$

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  is normal, then

$$\|t\| = r(t) = w_c(t).$$

*Proof.* First we want to show  $\|t^n\| = \|t\|^n$ . by induction, for  $n = 1$  the equality is trivial. Assume that its true for  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|t^n x\|^2 &= \psi(\langle t^n x, t^n x \rangle) = \psi(\langle t^* t^n x, t^{n-1} x \rangle) \\ &\leq \|t^* t^n x\| \|t^{n-1} x\| \leq \|t^{n+1} x\| \|t^{n-1} x\| \psi(|x|^2) \quad (t \text{ is normal}). \end{aligned}$$

and so,  $\|t^n\|^2 \leq \|t^{n+1}\| \|t^{n-1}\|$ . But  $\|t^n\| = \|t\|^n$  for all  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and this implies that  $\|t\|^{2n} \leq \|t^{n+1}\| \|t\|^{n-1}$  and hence  $\|t^n\| = \|t\|^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now,  $r(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \|t\|$ . But its known that  $r(t) \leq w_c(t) \leq \|t\|$  and so we have the desired equality.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *If  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  is normal and  $\lambda \notin \sigma(t)$ , then*

$$\| (t - \lambda 1)^{-1} \| = \frac{1}{d(\lambda, \sigma(t))},$$

where  $d(\lambda, \sigma(t))$  is the distance from  $\lambda$  to  $\sigma(t)$ .

*Proof.* we have

$$r((t - \lambda 1)^{-1}) = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{|\mu - \lambda|} : \mu \in \sigma(t) \right\} = \frac{1}{\inf \{ |\mu - \lambda| : \mu \in \sigma(t) \}} = \frac{1}{d(\lambda, \sigma(t))}.$$

So, if  $t$  is normal, then  $(t - \lambda 1)^{-1}$  is normal for  $\lambda \notin \sigma(t)$  and hence

$$\| (t - \lambda 1)^{-1} \| = r((t - \lambda 1)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{d(\lambda, \sigma(t))}.$$

□

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  is normal, then  $\overline{W_c(t)} = \text{Conv} \sigma(t)$ , where  $\text{Conv} \sigma(t)$  is the convex hull of the spectrum of  $t$ .*

*Proof.* We need only to show  $\overline{W_c(t)} \subset \text{Conv} \sigma(t)$ . To see this, it sufficient to show that any closed half-plane which contains  $\sigma(t)$  also contain  $\overline{W_c(t)}$ . By translation and rotation this reduces to shown that  $\text{Re} \sigma(t) \leq 0$  implies  $\text{Re} \overline{W_c(t)} \leq 0$ .

Let  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  such that  $\psi(|x|) = 1$  and  $tx = (a + ib)x + y$  with  $a, b$  are real and  $x$  orthogonal to  $y$ . Now from Lemma 2.8, we have  $\| (t - c)x \| \geq \text{dist}(c, \sigma(t)) \geq c$  for all  $c > 0$ . Indeed, if  $c \notin \sigma(t)$ , then  $\| (t - c)^{-1}x \| \| (t - c)x \| \geq \| (t - c)^{-1}(t - c)x \| = \psi(|x|) = 1$  and so  $\| (t - c)x \| \geq \frac{1}{\| (t - c)^{-1} \|} = d(c, \sigma(t)) \geq c$ . So that

$$\begin{aligned} c^2 &\leq \| (t - c)x \|^2 = \| (a - c)x + ibx + y \|^2 = \| (a - c)x + ibx \|^2 + \psi(|y|^2) \\ &= (a - c)^2 + b^2 + \psi(|y|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$2ac \leq a^2 + b^2 + \psi(|y|^2).$$

Since this hold for all  $c > 0$ . This implies that  $\text{Re} \psi(x, tx) = a \leq 0$  as required. □

### 3 A numerical radius inequality

In order to prove our desired numerical radius inequality, we need the following lemmas. The first lemma, which is a generalized Schwartz inequality, can be found in [11, Corollary 3.11]

**Lemma 3.1.** (*Generalized-Cauchy Schwartz*) *For  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ ,  $\psi(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is a semi-inner product. Suppose that  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ , then*

$$|\psi(\langle x, ty \rangle)|^2 \leq \psi(\langle x, |t|^{2\alpha} x \rangle) \psi(\langle y, |t^*|^{2(1-\alpha)} y \rangle), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

If  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , then

$$|\psi(\langle x, ty \rangle)|^2 \leq \psi(\langle x, |t|x \rangle) \psi(\langle y, |t^*|y \rangle), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{E}.$$

Here  $|t|$  stands for the positive (semi-definite) operator  $(t^*t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

The second lemma contains a special case of a more general norm inequality that is equivalent to some Löwner–Heinz type inequalities. See [6].

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $t, s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  are positive, then*

$$\left\| \left\| t^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \right\| \leq \|ts\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The third lemma contains a recent norm inequality for sums of positive operators that is sharper than the triangle inequality.

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $t, s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  are positive, then*

$$\|t + s\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|t\| + \|s\| + \sqrt{(\|t\| - \|s\|)^2 + 4 \left\| \left\| t^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \right\|^2} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Now we are in a position to present our refined numerical radius inequality.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ , then*

$$w_c(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|t\| + \left\| \left\| t^2 \right\| \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1 and by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have for every  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  and  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)| &\leq \psi(\langle x, |t|x \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(\langle x, |t^*|x \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\psi(\langle x, |t|x \rangle) + \psi(\langle x, |t^*|x \rangle)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\psi(\langle x, (|t| + |t^*|)x \rangle)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} w_c(t) &= \sup \{ |\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)| : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup \{ (\psi(\langle x, (|t| + |t^*|)x \rangle)) : x \in \mathfrak{E}, \psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A}), \psi(|x|) = 1 \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \| |t| + |t^*| \|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Applying Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 to the positive operators  $|t|$  and  $|t^*|$ , and using the facts that  $\| |t| \| = \| |t^*| \| = \|t\|$  and  $\| |t| |t^*| \| = \|t^2\|$ , we have

$$\| |t| + |t^*| \| \leq \|t\| + \left\| \left\| t^2 \right\| \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.4)$$

The desired inequality (3.2) now follows from (3.3) and (3.4).  $\square$

To see that (3.2) is a refinement of the second inequality in [11, Theorem 2.13], one has to recall that  $\|t^2\| \leq \|t\|^2$  for every  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ .

It has been mentioned in [11, Theorem 2.17] that if  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  is such that  $t^2 = 0$ , then  $w_c(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|t\|$ . This can be easily seen as an immediate consequence of the first inequality in [11, Theorem 2.13] and the inequality (3.2).



**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  is such that  $t^2 = 0$ , then  $w_c(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|t\|$ .*

*Proof.* Combining the first inequality [11, Theorem 2.13] and the inequality (3.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\|t\| \leq w_c(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|t\| + \|t^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \quad (3.5)$$

for every  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Thus, if  $t^2 = 0$ , then  $w_c(t) = \frac{1}{2}\|t\|$  as required.  $\square$

The following result is another consequence of the inequality (3.2).

**Corollary 3.3.** *If  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  is such that  $w_c(t) = \|t\|$ , then  $\|t^2\| = \|t\|^2$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from the inequality (3.2) that

$$2w_c(t) \leq \|t\| + \|t^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for every  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Thus, if  $w_c(t) = \|t\|$ , then  $\|t\| \leq \|t^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and hence  $\|t\|^2 \leq \|t^2\|$ . But the reverse inequality is always true. Thus  $\|t^2\| = \|t\|^2$  as required.  $\square$

## 4 Power Inequalities For The Numerical Radius

To prove our generalized numerical radius, we need several well-known lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** [9] *Let  $a, b \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $p, q > 1$  satisfy  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then*

$$(i) \quad a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha} \leq \alpha a + (1-\alpha)b \leq (\alpha a^r + (1-\alpha)b^r)^{\frac{1}{r}};$$

$$(ii) \quad ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q} \leq \left( \frac{a^{pr}}{p} + \frac{b^{qr}}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}};$$

for all  $r \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $t, s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ , and let  $f$  and  $g$  be non-negative functions on  $[0, \infty)$  which are continuous such that  $f(\tau)g(\tau) = \tau$  for all  $\tau \in [0, \infty)$ . Then*

$$|\psi(y, tx)| \leq \|f(|t|x)\| \|g(|t^*|)y\|,$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$  and  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** [11, Hölder-McCarthy inequality in Hilbert  $C^*$ -Modules] *Let  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ ,  $t > 0$ , then for every  $\psi \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{A})$*

$$(i) \quad (\psi \langle x, tx \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}})^r \leq \|x\|^{2(1-r)} \psi \langle x, t^r x \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} \text{ for } r > 1 \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad (\psi \langle x, tx \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}})^r \geq \|x\|^{2(1-r)} \psi \langle x, t^r x \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} \text{ for } 0 < r \leq 1$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  be self-adjoint. Then*

$$w_c^2(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( w_c(t^2) + \|t\|^2 \right).$$

*Proof.* We recall the following refinement of the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality obtained by Dragomir in [1] with slight modification. It says that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(|u|)\psi(|v|) &\geq |\psi(\langle u, v \rangle) - \psi(\langle u, z \rangle)\psi(\langle z, v \rangle)| + |\psi(\langle u, z \rangle)\psi(\langle z, v \rangle)| \\ &\geq |\psi(\langle u, v \rangle)|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

for all  $u, v, z \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|z|) = 1$ . From inequality (4.1), we deduce that

$$|\psi(\langle u, z \rangle)\psi(\langle z, v \rangle)| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\psi(|u|)\psi(|v|) + |\psi(\langle u, v \rangle)|). \quad (4.2)$$

In the inequality (4.2), put  $z = x$  with  $\psi(|x|) = 1$ ,  $u = t^*x$  and  $v = tx$ , we get

$$|\psi(\langle t^*x, x \rangle)\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\psi(|t^*x|)\psi(|tx|) + |\psi(\langle t^*x, tx \rangle)|).$$

Hence

$$|\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} (\psi(|tx|)^2 + \psi(\langle x, t^2x \rangle)). \quad (4.3)$$

Taking the supremum over all vectors  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = 1$ , we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  and let  $f$  and  $g$  be as in Lemma 4.2. Then we have*

$$w_c^2(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \| \|t\|^2 + \left\| \left\| \frac{1}{p} f^p(|t|^2) + \frac{1}{q} g^q(|t|^2) \right\| \right\| \right) \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $p \geq q > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  such that  $\psi(|x|) = 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(x, t^2x)| &\leq \| \|f(|t^2|x)\| \| \|g(|(t^*)^2|)\| \| \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.2}) \\ &= \psi(x, f^2(|t^2|x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(x, g^2(|(t^*)^2|x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \psi(x, f^2(|t^2|x))^{\frac{p}{2}} + \frac{1}{q} \psi(x, g^2(|(t^*)^2|x))^{\frac{q}{2}} \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.1(ii)}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \psi(x, f^p(|t^2|x)) + \frac{1}{q} \psi(x, g^q(|(t^*)^2|x)) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.3}) \\ &= \psi \left( \left\langle x, \left( \frac{1}{p} f^p(|t^2|) + \frac{1}{q} g^q(|(t^*)^2|) \right) x \right\rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the inequality (4.3) that

$$|\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \psi(|tx|)^2 + \psi \left( \left\langle x, \left( \frac{1}{p} f^p(|t^2|) + \frac{1}{q} g^q(|(t^*)^2|) \right) x \right\rangle \right) \right).$$

Taking the supremum over all vectors  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = 1$ , we get the desired result.  $\square$

The following lemma is useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 4.4.** [11] *Let  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  and  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$  then for every  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$*

$$Re\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle) = \psi(\langle x, Re(t)x \rangle),$$

where  $Re(t)$  denotes the real part of the operator  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $t, s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Then*

$$w_c(s^*t) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left( \| |t^*|^2 + |s^*|^2 \| + \frac{1}{2} w_c(ts^*) \right).$$

*Proof.* First of all, we note that

$$w_c(t) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \| \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}t) \| . \quad (4.5)$$

For every vector  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  and  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$  with  $\psi(|x|) = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \psi(\langle x, e^{i\theta} s^* t x \rangle) &= \operatorname{Re} \psi(sx, e^{i\theta} tx) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \| (e^{i\theta}t + s)x \|^2 - \| (e^{i\theta}t - s)x \|^2 \right) \quad (\text{by Polarization identity}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left( \| (e^{i\theta}t + s)x \|^2 \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \| e^{i\theta}t + s \|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \| (e^{-i\theta}t^* + s^*) \|^2 \quad (\text{since } \|y\| = \|y^*\|) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| (e^{-i\theta}t^* + s^*)^* (e^{-i\theta}t^* + s^*) \right\| \quad (\text{since } \|y\|^2 = \|y^*y\|) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \| tt^* + ss^* + e^{i\theta}ts^* + e^{-i\theta}st^* \| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \| tt^* + ss^* \| + \frac{1}{2} \| \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta}ts^*) \| \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all vectors  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = 1$ , we obtain

$$w_c(s^*t) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left( \| |t^*|^2 + |s^*|^2 \| + \frac{1}{2} w_c(ts^*) \right)$$

as required.  $\square$

The following theorem gives us a new bound for powers of the numerical radius.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Suppose  $t, s, y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  such that  $t, s$  are positive. Then*

$$w_c(t^\alpha y s^\alpha) \leq \|y\|^r \left\| \frac{1}{p} t^{pr} + \frac{1}{q} s^{qr} \right\|^\alpha$$

for all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $r \geq 1$  and  $p, q > 1$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $pr, qr \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* For every vector  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = 1$ ,  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(\langle x, t^\alpha y s^\alpha x \rangle)|^r &= |\psi(\langle t^\alpha x, y s^\alpha x \rangle)|^r \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \|t^\alpha x\|^r \|s^\alpha x\|^r \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \psi\left(\langle x, t^{2\alpha} x \rangle^{\frac{r}{2}}\right) \psi\left(\langle x, s^{2\alpha} x \rangle^{\frac{r}{2}}\right) \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \left( \frac{1}{p} \psi(\langle x, t^{2\alpha} x \rangle)^{\frac{rp}{2}} + \frac{1}{q} \psi(\langle x, s^{2\alpha} x \rangle)^{\frac{qr}{2}} \right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.1(ii)}) \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \left( \frac{1}{p} \psi(\langle x, t^{pr} x \rangle)^\alpha + \frac{1}{q} \psi(\langle x, s^{qr} x \rangle)^\alpha \right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.3}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|y\|^r \left( \frac{1}{p} \psi(\langle x, t^{pr} x \rangle) + \frac{1}{q} \psi(\langle x, s^{qr} x \rangle) \right)^\alpha \quad (\text{by the concavity of } f(\tau) = \tau^\alpha) \\ &= \|y\|^r \psi \left( \left\langle x, \left( \frac{1}{p} t^{pr} + \frac{1}{q} t^{qr} \right) x \right\rangle \right)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum over all vectors  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = 1$ , we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

Our next result is to find an upper bound for power of the numerical radius of  $t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha}$  under assumption  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** *Suppose  $t, s, y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  such that  $t, s$  are positive. Then*

$$w_c(t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha}) \leq \|y\|^r \|\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r\|$$

for all  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $r \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* For every vector  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = 1$ ,  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(\langle x, t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha} x \rangle)|^r &= |\psi(\langle t^\alpha x, y s^{1-\alpha} x \rangle)|^r \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \|t^\alpha x\|^r \|s^{1-\alpha} x\|^r \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \psi(\langle x, t^{2\alpha} x \rangle)^{\frac{r}{2}} \psi(\langle x, s^{2(1-\alpha)} x \rangle)^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \psi(\langle x, t^r x \rangle)^\alpha \psi(\langle x, s^r x \rangle)^{1-\alpha} \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.3}) \\ &\leq \|y\|^r \psi(\langle x, (\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r) x \rangle) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.1(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\psi(\langle x, t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha} x \rangle)|^r \leq \|y\|^r \psi(\langle x, (\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r) x \rangle). \quad (4.6)$$

Taking the supremum over all vectors  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = 1$ , we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Note that our inequality in the previous theorem is a generalization of the second inequality in Theorem 2.13 of [11] when we set  $s = t = 1$ .

Now assume that  $t, s, y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . The Heinz mean for matrices are defined by

$$H_\alpha(t, s) = \frac{t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha} + t^{1-\alpha} y s^\alpha}{2}$$

in which  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and  $t, s \geq 0$ , see [7].

The goal of the following result is to find a numerical radius inequality for Heinz means. For this purpose, we use Theorem 4.5 and the convexity of function  $f(\tau) = \tau^r$  ( $r \geq 1$ ).

**Theorem 4.6.** *Suppose  $t, s, y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  such that  $t, s$  are positive. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} w_c^r \left( t^{\frac{1}{2}} y s^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) &\leq w_c^r \left( \frac{t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha} + t^{1-\alpha} y s^\alpha}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \|y\|^r w_c \left( \frac{t^r + s^r}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\|y\|^r}{2} (\|\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r\| + \|\alpha s^r + (1-\alpha)t^r\|) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $r \geq 2$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

To prove Theorem 4.6, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $t, s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  be invertible self-adjoint operators and  $y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Then*

$$w_c(y) \leq w_c\left(\frac{tys^{-1} + t^{-1}ys}{2}\right). \quad (4.7)$$

*Proof.* First of all, we shall show the case  $t = s$  and  $y$  is self-adjoint. Let  $\lambda \in \sigma(y)$ . Then

$$\lambda \in \sigma(y) = \sigma(tyt^{-1}) \subseteq \overline{W(tyt^{-1})}.$$

Since  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\lambda = Re(\lambda) \in Re\overline{W(tyt^{-1})} = \overline{W(Re(tyt^{-1}))}.$$

So we obtain

$$w_c(y) = r(y) \leq w_c(Re(tyt^{-1})) = w_c\left(\frac{tys^{-1} + t^{-1}ys}{2}\right).$$

Next we shall show this lemma for arbitrary  $y \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  and invertible self-adjoint operators  $t$  and  $s$ . Let  $\tilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ y^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\tilde{t} = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\tilde{y}$  and  $\tilde{t}$  are self-adjoint. Hence we have

$$w_c(\tilde{y}) \leq w_c\left(\frac{\tilde{t}\tilde{y}\tilde{t}^{-1} + \tilde{t}^{-1}\tilde{y}\tilde{t}}{2}\right).$$

Here  $w_c(\tilde{y}) = w_c(y)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} w_c\left(\frac{\tilde{t}\tilde{y}\tilde{t}^{-1} + \tilde{t}^{-1}\tilde{y}\tilde{t}}{2}\right) &= \frac{1}{2}w_c\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & tys^{-1} + t^{-1}ys \\ s^{-1}y^*t + sy^*t^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}w_c(tys^{-1} + t^{-1}ys). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the desired inequality.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.6.* We may assume that  $t$  and  $s$  are invertible. By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_c^r\left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}ys^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) &\leq w_c^r\left(\frac{t^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}t^{\frac{1}{2}}ys^{\frac{1}{2}}s^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha} + t^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}t^{\frac{1}{2}}ys^{\frac{1}{2}}s^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}}{2}\right) \\ &= w_c^r\left(\frac{t^\alpha ys^{1-\alpha} + t^{1-\alpha}ys^\alpha}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by inequality (4.6), for  $r \geq 2$  we have

$$|\psi(\langle x, t^\alpha ys^{1-\alpha}x \rangle)|^r \leq \|y\|^r |\psi(\langle x, (\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r)x \rangle)|^r.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \psi\left(\left\langle x, \left(\frac{t^\alpha ys^{1-\alpha} + t^{1-\alpha}ys^\alpha}{2}\right)x \right\rangle\right) \right|^r &\leq \left( \frac{|\psi(\langle x, t^\alpha ys^{1-\alpha}x \rangle)| + |\psi(\langle x, t^{1-\alpha}ys^\alpha x \rangle)|}{2} \right)^r \\ &\leq \frac{|\psi(\langle x, t^\alpha ys^{1-\alpha}x \rangle)|^r + |\psi(\langle x, t^{1-\alpha}ys^\alpha x \rangle)|^r}{2} \\ &\quad (\text{by the convexity of } f(\tau) = \tau^r) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\|y\|^r}{2} [\psi(\langle x, (\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r)x \rangle) + \psi(\langle x, ((1-\alpha)t^r + \alpha s^r) \rangle)] \\
&= \|y\| \psi\left(\left\langle x, \frac{t^r + s^r}{2}x \right\rangle\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
w_c^r\left(\frac{t^\alpha y s^{1-\alpha} + t^{1-\alpha} y s^\alpha}{2}\right) &\leq \|y\| w_c\left(\frac{t^r + s^r}{2}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{\|y\|}{2} (w_c(\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r) + w_c((1-\alpha)t^r + \alpha s^r)) \\
&= \frac{\|y\|}{2} (\|\alpha t^r + (1-\alpha)s^r\| + \|(1-\alpha)t^r + \alpha s^r\|).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $a, b, c, d \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  and  $\mu, \nu \geq 1$ . Then

$$\|b^*a + d^*c\|^2 \leq 2^{2-(\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\nu})} \| |a|^{2\mu} + |b|^{2\mu} \|^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \| |c|^{2\nu} + |d|^{2\nu} \|^{\frac{1}{\nu}}. \quad (4.8)$$

*Proof.* By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi(\langle y, (b^*a + d^*c)x \rangle)|^2 &= |\psi(\langle y, b^*ax \rangle) + \psi(\langle y, d^*cx \rangle)|^2 \\
&\leq [|\psi(\langle y, b^*ax \rangle)| + |\psi(\langle y, d^*cx \rangle)|]^2 \\
&\leq \left[ \psi(\langle x, a^*ax \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(\langle y, b^*by \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \psi(\langle x, c^*cx \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(\langle y, d^*dy \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2
\end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$ .

Now, on utilizing the elementary inequality

$$(\kappa_1\kappa_2 + \kappa_3\kappa_4)^2 \leq (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_3^2)(\kappa_2^2 + \kappa_4^2), \quad \kappa_i \in \mathbb{R}(i = 1, 2, 3, 4).$$

we then conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[ \psi(\langle x, a^*ax \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(\langle y, b^*by \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \psi(\langle x, c^*cx \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi(\langle y, d^*dy \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^2 \\
&= (\psi(\langle x, a^*ax \rangle) + \psi(\langle x, c^*cx \rangle)) (\psi(\langle y, b^*by \rangle) + \psi(\langle y, d^*dy \rangle))
\end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$ .

Utilizing the arithmetic mean - geometric mean inequality and then the convexity of the function  $f(\tau) = \tau^\delta, \delta \geq 1$ , we have successively,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\psi(\langle x, a^*ax \rangle) + \psi(\langle x, c^*cx \rangle)) (\psi(\langle y, b^*by \rangle) + \psi(\langle y, d^*dy \rangle)) \\
&\leq 4 \left( \frac{\psi(\langle x, ((a^*a)^\mu + (c^*c)^\mu)x \rangle)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \left( \frac{\psi(\langle y, ((b^*b)^\nu + (d^*d)^\nu)y \rangle)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}
\end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = \psi(|y|) = 1$  and for all  $\mu \geq 1$  and  $\nu \geq 1$ . Consequently, by (4.9)-(4.11) we have

$$|\psi(\langle y, (b^*a + d^*c)x \rangle)|^2$$

$$\leq 2^{2-\left(\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\nu}\right)} (\psi(\langle x, ((a^*a)^\mu + (c^*c)^\mu)x \rangle))^{\frac{1}{\mu}} (\psi(\langle y, ((b^*b)^\nu + (d^*d)^\nu)y \rangle))^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = \psi(|y|) = 1$ . Taking the supremum over  $x, y \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = \psi(|y|) = 1$  we deduce the desired inequality (4.8).  $\square$

**Remark 2.** (i) If  $\mu = \nu$ , then the inequality (4.8) is equivalent to

$$\| \|b^*a + d^*c\|^{2\mu} \leq 2^{2\mu-2} \| \| (a^*a)^\mu + (c^*c)^\mu \| \| \| (b^*b)^\mu + (d^*d)^\mu \| \| \| \quad (4.12)$$

(ii) If  $b = d = 1$ , then inequality (4.8) is equivalent to

$$\| \|a + c\|^{2\mu} \leq 2^{2\mu-1} \| \| (a^*a)^\mu + (c^*c)^\mu \| \| \quad (4.13)$$

for all  $\mu \geq 1$ .

(iii) If  $b = a^*$  and  $d = c^*$ , then inequality (4.8) is equivalent to

$$\| \|a^2 + c^2\|^{2\mu} \leq 2^{2-\left(\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\nu}\right)} \| \| (a^*a)^\mu + (c^*c)^\mu \| \|^\frac{1}{\mu} \| \| (b^*b)^\nu + (d^*d)^\nu \| \|^\frac{1}{\nu} \quad (4.14)$$

for all  $\mu, \nu \geq 1$ .

If we put  $d = a$  and  $c = b$  in the equality (4.8), we get the following result.

**Corollary 4.8.** *If  $a, b \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Then*

$$\| \|b^*a + a^*b\|^{2\mu} \leq 2^{2-\left(\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\nu}\right)} \| \| |a|^{2\mu} + |b|^{2\mu} \| \|^\frac{1}{\mu} \| \| |a|^{2\nu} + |b|^{2\nu} \| \|^\frac{1}{\nu}, \quad (4.15)$$

for  $\mu, \nu \geq 1$ . In particular

$$\| \|b^*a + a^*b\|^{2\mu} \leq 2^{\mu-1} \| \| |a|^{2\mu} + |b|^{2\mu} \| \| \quad (4.16)$$

for all  $\mu \geq 1$ .

Another particular case that might be of interest is the following one.

**Corollary 4.9.** *For  $a, d \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ , we have*

$$\| \|a + d\|^{2\mu} \leq 2^{2-\left(\frac{1}{\mu}+\frac{1}{\nu}\right)} \| \| |a|^{2\mu} + 1 \| \|^\frac{1}{\mu} \| \| |d|^{2\nu} + 1 \| \|^\frac{1}{\nu}, \quad (4.17)$$

for all  $\mu, \nu \geq 1$ . In particular

$$\| \|a\|^{2\mu} \leq \frac{1}{4} \| \| |a|^{2\mu} + 1 \| \|^{2\mu}. \quad (4.18)$$

for all  $\mu \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* The proof of the inequality (4.17) is obvious by the inequality (4.8) on choosing  $b = 1, c = 1$  and writing the inequality for  $d^*$  instead of  $d$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.** If  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$  and  $t = a + ic$ , i.e.,  $a$  and  $c$  are its Cartesian decomposition, then we get from (4.13) that

$$\|t\|^{2\mu} \leq 2^{2\mu-1} \|a^{2\mu} + c^{2\mu}\|,$$

for all  $\mu \geq 1$ . Also, since  $a = Re(t) = \frac{t+t^*}{2}$  and  $c = Im(t) = \frac{t-t^*}{2i}$ , then from (4.13) we get the following inequalities as well

$$\|Re(t)\|^{2\mu} \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |t|^{2\mu} + |t^*|^{2\mu} \|$$

and

$$\|Im(t)\|^{2\mu} \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |t|^{2\mu} + |t^*|^{2\mu} \|$$

for any  $\mu \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $t = a + ib$  be the Cartesian decomposition of  $t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{E})$ . Then for  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sup_{\mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1} \|\mu a + \nu b\| = w_c(t). \quad (4.19)$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|t + t^*\| \leq w_c(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|t - t^*\| \leq w_c(t). \quad (4.20)$$

*Proof.* First of all, we note that

$$w(t) = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|Re(e^{i\theta}t)\|. \quad (4.21)$$

In fact,  $\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} Re(e^{i\theta}\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)) = |\psi(\langle x, tx \rangle)|$  yields that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} \|Re(e^{i\theta}t)\| = \sup_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}} w_c(Re(e^{i\theta}t)) = w_c(t).$$

On the other hand, let  $t = a + ib$  be the Cartesian decomposition of  $t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Re(e^{i\theta}t) &= \frac{e^{i\theta}t + e^{-i\theta}t^*}{2} = \frac{1}{2} [(\cos\theta + i\sin\theta)t + (\cos\theta - i\sin\theta)t^*] \\ &= \cos\theta \left( \frac{t+t^*}{2} \right) - \sin\theta \left( \frac{t-t^*}{2i} \right) = (\cos\theta)a - (\sin\theta)b \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Therefore, by putting  $\mu = \cos\theta$  and  $\nu = -\sin\theta$  in (4.22), we obtain (4.19). Especially, by setting  $(\mu, \nu) = (1, 0)$  and  $(\mu, \nu) = (0, 1)$ , we reach (4.20).  $\square$

**Remark 4.** By using (4.20), we get some known inequalities:

- (i)  $\|t\| = \|a + ib\| \leq \|a\| + \|b\| \leq 2w_c(t)$ .
- (ii) If  $t$  is self adjoint, then  $t = a$ . Hence we have  $\|t\| = \|a\| \leq w_c(t) \leq \|t\|$  and so  $w_c(t) = \|t\|$ .
- (iii) By an easy calculation, we have  $\frac{t^*t + tt^*}{2} = a^2 + b^2$ . Hence,

$$\frac{1}{4} \|t^*t + tt^*\| = \frac{1}{2} \|a^2 + b^2\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|a\|^2 + \|b\|^2) \leq w_c^2(t). \quad (4.23)$$



- (iv) Let  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $\mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1$ . Then for any vector  $x \in \mathfrak{E}$  with  $\psi(|x|) = 1$ ,  $\psi \in \varpi(\mathfrak{A})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\!(\mu a + \nu b)x\|\! &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu x \\ \nu x \end{bmatrix} \right\| \leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|\!(a^2 + b^2)\!\|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\!t^*t + tt^*\!\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$w_c^2(t) = \sup_{\mu^2 + \nu^2 = 1} \|\!\mu a + \nu b\!\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\!t^*t + tt^*\!\|. \quad (4.24)$$

- (v) Combining the inequalities (4.23) and (4.24), we obtain Theorem 3.2 of [11].

## Acknowledgement

The author extends sincere gratitude to the referees for their invaluable feedback and corrections, greatly enhancing the quality of the research paper.

## References

- [1] S.S. Dragomir, Some refinements of Schwarz inequality, Simposional de Math. Si Appl. Polytechnical Inst. Timisoara, Romania, **1-2** (1985), 13–16.
- [2] P.R. Halmos, A Hilbert space problem book, Springer Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [3] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, Inequalities, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [4] I. Kaplansky, Modules Over Operator Algebras, Amer. J. Math. **75** (1953), 839–858.
- [5] F. Kittaneh, Notes on some inequalities for Hilbert space operators, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **24** (1988), 283–293.
- [6] F. Kittaneh, Norm inequalities for certain operator sums, J. Funct. Anal. **143** (1997), 337–348.
- [7] R. Kaur, M. S. Moslehian, M. Singh and C. Conde, Further refinements of the Heinz inequality, Linear Algebra Appl. **447** (2014), 26–37.
- [8] E. C. Lance, Hilbert  $C^*$ -module: A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 210. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [9] J. Pemčarić, T. Furuta, J. Mišićić Hot, and Y. Seo, Mondpencarić method in operator inequalities, Inequalities for Bounded Selfadjoint Operators on a Hilbert Space, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [10] M. Mehrazin, M. Amyari and M. E. Omidvar, A new type of numerical radius of operators on Hilbert  $C^*$ -module, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Series 2 **69** (2020), 29–37.

- [11] S. F. Moghaddam, Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, *Mathematica Bohemica* **147** (4) (2022), 547–566.
- [12] W. Reid, Symmetrizable completely continuous linear transformations in Hilbert space, *Duke Math. J.* **18** (1951), 41–56.

**Mohammad H.M. Rashid** Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics-Faculty of Science P.O. Box(7)-Mutah University, Alkark-Jordan

E-mail: [Email:malik\\_okasha@yahoo.com](mailto:malik_okasha@yahoo.com); [mrash@mutah.edu.jo](mailto:mrash@mutah.edu.jo)